Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.* 

# The Age of Security and Democracy, Nigerian Example: The Way Forward

Igwe

Received: 6 December 2012 Accepted: 4 January 2013 Published: 15 January 2013

### 6 Abstract

1

2

3

7 This paper deals with the travails of national security in the context of democracy and

 $_{\ensuremath{\mathbb S}}$  urbanism. It argues that the securitization of democracy has undermined democratic

9 freedoms. Central to the arguments is that the constrain of democracy in Africa has been the

<sup>10</sup> reduction of its principles to serve sentiment of the developing community. It further argues

11 that democracy should be seen as an essentially contested concept, not in ways that denies its

<sup>12</sup> core values but that recognize its pluralism. It is this pluralism of democracy that creates the

<sup>13</sup> lacuna that in many cases contravene some basic security values such as force and precision

<sup>14</sup> particularly in city centers. Democracy in Nigeria attempt compromising security into face

<sup>15</sup> saving agenda. This constitutes threat to its essence and commitment to order and obedience.

<sup>16</sup> This unfortunately caught most African police napping helplessly. The paper concludes with

17 some ways forward.

18

Index terms— national security, democracy, security, democratic values, security values, pluralism, social conflict.

# 21 1 Introduction

ince the acts of violence that destroyed the Twin Towers and a wing of the Pentagon building on ??eptember 11, 22 2001; freedoms and liberties have been restrained around the world in Europe and the US, citizens have become 23 used to stories of extraordinary rendition of foreign detainees, of torture of prisoners, of Guantanamo Bay, as well 24 25 as a host of new and increased policing powers all in the name of protecting democracy. This is the politics of 26 exceptionalism, where the suspension of liberties and rights is just in the name of defending democracy. As the UK Prime Minister at the time, Tony Blair, put it: 'Here in this country and in other nations round the world, 27 laws will be changed, not to deny basic liberties but to prevent their abuse and protect the most basic liberty of 28 all: freedom terror' (Blair, 2001). 29

The theme of democracy promotion has not been absent from the 'climate of fear'. On the contrary, in some ways in the age of security democracy has been presented as more imperative than ever -to be promoted everywhere, even if it requires force, as Iraq and Afghanistan illustrate. Understandably, this has caused a lot of unease. As Carothers argues, democracy promotion has been tainted by its association with the war in Iraq and in large part of the world has come to be understood as a codeword for 'regime change' (Abrahamsen, 2000).

In Africa too democracy and security have proved awkward bedfellows. Invocations of 'security' have helped 35 36 justify restrictions on freedoms and liberty, and suspicions abound that they allies in the 'war on terror' escape 37 international criticism and sanctions against their less than perfect elections and democratic practices. Such 38 concerns come on top of widespread disillusionment with democracy's achievements on the African continent, 39 leading some to speak of Africa's crisis of democracy', or Africa's 'non-transition'. For example, a recent edited collection where African intellectuals reflect on the fate of liberal democracy on the continent concludes with the 40 rather despairing observation that 'current democratic practice and process have been dysfunctional in Africa'. 5 41 Across the continent, the authors contend, liberal democracy has been hijacked by existing political elite in order 42 to preserve their own power and privileges, reducing electoral processes and elections to mere charades where 43 people are as powerless and as poor as they were in the day of authoritarian rule. Their words; not mine-and of 44

course, it is dangerous to generalize too much, and while it is definitely possible to point to beacons of hope and 45 to countries that have achieved genuine improvements in terms is of democracy and poverty reduction, it hard to 46 escape a pervasive sense of disappointment with Africa's democratic experiment. In the face of such challenges 47 48 and critiques, some might be tempted to give up on democracy altogether, to say that it is not for Africa. Others might want to side with those in the so-called 'sequencing debate' that hold that democracy must wait until 49 economic growth and reform has reach a certain level, that democracy is, so to speak, a luxury to be deferred 50 ??Chua, 2004). This is not my approach-on the contrary. More than ever it is crucial to defend democracy, and 51 democracy as a value in itself. As such, I side with Nigeria's great political scientist, the late Clauda Ake, who 52 more than ten years ago in 1996 observed that 'Africans are seeking democracy as a matter of survival' (Ake, 53 1996). Importantly, for Ake, democracy was not S transformation, adaptation, innovation and improvement. 54

As we enter the post-Bush era, we should seize the opportunity to explore the extent to which democracy can be loosened from security concerns and the politics of exceptionalism. In other words, we should seek to rethink democracy and democracy promotion in ways where it is no longer constrained and undermined in the name of security. But that in itself will not be enough.

I develop this argument by first focusing on the conception of democracy in develop discourse and foreign policy, showing that the manner in which democracy has been defined is part of the explanation for Africa's troubled democratic experiment. I then turn to the fate of democracy in the age of security, and the outline some of the anti-democratic effects of the securitization of development. It is here that I think the notion of democracy as an essentially contested concept is useful.

To be clear at the outset: while I do focus on the role of external actors, and more particularly the impact of 64 international development discourse and practice, this is not to say that donors and creditors are to blame for 65 whatever democratic shortcomings we may see in Africa, or that the local political elites that Ake also mentions are 66 without responsibility for the current situation. Far from it! But it is important to consider Africa's democracies 67 in their global context, not only because the initial transitions to democracy in the early 1990s were profoundly 68 influenced by changes in development policy, but also because contemporary international practices continue to 69 have an important impact on the conduct of elections and politics on the continent. Thus, while development 70 and democratisation are frequently presented as the external solutions to Africa's domestic problems, it is crucial 71 to consider the extent to which these two realms -the internal and the external-are intrinsically interwoven. In

72 to consider the extent to which these two realms -the internal and the external-are intrinsically interwoven. In 73 other words, it is crucial to consider the possibility that the so-called 'internal' problems that democracy and

<sup>74</sup> development are intended to solve are in fact related to those 'external' conditions in the first place.

### 75 **2** II.

The Changing Outcome of Democracy in Development Discuss society to 'modernity'. History was seen as a 76 linear progression, and the counties of the South were expected to follow the same development path as the 77 already industrialized parts of the globe. Inspired by the structural functionalism of Talcott Parsons, these early 78 development models were mainly concerned with stimulating economic growth, as all the essential features of 79 modernity were expected to spring from economic prosperity. As societies developed, their various economic, 80 social, cultural and political properties would adjust to of development had been reached, it was assumed that 81 democracy would materialize in the same way as it had in conjunction with capitalism and the process of 82 industrialization in the West. As Gabriel Almond put it in 1970, 'in the new and modernizing nations of Asia, 83 Africa and Latin America. The process of enlightenment and democratization will have their inevitable way' 84 (Almond, 1970). 85

The status of democracy as an unquestionable goal and inevitable outcome of the process of development 86 87 was, however, short-lived and soon gave way to a new normative perspective that upheld political order and stability as its main values. This transformation must be seen in the context of the intensification of cold war 88 rivalries in the mid-1960, which provided the conditions of existence for discourse about developing countries in 89 the following decades. In the light of cold war competition, the realities of Third World economic stagnation 90 and social discontent were reinterpreted. What was previously regarded as a primarily economic challenge and 91 a testing ground for various growth models now became a breeding ground for Communism. To allow political 92 freedom to flourish in such conditions came to be seen as a potentially hazardous strategy, and a fundamental of 93 modernization was replaced by the perception of an essential conflict between the process of modernization and 94 political development. The social transformations associated with rapid economic change, such as urbanization. 95 Increased social differentiation and the provision of education were participation. And while such pressures were 96 recognized as intrinsic features of the modern polity. They were simultaneously feared as potentially destabilizing 97 and detrimental. In a classic 98

### <sup>99</sup> 3 Global Journal of Human Social Science

### 100 Volume XIII Issue W II Version I( $\rm D~D~D~)$

Democracy, when it emerged as a central tenet of development in the early 1990s was presented as an uncontested concept, its meaning clearly defined and delineated. For that reason alone, it is worth revisiting the changing fate of democracy in development discourse especially its status in developing countries such as Nigeria.

Early theories and models in the 1950s and 1960s perceived development as a relatively unproblematic process 104 of transition from 'traditional' contribution to this literature, Pool reasoned that 'in the Congo, in the Dominican 105 Republic, it is clear that order depends on somehow compelling newly mobilized strata to return to a measure of 106 107 passivity and defeatism from which they have been aroused by the process of modernization. At least temporarily, the maintenance of order requires a lowering of newly acquired expectations and levels of political activity' (De 108 Sola, 1967) by placing such a high premium on order and stability, the central dilemma became how to achieve 109 praise, but the most inconspicuous aspects of development were treated with suspicion. Education, Huntington 110 in relation to India: 'political participation by illiterates ?may well?be less dangerous to criteria for judging the 111 desirability of social reforms can be seen to change from their perceived socio-economic benefits to their capacity 112 to enhance political stability. Accordingly, measures that entailed curbing the privileges of the elite were to be 113 avoided, as were reforms aimed at enhancing the liberties of urban middle classes. In sum, 'reforms directed at 114 the peasantry are a substitute for revolution' (Lumumba-Kasongo, 2005). We see here then, an early linking of 115 democracy and security in development discourse and practice. 116

Although the intensity of superpower rivalries gradually faded, democracy continued to occupy a subservient 117 position within development discourse. Many donors, especially the Nordic countries, expressed their concern for 118 the poor human rights record in recipient states, but liberal democracy was not a main priority of development 119 120 aid. Rather it was treated as irrelevant to the development process, banished to the sideline by more immediate 121 concerns like famine, hunger, and over-population. Child mortality and illiteracy. Faced with such a plethora of 122 daunting problems, the absence of civil and political freedoms seemed only a small oversight and an influential 123 body of opinion held that strong, or perhaps even authoritarian, government was more important to developing countries than 'adherence to the niceties of liberal democratic constitutionalism' (Emerson, 1971). African 124 countries were accordingly expected to 'forgo the luxury of conventional democratic institutions and processes' 125 for some time to come (Hodder, 1987). 126

## <sup>127</sup> 4 III. Democracy as Security Strategy

While many aspects of democracy promotion have reminded unchanging, the 'climate of fear' that followed 128 ??eptember 11, 2001 has seen a subtle reinterpretation of the meaning and status of democracy in development. 129 We need to pause here, and distinguish between the acts of violence that took place on the day and the politics of 130 insecurity that they gave rise to (Cambell, 2002). The two are not the same, in that acts of violence do not speak 131 for themselves, but are interpreted and given meaning by political actors. The events of September 11 has been 132 interpreted so as to result in a general politics of insecurity and fear, and a policy response that paradoxically 133 threatens the very democracy it claims to defend. As Timothy Garton Ash has observed, the conduct of the 134 'war on terrorism' and 'this atmosphere of menace' might end up being as much a threat to our own freedoms as 135 136 terrorism itself (Garton, 2002).

137 How has this played itself out in relation to development and democracy in Africa, and with what consequences? 138 While there were few, if any, direct links between the attacks of September 11 and African, the continent was quickly draw into a new discourse of security and has increasingly come to be seen as a potential security threat. 139 This has centered on a discourse of 'failed' and 'failing' states, and underdevelopment and poverty have become 140 increasingly securitized. Whereas in the past, 'weak', 'failed' or 'fragile' states were regarded as unfortunate 141 development failures, humanitarian crises or low intensity conflicts, the fight against terrorism has elevated them 142 to the status of international security threats, a danger not only to their own neglected and poor populations, 143 but also to world stability. the view was most clearly and straightforwardly stated in the 2002 Security Strategy 144 Of the United States of America, which maintained that the 'events of September 11 2001 taught us that weak 145 states, like Afghanistan, can pose as great a danger to our national interest as strong states' (US Government, 146 147 2002). 'In Africa', the document continues, 'promise and opportunity sit side by side with disease, war and desperate poverty. This threatens both the core values of the United States-preserving human dignity-and our 148 strategic priority-combating global terror'. 149

Similarly, the former UK Foreign Secretary Jack Straw argued 'that turning a blind eye to the breakdown of 150 order in any part of the world, however distant, invites direct threats to our national security and well-being' 151 (Straw, 2002). Tellingly, Straw's comments then turned directly to the DRC, and he also specifically mentions 152 Somalia and Liberia as security risks. From the perspective of many rich, Western countries, poor and badly 153 governed states have come to be seen as potential breeding grounds and safe havens for a murky underworld 154 of international terrorism and criminal networks, and in this way development assistance becomes not merely 155 about helping others, but also about defending 'ourselves' ??Blair, 2005). Democracy and democracy promotion 156 likewise risk becoming subservient to the perceived security needs of the donor countries and a vaguely defined 157 notion of world stability. Democracy, in other words, has become part of a broader security strategy, and risks 158 159 being seen not first and foremost a value in and of itself, but a means to the end of security. My suggestion is 160 not that Western donors have abandoned democracy altogether-that would be way too exaggerated a claim. As part of the securitization of development, Western leaders extol liberal democracy as a solution to international 161 insecurity, echoing the Kantian notion of democracies as inherently more peaceful and reliable international 162 partners. Former Prime Minister Tony Blair, for example, argued passionately that 'if we can establish and 163 spread the values of liberty, the rule of law, human rights, and an open society then that is in our national 164 interests too. The Spread of our values makes us safer' (Blair, 1999). And while much has been made of the 165

Bush administration's emphasis on pre-emptive action, one of the pillars of the US security strategy is to 'extend 166 the peace by seeking to extend the benefits of freedom and prosperity across the globe'. In short, democracy, 167 accountability, and freedom are seen as the basis of security, and must therefore be spread to all corners of the 168 169 globe, (if necessary by force, as in Iraq).

There is much to commend this view, and the UK Department of International Development might well be 170 right when it claims that 'development and security goals can be pursued in a mutually reinforcing way' (DFID, 171 2005). The common observation that poverty might lead to radicalization and hence, subsequently, to terrorist 172 violence might also capture important elements of contemporary social and political dynamics, and should not 173 be dismissed lightly. But care is needed: democracy and security might well be two sides of the same coin, in the 174 sense that they are mutually constitutive and reinforcing. But the analogy can be put differently; flip a coin, as it 175 spins in the air both sides are visible, but when it lands, one side is up, the other down. The fear, in other words, 176 is that development assistance might end up being driven by the security interests of donors, regardless of the 177 recipient's records on democracy and human rights, or that we might witness the emergence of a 'new cold war' 178 in development aid, where assistance is rewarded in exchange for allegiance in the 'war against terrorism' and 179 access to natural resources, as feared by several NGOs (Cosgrave, 2004). The EU's Declaration on Combating 180 Terrorism, which states that 'the commitment of countries to combat terrorism on an ongoing basis' would be 181 182 an influencing factors in EU relations with countries, is a case in point ??Gaves, 2006).

183 A look at recent developments in parts of Africa seems to confirm these concerns: continued and increasing 184 development assistance to Algeria, a key North African ally in the 'war on terro', for example, is a case in point. In Algeria, democratic space has been gradually closed down and civil society-trade unions, political parties, 185 associations and the media-have been subjected to stringent surveillance by the security services, all in the name 186 of the 'war on terror'. In another key ally-state, Ethiopia, the recent local elections were seriously flawed and 187 marked a return to electoral authoritarianism (Aalen and Tronvold, 2009). Yet the international community has 188 been entirely silent. Neither supporting, nor deploying election observers, the donor community could keep quiet 189 in the aftermath, as they supposedly had no substantial and independent observations as a basis for judgment 190 (Aalen and Tronvold, 2009). The US has continued its strong support for Meles Zenawi and his government, 191 who play a key role in the 'war on terror' in the Horn of Africa. The opposition parties have come to recognize 192 that they cannot depend on the international community for help in pushing for democratisation, and lament 193 that with continued US support for the Zenawi government, prospects for anything like a free and fair election 194 in 2010 are very bleak indeed. 195

More examples could be given; of particular interest perhaps is the establishment of AFRICOM and also the 196 197 British counter -terrorism initiative, launched in 2003 and designed to develop the 'counter-terrorism and security capacity of weaker nations so as to best support them in protecting our share interests (Whitaker, 2008). 198

My key point is that securitization of development is replete with dangers for democracy in Africa. There 199 is the danger that it gives African political leaders another justification for restricting freedom and clamping 200 down on dissident voices; there is the danger that aid might be redirected towards countries and groups that are 201 believed to pose the greatest security threat, rather than towards those in greatest need, and there is the danger 202 that key allies are allowed to substitute democracy and human rights for support in the 'war on terror. It is 203 perhaps in this context that we can make sense of the concept of 'good enough governance', now so popular in 204 discussions of 'failed' and 'failing' states (DFID, 2005). 205 IV.

### 206

#### In Defense of Democracy $\mathbf{5}$ 207

In the age of security, we could do well to remember Benjamin Franklin's statement that 'those who would give 208 up essential liberties to purchase a little temporary safety deserve neither liberty nor safety'. Similarly, in the age 209 of security it is more important than ever to defend democracy and to restate its value as a goal in and of itself, 210 and not as a means to an end (security). But at the same time it is clear from this brief historical overview that 211 we cannot simply go back to the 212

#### **Global Journal of Human Social Science** 6 213

Volume XIII Issue W II Version I(DDD) 214

kind of democracy promoted in the 1990s. If we accept my argument that the linking of democracy to economic 215 liberalization is in important ways part of the explanation for the widespread disillusionment with democracy on 216 217 the African continent, then we need to think afresh. If this understanding of democracy has led to the emergence 218 of fragile and exclusionary democracies, then what many Western governments today perceive as 'security risks', 219 i.e. 'failed' and 'failing' states are in fact linked to development policies in the first place in the sense that decades 220 of structural adjustment polices and state curtailment led to a gradual weakening of the capacities of the African state both to serve and to secure its citizens. As such, development policies have been part of the problem of 221 'failed' or 'weak' states, and this alerts us once again to the necessity of a more inclusive approach to democracy 222 in Africa. 223

The key question of our time is thus how to defend a democracy that is not allied to an economic liberalism 224 of inequality and not subservient to the demands of security? Let me say at once, that I do not think the answer 225

is to b found in some predefined model of democracy, that exists somewhere in prefabricated form, ready to be 226 exported and assembled anywhere in the world. Instead, my argument is for a re-engagement with the notion 227 of democracy as an essentially contested concept, one of those concepts where a neutral definition is impossible 228 229 as rival definitions embody different and indeterminate social and political allegiances and operate within a particular moral and political perspective ?? Abrahamsen, 2005). It is precisely this notion of contestability that 230 has been banished from contemporary debates about democracy, and that we need to bring back. 231

To say that democracy is essentially contested, however, does not mean that it has no core features, but instead 232 that these features may differ in time and place. Nor does it necessarily entail a complete relativism, where any 233 practice or system can be labeled democratic, but leads instead towards a greater plurality and contestation of 234 forms and models of democracy. Following Charles Tilly, it is instructive to approach democracy as a particular 235 form of citizenship, and as such it combines broad and relatively equal citizenship with (a) binding consultation 236 of citizens in regard to state personnel and policies as well as (b) protection of citizens from arbitrary state action 237 (Tilly, 1997). Two points follow from this understanding. First, this approach to democracy takes the focus away 238 from particular institutional arrangements, and opens up the possibility that it might be about more than simply 239 elections and institutions, whereby democracy becomes a political system divorced from its broader social and 240 economic settings. It does not imply that elections are unimportant, but allows for the possibility that different 241 242 forms of institutional arrangements-not only party political competition-can promote democracy, participation 243 and accountability. As such, it allows us to see the possibility of different forms of democracy, perhaps drawing 244 on established democratic theories or models, or perhaps developing what some might want to call a specific African democracy, or different African democracies. 245

Second, this understanding of democracy does not specify a particular distribution of wealth, or absolute 246 equality, but it specifies instead equal claims on and from the state in a person's capacity as citizen. As such, 247 it refrains from linking democracy to a specific economic policy, but instead leaves that open to democratic 248 negotiation, something for each polity to decide. We are thus back to democracy and development policy, in that 249 it highlights the need for development actors and democracy promoters to allow and even support democratic 250 negotiation over economic policy to take place. In such a setting, we can see the possibility of the emergence of 251 economic policies that are more inclusive and more responsive to the needs of the majority of citizens. 252

My claim is not that this is a perfect understanding of democracy, nor the only one. For example, this 253 understanding ties democracy to citizenship, and thus leaves open the question of global democracy, the 254 democratisation of global institutions, and also the democratic rights of migrants as noncitizens. These are 255 important questions for democratic theory, and again highlight the need for debate on the very meaning of 256 257 democracy.

I began by saying that these have been hard times for democracy. Perhaps, as we enter the post-Bush era, we 258 are also looking forward to better times for democracy. Of course, security imperative are not likely to disappear 259 overnight, nor am I suggesting that some of these concerns are not also real and important. But this is an 260 opportune time to challenge the dynamics whereby democracy has come to be bound to security in ways that 261 whether intentionally or not have undermined and threatened democratic freedoms. If this is the case, then this 262 also an urgent time to restate the possibility of rethinking democracy and its relationship to development in a 263 more inclusive ways, and in ways that allow for multiple and plural ways of constructing democracy. As Ake 264 reminds us, 'Africans are seeking democracy as a matter of survival'. I believe this is still the case, and even if 265 in some countries public confidence in democracy is declining, most surveys confirm that African people show a 266 high degree of enthusiasm for democracy and that they believe the democratic form of government to be more 267 legitimate and more desirable than all other forms of governance.43 However, we need to ask the question of 268 what kind of democracy, or 'whose democracy'? In order to do so, it is useful to remind ourselves about the 269 contestability of democracy: Liberal democracy procedural democracy linked to market liberalism is only one of 270 many possible democracies in political theory. Africa's democratic experiment shows that much is to be gained 271 from exploring these alternatives. 272 ν.

273

#### 7 The Way Out of Security Threats Across the Sahara 274

The revolutions in the north have inspired sub-Saharan Africans. We can only hope the region's leaders take 275 note. Women demonstrate in the Ivory Coast to condemn the killings at last week's rally and demand Laurent 276 Gbagbo steps down. Photograph: Sia Kambou/AFP/Getty Images. As protests against authoritarian rule spread 277 throughout North Africa and the Middle East, I've been asked whether similar prodemocracy protests could take 278 279 place in sub-Saharan Africa too. At first glance, the conditions appear ripe. Many sub-Saharan Africans also 280 struggle daily with the consequences of poor governance, stagnating economies and dehumanizing poverty, and 281 rampant violations of human rights.

It's difficult for an outsider to know the local reasons why people in any society finally decide they've had 282 283 enough of their leaders and rise up against them. It's also dangerous to assume that revolutions occurring simultaneously have the same root causes. But certain factors do help explain the volatility in North Africa and 284 the relative quiet to the south -and why that may not persist indefinitely. The first is the idea of the nation itself, 285 along with regional identity. Because the great majority of peoples of North Africa and the Middle East are 286 Arabs, their ethnic, linguistic, religious, and cultural connections provide a degree of solidarity within and across 287

national boundaries. The majority think less along ethnic and more along lines of national identity. Al-Jazeera provides a wealth of information in the region's common language, Arabic, and allows one country's news to reach a broad regional swathe practically instantaneously.

Many in the younger generation are welleducated professionals, eager to make their voices heard. And in Tahrir 291 Square, we heard the protesters chant: "We are all Egyptians," no matter where they came from in Egypt, their 292 social status, or even their religion (Egypt has a small but significant population of Coptic Christians). That 293 sense of national identity was essential to their success. But that national spirit, sadly, is lacking in much of 294 sub-Saharan Africa. For decades, under colonial rule and since independence, many leaders have exploited their 295 peoples' ethnic rivalries and linguistic differences to sow division and maintain their ethnic group's hold on power 296 and the country's purse strings. To this day, in many such states, ethnicity has greater resonance than national 297 identity. Instead of encouraging inter-ethnic understanding and solidarity, leaders have set communities against 298 each other in a struggle for resources and power, making it difficult for citizens to join together for the national 299 interest. 300

A second factor is the role of the military. The Egyptian army's decision not to fire on protesters was key 301 to the success of the February revolution. Sadly, we couldn't expect the same in sub-Saharan Africa, where 302 in many -if not most -nations both police and army are sources of instability and rancor. Quite often soldiers 303 304 are hired, paid and promoted by the man in power. As a result, their first loyalty is not to the nation, but to 305 whoever is in the state house. In addition, the majority of the army's recruits may be drawn from the leader's 306 ethnic group, especially if the leader has been in power for many years. Since it isn't likely that the soldiers' micro-nation (tribe) would be demonstrating in the streets, it can be relatively easy for them to open fire on 307 protesters with a certain sense of impunity. More tragic evidence of this was provided last week when unarmed 308 women expressing their opinion about the disputed election in Ivory Coast were mown down by troops loyal to 309 the incumbent president. Not only was this a clear violation of human rights, but evidence of recklessness and 310 impunity, and the extreme lengths to which leaders will go to protect their power. 311

A third factor is the flow of information. North Africans' geographic proximity to Europe and the ability of 312 significant numbers to travel or study abroad have exposed them to other influences and horizons. Many have 313 access to the latest technology and the wherewithal to use social media to communicate and organize to great 314 effect. But the large majority of people in sub-Saharan Africa don't have access to the same levels of education, 315 or information and technology. It may be that their media are controlled by the state, or independent voices are 316 so worried about being harassed or shut down that they censor themselves or shy away from politics altogether. 317 These constraints make it difficult for ordinary citizens to understand how their governments operate, and less 318 able to calibrate the power of a united and determined people. 319

Finally, our people tend to tolerate poor governance and fear both their perceived lack of power and their 320 leaders. This year in North Africa enough people shed their fear of losing jobs and property, of reprisals, detention, 321 torture and even death. Until a critical mass does the same, its unlikely sub-Saharan The Age of Security and 322 Democracy, Nigerian Example: the Way Forward Africa will emulate the kind of "people power" we've seen in 323 the north. Even so, many sub-Saharan leaders must be paying close attention and asking themselves: "Could 324 it happen here -my people rising up against me?" Some will make changes, perhaps cosmetic, to appease their 325 populations; others may take bigger steps. One lesson I hope all will draw is that it's better to leave office respected 326 for working for what they believed was the common good, rather than risk being driven out, repudiated and 327 humiliated, by their own people. Even though internet-organized pro-democracy protests earlier this week in 328 Luanda, Angola's capital, were broken up by security forces and the protesters threatened with harsh reprisals 329 by a senior member of the ruling party -tactics we have seen used in numerous African regimes over the years 330 -the truth is that people are not rising up without reason. They are unhappy with how they are being governed 331 and have tried others methods to bring about change that haven't worked. 332

A wind is blowing. It is heading south, and won't be suppressed forever. In Ivory Coast, despite last week's 333 brutal attack, on the eve of International Women's Day hundreds of women marched to the spot where their 334 colleagues were killed, a clear demonstration that, slowly but surely, even Africans south of the Sahara will shed 335 their fear and confront their dictatorial leaders. The women's bravery will be an inspiration to others in Africa 336 and elsewhere. Eventually the information gap in sub-Saharan Africa will be bridged, partly because the world is 337 not closed anymore: al-Jazeera, CNN and mobile phones -all available in sub-Saharan Africa -mean information 338 can be transferred instantly. There is no doubt that those in the south are watching what's happening in the 339 north. I also hope that the extraordinary events in the north encourage all leaders to provide the governance, 340 development, equity and equality, and respect for human rights their people deserveand to end the culture of 341 impunity. If its member states are slow to recognize the inevitability of change, let us hope that the African 342 Union encourages heads of state to acknowledge that Africa cannot remain an island where leaders continue in 343 office for decades, depriving their people of their rights, violating their freedoms, and impoverishing them. 344

The way forward is that since in conflict and war, Africa and all its peoples lose. It would be so much better to see Africa awake and have revolutions brought about by the ballot box in free and fair elections, instead of by tanks and bullets. After all, this argument may not always apply to urban crime and violence, which, while considerably more complicated, both at the individual and societal level, is nevertheless not a preferred occupation if people have other opportunities that allow them to earn incomes to meet their needs.

# <sup>350</sup> 8 VI. The Threat of Urban Crime in Africa

Violent crime in Africa's cities is endemic and in many places worsening. Africa as a whole has a homicide rate 351 of 20 per 100,000 (in Europe it is 5.4, in North America 6.5, and in South America 25.9) (Henderson, 1993). 352 The problem is particularly severe in some urban areas. Kinshasa's homicide rate is estimated to be as high as 353 112 homicides per 100,000. The Nigerian police have recorded consistently rising rates of murder and attempted 354 murder over the last 20 years (Federal Office of Statistics (FOS), 2006). Rates of armed robbery in Africa are also 355 very high. In Nairobi, 37 percent of residents reported being victims. The rate is 27 percent in some Mozambican 356 cities and 21 percent in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC) (UNDP, 2005). Research at a Cape Town 357 hospital revealed that 94 percent of patients had at some point faced exposure to violence. South Africa Police 358 Service figures also show an alarming rise in sexual crimes, with 27 percent of men indicating they had committed 359 rape (Beall, 2008). 360

Whatever the accuracy of crime statistics in Africa, the perception of growing danger has generated widespread anxiety. In Lagos, Nigeria, 70 percent of respondents in a city-wide survey were fearful of being victims of crime. In Nairobi, more than half of the citizens worry about crime "all the time" or "very often (UNDP, 2005)." A World Bank study in Zambia uncovered such a significant fear of crime that it affected the work decisions of teachers.8 Anecdotal accounts among city dwellers across Africa indicate that urban crime rates have increased rapidly in the last two decades, contributing to pervasive fears that impede commerce, fray social capital, and undermine normal urban activity. Violent crime is a daily threat for many city dwellers.

Such high crime rates have many contributing factors. To a large extent they are not surprising given Africa's 368 poverty coupled with its proximity to wealth in cities. The continent's many protracted conflicts have also 369 undoubtedly played a role. Many African cities have either directly faced war or suffered the social and economic 370 consequences of conflict elsewhere in the country. These conflicts have produced violent political cultures and have 371 traumatized, divided, and further impoverished societies. They have also fostered the availability of firearms. The 372 percentage of city households claiming to own firearms in 2005 was 18.3 in South Africa, 22.1 in Namibia, 31.1 in 373 the DRC, and 56.3 in Burundi (Sachikonye, 2002). Global processes also lie behind Africa's rising urban crime. 374 375 While the continent's growing integration with international trade has introduced new commodities and market 376 opportunities, it also has attracted illicit businesses, protection rackets, smuggling, and money laundering. New 377 understandings of acceptable practices of livelihood formation have accompanied rising organized crime ?? The Economist, 2008). Now, the path to success is often perceived as having less to do with education and hard work 378 than with criminality, illicit deals, and trickery. 379 Africa's weak security services and large numbers of unemployed or underemployed people desperate to earn

Africa's weak security services and large numbers of unemployed or underemployed people desperate to earn a living make it an attractive base for international criminals. The United Nations (UN) Office on Drugs and Crime has identified West Africa, with its ineffective policing and bribable governments and security forces, as an emerging narcoregion that provides a convenient halfway stop for Latin American drug traders exporting to Europe. Such international crime offers insurgents, militias, extreme political groups, and terrorist organizations opportunities for financing their activities. For instance, Al Qaeda in the Islamic Maghreb (AQIM) is reported to allow, at a price, heavily armed convoys transporting drugs from West Africa across territory it controls (Hodder, 1987). Other terrorist groups based in Africa support themselves by kidnapping.

The upsurge in urban crime is aggravating other sources of instability. It deters the building of sustainable 388 political institutions, economic growth, and social reconciliation ??Igwe, 2010). High crime rates similarly 389 undermine trust in and respect for government, constraining its ability to provide leadership and foster popular 390 participation. These concerns, in turn, depress both domestic and international investment and further weaken 391 economic prospects. The growing threats to stability posed by these internally focused security challenges 392 underscore the expanding importance of Africa's police forces for national security. Indeed, there is a growing 393 recognition that Africa's security forces need to be realigned toward the police to better meet its contemporary 394 internal security challenges. 395

# 396 9 D D D D

# <sup>397</sup> 10 VII. The Weakness of Africa's Police

The sobering reality, however, is that the police in Africa have not had great success in dealing with urban crime. A difficult environment, the police force's traditional disinterest in the poor, and lack of resources both in terms of personnel and in skills and equipment hamper its ability to be effective.

Too often police presence in the high-density locations where most city dwellers live is only sporadic and the number of officers available is very small. Those who are available are commonly undertrained and may even lack literacy skills. Moreover, African governments often severely lack resources, institutional capacity, and in some cases control of territory. Available resources have commonly been tilted heavily toward the military over the police. This preference for the military has weakened the police, who lack management and technical skills, interagency coordination, communication equipment, transport, and even lighting, office space, filing cabinets, stationery, computers, uniforms, and forensic labs-all undermining effectiveness.

Compounding these challenges is a long history of police neglect, corruption, and impunity common in Africa, having its roots in part in coercive colonial policing practices. One continent-wide analysis argues that the police in most African countries are "significantly brutal, corrupt, inefficient, unresponsive and unaccountable to the generality of the population (Kruijt and Koonings, 1999)." Indeed, multiple reports from Amnesty International,
the International Bar Association, the Commonwealth Human Rights Initiative, and other respected international
research institutes have frequently documented and criticized police behavior across the continent ??HRW, 2008).
Afro barometer data found that only a minority of citizens in countries such as Benin, Zambia, Nigeria, South

415 Africa, and Kenya trusted their police force "a lot" or "somewhat."

When police agencies in Africa are working in post conflict situations, they face an even tougher environment. 416 Commonly, in the immediate aftermath, it is found that police personnel have abandoned their posts, been killed, 417 or are no longer suitable for further employment because they committed human rights abuses. For instance, 418 during Sierra Leone's civil war approximately 900 police officers were killed and a considerable number suffered 419 amputation. As a result, the size of the police force was reduced from 9,317 to 6,600 such that for years after 420 the civil war, police commanders across Sierra Leone reported a serious lack of officers, vehicles, land phones, 421 and accommodation for the officers (HRW, 2008). Thus, in a postconflict situation there is a double dilemma. 422 On the one hand, mechanisms of social order have been undermined, poverty has been exacerbated, and there 423 is a surfeit of weapons and unemployed excombatants. On the other hand, the available resources and security 424 personnel have been reduced, and respect for the agencies may have been diminished still further because of 425 conflict abuses. 426

Many African governments have introduced new police restructuring, training, and oversight bodies but with limited success. Accordingly, few citizens expect a rapid transformation of policing and police forces. Rather, many continue to doubt their governments' ability and willingness to finance the The Age of Security and Democracy, Nigerian Example: the Way Forward necessary steps that promise police availability, accountability, and integrity, effectiveness, and community partnership. Their scepticism is reinforced by continuing media accounts of police abuse and collaboration with criminals and citizens' daily encounters paying bribes to police to allow them through traffic check points or to investigate crimes.

This experience has driven many citizens to look elsewhere for protection. As one Nairobi citizen said, "If you do not make an extra arrangement for security beyond what the state provides, then you are vulnerable to attacks

<sup>436</sup> ." In short, official police protection is insufficient to address the growing violence experienced in many African

437 cities. Even local police commanders recognize the need to supplement their weakly performing personnel.

# <sup>438</sup> 11 VIII. A Program for Tackling Urban Crime

Programs for addressing urban crime in Africa must take into account two facts: One, the state police are 439 too weak to undertake the task of crime prevention and investigation by themselves. Two, there are in fact 440 many non-state actors who currently provide the majority of everyday policing in cities. To establish a state 441 442 police service sufficiently large and equipped to serve all citizens would take years and would be beyond many 443 African state budgets to achieve or to sustain. Conversely, supporting non-state actors already on the ground 444 and who meet certain standards is much less costly and likely to be more sustainable. What is needed, then, is a coordinated program of targeted assistance for community-based and commercial nonstate policing in addition 445 446 to the support given to state policing (Paddy, 1999). Such a program would not need to start from scratch with unfamiliar actors but could draw on existing though often overlooked successful local partnerships that 447 contribute tangible results and efficiencies. By facilitating such partnerships, international donors can also 448 help address concerns of poor and marginalized communities that make up sizable portions of Africa's growing 449 urban areas. Partnerships also prevent nonstate actors and state police from being totally autonomous and 450 acting with impunity. Through semiformal partnerships, non-state actors more often integrate and conform to 451 generally accepted policing standards (Paddy, 1999). State-non-state policing partnerships also boost efficiency 452 453 and performance. Some might fear that support to non-state actors will divert precious resources away from formal policing. 454

However, most non-state actors require fairly minimal support. They do not use expensive buildings, 455 computers, and vehicles or pay high salaries. A small investment in non-state actors produces benefits for 456 the state police in terms of increased personnel on the ground and enhanced intelligence. Moreover, this can be 457 done alongside state police capacity building initiatives. As such, it constitutes no significant threat to police 458 productivity. On the contrary, partnership permits a division of labour where the police can concentrate on 459 their most essential functions and make use of their special skills, authority, and expertise while non-state actors 460 can undertake their own low-level and everyday policing needs (with backup support from state police in cases 461 where they cannot cope). To better capitalize on these advantages, several priority steps should be taken as ways 462 463 forward such as: 1. Know the actors and set benchmarks for partnership.

It is vital first to map non-state policing groups, for it is not always obvious who is working in the field, what 464 465 they are doing, and how. From such a mapping exercise it is important to identify the policing groups who should 466 be supported. Reliable and effective non-state partners will be those groups most open to reform and, above all, those that enjoy widespread local support. Non-state police actors will perform best when they are perceived as 467 legitimate and effective by those they are policing. However, the bar of acceptability should not be set so high 468 as to require a non-state group to meet current international standards. After all, few police forces in Africa 469 would qualify by that criterion. What is important is that a policing group has local credibility, is not criminal 470 or abusive, and is open to reform. 471

# 472 12 Devise performance guidelines and supervisory

mechanisms. An overarching framework of policing standards to guide performance, procedures, jurisdictions, 473 interventions, and other regular activities of non-state policing actors should be developed. An accreditation 474 program that acknowledges demonstrable knowledge and skills of non-state actors would also be beneficial. 475 It could offer a degree of legitimacy to the non-state actors and opportunities to monitor and improve their 476 performance. Accredited non-state policing groups that sign up to a framework of standards could also be held 477 accountable by city-wide structures. Drawing on the Cape Town Partnership model, state police would play 478 a city-wide supervisory and coordinating role. They would receive reports of threatening activity, request a 479 response from non-state policing groups, and determine when the situation demands for the state police to be 480 called in. 481

It is important to acknowledge that it is not just the non-state actors that should have their standards The Age of Security and Democracy, Nigerian Example: the Way Forward raised. The skills of both partners need to improve. Both sides are then likely to increasingly respect and trust one another and both will gain the support of the people when they demonstrate that they are responsive to local needs and skilled in their respective areas of specialization. This would entail non-state actors solving routine local problems of crime and disorder. The police, in turn, will focus on specialized or more complex criminal investigations and handling major problems.

488 Year 2013

The Age of Security and Democracy, Nigerian Example: the Way Forward  $^{1\ 2\ 3\ 4\ 5\ 6}$ 





489

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Year 2013 © 2013 Global Journals Inc. (US)The Age of Security and Democracy, Nigerian Example: the Way Forward

 $<sup>^2 {\</sup>rm Year}$  2013 © 2013 Global Journals Inc. (US)<br/>The Age of Security and Democracy, Nigerian Example: the Way Forward

 $<sup>^3 {\</sup>rm Year}$  2013 © 2013 Global Journals Inc. (US) The Age of Security and Democracy, Nigerian Example: the Way Forward

 $<sup>^4 {\</sup>rm Year}$  2013 © 2013 Global Journals Inc. (US) The Age of Security and Democracy, Nigerian Example: the Way Forward

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Year 2013 © 2013 Global Journals Inc. (US)The Age of Security and Democracy, Nigerian Example: the Way Forward

 $<sup>^{6}</sup>$ © 2013 Global Journals Inc. (US) 25.

- 490 [Cdhr], Lagos Cdhr.
- [Ash (2002)] Garton Ash, T. the Terror Trap', the Guardian, 2002. 17 October.
- [Blair (2001)] T Blair . Speech to the Labour Party Conference'. October, (London) 2001. October 3. 2 p. 16.
   (Reprinted in the Guardian)
- [Aalen and Tronvold ()] 'Briefing: The 2002 Ethiopian Local Elections: The Return of Electoral Authoritarian ism'. L Aalen , K Tronvold . African Affairs 2009. 108 (430) p. .
- <sup>496</sup> [Beall ()] 'Cities, State Fragility/Resilience and Development: Frameworks, Findings and Thoughts Aloud'. Jo
   <sup>497</sup> Beall . LSE, www.crisisstates.com Crisis States Research Centre 2008.
- [Alemika ()] Corruption, Governance Performance and Political Trust in Nigeria, E E O Alemika . 2004.
   University of Cape Town, Centre for Social Science Research (Working Paper No. 77)
- [Alemika ()] Corruption, Governance Performance and Political Trust in Nigeria, E E O Alemika . 2004.
   University of Cape Town, Centre for Social Science Research (Working Paper No. 77)
- <sup>502</sup> [Cosgrave ()] J Cosgrave . The Impact of the War on Terror on Aid Flows, (London) 2004.
- <sup>503</sup> [De Sola ()] P De Sola . Contemporary Political Science: Towards Empirical Theory, (New York) 1967. McGraw <sup>504</sup> Hill. p. 26.
- 505 [Ake ()] Democracy and Development in Africa, C Ake . 1996. Brookings Institute, Washington DC. p. 139.
- [Tilly ()] 'Democracy is a Lake'. C Tilly . Roads from Past to Future Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, (Lanham, Maryland) 1997. p. 198.
- Sachikonye ()] 'Democracy, Sustainable Development and Poverty'. L M Sachikonye . Development Policy
   Management Forum 2002. UNECA; Ethiopia.
- [Abrahamsen ()] Disciplining Democracy: Development Discourse and Good Governance in Africa, R Abraham sen . 2000. London: Zed Books.
- [Blair (1999)] Doctrine of the International Community', speech delivered to the Economic Club in Chicago, T
   Blair . 1999. April 24. London: Neoconservatism, Atlantic Books. p. . (Reprinted in Stelzer, I.)
- <sup>514</sup> [Gavas ()] EC Aid: At the Ferefront of Poverty Reduction or Global Security?, M Gavas . 'BOND2006. (www. <sup>515</sup> bond.org 2006.
- <sup>516</sup> [Igwe ()] Electoral Violence and Democratization in Ibadan, Nigeria, an M.Phil. thesis submitted to the
   <sup>517</sup> Department of Sociology, D O Igwe . 2011. Nigeria. p. 5. University of Ibadan
- 518 [Eleme-nts of Political Science] *Eleme-nts of Political Science*, Lagos Malthouse Press Ltd.
- [Straw (2002)] Failed and Failing States', speech to the European Research Institute, J Straw. www.Fco.gov.uk
   2002. 6 September 2002. Birmingham.
- <sup>521</sup> [Dfid ()] Fighting Poverty to Build a Safer World: A Strategy for Security and Development' DFID, Dfid . 2005.
   <sup>522</sup> London. p. 13.
- <sup>523</sup> [Hodder ()] B W Hodder . Africa Today: A Short Introduction to African Affairs, (Methuen, London) 1987. p.
   <sup>524</sup> 119.
- [Lumumba-Kasongo ()] Liberal Democracy and its Critics in Africa. Political Dysfunction and the Struggle for
   Social Progress, T Lumumba-Kasongo . 2005. London: Zed Books. p. 201.
- [Lump together and like it The Economist ()] 'Lump together and like it'. The Economist 2008. p. . (8-14
   November)
- 529 [Huysmans] Minding Exceptions, J Huysmans.
- [National Integrated Survey Household Federal Office of Statistics (FOS) ()] 'National Integrated Survey
   Household'. Federal Office of Statistics (FOS) 2006. FGN Press.
- [Nigeria: Elections threatened by Violence and abuse of Power Human Right Watch ()] 'Nigeria: Elections
   threatened by Violence and abuse of Power'. *Human Right Watch* 2008. 2009. HRW.
- [Almond ()] Political development: Essays in heuristic theory. Little Brown and company, G A Almond . 1970.
   Boston, MA. p. 232.
- 536 [Agbaje (ed.) ()] Political Parties and Pressure Groups, A B Agbaje . Anifowose, R. and Enemuo, F. (ed.) 1999.
- [Agbaje ()] 'Political Parties and Pressure Groups'. A B Agbaje . *Elements of Political Science*, R Anifowose, F
   Enemuo (ed.) 1999. Lagos Malthouse Press Ltd.
- [Henderson ()] 'Population pressures and political repression'. C W Henderson . Social Science Quarterly 1993.
   74 p. .
- [Whitaker ()] Reluctant Partners: Fighting Terrorism and Promoting Democracy in Kenya', International
   Studies Perspective 9, B E Whitaker . 2008. p. .

### 12 DEVISE PERFORMANCE GUIDELINES AND SUPERVISORY

- [Koonings and Kruijt ()] Societies of Fear: The Legacy of Civil War, Violence and Terror in Latin America, K
   Koonings, D Kruijt. 1999. London: Zed Books.
- [Paddy (ed.) ()] The roles of the police and other seculy options cult menace and campus Brigandage; in citadels
   of violence by, O O Paddy . Jiti O.M., Segun. J. and Eni-A. (ed.) 1999.
- [33. US Government (2002)] 'The Security Strategy of the United States of America, The White House'. United
   Nations Development Programme 33. US Government (ed.) 2005. 2005. 2002. September, preface. (Human
   Development Report)
- 550 [Emerson (ed.) ()] The State of the Nation: Constraints on Development in Independent Africa, R Emerson.
- M.F. Lofchie (ed.) 1971. Berkley, CA: University of Caalifornia Press. p. 250. (The Prospect for Democracy
   in Africa)
- [Cambell (2002)] 'Time's Broken: The Return of the Past in the Response to'. D Cambell . Theory and Event
   2002. September 11. 5.