

1 Maintaining the Medium of Instruction Policy in Malaysia: The
2 Case for Bahasa Malaysia

3 Alis Puteh¹

4 ¹ UUM, Malaysia

5 *Received: 10 December 2012 Accepted: 2 January 2013 Published: 15 January 2013*

6

7 **Abstract**

8 This study examines the development and the implementation of the Malay medium of
9 instruction policy in the Malaysian educational system and the relation of this policy to
10 Fishman's model and a multilingual society. The study uses interviews with persons directly
11 involved in the process of education in Malaysia and examines a number of scholarly
12 publications and other primary sources of information. Historical study is chosen as the
13 research design. As a plural society, Malaysia considers nation building or national integration
14 (Ibrahim, 1986) as being of the utmost importance. Fishman (1968) developed the concept of
15 nationalist-nationist functions of language in nation building. Since independence, the
16 Malaysian leadership has believed that education is critical for national integration. It is
17 generally believed that schools inculcate children with values and knowledge that are
18 supportive of a national ideology. The present study focuses on the process of developing and
19 implementing the Malay medium of instruction policy in Malaysia. The performance of
20 Malay-medium of instruction universities (National University of Malaysia, UTM and UPM)
21 at the post-graduate level is impressive. These universities have proven their ability to get
22 Master's and Ph.D degree holders and medical specialists from overseas and local universities.
23 There have been thousands of Malay-language theses in science from public universities after
24 1990, which indirectly shows that the Malay language can be used in education in a manner
25 that is world class.

26

27 **Index terms**— language policy, multilingual society, nation building and nationalism.

28 **1 Introduction**

29 The language medium policy refers to the policy related to the medium of instruction in school. The medium
30 of instruction is the language used in the school to implement the curriculum. It performs all the functions of
31 language (informative, regulatory, international, personal), but in practice the most commonly performed are the
32 informative, the regulatory, and the heuristic. Language has been used as a means to convey the accumulated
33 knowledge and wisdom of the human race to its members. It is also used as a tool to teach students the basic
34 skills they would need later in life. In addition, students are given some practice in using language to find things
35 out for themselves (Halliday, 1975). Many issues and questions arise in multiethnic and multilingual countries
36 regarding which language should be selected when establishing the main medium of instruction in the educational
37 system. a) Fishman's Dichotomy (1968): Nationalism and Nationism Fishman (1968) developed the 'theory' or
38 'formula' to describe and explain language functions in nationalism and nation building. According to him
39 western languages such as French, English, and Spanish should be used in ex-colonial countries for their further
40 development. This is the function of nationalism. The indigenous language, such as Swahili, Guarani, and Malay,
41 should be used as a nationalist language for national unity and identity only, thus serving a nationalist function.

5 IV. THE MALAYSIAN EDUCATIONAL SYSTEM

42 The indigenous languages cannot be used to develop the nation with respect to education (especially higher
43 education), economy, industry, and science and technology. According to Fishman's theory, this role should be
44 given to the language of wider communication, such as English, Spanish, or French.

45 2 II.

46 3 Malaysia : A Plural Society

47 Malaysia is located in Southeast Asia and consists of Peninsular Malaysia, Sabah, and Sarawak. Peninsular
48 Malaysia was formerly known as the Malay Peninsula or Tanah Melayu (the land of the Malays) until One such
49 question is, What is the most suitable or appropriate combination of national language and second language
50 (English, French, Spanish, etc.) that would promote effective learning amongst students and at the same time
51 enable them to gain skills in the second language (Tollefson & Tsui, 2004)? This study aims to examine the
52 development and the implementation of the language medium policy in the Malaysian educational system and
53 the relation of this policy to nation building. This work is relevant in Malaysia because the country is known to
54 have a multiethnic society, which means a nation with cleavages of race, language, religions, customs, and other
55 primordials.

56 the states within it were united and became independent from the British colonial power as the Federation of
57 Malaya in 1957. It later transformed into Peninsular Malaysia in 1963 when it merged with the Borneo territories
58 of Sabah and Sarawak to form the Federation of Malaysia. Viewed historically, for almost a century (from the
59 end of the 18 th century until 1957) different parts of Malaysia were under Portuguese, Dutch, and British rule at
60 different times, with British colonization having the greatest impact on the country's sociopolitical development
61 (Wong & Ho, 2000).

62 As a classic case of plural society, Malaysia's racial divisions tend to coincide with and to be reinforced by
63 linguistic, cultural, religious, and most importantly, economic divisions. All political issues are inextricably inter-
64 woven with communal considerationeconomic policy, regional development, language, education, immigration,
65 recruitment to the civil service and armed forces, and many more. Virtually all government policies are seen as
66 benefiting one or the other in the main communities, where anything that benefits one community tends to be
67 seen as depriving the others. Despite the government's attempt to work out an acceptable balance between the
68 communities, communal sentiments remain strong and frustrations often rise to the surface (Crouch, 1996).

69 Fisk and Osman Rani (1982) best described Malaysia as "not a tightly united little nation by any means. It is
70 one that is subjected to a remarkable range of divisive and disruptive influences in its geography, racial make-up,
71 religions, political institution and international relations." Therefore, to make a more complete and comprehensive
72 analysis of education in Malaysia, it is essential that we begin by looking at the background of the country and
73 how its various features affect education. These are analysed in relation to the provision of education in the
74 country, enabling us to understand the social, political, and economic realities in which education has developed
75 and taken its shape in Malaysia. This is important because the effects of education are both determined and
76 influenced by the structure and behaviour of the polity (Levin, 1976).

77 4 III.

78 Methodology I choose historical study as my research design. Many current educational practices, theories, and
79 issues can be better understood in the light of past experiences. Knowledge of the history of education can yield
80 insights into the evolution of the current educational system as well as into the practices and approaches that
81 have been found to be ineffective or unfeasible. In fact, studying the history of education might lead one to
82 believe that there is little new under the educational sun, although some practices seem to appear and disappear
83 with regularity. Policymakers at any level in education can benefit from the contributions of historical research
84 in arriving at decisions (Wiersma, 1995).

85 5 IV. The Malaysian Educational System

86 There is a common phenomenon in all colonized countries: all inherit the educational model of the metropolitan
87 power. Colonial powers in most cases disrupt the traditional educational systems of the colonized and supplement
88 them with systems based on imported models. Miller (1997) viewed the formal educational policies of India, Sri
89 Lanka, Burma, and Malaysia to be moulded on the English pattern, with those of Indo-China moulded on the
90 French, those of Indonesia on the Dutch, and those of the Philippines on the Spanish patterns. The British
91 colonial system of education made its impact on almost every aspect of education in the colonized countries. In
92 the aspect of curriculum, its contents were almost a carbon copy of that used in the then aristocratically oriented
93 British system. As such, as many authors have generally conceded, this curriculum was not in tune with the
94 pupils' environment nor was it of practical use in their lives (Tuqan, 1975; Altbach & Kelly, 1978).

95 According to Bakri (2003), "schools were along racial lines in British era. Malay schools were consumed
96 with religious studies and limited to primary level only. Chinese schools were nothing more than fronts for the
97 Communist Party. Tamil schools might as well have been in Tamil Nadu, India. Only the English schools had
98 a multiracial student body. But they were few and necessarily elitist." The colonial system never held out the

99 prospects of integration into indigenous culture to those who attended their schools. The colonial system or
100 schools were marked by diversity (Altbach & Kelly, 1978).

101 All the national-type schools in the country had to change their language media of instruction to Bahasa
102 Malaysia. The result of this change was the conversion of national-type schools into national schools. The first
103 conversion happened in January 1968 with the conversion of English primary schools to national schools. The first
104 conversion was conducted in stages, by first teaching five subjects in the Malay language in Standard one (I)
105 to three (III) in national-type English primary schools. By 1970, all subjects except English were taught in
106 Malay in Standard one (I). Malay-medium classes had also started in secondary vocational schools in 1968 and in
107 secondary technical schools in 1970. From 1983 all courses in the local universities were progressively converted
108 to the national language (Table ?? ii) Malay-medium classes are held in secondary vocational schools. iii) Five
109 subjects are taught in the Malay Language in Standard 1-3 in national-type English primary schools. 1969 Civics
110 is taught in the Malay language in Standard 4 in national-type English primary schools. 1970 i) All subjects in
111 Standard 1 are taught in the Malay language in national-type English primary schools.

112 ii) Geography and History are taught in the Malay language in Standard 4 in national-type English primary
113 schools.

114 iii) Malay-medium classes are held in secondary technical schools. 1973 All Arts subjects in Form 1 are taught
115 in the Malay language in national-type English primary schools. 1975 i) There are no more English-medium
116 Remove classes. ii) National-type English primary schools are fully converted to national primary schools. 1976
117 i) All Arts, Science and Technical subjects in Form 1 are taught in the Malay language in national-type English
118 secondary schools.

119 ii) All Arts subjects in Form IV are taught in the Malay language. 1978 Arts streams in Form VI (Lower) are
120 taught in the Malay language in national-type English secondary schools. 1980 First year in Arts and allied courses
121 is taught in the Malay language in the universities. 1981 All Arts, Science and Technical streams in Form VI
122 (Lower) are taught in the Malay language in national-type English secondary schools. 1982 National-type English
123 secondary schools are fully converted to national secondary schools. 1983 First year in all courses (Arts, Science,
124 Engineering, Medical, etc.) is taught in the Malay language in universities. V.

125 6 Results

126 The medium of instruction is the most powerful means of maintaining and revitalizing a language and a culture;
127 it is the most important form of intergenerational transmission (Fishman, 2000) or the most direct agent of
128 linguistic genocide (Snutnabb-Kangas, 2002). The medium of instruction policy determines which social and
129 linguistic groups have access to political and economic opportunities, and which groups are disenfranchised.
130 After more than 30 years of implementing the Malay medium policy, the reinstatement of English as a medium of
131 instruction has become a controversial issue. This controversial move has been related to Fishman's dichotomy
132 philosophy. transition from English to Malay as the main medium of instruction began in 1958, starting from the
133 primary level. By 1983, the transition at the university level had been achieved. The transition throughout all
134 levels of education took 26 years to complete, and it was done gradually and pragmatically. This extended time
135 frame provided for more efficient language planning, as well as for the development of corpus to allow Malay to
136 cope with science and technology (Asmah, 2002).

137 Malay has been the medium of instruction for more than 20 years and has not faced any problems that
138 necessitate a change in the language policy, especially regarding the use of Malay as the language for imparting
139 knowledge and instruction (Gill, 2004). During this period of education that has had Malay as the medium
140 of instruction, there have been developments in many fields of knowledge, including medicine, aerospace, and
141 science and technology. Dewan Bahasa Pustaka has produced more than 1 million Malay terminologies in 300
142 fields of knowledge.

143 The government implemented the national education policy that stipulated Malay as the main medium of
144 instruction in schools. The aim of this policy was to remove the identification of a particular ethnic group with
145 school achievement and reduce the inequality of opportunity among ethnic groups (Gill, Professors, lecturers,
146 and teachers give their lectures in the Malay language effectively, especially in science and mathematics subjects.

147 Using Malay as the medium of instruction has been judged to be successful. It has produced graduates and
148 professionals that help to develop the country. Malay scholars have created many terms in biology, especially for
149 animals and trees. For example, since 2001, Dr. Mohammad Salleh, a world-standard professor in entomology
150 at the National University of Malaysia (UKM), has created hundreds of terms in Malay. A number of beetles
151 have been named using Malay words, such as Arthrotus hijau, Atrachya hitam, Dercetina bopeng, Itylus biru,
152 Ophrida kuning, Monolepta merah, and Sphenoraia tempok. Other words have been created, such as Sarawakiola
153 ajaib, Medythia bukit, Monolepta cantik, Nadrana dwiwarna, Podontia jalur, Pseudosastra indah, Monolepta
154 kenit, trichomimastra kurnia, Xenoda lapan, Paleosepharia lawa, Metrioidea molek, and Liroetiell warisan, as
155 have words that originate from the name of a place, Aplosonyx pahangi, and the name of a Malay man, Arcaries
156 ismaili. All these terms have been recognized by international bodies that indirectly recognize Malay as an
157 international science language (UKM, 2004).

158 Thus, some Malays do not see the need to change the national education policy. However, the Ministry of
159 Education has reintroduced English as a medium of instruction to teach mathematics and science in all schools,

160 colleges, and universities. The stress on English shows the British colonial belief that language can change one's
161 pattern of output and make one see things differently (Barbour & Carmichael, 2000).

162 The British wanted as many Malayan people as possible to study in the English medium because this would
163 help the Malaya's people administer Malaya preindependence and post-independence. This objective was fruitful,
164 because after 53 years of Malaysian independence many of the English-educated still believe that learning English
165 is the best way to face globalization. In the Fifth Educational Conference of 1939, the English language was
166 shown to be the one great unifying principle in Malaya, while English schools had an important cultural role and
167 place in the making of Malaya.

168 This differential valuation of exchanged systems between two streams has posed a serious obstacle to the
169 Malayan government's stated goal of transforming the Malay medium stream into a unified national school
170 system. After 53 years of independence, the Malaymedium schools are national schools only by name. Located
171 primarily in rural areas and drawing their student enrolment almost exclusively from the Malay community,
172 the national schools and public higher institutions continue to provide extremely limited access to modernized
173 occupations as compared with the private schools and private higher institutions that use English as their medium
174 of instruction.

175 The continued weakness of Malay-language education relative to English-language education has an important
176 political implication, as it has served to undermine the legitimacy of the Alliance government among some
177 important sectors of the Malay community. On the other hand, if the government becomes successful in rapidly
178 reversing the value position of Malay-language education vis-à-vis the other language streams, it could risk losing
179 the tenuous legitimacy granted to it by the non-Malay communities.

180 After 53 years of independence, the response among non-Malays toward the national schools is still poor.
181 In 2003, there were 191,679 Chinese and Indian students registered in national schools; in 2004 there were only
182 192,106 such students. The increase was lower than 500. This was partly due to the fact that credentials obtained
183 from the national schools and public universities were not recognized by private firms and business organizations
184 for job purposes because of the weakness of these schools in English. Before the era of globalization in the 1990s,
185 the government still pinned its faith on the role of formal education in the national language as the chief means
186 of achieving national integration.

187 At the tertiary level of education, policymakers have indicated that reform in higher education is vital to help
188 realize Vision 2020, the national goal of being an industrialized country and a hub in education and information
189 technology in the region (Najib, 1996; ??ong, 1993;Johari, 1996). At this level, there is no more focus on nation
190 building or national integration. According to Rajendran (2004), ethnic harmony is being taken for granted.

191 In 1995 (prior to the higher education reform), there were 48 public higher education institutions, comprising 8
192 public universities, 6 polytechnics, and 33 teacher-training colleges. By contrast, there were 275 private colleges.
193 In 1995, 11% of the student-age cohort was enrolled in higher education -about 50% in public universities. The
194 other 50% were either attending courses in local private colleges (35%) or studying overseas (14%). In 1985,
195 there were 15,000 students studying in local colleges; on the other hand, there were 68,000 students studying in
196 universities overseas, especially in the United Kingdom, the United States, and Australia. The majority of these
197 overseas students were privately funded Chinese and Indian students (Lee, 1999).

198 According to Gill (2004), the policy for a dual medium of instruction has serious social and political
199 implications. Firstly, private universities have higher fees when compared with public universities that receive
200 a large subsidy from the government. This means that the students that enrol in private universities come
201 from middle-class families and Chinese families with high income, while the majority of enrolments in public
202 universities consist of Malays that come from averageincome and poor families ??Gill, 2005). The long-term
203 effect is that university students will divide according to socioeconomic strata and, more often than not, ethnic
204 groups. Thus, the medium of instruction policy would give rise to class and racial division, instead of unifying
205 Malaysian citizens.

206 Secondly, the dual medium of instruction policy also causes public university graduates to be at a disadvantage
207 when looking for work in the private sector because of their weak command of the English language. This policy
208 type would make Malay graduates unable to compete with the Chinese graduates from private universities.
209 Moreover, private companies would be more interested in hiring Chinese graduates than Malay graduates since
210 the majority of private companies are owned by the Chinese. Yet again, the policy regarding the medium of
211 instruction tends toward the division rather than the unification of races.

212 7 VI.

213 Conclusions ??ustam (2002) views the nostalgic return to the golden era of revering the English language amongst
214 the general populace as being creole and as a crisis in tradition. The creation of a liberalized cultural policy
215 and an unprofessional language policy will cause cultural contamination. For example, many individuals have
216 returned to the craze of giving western or foreignsounding names to housing areas, corporate buildings, hotels,
217 banks, schools, cities, and the like, in keeping with globalization. Eastern and nationalistic names are considered
218 by this group to be less attractive to customers, even to the extent that such names would complicate international
219 relations. If this group of individuals were to triumph, then surely it would mean the end for the Malay language.

220 In fact there is nothing special about the English language with respect to the level of education of a student
221 and to scientific discovery and advancement. This is especially obvious in the scientific field, as the number of

222 important researchers and scientists who have made important discoveries is not dominated by native English
223 speakers, or by English-trained individuals. For example, the current joint Nobel Peace Prize winners for chemical
224 engineering are Koichi Tanaka from Japan, John Fenn from the United States, and Kurt Wuethrich from
225 Switzerland. Tanaka is 43 years old, is the fourth winner from Japan in the past three years, and does not
226 hold a PhD (Berita Harian, 10.12.02).

227 The expansion of scientific knowledge after the 13 th century was achieved according to the language of the
228 scientists. The German scientists recorded their findings in German, the English in English, and the Swedish in
229 Swedish, and so on and so forth. However, in the midst of the multitude of languages, there existed a force to
230 find common ground from the knowledge perspective, like getting a legitimate academic verification for each new
231 finding. Therefore, it is not surprising to find that the advancement of science during the 20 th century occurred
232 rapidly in many different languages: English, German, Russian, French, Japanese, Chinese, and many other
233 languages, including Bahasa Malaysia in Malaysia, which was pioneered by the UKM. Because of the scientific
234 knowledge recorded in the Russian language, the world witnessed the first successful spaceship built by mankind
235 -Sputnik (built by Russia) and piloted by Yuri Gagarin, the first man in space. Scientific discoveries recorded in
236 English led to Apollo 11, which brought mankind to the moon. The French scientists invented the nuclear bomb
237 and carried out tests in the Pacific Ocean ??Shamsul Amri, 2003).

238 The same may be observed in the field of medicine, a branch of applied science. Many important studies
239 have been performed and paramount discoveries have been made in different languages. Researchers in Japan,
240 including the Nobel Prize winners, perform their researches in Japanese. The Spanish researchers who were
241 successful in the advancement of several new fields in pharmacy and medicine recorded their findings in Spanish.
242 Obviously the researchers in Australia, Canada, the United Kingdom, and the United States used English.

243 In the field of mathematics, there are many child prodigies below the age of ten and some in their early teens
244 around the world who have been granted scholarships in several universities (like Sofia, a Malay girl studying at
245 Oxford University), each individual continuing his or her respective studies. It is obvious that language is not a
246 matter of serious consideration when the universities are dealing with cases such as these.

247 If we were to say that only one language is responsible for success in science, it would go against history. It
248 would also be foolish to use scientific knowledge to learn a language; it is unheard of anywhere in the world. If we
249 were to review the process of learning a language and the spread of scientific knowledge in the various languages
250 above, we would conclude that the two fields are worlds apart and to use one in an attempt to improve the other
251 would be an incredible flight of the imagination. Perhaps the results would be, too (Hassan, 2002).

252 According to Collins (1995), the belief in the English language as a functional and superlative language is
253 pure fiction. This fabrication is constantly being used by the people who want to maintain or raise the status of
254 English, such as the races that are fluent in English and the guardians of the English education infrastructure.
255 Alas, the same false outlook is being taken up by a large number of the Malay-speaking community, as they think
256 it to be true. This occurrence does not happen in Malaysia alone. In many Third World countries, the English
257 language is well respected and thought to be essential for development and inclusion in the movement toward
258 globalization.

259 In conclusion, most of the former Western colonies have not progressed even though they have used Western
260 languages as their official or main language in their system of education. Malaysia, Indonesia, Japan, South
261 Korea, Taiwan, and Thailand use their own native languages fully in each of their respective countries. These
262 countries are developed, or are currently developing, or at the very least are not included in the list of least
263 developed countries, which include 40 former Western colonial countries.

264 There are many other factors other than language that contribute to the economic development of a country.
265 Some of the factors include the capability, honesty, and trustworthiness of the government leaders and the natural
266 resources of the country. Fishman's view is obviously influenced by traditional evolutionary Western thinking
267 that assumes a modern language, like English, can bring progress to developing countries. This is the ethnocentric
268 attitude and Western colonial mentality that is consciously or subconsciously expressed through the language
269 planning theory that uses the Western model of progress as the criterion to define the function of language
270 development. The essence behind this theory can be put aside since most of the countries that use English,
271 French, or Spanish (that are said to be advanced) as the official language still have not progressed, and some are
272 still stricken by poverty, while several other countries that use their national/official language have become or
are becoming developed (Alis, 2004).

Year	Implementation
1957	

Figure 1:

274 [Harian] , Berita Harian . 10.12.02.

275 [Ferguson and Gupta] , & J D Ferguson , Gupta . NY: John Wiley and Sons. p. .

276 [Tollefson et al.] , & A D Tollefson , Lea Tsui , New Jersey . p. .

277 [Ho Wah Kam Ruth and Wong] , Y Ho Wah Kam & Ruth , Wong . Singapore: Times Academic Press.

278 [Altbach and Kelly ()] , P G Altbach
279 Education and Colonialism , G P Kelly
280 Education and Colonialism . 1978. New York: Longman.

281 [Water ()] , M Water . 1995. Globalization, Routledge, London.

282 [Smith ()] , A Smith . *Nationalism and Modernism* 1998.

283 [Bakri et al. ()] *An Education System Worthy of Malaysia*, M ; Bakri , Kuala Sird , Lumpur . 2003.

284 [Collin ()] 'Bahasa Malaysia sebagai bahasa antarabangsa'. J T Collin . *Manifesto Budaya: Pupus Bahasa Pupuslah Bangsa*, (Asraf, PLM, Kuala Lumpur) 1995.

285 [Hassan et al. ()] *Dasar bahasa dan peranan negara di Malaysia*, Siri Bicara Bahasa, A ; Hassan , Kuala Dbp , Lumpur . 2002.

286 [Rajendren (2004)] *Disturbing finding*', *New Strait Times*, N S Rajendren . 2004. 28 November.

287 [Ibrahim ()] *Education and Politic in Malaysia*, S Ibrahim . 1986. DBP, KL.

288 [Daun ()] *Education Restructuring in the Context of Globalisation and National Policy*, H Daun . 2002. Routledge, London.

289 [Tuqan ()] *Education, Society and Development in under Developed Countries in Changing Society, Centre for the Study of Education*, M T Tuqan . 1975. The Hague.

290 [Levin (ed.) ()] *Educational Reform: Its Meaning, the Limits of Educational Reform*, H M Levin . Cavnery & H.M. Levin (ed.) 1976. Longman, NY.

291 [Asmah ()] *English Languages Challenges for Malaysia*, O Asmah . 2002. Kuala Lumpur: UPM Press.

292 [Enloe ()] *Ethnic Conflicts and Political Development*, C Enloe . 1973. Little Brown, Boston.

293 [Stromquist and Monkman ()] *Globalization and Education: Integration and Contestation across Culture*, N P Stromquist , K Monkman . 2000. Rowman, Lanham.

294 [Davies and Guppy ()] 'Globalization and educational reform in Anglo-American democracies'. S Davies , N Guppy . *Comparatives Education Review* 1997. 41 (4) p. .

295 [Kunio ()] *Globalization and National Identity*, Y Kunio . 2001. Bangi: UKM Publishers.

296 [Crouch ()] *Government and Society in Malaysia*, H Crouch . 1996. Singapore: Talisman Publishers.

297 [Skutnabb-Kangas ()] 'Human rights and language wrongs a future for diversity'. T Skutnabb-Kangas . *Language Sciences* 1999. 20 (5) p. .

298 [Snodgrass ()] *Inequality and Economic Development in Malaysia*, D R Snodgrass . 1980. Oxford University Publishers, KL.

299 [Barbour and Carmichael ()] *Language and Nationalism in Europe*, S Barbour , C Carmichael . 2000. New York: Oxford University Press.

300 [Nababan ()] 'Language perception and medium of instruction'. P W J Nababan . *NL As Medium of Instruction*, (DBP, KL) 1981.

301 [Language Policies in Education] *Language Policies in Education*, Ed J. Tollefson, LEA, NJ. p. .

302 [Pennycook ()] *Language policy and docile bodies: Hong Kong and governmentality*, A Pennycook . 2002.

303 [Miller ()] *Language Policy and Identity: A Case of Catalonia*, H Miller . 1997. Sheffield, UK.

304 [Sotomayer ()] 'Language, culture and ethnicity in developing self-concept'. M Sotomayer . *Social Casework* 1977. 58 p. .

305 [Carnoy ()] *Latin America: the new dependency and educational reform*', in *Educational Restructuring in the Context of Globalization and National Policy*, M Carnoy . 2002. H. Daun, Routledge, NY.

306 [Halliday ()] *Learning How to Mean, Explorations in the Development of Language*, M A K Halliday . 1975. Edward Arnold, UK.

307 [Snutnabb-Kangas ()] *Linguistic Human Rights: Overcoming Linguistic Discrimination*, Snutnabb-Kangas . 2002. Monton De Gruyten, NY.

308 [Phillipson ()] *Linguistic Imperialism*, R Phillipson . 1992. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

309 [Najib ()] *Malaysia as a Regional Centre of Educational Excellence: The Challenge of Globalization, Speech at the 1996 Regional Conference of Harvard Club of Asia*, R Najib . 1996. Hilton, KL.

326 [Wong and Ho ()] *Malaysia*', in *Language Policies and Language Education*, R Y Wong , W K Ho . 2000.

327 [Alidou ()] 'Medium of instruction in postcolonial Africa'. H Alidou . *Medium of Instruction Policies*, J W Tollefson, A B M Tsui, Lea (ed.) (New Jersey) 2004.

328

329 [Tollefson and Tsui ()] *Medium of Instruction Policies: Which Agenda*, J W Tollefson , A B M Tsui . 2004.

330 Whose Agenda, LEA Publishers, NJ.

331 [Medium of Instruction Policies: Which Agenda, Whose Agenda] *Medium of Instruction Policies: Which Agenda, Whose Agenda*,

332

333 [Gill ()] *Medium of instruction policy in higher education in Malaysia vs*, S K Gill . 2004.

334 [Annamalai ()] 'Medium of power: The question of English in education in India'. E Annamalai . *Medium of Instruction Policies*, J W B M Tollefson & A, Tsui, Lea (ed.) (Mahwah, NJ) 2004.

335

336 [Alis (2004)] 'Nationalism and Nationism: A Study of Language Medium Policy in Malaysia'. Alis , P . *Paper presented at 36 th World Congress of IIS in Beijing*, 2004. July. p. .

337

338 [Fishman (ed.) ()] *Nationality -nationalism and nation -nationism*', in *Language Problem in Developing Nations*, J A Fishman . J.A. Fishman, C.A. (ed.) 1968.

339

340 [Shamsul (2003)] *One State, a Few Nations and Many Languages: Language Identity, Formation and Nation Construction in Malaysia*, A B Shamsul . 2003. December. Solls, KL. p. .

341

342 [Rustum (1996)] *Penamaan pangkat dan krisis budaya*, B Rustam . 1996. 4 March. University Malaya

343 [Chai ()] *Planning Education for a Plural Society*, C H Chai . 1971. Paris. UNESCO Institute for Educational

344 Planning

345 [Lee ()] *Private Higher Education in Malaysia*, M N Lee . 1999. USM, Penang.

346 [Wiersma ()] *Research Method in Education*, N Wiersma . 1995. Allyn and Bacon, US.

347 [Fishman and Fishman ()] 'Rethinking language defence'. J A Fishman , S G Fishman . *Rights to Language: Equity, Power and Education*, R Phillipson, Lea, Nj (ed.) 2000. p. .

348

349 [Ranaweera ()] 'Sri Lanka: Science teaching in the national languages'. A M Ranaweera . *Prospects* 1976. 3 p. .

350 [Fisk, E.K. Osman Rani, H. (ed.) ()] *The Political Economy of Malaysia*, Fisk, E.K. & Osman Rani, H. (ed.)

351 1982. KL: Open University Press.

352 [The Process of National Integration in the Education Setting, Faculty of Education ()] *The Process of National Integration in the Education Setting*, Faculty of Education, 1989. Bangi. UKM

353

354 [Spring ()] *The Sorting Machine Revisited: National Education Policy Since*, J Spring . 1998. 1945. Longmans, NY.

355

356 [Johari (1996)] *Towards Implementing the New Higher Education Legislation, Speech at Seminar on Management of Higher Education Institutions*, M Johari . 1996. 22 April. Kajang.

357