

Effectiveness of a Wikis-Based Applied Linguistics Course on Learning Outcomes and Attitudes towards the Course

Dr. Hamad S. Aldosari¹

¹ King Khalid University

Received: 8 December 2012 Accepted: 31 December 2012 Published: 15 January 2013

Abstract

One of the most natural approaches to the problem of origins of natural languages is the study of hidden intelligent "communications" emanating from their historical forms. Semitic languages history is especially meaningful in this sense. One discovers, in particular, that Biblical Hebrew, BH, the best preserved fossil of the Semitic protolanguage, is primarily a verbal language, with an average verse of the Hebrew Bible containing no less than three verbs and with the biggest part of its vocabulary representing morphological derivations from verbal roots, almost entirely trilateral â??" the feature BH shares with all Semitic and a few other Afro- Asiatic languages. For classical linguists, more than hundred years ago, it was surprising to discover that verbal system of BH is, as we say today, optimal from the Information Theory's point of view and that its formal topological morphology is semantically meaningful. These and other basic features of BH reflect, in our opinion, the original design of the Semitic Protolanguage and suggest the indispensability of IIH â??" Inspirational Intelligence Hypothesis, our main topic, â??" for the understanding of origins of natural languages. Our project is of vertical nature with respect to the time, in difference with the vastly dominating today horizontal linguistic approaches.

Index terms— semitic languages, protolanguage, verbal system, origins of natural languages, artificial intelligence, intelligent communication, conlag or construc

1 Introduction

Over the last decade up to the present time, elearning has become an established educational delivery platform with the burgeoning of elearning management systems (LMSs) such as Blackboard. Facilities and tools built in the infrastructure of LMSs have been developed, deployed to provide opportunities for computer supported knowledge exchange ??Cress&Kimmerle, 2007;; ??immerle, Cress, & Hesse, 2007; Mekheimer, 2012), as well as for computer-assisted learning and collaborative knowledge building (Bryant, 2006; ??ageeh, 2011; Scardamalia and Bereiter, 2003).

Of late, collaborative learning over the Internet has given birth to what is called "social software" (Kolbitsch& Maurer, 2006). These are computer-based communicative learning technologies that support people in communicating, interacting, and collaborating in the Internet community. An off-shoot of this social software is the development of the Online Encyclopedia, widely known as Wikipedia (Goldspink, 2010). The applications of social software tools have not only invaded the area of informatics only, but the impact of social software also extended to the field of education. Educators are now utilizing social software tools in educational contexts as well (Evans, 2008; Forte & Bruckman, 2006; ??im, 2008; Kimmerle, Cress, & Held, 2010), given their influential potential for purposes of knowledge building and learning both in formal and informal educational situations (Bryant, 2006; Parker & Chao, 2007; Wang & Turner, 2004).

By definition, a wiki is a website which allows people to add, modify, or delete the content via a web browser usually using a simplified markup language or a rich-text editor ??Wikipedia, 2013). Wikis are usually

1 INTRODUCTION

44 created collaboratively by Internet communities using special wiki software, or over intranets via collaboration of
45 individual, volunteer anonymous authors ??Ebersbach, Glaser & Heigl, 2008; Leuf, 2001), for content is emphasized
46 over authorship ??Wei, Maust, Barrick, Cuddigly, & Spyridakis, 2005). Other researchers defined a wiki as a
47 "freely expandable collection of interlinked web pages, a hypertext system for storing and modifying information
48 -a database, where each page is easily edited by any user with a forms-capable Web browser client" ??Leuf
49 & Cunningham, 2001, p.14). Wikis, therefore, present a potential language learning resource which helps in
50 collectively producing, organizing and sustaining textual (and, increasingly, visual and auditory) resources.

51 In educational settings, wikis are now looked upon as potentially useful online tools that can be supportive of
52 collaborative activities, and thus can be used for improving student interactions in e-learning and CMC milieus
53 at all levels of education from primary to tertiary (Beldarrain, 2006).

54 Wikis are used to allow all interested individuals to create and edit web pages in a fashion that promotes
55 collaborative content creation and editing and empower them with a sense of responsibility, ownership and
56 authority in wiki writing (Bold, 2006; Goodwin-Jones, 2003; Raitman, Augar, & Zhou, 2005; Tonkin, 2005).
57 Research findings and implications for the pedagogical uses of wikis indicate that wiki tools provide user-friendly
58 interfaces flexible enough to allow for collaborative content editing, knowledge building, knowledge archiving and
59 online interaction which can be useful for developing and improving reading and writing skills, academic writing
60 skills and content-based learning (Campbell & Ellingson, 2010; Farabaugh, 2007; Hadjerrouit, 2012; ??immerle,
61 Moskaliuk, & Cress, 2011; ??und, 2008; Raygan & Green, 2002; Schwartz, Clark, Cossarin, & Rudolph, 2004;
62 ??ichadee, 2010).

63 Available literature refers to a well-established technical and pedagogical usability of wikis ??Anderman
64 and Dawson, 2011; Chao and Lo, 2009; Chen, 2008; ??azari et al., 2008; ??eacok and Nesbit, 2007; Lund & Smordal,
65 2006; Mattison, 2003; Mindel and Verma, 2006; Nielsen, 2000; Nokelainen, 2006). According to prior research
66 findings and experience-based theory, technical and pedagogical usability of wikis are applicable in the following
67 properties of wikis:

68 Ease-of-use: It is easy to read the content of a wiki and its linked figures, images, and illustrations.

69 Efficiency: Wikis can be developed, improved and expanded on in less time and with less efforts for the
70 intended task or purpose a wiki may be used for.

71 Technical design: This property refers to the features that wikis exhibit in terms of page structuring, insertion
72 of images, tables, illustrations and other media.

73 Accessibility and navigability: It is easy to access the wiki, and navigate through its pages.

74 Added value: Wikis can scaffold collaborative learning and writing in comparison to traditional technologies-
75 such as text processing systems. The added value of wikis also lies in their openness, ease-of-use, discussion forum,
76 and assessment of students' contributions to the wiki. Motivation: Internal motivation is a function of the value
77 placed on the wiki, and the amount of efforts a student is willing to invest in working with it. The motivation
78 increases when the wiki is inherently enjoyable and contains intrinsically information that has a highly value for
79 the student. External motivation refers to motivation that comes from outside a student performing wiki tasks
80 in order to benefit from them, e.g., passing an exam.

81 Differentiation: This property is used to adapt wikis to the users' needs. It involves fitting the wiki to the
82 characteristics of the users, e.g., age, gender, preferences, language, and prior knowledge. Differentiation is
83 important to attract potential users interested in the wiki. Knowing that there might be an audience for their
84 wiki motivates students to develop well-structured wiki pages using a clear and understandable language.

85 Collaboration: The very nature of wikis lies in their potentialities to support collaboration among participants.
86 True collaboration requires one student to modify the content posted by another student and reworking the
87 writing of others. In contrast, collaboration may occur at a lower level, when a student simply adds content to
88 an existing wiki page. Genuine collaboration requires that all participants contribute to all aspects of the wiki
89 application: content, structure and language.

90 Discussion: This property describes the way and the extent to which the wiki is used for discussion and
91 communication. Basically, the wiki discussion page is used as a space for communication among participants. It
92 can be used to discuss different aspects of the wiki tasks. It may also be used to transform and improve the tool
93 to a better instrument for collaboration and coordination, for example adding the date of contribution and name
94 of contributor.

95 Assessment: The assessment property is important for evaluating students' contributions to the wiki. Of
96 particular interest is the data log of Media Wiki that tracks activity and stores previous versions of the wiki
97 by observing who is active, and when, the type of activities, etc. The log permits the assessment of students'
98 contributions in terms of collaborative activities performed on the wiki, level of contribution, timing and work
99 intervals.

100 Peer-review and feedback: In addition to collaborative activities within their own group, students need to
101 benefit from comments and feedback received from other groups. Peer review needs to be well-organized and
102 structured in terms of content and issues in order to be beneficial to the students. Peer review is also important
103 from the point of view of academic writing.

104 However, paucity in experimental research studies that support the effect of applying wikis to learning can be
105 easily recognized in searching specialized databases or any open access research engines. Specifically in language
106 education, there is noted dearth in research the effects of using wiki technology in EFL college courses. Recently,

107 wikis hold a rapidly and increasingly used language medium, but there is a need for studies that address their
108 use in education and how they can be utilized in school subjects ??Lund & Smørdal, ??00). Therefore, this
109 study has been designed to glean empirical data to explore the effectiveness of wikis in improving achievement
110 and attitudes towards a college course.

111 **2 II.**

112 **3 Research Design and Methods**

113 The study was designed to evaluate the effect of a wiki in the classroom from both a student's perspective as
114 well as an educator's perspective, and how using this technology may affect a students' learning experience.
115 This study occurred at a College of Languages & Translation in a South-western region in Saudi Arabia. The
116 participants of the study were students enrolled in a 12-week Applied Linguistics course. The study intended to
117 answer the following questions:

- 118 1. Did using this Wiki technology aid in the student's learning experience, the student's engagement in the
119 classroom, or the student learning outcomes?
- 120 2. Did students feel using the Wiki technology was useful in guiding their learning experiences to make them
121 more independent learners? 3. Did students gain higher-level thinking and step outside of the required curricula
122 by expanding upon their own knowledge with broad topic areas by using this Wiki technology? 4. Did the use
123 of this Wiki technology, aid in the student's learning outcomes, through observation and collaboration with their
124 peers? 5. What impressions do other educators have when using wikis in the classroom.

125 The role of the researchers in implementing this innovation has been one of a facilitator rather than the
126 sole expert. The researchers tried to balance 'teacher control' and 'learner activity' as Van Lier suggests that
127 every student should be given a realistic chance of success and challenges by a series of choices. In addition
128 the philosophy underlying this innovation has been that language not only determines what we can say but also
129 what we can think, echoing Vygotsky's idea that in acquiring a language, students "gain a tool for thinking" and
130 "When learners learn a language ... they are learning the foundations of learning itself" ??Halliday, 1993, p 93).

131 For greater reliability and validity, data triangulation was achieved by including both quantitative and
132 qualitative data. The quantitative evidence is in the form of an achievement test tapping into the skills of
133 writing and reading, together with an attitude questionnaire for the students about their perceptions of learning
134 with wikis. Teachers' diary and interviews form the qualitative evidence were also explored. Qualitative data
135 was collected after the tutor pointed out that validity of the study may be increased by including evidence from
136 the teachers of the Applied Linguistics course.

137 T-tests and gain scores were used to compare students' performance on all skills in both the experimental
138 and control groups. Improvement (or gain in achievement or skill acquisition and development) from pretest
139 to posttest can be computed for each participant by subtracting each person's pretest score from his or her
140 posttest score (Gain score = posttestpretest). The gain score controls for individual differences in pretest scores
141 by measuring the posttest score relative to the each person's pretest score.

142 **4 III.**

143 **5 Participants**

144 Students enrolled in the course had various backgrounds of using the computer, especially for elearning purposes
145 as well as using Blackboard as the main LMS in King Khalid University. All students knew copiously about using
146 the learning management system (LMS) of Blackboard applications, including wikis as a feature of Blackboard
147 tools. The research was introduced during the first week of instruction, to give students an opportunity to learn
148 basic wiki development skills. Students were provided an explanation of this research and given the option of
149 exclusion from participation in this study.

150 The study involved an analysis of the performance of two groups of students. The experimental group (27
151 students)) was taught the skills of reading and writing in an integrated content approach of instruction. The
152 control group (25 students) completed the same course with no particular emphasis on skill integration through
153 wiki building. The two groups were actually two sections assigned to the researchers for teaching Applied
154 Linguistics-II.

155 **6 a) Hypotheses**

156 This study was designed to test the following null hypotheses (p ? 0.01):

- 157 1. There are no statistically significant differences between the mean scores of students in the skills of reading
158 and writing in the experimental and control groups on pretesting (to ensure group equivalence). 2. There are
159 statistically significant differences between the mean scores of language skills of students who have completed
160 wiki-based Applied Linguistics course according to an integrated content wiki-based approach and the mean
161 scores of the students who have participated in the same course with no systematic integration of wikis on post-
162 testing in favour of post-treatment. 3. There are statistically significant differences between the experimental
163 and the control students in their gain scores on all skills in favour of posttreatment. 4. Students' attitudes

9 HYPOTHESIS II: PRE/POST-TREATMENT COMPARISONS

164 towards wiki-based learning improved in the experimental group compared with pretesting and with posttesting
165 as compared with the control participants.

166 IV.

167 7 Results

168 Data were collected from pretesting and posttreatment testing, and analysed by means of t-tests, run by the
169 Statistical Package of Social Sciences (SPSS), version 14.

170 8 Hypothesis I: Group Equivalence

171 To test the first null hypothesis in order to make sure that they began the experiment at comparatively similar
172 levels of skills, a t-test was computed to reassure group equivalence; the obtained t-values and their significance
173 levels are shown in (Table 1) below. The table above demonstrates that there were no statistically significant
174 differences between the experimental and control groups on pre-assessment. In this way, the first hypothesis was
175 verified, and group equivalence was confirmed.

176 The other hypotheses of interest are related to the study variables intended to measure students' levels
177 of achievement in the content area, using an integrated language skills test that tapped into the reading
178 comprehension, and writing skills of the students as a result of integrated skills content instruction into an
179 Applied Linguistics course. These dependent measures were obtained after all students, in both the experimental
180 and the control groups, had completed the set course with an integrated skills pedagogy using wikis in the
181 experimental group and traditional teaching of the course in the control group.

182 9 Hypothesis II: Pre/Post-treatment Comparisons

183 The data presented in (Table 2) show an improvement on pretest/posttest comparisons for the intended skills;
184 as the t-values indicate, there is a significant difference between experimental and control students ($p = 0.01$)
185 in favour of the experimental class in the tested skills following exposure to a wiki-based integrated content
186 instruction of the course. The second hypothesis is therefore verified. Based on the results in the above table,
187 the hypothesis suggesting that there are significant differences between both research groups on the assessed
188 language skills in favour of the treatment group has been verified as well.

189 Hypothesis III: Gains in Skill Development For differences in performance over time between the two groups,
190 the researchers employed gain scores and the independent samples t-test to assess the effect of the treatment on
191 all skills. The statistical analysis in (Table 3) above shows a significant increase ($p < .01$) in the experimental
192 group's gain scores as contrasted with those of the control group's participants' scores. Therefore, the third
193 hypothesis indicating an improvement in gains between experimental participants and control participants is
194 confirmed.

195 According to the results of the quantitative data which points to a significant increase ($p < .01$) in the
196 experimental group's gain scores in comparison with those of the control group's, it is clear that the wikibased
197 instruction method yielded better results in the achievement of the students in Applied Linguistics as assessed by
198 their reading and writing skills in this content area. Although both the groups showed improvement suggesting
199 that the contents of the course are appropriate, yet the overall differences across all skills as shown in tables
200 (??) and (??) indicate that a significant difference can be achieved by simply shifting the emphasis from
201 teaching language skills in isolation to teaching them in an integrative fashion grounded in wiki-based instruction.
202 Moreover, the most significant improvement occurred to students' writing skill, chiefly because the researchers'
203 focus was mainly on teaching the content topics of the course, but used some of these topics as springboards for
204 developing writing skills and reading comprehensionskills. a) Results from the Students' Attitudes' Questionnaire

205 The purpose of this survey was to gain more information about how the participants in the experimental group
206 perceived the experience of learning trough wikis and to tap into their impressions about using a wiki in the
207 course; if the wiki promoted positive learning experiences; if group collaboration on the wiki added value to their
208 learning experience; if students felt the wiki gave them an opportunity to become more independent learners;
209 if participants enjoyed the wiki as a component to knowing more about their classmates; and, if using a wiki
210 offered positive learning outcomes in the course.

211 When reviewing the results of the survey, 23 participants agreed that working on the group wiki project and
212 collaborating with others added value to their learning experience. More students, 25 participants in the study,
213 enjoyed learning about their classmates using a wiki, and also learned new things by looking at their classmates
214 wiki pages. A limited number of students (2 participants) indicated they did not enjoy learning about classmates,
215 and also indicated they did not learn new things from other classmates by using the wiki. Several of the study
216 participants had also previously contributed to a wiki, approximately 11. Of the 27 participants who informed this
217 survey, 14 students used computer technologies of the course for other classes in the semester. Of the participants,
218 23 felt the wiki offered positive learning outcomes for them in the course. In addition, 18 participants felt the use
219 of the wiki gave them an opportunity to become a more independent learner, and the wiki added value in their
220 learning during the course. Furthermore, 21 participants also felt that classes requiring the use of technology in
221 the classroom would aid in a student's learning experience. Additionally, 11 participants felt using the wiki made
222 them feel more creative. Further, and above all, 17 of the participants felt the wiki promoted a positive learning

223 experience for them, while only two participants did not feel the wiki promoted positive learning. Interestingly,
224 18 of the participants felt that because of using the wiki, they interacted and engaged with classmates more than
225 they would have without the wiki technology. More curiously, 25 participants indicated they felt more confident
226 in using technology tools of Blackboard after using a wiki, whereas 2 participants did not feel more confident.

227 **10 b) Results from the Instructors' Survey**

228 The purpose of this survey was to determine if other educators in various academic disciplines and levels of
229 study used wikis in their classrooms, and if so, did they find value in using the wikis as part of their curriculum.
230 The survey was emailed to 167 faculty members, 24 teachers completed this survey and emailed it back. Of
231 the informants, 12 teachers indicated students had time during their class to use the wiki. Also, 20 participants
232 felt their students became more independent learners by using the wiki. Furthermore, 21 of the respondents
233 felt students understood more about using technology after using the wiki in their classroom. In addition,
234 19 instructors felt students were more social or interactive from using the wiki and 21 felt students shared
235 information about the class by using the wiki. Additionally, 17 participants felt students understood the material
236 more comprehensively by using the wiki and 20 felt the wiki aided in student learning outcomes for the courses
237 they taught.

238 **11 c) Results of the Analysis of Teachers' Interviews and Diaries**

239 Teachers in the interviews and in the diaries they wrote indicated that wikis were informative, interactive, and
240 active learning tools. The following notes have been detected in the analysis of these qualitative data:

241 ? Most teachers noted that students enjoyed using the wiki and it's use instead of traditional paper work. The
242 students had more mixed reactions. Some were reluctant to try a new way of accessing and producing information
243 in the development of their wikis. ? When working in groups in an online course, students sometimes find the
244 wiki hard to use. When students are in a face-to-face course, they find the wiki easier and are generally more
245 positive about the process of wiki building. ? It is very encouraging for my students to realise that their work is
246 being viewed by hundreds of people around the globe. This fact also encourages them to be more diligent and
247 effective in e-portfolio assignments. ? Learners are enthralled by building their own wikis, and reading other wikis
248 online, and everything they can do with wikis and get from wikis is conducive to more self-regulated, self-paced
249 learning; thereby making wikis essential tools for learning English and an extension to the classroom activities. ?
250 Wikis can be used as a means of global collaboration as classes from around the globe contribute their own ideas
251 on a common subject using various media and websites. ? The wiki tool of Blackboard is far more interactive
252 between students for our curriculum. Multi-student page/concept construction is wonderful and it can be easily
253 integrated into the course materials and tools.

254 **12 ? Student communication with teachers and other**

255 students has improved because of the message system in the wiki over the LMS of Blackboard. ? Some students
256 utilise wikis as a replacement for our supported learning management system, which they find disorderly designed
257 and demands considerable instructor/student time to organize and manage. Thus, course wikis are protected
258 private spaces, so they are open to the Internet for viewing. Most of the content created is student-led, which
259 allows the entire community to share required management and maintenance duties. ? Wikis for personal and
260 group project use emerge organically from the course wiki which they can independently create for unrelated
261 projects, suggesting their exposure and familiarity to wikis in class can exercise more positive effects on their
262 awareness and use of collaboration tools. ? There are a few limitations such as the inability to post grades online
263 and a slightly less than optimal email system, but otherwise it's been a good experience. ? Therefore, students
264 need to know the purpose of each assignment since it is a public forum for which sometimes they need to be
265 formal and sometimes deliberately ask questions of one another or react to others' ideas. The clearer the purpose
266 was for students, the better the wiki worked. Some teachers use the wiki as a way to organize the class and to
267 organize the readings.

268 V.

269 **13 Analysis and Discussion**

270 Results from the present study indicates that using the wiki technology integrated in the Blackboard learning
271 management system in the classroom provides positive results in promoting collaboration, knowledge building and
272 student learning. This is congruent with prior research which shows students engage more when using technology
273 and interactive methods as part of their learning experience (Collier, 2010). The literature also indicates that
274 using wikis for learning can be beneficial to students in many ways, which include: fostering problem-solving skills,
275 supporting collaborative and active learning environments, as well as using higher-level thinking and engagement
276 of students in activities that encourage exploration (Williams& Chin 2009).

277 The improvements in the writing skills upon using wikis are also documented in prior research as it is revealed
278 in the findings of the present study. For instance, students could work towards better composition skills (Désilets
279 and Paquet, 2005; Ben-Zvi's, 2007). In addition, the use of wikis could lead to improvements in reading, writing,
280 reflective thinking and collaborative skills as is revealed in this study, which is also commensurate with prior

281 research findings. For example, Hazari, North, and Moreland (2009) noted technology tools, such as Blogs and
282 Wikis can empower students by giving them a chance to express their views. The use of wikis to construct content
283 knowledge and language skills was not only beneficial to the development of these cognitive and psychomotor
284 aspects, but it also provided social interaction and provided students an opportunity to reinforce their skill sets.
285 Qualitative data indicated that most informants in the present study concluded that the use of wikis was an
286 enthralling experience as well as valuable to their student learning outcomes.

287 14 VI.

288 15 Pedagogical Implications

289 In order for learning to take place, students must practice new skills with wikis. It does not necessarily matter
290 how they achieve this practice. It can be done through quizzes and short assignments that require students to
291 rehearse information. It can be done through discussions and forums. As was evidenced in the presentation
292 of student work involving wikis and the discussion of those results, wikis can not only enhance the learning
293 environment, but students can accomplish deep learning through their judicious application. Wikis can encourage
294 students to practice their skills in real world applications.

295 Additionally, wikis should be integrated in the language curriculum to encourage students to read with a
296 purpose and evaluate the text based on the criteria of the class. They expose students to a variety of readings
297 and writings of varying levels of accomplishment. However, successfully utilizing wikis requires that they are
298 integrated iteratively into the curriculum. Information must be presented prior to the wiki assignment. Once the
299 wiki posts are completed, they must then be followed by a discussion of the concepts and posts in the class. Then
300 and there, wikis can become a useful addition to the classroom when used with pedagogically sound application.
301 The caveat with wikis as such is that they must be used iteratively. Thus the information follows the cyclic pattern
302 necessary for transfer to longterm memory and life-long learning.

303 16 VII.

304 17 Recommendations for Further Research

305 The study was limited in duration of the treatment. Twelve weeks may have been too short a time for students
306 to become experienced with interacting with each other in wikis and reveal constantly positive attitudes towards
307 wiki-based instruction. A longer treatment period for better results was suggested so the interactive process itself
308 rather than technical issues can be more deeply and clearly studied.

309 The findings reveal that both technical and pedagogical issues need to be addressed in order to promote wikis
310 as collaborative learning tools. Besides technical usability, which is a self-evident requirement, there is a need for
311 a pedagogical approach that provides students with a genuine collaborative leaning model in teacher education.

312 Future work will focus on the refinement of the usability criteria and the instruments for assessing students'
313 perceptions of collaborative writing activities. In addition, future research will be undertaken with larger student
314 groups to ensure more reliability and validity.

315 More experimental studies could be conducted on fully web-based class using wikis. The different interaction
316 environment may reflect different results from those obtained in this study.

317 Similar studies could be conducted to examine the effects on different levels and genders of EFL learners such
as freshmen as compared with senior students, and females as compared with males.^{1 2}

1

Skills	Group	N	Mean	SD	t-value	Sig.
Reading	Exp	27	22.440	.73598	0.0534	0.955
	Cont	25	22.33	.74776		
Writing	Exp	27	25.42	1.34699	0.0131	0.901
	Cont	25	25.43	1.40888		

Figure 1: Table 1 :

¹© 2013 Global Journals Inc. (US)

²Effectiveness of a Wikis-Based Applied Linguistics Course on Learning Outcomes and Attitudes towards the Course

2

Skills	Group	N	Mean	SD	t-value	Sig.
Reading	Exp	27	69.0909	. 72300	11.963	0.01
	Cont	25	66.7742	. 66881		
Writing	Exp	27	84.9394	1.22320	8.837	0.01
	Cont	25	78.3871	3.63939		

Figure 2: Table 2 :

3

Skills	Group	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	t-value	Sig.
Reading	Exp	27	56.7576	1.17341	7.417	0.01
	Cont	25	40. 46	1.00952		
Writing	Exp	27	59.53	1.32574	9.100	0.01
	Cont	25	42.97	3.47835		

Figure 3: Table 3 :

17 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

319 [Hadjerrouit ()] 'A conceptual framework for using and evaluating web-based learning resources in school
320 education'. S Hadjerrouit . *Journal of Information Technology Education* 2010. 9 p. .

321 [Hadjerrouit ()] 'A conceptual framework for using and evaluating web-based learning resources in school
322 education'. S Hadjerrouit . *Journal of Information Technology Education* 2010. 9 p. .

323 [Leacock and Nesbit ()] *A framework for evaluating the quality of multimedia learning Quick collaboration on
324 the web*, T L Leacock , J C Nesbit . 2007. Boston: Addison-Wesley.

325 [Cress and Kimmerle ()] 'A systemic and cognitive view on collaborative knowledge building with wikis'. U Cress
326 , J Kimmerle . *International Journal of Computer* 2008. 3 (2) p. . (Supported Collaborative Learning)

327 [Cress and Kimmerle ()] 'A theoretical framework for collaborative knowledge building with wikis: A systemic
328 and cognitive perspective'. U Cress , J Kimmerle . *Proceedings of the 7th Computer Supported Collaborative
329 Learning Conference*, C Chinn, G Erkens, S Puntambekar (ed.) (the 7th Computer Supported Collaborative
330 Learning ConferenceNew Brunswick; Inc) 2007. p. . (: International Society of the Learning Sciences)

331 [Nokelainen ()] 'An empirical assessment of pedagogical usability criteria for digital learning material with
332 elementary school students'. P Nokelainen . *Educational Technology & Society* 2006. 9 (2) p. .

333 [Cubric ()] *Analysis of the use of Wikibased collaborations in enhancing student learning. Unpublished doctoral
334 dissertation*, Marija Cubric . 2007. University of Hertfordshire

335 [Mekheimer ()] 'Assessing Aptitude and Attitude Development in a Translation Skills Course'. M Mekheimer .
336 *CALICO Journal* 2012. 29 (2) p. .

337 [Goodwin-Jones ()] 'Blogs and wikis: Environments for on-line collaboration'. R Goodwin-Jones . *Language
338 Learning & Teaching* 2003. 7 p. .

339 [Campbell and Ellingson ()] 'Cooperative learning at a distance: An experiment with wikis'. K Campbell , D A
340 Ellingson . *American Journal of Business Education* 2010. 3 (4) p. .

341 [Nielsen ()] *Designing Web Usability: The Practice of Simplicity*, J Nielsen . 2000. Indianapolis, New Riders.

342 [Beldarrain ()] 'Distance education trends: Integrating new technologies to foster student interaction and
343 collaboration'. Y Beldarrain . *Distance Education* 2006. 27 (2) p. .

344 [Schwartz et al. (2004)] *Educational wikis: features and selection criteria. The International Review of Research
345 in Open and Distance Learning*, L Schwartz , S Clark , M Cossarin , J Rudolph . <http://www.irrodl.org/index.Php/irrodl/article/view/163/244> 2004. July, 18th. 2013. 5.

347 [Raitman et al. ()] 'Employing wikis for online collaboration in the elearning environment: Case study'. R
348 Raitman , N Augar , W Zhou . *Proceedings for the Third international Conference on Information Technology
349 and Applications*, (for the Third international Conference on Information Technology and Applications) 2005.

350 [Chen et al. ()] 'Engaging online learners: The impact of Web-based learning technology on college student
351 engagement'. P-S D Chen , A D Lambert , G R Kevin . *Computers & Education* 2010. 54 (4) p. .

352 [Wang and Turner ()] 'Extending the wiki paradigm for use in the classroom'. C Wang , D Turner . *Proceedings of
353 the International Conference on Information Technology: Coding Computing*, (the International Conference
354 on Information Technology: Coding ComputingLas Vegas) 2004. IEEE. p. .

355 [Forte and bruckman ()] 'From Wikipedia to the classroom: Exploring online publication and learning'. A Forte
356 , A &bruckman . *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Learning Sciences*, (the 7th International
357 Conference on Learning SciencesMahwah, NJ) 2006. p. . (: International Society of the Learning Sciences)

358 [Goldspink ()] C Goldspink . *Normative behaviour in Wikipedia. Information, Communication and Society*, 2010.
359 13 p. .

360 [Cress et al. ()] 'Information exchange with shared databases as a social dilemma: The effect of metaknowledge,
361 bonus systems, and costs'. U Cress , J Kimmerle , F W Hesse . *Communication Research* 2006. 33 (5) p. .

362 [Raygan and Green ()] 'Internet collaboration: Twiki'. R E Raygan , D G Green . *Proceedings for the IEEE
363 Southeastcon*, (for the IEEE SoutheastconColumbia, SC) 2002.

364 [Hazari et al. ()] 'Investigating pedagogical value of wiki technology'. S Hazari , A North , D Moreland . *Journal
365 of Information Systems Education* 2009. 20 p. .

366 [Hazari et al. ()] 'Investigating pedagogical value of wiki technology'. S Hazari , A North , D Moreland . *Journal
367 of Information Systems Education* 2009. 20 p. .

368 [Lund and Smørdal (2006)] 'Is there a space for the teacher in a wiki?'. A Lund , O Smørdal . *Proceedings of
369 WikiSym '06*, (WikiSym'06Odense, Denmark) 2006. August, 21-23, 2006. p. .

370 [Scardamalia and bereiter ()] 'Knowledge building'. M Scardamalia , C &bereiter . *Encyclopedia of education*,
371 (New York) 2003. Macmillan Reference. p. .

372 [Anderman and Dawson ()] 'Learning with motivation'. E Anderman , H Dawson . *Handbook of Research on
373 Learning and Instruction*, R E Mayers, P A Alexander (ed.) (New York, Routledge) 2011. p. .

17 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

374 [Tonkin (2005)] 'Making the Case for a Wiki'. E Tonkin . <http://www.ariadne.ac.uk/issue42/tonkin/>
375 Aradne 2005. August, 1st. 2013.

376 [Hadjerrouit ()] 'Pedagogical criteria for successful use of wikis as collaborative writing tools in teacher education'.
377 S Hadjerrouit . *Third International Conference on e-Education, e-Business, e-Management and e-Learning*
378 (IC4E 2012, (Hong Kong) 2012. January 5-7. 27 p. .

379 [Mattison ()] 'Quickiwiki, Wwiki, Twiki, Zwiki and the Plone Wars wiki as a PIM and collaborative content tool'.
380 D Mattison . *Searcher* 2003. 4 (11) p. .

381 [Bryant ()] 'Social software in academia'. T Bryant . *Quarterly* 2006. 29 (2) p. .

382 [Chao and Lo ()] 'Students' perceptions of wiki-based collaborative writing for learners of English as a foreign
383 language'. Y-C J Chao , H-C Lo . *Interactive Learning Environments* 2009. p. .

384 [Chen ()] 'The effect of applying wikis in an English as a Foreign Language (EFL) class in Taiwan. Unpublished
385 Doctoral Dissertation. University of central Florida'. Yu-Ching Chen . *AAT* 2008. 3335337.

386 [Evans ()] 'The effectiveness of m-learning in the form of podcast revision lectures in higher education'. C Evans
387 . *Computers & Education* 2008. 50 (2) p. .

388 [Kimmerle et al. ()] 'The interplay between individual and collective knowledge: Technologies for organisational
389 learning and knowledge building'. J Kimmerle , U Cress , C Held . *Knowledge Management Research and*
390 *Practice* 2010. 8 (1) p. .

391 [Farabaugh ()] 'The isle is full of noises: Using wiki software to establish a discourse community in a Shakespeare
392 classroom'. R Farabaugh . *Language Awareness* 2007. 16 (1) p. .

393 [Kim ()] 'The phenomenon of blogs and theoretical model of blog use in educational contexts'. H N Kim .
394 *Computers & Education* 2008. 51 (3) p. .

395 [Kokkinaki ()] *The potential use of wikis as a tool that supports collaborative learning in the context of Higher
396 Education. Research, Reflections and Innovations in Integrating ICT in Education*, Aikaterini D Kokkinaki .
397 2008. p. .

398 [Kokkinaki ()] *The potential use of wikis as a tool that supports collaborative learning in the context of Higher
399 Education. Research, Reflections and Innovations in Integrating ICT in Education*, Aikaterini D Kokkinaki .
400 2008. p. .

401 [Kolbitsch and Maurer ()] 'The transformation of the web: How emerging communities shape the information
402 we consume'. J Kolbitsch , H Maurer . *Journal of Universal Computer Science* 2006. 12 (2) p. .

403 [Leuf (2001)] *The Wiki Way: Quick Collaboration on the Web*, Bo Leuf . April 13. 2001. Addison-Wesley.

404 [Halliday ()] 'Towards a language-based theory of learning'. M A K Halliday . *Linguistics and Education* 1993. 4
405 p. .

406 [Bold ()] 'Use of wikis in graduate course work'. M Bold . *Journal of Interactive Learning Research* 2006. 17 (1)
407 p. .

408 [Ben-Zvi ()] 'Using wiki to promote collaborative learning in statistics education'. D Ben-Zvi . *Technology
409 Innovations in Statistics Education* 2007. (1) p. 1.

410 [Parker and Chao ()] 'Wiki as a teaching tool'. K R Parker , J T Chao . *Interdisciplinary Journal of Knowledge
411 and Learning Objects* 2007. 3 p. .

412 [Désilets and Paquet ()] *Wiki as a tool for web-based collaborative story telling in primary school: A case study*,
413 Alain ; Désilets , S Paquet . 2005. National Research Council Canada Institute for Information Technology

414 [Collier ()] 'Wiki Technology in the Classroom: Building collaboration skills'. J Collier . *Journal of Nursing
415 Education* 2010. 49 (12) p. 718.

416 [Cubric ()] *Wiki-based process framework for blended learning*, Marija Cubric . 2007. p. 7.

417 [Cubric ()] *Wiki-based process framework for blended learning*, Marija Cubric . 2007. p. 7.

418 [Ebersbach et al. ()] *Wiki: Web Collaboration*, Anja Ebersbach , Markus Glaser , Richard Heigl . 2005. Berlin:
419 Springer.

420 [Ebersbach ()] *Wiki: Web Collaboration*, Anja Ebersbach . 2008. Springer Science & Business Media.

421 [Wei et al. ()] 'Wikis for supporting distributed collaborative writing'. C Wei , B Maust , J Barrick , E Cuddihy
422 , J H & spyridakis . *Proceedings for the Third international Conference on Information Technology and*
423 *Applications*, (for the Third international Conference on Information Technology and Applications) 2005.

424 [Mindel and Verma ()] 'Wikis for teaching and learning'. J L Mindel , S Verma . *Communications of AIS* 2006.
425 18 (1) p. .

426 [Boulos et al. ()] 'Wikis, blogs and podcasts: a new generation of Webbased tools for virtual collaborative clinical
427 practice and education'. M Boulos , I Maramba , S Wheeler . 10.1186/1472-6920. *BMC Medical Education*
428 2006. 6 (41) p. .