

## 1 Community of Ownership of Learning

2 Karen Lim Kim Eng<sup>1</sup>, Fong Kok Wah<sup>2</sup> and Shek Kwai Teng<sup>3</sup>

3 <sup>1</sup> Institute of Technical Education

4 Received: 16 December 2012 Accepted: 3 January 2013 Published: 15 January 2013

5

---

### 6 **Abstract**

7 New model of teaching and learning was introduced in our school to meet the needs of our  
8 students who seek greater autonomy, connectivity as well as opportunities for  
9 socio-experiential learning. The Connected Learning Pedagogy (CLP) was designed to mine  
10 the learning potential of the new social and digital media domain to create a community of  
11 ownership of learning, promoting collaboration and connectedness among students, lecturers  
12 and parents. To support the implementation of CLP, laboratories were equipped with  
13 computers and tablet PCs. Through them, students were connected to various social and  
14 digital media such as Facebook, Moodle, YouTube and iDe'Lite (ITE video portal) to conduct  
15 their required research and learning. The tablet PCs provided lecturers with the added  
16 advantage of mobility during lessons, allowing them to facilitate the learning process with  
17 ease. Students were engaged in many exciting ways through Apps in the tablet PCs. In  
18 addition, parents were connected to students learning through PRISM (ITE Parents'  
19 Real-time Information System on Mobile). With the mobile apps, PRISM, parents are  
20 connected to realtime data on their child's learning.

21

---

22 **Index terms**— connected learning, collaboration, digital media, tablet pc, connectedness, ownership of  
23 learning, engaging parents.

### 24 **1 Introduction**

25 Our students belong to the Net Generation cohort of young people who have grown up in an environment in which  
26 they are constantly exposed to computer-based technology. Their methods of learning are different from those  
27 of previous generations. Students demand greater control of their own learning and the inclusion of technologies  
28 in ways that meet their needs and preferences (Prensky 2005).

29 To better understand what the Net Generation expects from technology in support of learning, we must  
30 first understand how the Net Generation defines technology. The Net Generation's views on technology in the  
31 classroom include the expectation that professors will use technology to better communicate expert knowledge.  
32 (Gregory R. Roberts 2005).

33 Hence, the Connected Learning Pedagogy (CLP) was introduced, incorporating technology to provide students  
34 with a rich learning environment that enhances interaction, connectedness and promote ownership of learning.  
35 PRISM, an apps for mobile phone, provide a new means for parents to become connected to student learning,  
36 engaging parents with the material that students learned in the classroom.

### 37 **2 II. The Connected Learning Pedagogy (CLP)**

38 The CLP leverages on the strength of today's interactive and networked media in an effort to make learning  
39 more interactive, better integrated, and broadly accessible. Students collaborate and learn through various  
40 digital platforms such as Social media, Moodle, World Wide Web, YouTube and iDe'Lite to establish a learning  
41 community. Tablet PCs with its many useful apps offer unique abilities for presenting materials in new ways.

## 7 IMPLEMENTATION OF CONNECTED LEARNING PEDAGOGY

---

42 Being light weight and free from wired connections, our lecturers is able to better connect with students by  
43 bringing it to their work station to clarify their doubts.

44 The CLP framework in Figure 1 depicts the connectivity it provides; connecting students, lecturers and parents.  
45 Through CLP, interactivity among students and lecturers are greatly enhanced, making learning much more  
46 engaging. Parents are happy as they have access to communications and relevant information concerning their  
47 child. The term "collaborative learning" refers to an instruction method in which students at various performance  
48 levels work together in small groups toward a common goal (Anuradha A. Gokhale ,1995). According to Johnson  
49 and Johnson (1986), there is persuasive evidence that cooperative teams achieve at higher levels of thought and  
50 retain information longer than students who work quietly as individuals. Group learning in CLP is synonym to  
51 collaborative learning, whereby students work in small groups to solve a problem.

52 Lecturers begin the lesson by asking review questions to recall concepts learned in the previous lesson.  
53 Adopting peer supported learning, students work in pairs or small group to discuss and derive the solution. By  
54 talking and discussing, students engaged actively in the learning process developing communication, collaborative  
55 and problem solving skills. The shared learning gives students an opportunity to engage in discussion, take  
56 responsibility for their own learning, and thus become critical thinkers (Totten, Sills, Digby, & Russ, 1991).

### 57 3 b) Reflection

58 We learn by experiences that allow us to Absorb (read,hear,feel), Do(activity), Interact (socialise) ??Wertenbroch  
59 & Nabeth, 2000). In addition we can also learn by reflecting on such experiences (Dewey 1933). Reflection is  
60 defined as making sense of past experience in order to affect and understand future experience.

61 In the 2nd stage of pedagogy model, reflection is practised by the students to engage them in deeper learning.  
62 Lecturers can pose some questions to trigger the thinking process. Video content can be used to introduce a  
63 new topic. Questions can be asked to spark off a group discussion connecting the knowledge in the video to  
64 past knowledge. When something new is experienced the learner recollects prior knowledge and tries to make a  
65 connection into the existing cognitive or metacognitive network of ideas. In other words we make the new piece  
66 of the jigsaw 'fit'(Karen Hinett, 2002).

67 Students will connect to the various media tools to research, perform group discussion and reflection. Through  
68 this process, our students will develop the habit of performing reflection to deepen learning.

### 69 4 c) Experiment

70 Having done recap and introduction ground work in the first 2 stages of the learning cycles, lecturers can  
71 now conduct the experiment or practical assignment for the new lesson. In the 3rd stage of CLP, experiment,  
72 students put into practice the knowledge acquired in the theory lesson. They worked on the practical assignment  
73 given in the job sheet. Students worked in groups to complete the practical assignment, making use of the  
74 www, YouTube, iDe'Lite(in-house video portal) and social media for information and discussion. When students  
75 independently search for information on the internet-that is, when students engage in self-initiated information-  
76 seeking behaviorsthe level of autonomy should be relatively high and thus lead to more cognitive engagement  
77 (Jerome I. Rotgans and Henk G. Schmidt, 2010).

78 Lecturers moved around the laboratory with tablet pc, using it to illustrate concept or clarify doubts. For  
79 students who are not comfortable with asking aloud in class, they could connect with their lecturers online to  
80 pose their queries. Lecturers are able to answer queries online using tablet pc as a tool.

### 81 5 d) Assessment

82 After completing the experimenting stage, students proceed to the final stage of CLP which is assessment. In  
83 our case, we have adopted online quizzes for this final stage of CLP. Moodle was the platform that we utilized  
84 to house the online quizzes. Students will attempt the online quiz at the end of the practical lesson to confirm  
85 their understanding of the content. Result of the attempt will be available immediately upon completion of their  
86 quizzes.

87 Feedback needs to be timely and relevant to the learner's needs in order to be effective (David Wees, 2010).  
88 Online quizzes provide students with immediate feedback enabling them to reflect and take corrective action  
89 promptly to improve on the weaker topic. Responses to the quizzes are recorded against the student name,  
90 allowing lecturers to evaluate the performance level of the students and subsequently focus on the learning  
91 concerns of individual question.

92 On the other hand, lecturers can be connected on-line via tablet pc to view the results of quizzes taken by  
93 students. The results of the quizzes provide lecturers with timely feedback on their teaching effectiveness for the  
94 lesson. They then could take corrective action if necessary.

## 95 6 III.

### 96 7 Implementation of Connected Learning Pedagogy

97 A lesson delivery plan was created to guide lecturers in their lesson delivery using the Connected Learning  
98 Pedagogy. The lesson delivery plan documents the suggested activity for both students and lecturers using the

99 CLP model. In each stage, the allocated time for the activity and its objectives were documented in the lesson  
100 delivery plan. Some follow-up actions are also included in the lesson delivery plan which is especially helpful for  
101 new lecturers. Lecturers will use the new lesson delivery plan as a guide to conduct their practical lessons.

102 Many sharing sessions were conducted to equip lecturers with the knowledge of the pedagogy model, tablet pc  
103 literacy and quiz development using the Moodle platform. All laboratories were equipped with a tablet pc which  
104 the lecturers used it as a tool to facilitate the learning process. Role play was also used to familiarize lecturers  
105 with the new role of facilitating learning in a connected environment using tablet pc.

106 A scheduled walk-about was carried out 2 weeks after implementation of the CLP to gather feedback from  
107 staff and students on implementation issues. Some teething problems such as passwords issues and retrieval of  
108 results by class were surfaced. Initially, the view results menu displayed results of all students taking the similar  
109 module instead of displaying results by class. With the help of the Technical support team, these issues were  
110 quickly resolved.

111 IV.

## 112 8 Survey Results

### 113 9 a) Students Survey 1

114 After implementing the CLP for three months, a survey was carried out to 244 students. The result of the  
115 survey is shown in Figure 3. The survey seeks to gather the impact of CLP on students learning. Students  
116 have taken more responsibility in their learning as they reflected that they like to participate in on-line quizzes  
117 which provide them with immediate feedback. With the introduction of Class Facebook, students were kept  
118 informed of the upcoming activities posted by their lecturers, developing independence. With the introduction  
119 of CLP, students have more opportunity to engage in discussion and take responsibility for their own learning,  
120 meeting their quest for more autonomy and collaborative learning. More interaction was made possible with  
121 the introduction of the tablet pc giving lecturers the mobility to move around the class to facilitate learning b)  
122 Students Survey 2 Another survey was carried out 6 months after the implementation of the CLP. In this 2nd  
123 survey, open ended questions were deployed to obtain feedback from both staff and students. Students provided  
124 both positive feedback and suggestions for improvement which allowed the team to fine-tune the CLP strategy  
125 for better lesson delivery.

126 Many positive feedbacks were received from students. They reflected that quizzes are very useful as they were  
127 able to recap what were learned previously. It was a fast and easy way to obtain feedback on their understanding.  
128 Some students commented that doing online quizzes is more interesting than doing worksheet in class. Students  
129 also mentioned that they were able to attempt tutorial questions even if they forgot to bring their tutorial book.

130 As for tablet pc, students commented that lecturers were more mobile, able to move around to interact with  
131 them. They reflected that lesson is more fun with tablet pc and it is a cool and great device.

132 However, some students commented that time is quite tight to do the online quizzes especially for a 2 hours  
133 practical lesson. Another issue raised is that the tablet pc does not support flash player. Some students mentioned  
134 that they sometimes experienced system lag problem.

### 135 10 c) Lecturers Feedback

136 Lecturers' feedback is just as important as students' feedback in the CLP implementation. Hence, a survey was  
137 conducted to gather feedback from the lecturers involved in the CLP implementation.

138 Lecturers reflected that the online quiz is helpful to them as they are able to access the quiz results immediately.  
139 With these results, they were able to judge how well their lesson was being delivered and take corrective actions  
140 if necessary. They were able to gather information on who were the weaker students and provide additional help  
141 promptly.

142 Having a good implementation framework in place, lecturers were comfortable to deliver lesson using the CLP  
143 pedagogy. With the tablet pc, lecturers can connect better with the students. They were able to interact well  
144 with the students by going around the class using the Tablet pc to clarify doubts .

145 Some lecturers commented that it is troublesome to download videos and lesson materials as the tablet pc  
146 is kept in the laboratory. Also, sometimes the lesson contents were erased as the tablet pc is shared among  
147 many other lecturers. They suggested that all lecturers to be equipped with a tablet pc. In addition, lecturers  
148 commented on the security issue of the tablet pc, as it is being left unattended when they were assisting students  
149 with the practical experiment. As the tablet pc in use was unable to run program that required flash, they  
150 suggested considering other types of tablets that run on different Operating System.

151 V.

## 152 11 Connecting Parents to Students

153 Learning -Prism

154 We recognize the importance of engaging parents, families and communities in positively influences student  
155 educational achievements and attainments. Parents and school partnership are a critical component of successful

## 13 CONCLUSION

---

156 results. This is particularly true of schools in challenging circumstances where the students face social and  
157 economic odds.

158 If trust and mutual respect between parents, lecturers and students is to be achieved, communications and  
159 access to relevant information is important. This will also allow the school to build a strong parent-student-  
160 teacher relationship throughout the course of student's study. PRISM serves as a bridge connecting ITE, parents  
161 and students through the mobile apps as shown in figure 4. Central to the core of the challenge, the method adopt  
162 must meet three important criteria: 1. It will facilitate parents to actively participate in their children academic  
163 journey in the College. 2. It should able to complement the hectic lifestyle of the modern day parents. 3. It is  
164 envisage that lecturer's time will be better utilized to support teaching and learning, instead of administrative  
165 tasks.

166 There is the e-portal that the parents can access to information of their child's attendance, timetable and  
167 teacher's contacts (email address and telephone number). This is wonderful but there were concerned that its  
168 use was limited to those parents with easy daytime access to a laptop or PC.

169 We therefore investigated the possibility of extending it to use by mobile phone browsers, thus enabling a  
170 wider range of parents to access it. But the navigation within a much smaller screen proved a great difficulty.

171 Building from the idea, we decided to develop a mobile app that can allow parents to access the information  
172 needed and remove the navigation difficulty of a browser in a small mobile phone' screen. a) Ite Prism Mobile  
173 App Initially, we developed a mobile app that allows the parents to access their child's individual timetable and  
174 the school vacation. The mobile app was well received by both parents and students through a feedback survey.  
175 They requested for additional information to be included in the mobile app. They wanted to see their child's  
176 attendance and academic progress in the class too.

177 As such, we developed the ITE PRISM (ITE Parents' Real-time Information System on Mobile) as shown in  
178 figure 5, mobile app to fully engaged parents in their children's learning, using effective technologies. The  
179 innovative approach is able to provide up-to-date 24/7 information to the parents. From another survey  
180 conducted, it shows the effective use of the mobile app also alleviates the pressure of face-to-face communication as  
181 parents can access information about their child's progress 24/7. If need to, they can email or call the respective  
182 teacher with the teacher's contacts provided within the mobile app. In addition, parents feel that they have  
183 timely and appropriate information to be able to help their child's learning. There is also a feeling of ownership  
184 in their child's education journey.

185 The ITE PRSIM mobile app becomes an intelligent reporting of providing real time information on a child's  
186 progress, learning and attendance. This direct information sharing allows the parents to be informed in a timely  
187 way and at their own convenient time to access the information.

## 188 12 VI.

## 189 13 Conclusion

190 With the adoption of the Connected Learning Pedagogy, a community of ownership of learners was developed,  
191 leveraging on the affordances of digital and networked media. More opportunities were created for students to  
192 communicate, think together, share ideas and construct meaning by discussing and collaborating. The periodic  
193 online quizzes in final stage of CLP not only provide lecturers with feedback on their students' learning but they  
194 also serve to help students evaluate their own learning. As students learn to monitor their progress, they become  
195 more motivated by their successes and begin to acquire a sense of ownership and responsibility for the role they  
196 play in these successes (Kanfer & McCombs, 2000).

197 PRISM provides a key link for parents to access their child's learning progress, attendance, timetable and  
198 teacher's contacts. Through PRISM, a strong parent-student-teacher relationship was built throughout the  
199 course of student study. Parents active involvement with their child's education at home and in school brings  
200 great rewards and can have a significant impact on their children's lives (Anita Gurian, 2010).



Figure 1: Figure 1 :




Figure 2: Figure 2 :




Figure 3: Figure 3 :



Figure 4: Figure 4 :



5

Figure 5: Figure 5 :



---

201 [Rotgans et al. ()] , Jerome I Rotgans , G Henk , Schmidt . 2011.

202 [Johnson and Johnson ()] *Action research: Cooperative learning in the science classroom*, R T Johnson , D W  
203 Johnson . 1986.

204 [Catherine Garrison Michael Ehringhaus Formative and summative assessments in the classroom] *Catherine  
205 Garrison & Michael Ehringhaus Formative and summative assessments in the classroom*, <http://www.amle.org/Publications/WebExclusive/Assessment/tabid/1120/Default.asp>

206

207 [Anuradha and Gokhale ()] *Collaborative Learning Enhances Critical Thinking*, A Anuradha , Gokhale . 1995.

208 [Totten et al. ()] *Cooperative learning: A guide to research*, S Totten , T Sills , A Digby , P Russ . 1991.

209 [Prensky ()] *Engage me or enrage me*, Marc Prensky . 2005.

210 [Hinett ()] *Improving learning through reflection*, Karen Hinett . 2002.

211 [Gurian ()] *Involved parents: The hidden resource in their children's education*, Anita Gurian . 2010.

212 [Learning Through Reflection Wertenbroch Nabeth ()] 'Learning Through Reflection'. *Wertenbroch & Nabeth*  
213 2000.

214 [Kanfer and Mccombs ()] *Motivation: Applying current theory to critical issues in training*, R Kanfer , B L  
215 Mccombs . 2000.

216 [Roberts ()] *Technology and Learning Expectations of the Net Generation*, Gregory R Roberts . <http://www.educause.edu/research-and-publications/books/educating-net-generation/ntechology-and-learning-expectations-net-generation> 2005.

217

218