Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

A Comparative Study of the Educational Practices and Competencies of Teachers in the United States and Guatemala in Teaching Reading

Karen Stackhouse

Received: 13 December 2013 Accepted: 1 January 2014 Published: 15 January 2014

7 Abstract

8 Teaching effectively is a concept that is difficult, if not controversial to define and equally

⁹ difficult to measure. To most educational planners, ?effectiveness? is the measure of factors

¹⁰ that enhance a child?s learning, irrespective of their background (Moore, DeStafano

Adelman, 2010). While many models of school effectiveness exist, the Five-Factor model

¹² suggests that leadership, acquisition of basic skills, a secure environment, high student

13 expectations, and frequent performance assessment are critical elements of effectiveness

14 (Scheerens, 2000). The United States generally has these five factors, however only the

¹⁵ element of high student expectations customarily exists in Guatemala.

16

5

17 Index terms—

¹⁸ 1 Introduction fter speaking at the 2008 International Literacy

Conference in Guatemala City, sponsored by the Guatemala Reading Association my interest was piqued, thus I 19 20 began investigating literacy practices between Guatemala and the United States. Not only is illiteracy a prevalent problem in the rural parts of Guatemala, but the lack of resources sets limitations on the progress of literacy 21 programs (Meyer, 2008). For those teachers and educators from the United States, seeing the conditions and 22 circumstances in which their Guatemalan colleagues must strive to educate their students, it is quite a revelation. 23 Teaching effectively is a concept that is difficult, if not controversial to define and equally difficult to measure. 24 To most educational planners, 'effectiveness' is the measure of factors that enhance a child's learning, irrespective 25 of their background ?? Moore, DeStafano, & Adelman, 2010). 26

While many models of school effectiveness exist, the Five-Factor model suggests that leadership, acquisition of basic skills, a secure environment, high student expectations, and frequent performance assessment are critical elements of effectiveness (Scheerens, 2000). The United States generally has these five factors, however only the element of high student expectations customarily exists in Guatemala.

This paper argues that improvements in teaching and school effectiveness require schools and educators to 31 concentrate on even more primitive elements than those posited by the research. While schools in the United 32 States generally benefit from sound school buildings, regular teacher attendance, and educational supplies, this 33 is not the case in Guatemala. In a well-established and well organized classroom, print should be everywhere. 34 It is recommended that each classroom plan to have at least four books per child available at all times (Funk, 35 2008). However, due to economics, this is often not possible, so teachers visit their school or public library 36 regularly. Books should be rotated regularly so that children are continually exposed to different genres, stories, 37 and forms of print. In the United States, the ability to visit a school or local library is often taken for granted, 38 but Guatemalan schools don't have school libraries and public libraries are a rarity. 39

40 **2** II.

41 3 Review of the Literature

As varying definitions for literacy exist, I will clarify the definition that will be used for the purposes of this article.
No universal definitions or standards of literacy exist, however the United States Census Bureau states literacy

can be defined as the ability to read and write at a specified age (CIA, 2010a & b). Information on literacy,
while not a perfect measure of educational results, provides the most easily available and valid comparison for
international comparisons. Low levels literacy and education in general, can impede the economic development
of a country in the current, rapidly changing, technology driven world.

Not only is illiteracy a prevalent problem in the rural parts of Guatemala, but the lack of resources sets 48 limitations on the progress of literacy (Meyer, 2008). Reading is among the most critical of skills teachers can 49 equip their students with and should be taught the moment children enter the classroom. According to Barone 50 (2006), reading and writing achievement in the primary grades provide the critical foundation for a child's future 51 academic success. The ability to read not only impacts students' ability to succeed academically, but to also 52 contribute as a constructive member of their society. One of the best predictors of whether a child will function 53 competently in school and go on to contribute actively in our increasingly literate society is the level to which 54 the child progresses in reading and writing (Meyer, 2008). Although reading and writing abilities continue to 55 develop throughout one's life, the years from birth through age eight are the most important period for literacy 56 development (NAYEC & IRA, 1998). 57

Reading is not a skill learned passively. It requires dedicated attention and persistent practice. The amount of 58 59 time teachers devote to teaching reading and practice is crucial for a student's literacy development, especially in 60 the primary grades. Research strongly suggests that the total amount of reading done in the beginning stages of 61 learning to read has a powerful effect on reading achievement (Moore, 2005). Reading aloud to students can also 62 enhance student comprehension, another skill pertinent for progression in reading. According to the NAEYC and IRA Reading Panel (1998) the single most important activity for building understanding and skills essential for 63 reading success appears to be reading aloud to children. It is further beneficial that students have access to print 64 versions of those books read aloud. Stories read aloud do not always accomplish literacy support unless there 65 is discussion about the story and children can revisit the story whenever they would like (Funk, 2008). Having 66 these books available will assist in maximizing the literacy experience. 67 Research suggests that an effective vocabulary program includes many opportunities for young students to 68

hear high-quality literature aloud (Moore, 2005). Similarly, the NAEYC and IRA (1998) have found evidence
that a child's vocabulary increases through listening to stories couple with a teacher's explanation of the text.
Student comprehension, another skill relevant for progress in reading will generally be enhanced from oral reading
and text explanation as well.

73 The amount of time spend reading, which in turn depends upon the availability of reading materials, greatly 74 affects student improvement in reading comprehension and vocabulary skills. The importance of reading becomes even more crucial in poverty stricken schools because students often do not have access to books or other 75 educational materials at home. Children growing up in poverty, whether urban or rural, have a lot of school-76 related vocabulary learning to do to catch up with their more advantaged peers. In order to develop an adequate 77 school-related vocabulary, some students may need many more opportunities to engage in vocabulary study early 78 in preschool and kindergarten (Moore, 2005). By one estimate, the typical middleclass child enters first grade 79 with 1,000 to 1,700 hours of one-on-one picture book reading, whereas a child from a low-income family averages 80 just 25 hours (Adams, 1990). This gap between children's literacy development was due to the disparity in reading 81 opportunities they were provided. It is important for teachers to immerse children in a print rich environment full 82 of storybooks, posters, and word walls to create an atmosphere full of opportunities that nurture their reading 83 development. 84

⁸⁵ 4 III.

86 5 Guatemala

There really is no system of Mayan education as such. There is no curriculum, the very first seeds of it are 87 Mayan schools? These are small seeds, small efforts in this direction? But if we speak of Mayan education itself in 88 our current situation, perhaps the one thing that has contributed most to the formation of our identity and our 89 culture is what there has been in the way of an oral tradition passed down from generation to generation, from 90 grandparents to grandchildren, from parents to children in the family and community life. It is that which has 91 shaped our survival and our lives through agriculture and education within the family, because Mayan education 92 cannot really be separated from life, from economic activity, from politics, from all aspects of life. (Interview 93 with Juana Vasquez, conducted by Meike Heckt, July 1994). 94

With this quote from Guatemalan educator, Juana Vasquez, we can begin to gain insight in into how different the Mayan education system is from that of the United States. Mayan education, which dominates rural Guatemala, and most of Guatemala is rural, is a less formal style, often focusing on elements of learning from one's family, and the passing down material through oral story telling.

The Guatemalan Civil War ran from 1960 to 1996. Torn by those decades of strife and dissention and a long neglected system of education, Guatemala has one of the lowest literacy rates in the Western Hemisphere (Jonas, 2000). In some regions, nearly three out of every four adults can not read or write. These staggering statistics are the result of an absence of fundamental learning tools. Over 90% of schools lack textbooks and basic library books and fewer than 5% of Guatemalan children have ever used a computer (Guatemala Literacy Project, 2010). Education is generally considered to play a critical role in the reconstruction process and the state should

promote and guarantee the right to public, highquality education for all, address all levels of schooling provision, 105 and guarantee equality, inclusiveness and non-discrimination (Dupry, 2008; Rose and Greeley, 2006;Smith, 106 2005; Tomasevski, 2004). New opportunities can be supported through education in any situation, but specifically 107 in post-conflict situations while assisting in making a new start by changing the structures and strengthening the 108 positive role of education through the promotion of expansion and a different content of education ??Poppema, 109 2009). Unless there are substantial changes, the unequal distribution of education will continue to preserve 110 positions of economic, social, and political privilege that often represent the underlying causes of conflicts (Bush 111 & Saltarelli, 2000). This process requires more than a short term, practical reconstruction of the educational 112 system: in order to achieve social justice, a more complex approach that comprises the complete transformation 113 of educational systems in needed (Novelli & Cardozo, 2008; Paulson & Rappleye, 2007). 114

One can not research instructional styles and strategies in rural Guatemala without focusing on the Mayan people.

One problem that arises is the generalization used in terms of describing the Mayan culture. Often times, 117 no distinction is made between the Mayans and Ladinos, although it is functionally necessary to differentiate 118 within the two groups, given that these are made up of different ethnic groups and cultural traditions (Heckt, 119 1999). In 1995 the Government and the URNG guerilla movement signed the "Agreement on the Identity and 120 121 Rights of Indigenous Peoples," recognizing four groups of people within Guatemala; the Mayans, the Ladinos, 122 the Garifuna, and the Xinca. Like the Mayans, the Xinca are also regarded as indigenous peoples. The Garifunas 123 are descended from Caribbean immigrants and have their own language. All of the non-indigenous and non-Garifunas in Guatemala are called Ladinos (Smith, 1990). The Mayan people comprise 60% of the population of 124 Guatemala and can be referred to as indigenous. "Indigena" is the general term for the Mayan people. The words 125 comes from "Indian" and despite its negative connotation, most people currently use this term when referring 126 to the Mayan population. The other group is called "Ladino." This term evolved after the 16th century and 127 is now used by the Guatemala state to designate in general the "non-Indian" (Meyerratken, 2000). The official 128 languages of Guatemala are Spanish (Ladino population) and twenty-one different dialects of the Mayan language. 129 Examples of some of the different Mayan languages include Kiche, Ma'm, and Kaq'shikel ??Meyerratken, 2000). 130 These Mayan dialects account for 40-60% of the languages spoken by the people of Guatemala and this linguistic 131 diversity of the Mayan population poses a challenge to the Guatemalan education system ??Meyerratken, 2000). 132 This is one of the reasons teaching children to read in Guatemala has proven so tremendously difficult. Looking 133 at the tables below (CIA, 2010a & b) you will see that the illiteracy rate has fluctuated somewhat, but generally 134 135 remain quite high.

¹³⁶ **Table 1 :**

137 Table ?? :

Guatemala Literacy Rates Guatemala Literacy Rates (CIA, 2010a) (CIA, 2010a) While some of the research I have read mentions textbooks (Guatemala Literacy Project, 2010; Meyerratken, 2000), I feel obligated to point out that the schools I visited in rural Guatemalan villages such as Santa Barbara, Patulul, Rio Bravo, and Tuxtla had few, if any textbooks from which to teach their students. According to Meyerratken (2000), textbooks have been translated into all Mayan dialects so that students can learn material in their native tongue while also learning Spanish, however by middle school, the entire curriculum is taught in Spanish.

Local teachers among the indigenous people are recruited by the government to teach children in their own language and in a more maternal instructional style.

¹⁴⁶ Unfortunately, it is extremely difficult to recruit such teachers as pay is extremely low. On average, teachers ¹⁴⁷ in Guatemala earn only 1200 Quetzales, or \$200 a month (Guatemala Reading Association, 2011). While the ¹⁴⁸ standard of living in Guatemala is not quite that of the United States, \$200 a month still doesn't stretch much ¹⁴⁹ further than basic living quarters and food. Few families in rural Guatemala own motor vehicles, rather walking ¹⁵⁰ is their primary means of transportation. Homes largely consist of four walls, with no plumbing, running water, ¹⁵¹ or electricity (see photos below)

152 **7** Year

153 Literacy (%) A typical Guatemalan home in Santa Barbara

During my visit to the rural school in Santa Barbara, Guatemala, I reflected on the fact that in the United States, teachers have resources composed of the most recently published curricula, books, and available technology.

¹⁵⁶ In contrast, the indigenous people in Santa Barbara seemed to live the same way they have for hundreds of years.

¹⁵⁷ They had a striking simplicity to their everyday lives that showed me that we will not be able to simply take

the instructional strategies and methodologies that work so well in the United States and apply them to the

Guatemalan schools. The complexity of our teaching proves irrelevant to them. How is a child who lives on a

basic diet of tortillas, beans, and corn to learn about nutrition and the food pyramid? Many of the food identified

in the food pyramid don't exist in their diet regardless as to whether we teach in English or their native tongue.

¹⁶² For the people of Guatemala, we must teach at the concrete level. It is not a simple matter of translation.

¹⁶³ 8 IV.

¹⁶⁴ 9 United States

1. It being one chiefe project if that ould deluder, Satan, to keepe men from the knowledge of the Scriptures, 165 as in former times by keeping them in an unknown tongue, so in these latter times, by perswading from the use 166 of tongues, that so at least the true sence & meaning of the original might be clouded by false glosses of saint 167 seeming deceivers, that learning may not be buried in the grave of our fathers in the church & commonwealth, 168 the Lord assisting our endeavors. 2. It is therefore ordered, that every township in this jurisdiction, after the 169 Lord hath increased them to the number of 50 householders, shall then forthwith appoint one within their towne 170 to teach all such children as shall resort to him to write & read, who wages shall be paid either by the parents or 171 the masters of such children, or by the inhabitants ingenerall? 172

In 1647, the General Court of Massachusetts enacted the above law to protect the children of the colony from the confines of Satan. The law became more commonly known as "Ould Deluder" and served, in part, as a catalyst for the development of materials and instructional strategies to teach children to read.

Reading has evolved since 1647. Originally taught as a means to read the Bible in an effort to keep the 176 devil away, reading has now progressed into the topic of literacy, also including writing. Back in the mid-177 1600's oral reading and recitation ruled. It wouldn't be until much later when educators would begin looking at 178 comprehension. World War I led to the discovery that thousands of U.S. soldiers could not read well enough to 179 follow printed instructions (Smith, 2002), thus reading became a household concern almost overnight. William 180 S. Gray would become the first president of the International Reading Association to state that silent reading is 181 more practical, more efficient, and more effective than the regular regime of oral reading (Shannon, 1989). Not 182 quite three decades later, it was finally agreed that deriving meaning was more important than reciting (Smith, 183 2002). 184

While new definitions of reading have always been thoughtful and plentiful, no one contributed to the field more than Columbia University's Edward Thorndike. Thorndike clearly showed the difference between mouthing

words and understanding meaning. He demonstrated the need for instruction in getting meaning from the printed
 page. He also raised the issue of misunderstanding and attributed it in part to the over-potency of certain words
 (Ruscoll 1961)

189 (Russell, 1961).

1. It appears likely that a pupil may read fluently and feel that the series of words are arousing appropriate 190 thoughts without really understanding the paragraph. Reading is a very elaborate procedure, involving a weighing 191 of each of many elements in a sentence, their organization in the proper relations one to another, the selection of 192 certain of their connotations and the rejection of others, and the cooperation of many forces to determine final 193 responses. Understanding a paragraph is like solving a problem in mathematics. It consists in selecting the right 194 element of the situation and putting them together in the right relations, and also with the right amount of weigh 195 to influence or force for each. The mind is assailed?by every word in the paragraph. It must select, repress, 196 197 soften, emphasize, correlate and organize, all under the influence of the right mental set or purpose or demand. 198 (Thorndike, 1917) Thorndike is still one of the most cited experts of the scientific period for his declaration that 199 reading is thinking.

Dilemmas from the past continue to creep into the present, and eventually our future. Teachers still struggle 200 to teach vocabulary and in an environment of high-stakes testing, (an issue not present in Guatemala) it is a 201 "hot topic" (Nilsen & Nilsen, 2003). Teachers of history, science, and other content areas have not yet lent 202 their unanimous support to use of literacy strategies to increase understanding (Jacobs, 2002). Throughout 203 the previous century, reading educators have not been able to form a consensus about the part phonics play 204 in the reading process: In the first decade of the twentyfirst century, the debate continues (Robinson, 2005a). 205 The concept of comprehension is still loosely defined in teachers' and students' experience ??Robinson, 2005b). 206 Robinson (2005b) reported that educators still have not decided whether comprehending means being able to 207 retell text or if it has more to do with the reader's previous knowledge that he or she brings to the topic. As time 208 progresses, the debates rage on. However, we do know that building strong reading skills is a complex task that 209 requires time, access, emphasis, skilled reading teachers, and a supportive administration. Additionally, many 210 211 students are lack explicit instruction in reading skills. Current research indicates that organized, direct instruction in linguistic understanding, phonetic rules and word attack strategies are essential components of a successful 212 reading program, but many of today's teachers have not received the necessary training to promote those skills in 213 their students (Liuzzo-Jeup, 2011). Instruction needs to include strategies that help develop phonemic awareness 214 in emerging readers; the ability to analyze, combine, and connect the smallest units of sound with the letters 215 that represent them. 216

217 Researchers have found a strong correlation between a lack of phonemic awareness and reading failure. V.

218 10 Conclusion

219 According to the National Assessment of Adult Literacy (NAAL), literacy is defined as "using printed and written

information to function in society, to achieve one's goals, and to develop one's knowledge and potential (2011).

One measure of literacy is the percentage of adults who perform at four achievement levels: Below Basic, Basic, Intermediate, and Proficient. In each type of literacy, in 2003, 13% of adults in the United States were at or above 223 Proficient, indicating they possess the skills necessary to perform complex and challenging literacy activities. 22%

of adults were Below Basic, indicating they posses no more than the most simple and concrete literacy skills.
 Guatemala does not have organizations such as NAAL or NAEP to monitor their literacy rate like the United

226 States does. Rather, they struggle with the bare necessities of day to day living. While comparing the United

227 States to Guatemala may seem like comparing apples and oranges, one can't help but to realize that these two

very different countries, have one alarmingly commonality. Both countries are struggling to teach their children to read.




Figure 1:

34

United State's Literacy

United States Literacy

Figure 2: Table 3 : Table 4 :

229

10 CONCLUSION

- 230 [Innocenti Research Centre], Innocenti Research Centre.
- 231 [Northville] , M I Northville .
- 232 [Smith ()] American reading instruction, N B Smith . 2002. Newark, DE: International Reading Association.
- [Copple and Bredekamp ()] Basics of developmentally appropriate practice, C Copple , S Bredekamp . 2006.
 NAEYC, Washington D.C.
- [Adams ()] Beginning to Read: Thinking and Learning About Print, M J Adams . 1990. Cambridge, MA: MIT
 Press.
- [Shannon ()] Broken promises: Reading instruction in twentieth-century America, P Shannon . 1989. Granby,
 MA: Bergin & Garvey.
- [Novelli and Cardozo ()] 'Conflict, education, and the global south: New critical directions'. M Novelli , M T A
 L Cardozo . International Journal of Educational Development 2008. 28 p. .
- 241 [Funk ()] Creating a literacy-rich environment. Early Childhood News, J Funk . 2008. Monterey, CA.
- [Jonas ()] 'Democratization through peace: The difficult case of Guatemala'. S Jonas . Journal of Interamerican
 Studies and World Affairs 2000.
- [Liuzzo-Jeup ()] Director of Training for the Institute for Multi-Sensory Education, Jeanne Liuzzo-Jeup . 2011.
- [Paulson and Rappleye ()] 'Education and conflict: Essay review'. J Paulson , J Rappleye . International Journal
 of Educational Development 2007. 27 p. .
- [Rose and Greeley ()] Education in fragile states: Capturing lessons and identifying good practice. Prepared for
 the DAC Fragile States Group, P Rose, M Greeley. http://www.ids.ac.uk/index.cfm?objectid=
 FA0B89B3-5056-8171-7B0ACC03D79C45B4 2006.
- [Dupuy ()] 'Education in peace agreements 1989-2005'. K E Dupuy . Conflict Resolution Quarterly 2008. 26 (2)
 p. .
- [Smith ()] 'Education in the twenty-first century: Conflict, reconstruction, and reconciliation'. A Smith .
 Compare: A Journal of Comparative and International Education 2005. 35 (4) p. .
- [Guatemala Reading Association ()] Guatemala Reading Association, (Guatemala City, Guatemala) 2011.
- [Smith ()] Guatemalan Indians and the State, C A Smith . 1990. Austin: University of Texas Press. p. .
- [Fountas and Pinnell ()] Guided reading: Good first teaching for all children, I C Fountas , G S Pinnell . 1996.
 Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- [Scheerens ()] Improving school effectiveness. Paris: UNESCO International Institute for Education Planning, J
 Scheerens . 2000.
- [Naeyc Ira ()] 'Learning to read and write: Developmentally appropriate practices for young children'. Naeyc &
 Ira . Young Children 1998. 53 (4) p. .
- [Meyer ()] Literacy education in Guatemala: The challenge of resources. McMaster School for Advancing
 Humanity, E Meyer . 2008. Defiance, OH.
- 264 [Literacy Statistics ()] http://nces.ed.gov/naal/ Literacy Statistics, 2011.
- [Literacy Statistics (2010)] http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/ Literacy Statistics, March 1, 2011.
 23. 2010. Retrieved April 6, 2011.
- [Tomasevski ()] 'Manual on rights-based education: Global human rights requirements made simple'. K
 Tomasevski . http://unesdoc.unesco.org/images/0013/001351/135168e.pdf Asia and Pacific
 Regional Bureau for Education 2004.
- 272 [Garner ()] Multiple intelligences: The theory in practice. A reader, H Garner . 1993. New York: Basic Books.
- [Barone ()] Narrowing the literacy gap: What works in high-poverty schools, D M Barone . 2006. New York: The Guildford Press.
- 275 [Moore ()] New essentials for teaching reading in pre-K-2, P Moore . 2005. New York.
- [Thorndike ()] 'Reading as reasoning: A study of mistakes in paragraph reading'. E L Thorndike . Journal of
 Educational Psychology 1917. 8 (6) p. .
- [Russell ()] 'Reading research that makes a difference'. D Russell . Readings in reading instruction: Its history,
 theory, and development, R D Robsinson (ed.) (Boston) 1961. Pearson. p. .
- [Jacobs ()] 'Reading, writing, and understanding'. V A Jacobs . *Readings in reading instruction: Its history, theory, and development*, R D Robsinson (ed.) (Boston) 2002. Pearson. p. .
- [Rotary Club of Ephrata Guatemala Literacy Project ()] 'Rotary Club of Ephrata'. Guatemala Literacy Project
 2010.

- [The California Task Force on Reading: Every Child a Reader. California Department of Education ()] The
- California Task Force on Reading: Every Child a Reader. California Department of Education, 1995.
 Sacramento, CA. California Department of Education.
- [Thorndike ()] 'The measurement of ability in reading'. E L Thorndike . Teachers College Record 1914. (4) p. .
- 288 [Thorndike ()] The teacher's word book 30,000 words, E L Thorndike . 1927. New York. Columbia University
- [Bush and Saltarelli ()] The two faces of education in ethnic conflict: Towards a peacebuilding, education for children, K D Bush , D Saltarelli . 2000. Florence: UNICEF.
- [The World Factbook: Guatemala. Updated Central Intelligence Agency (2010)] 'The World Factbook:
 Guatemala. Updated'. *Central Intelligence Agency* 2010a. December 30, 2010. Retrieved January 27, 2011. 2010b. December 30, 2010. January 27, 2011. (6). (The World Factbook: United States. Retrieved)
- 294 [Moore et al. ()] Using opportunity to learn and early grade reading fluency to measure school effectiveness in
- *Ethiopia*, A S Moore, J Destefano, E Adelman. 2010. Guatemala, Honduras, and Nepal. Washington D.C.:
- 296 Educational Quality Improvement Program.
- [Nilsen and Nilsen ()] 'Vocabulary development: Teaching vs. testing'. A P Nilsen , D L F Nilsen . Readings in
 reading instruction: Its history, theory, and development, R D Robsinson (ed.) (Boston) 2003. Pearson. p. .