Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.* 

# Demographic Predictors of Sexual Risk Susceptibility among Undergraduates in Two Universities in Nigeria

Dr. S.E. Oladipo<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Tai Solarin University of Education, Ijagun, Ijebu Ode, Ogun State, Nigeria.

Received: 12 December 2011 Accepted: 2 January 2012 Published: 15 January 2012

## 7 Abstract

This study investigated gender, religious affiliation, institution of learning and academi c level
as factors predicting sexual risk susceptibility among university undergraduates drawn from
two Univer -sities in South-Western Nigeria.2. Methods : Using an ex-post facto survey

<sup>11</sup> research design and random sampling methods, a total of 320 participants were selected for

 $_{12}$  the study. 118 (36.9

3

4

5

Introduction n observation of events as they unfold on a global scale has constantly affirmed that the Human Immune Deficiency Virus (HIV) and Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome (AIDS) are global health problems with serious medical, social, economic and psychological implications. The World Health Organization estimated that about 33.4 million people worldwide are infected with HIV ??WHO, 2000). The pathetic side of the story is that, 22.5 million of the estimated people who are infected live in the sub-Saharan Africa. It has been reported that, although Sub-Saharan Africa contains only 10% of the world's population, it accounts for more than two thirds of the worlds HIV infected people (DeCock, Mbori-Ngacha, & Marum, 2002).

In establishing the fact that Africa has been hit hard by the 'hydra-headed monster' of HIV/AIDS, Adam and 22 Mutungi, (2007) have also noted further that; of the more than 25 million people who have died from HIV/AIDS 23 worldwide, more than 14 million are from Africa. In other words, 56% of the total death from HIV/AIDS 24 worldwide is from Africa. Within the West African sub-region the HIV prevalence rate ranges between 2% -8%, 25 with the exception of Cote d'Ivoire and Togo, reporting rates of 8% -32%. Senegal, on the other hand, is below 26 27 3%. However, the likelihood of adults in sub-Sahara Africa becoming HIV infected is ten times greater than for 28 an adult in North America and 20 times greater than an adult in Western Europe ??WHO, 2000). This therefore portends that it is a problem that demands urgent attention in order to forestall further spread of the virus. 29

With a population of about 150 million people, Nigeria is the most populous African nation and in Nigeria, 30 31 research has shown that the HIV epidemic is growing at an alarming rate, with sero-prevalence rates increasing from 0.9% in 1990 to 1.8% in 1992, 3.8% in 1994, 4.5% in 1996, and 5.4% in 1999 ??Federal Ministry of Health, 32 1996). In specific sub-populations the rates are very significantly higher. For example, Esu-Williams et al ??1997) 33 reported that in 2,300 subjects from five states in Nigeria, HIV appears in over 60% of female commercial sex 34 workers, 8% of male clients of commercial sex workers, 8% of blood donors, 9% of truck drivers, and 21% of STD 35 patients. While the HIV epidemic may have been slower to impact Nigeria than many other African countries, 36 37 these rates suggest that HIV prevalence is high and widely distributed in Nigerian society (Ezedinachi et al.,

<sup>13</sup> 

<sup>14</sup> *Index terms*— Demographic, Sexual risk, Susceptibility, Undergraduates.

<sup>38 2002).</sup> 

Because of their sexual behaviors, Nigerian youths between the ages of 15 and 24 years, like their counterparts in the West, are the most vulnerable groups to HIV/AIDS. Although the data may be a decade old, it is still disturbing to learn of a 10% prevalence rate among Nigerian youths ages 15-24 years (Makinwa, Adebusoye & Pauline, 1991) and there is no reason to believe that the rate today is not significantly higher. Similarly, Olayele et al (1993) found the highest prevalence rate in their study sample among 20-29 year olds. In the absence of extensive HIV sentinel studies, no one is sure of the accurate rate of HIV Based on the foregoing, it is established that the most vulnerable set of people to HIV/AIDSA are the youths. In fact, college/university

<sup>46</sup> students have been particularly identified as the most at risk for engaging in sexually risky behaviours (Cohen,

### 2 THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

2009). Approximately 80-90% of college students report being sexually active and only one third report using condoms on a regular basis (Abbey, Parkhill, Buck &Saenz, 2007 andEisenberg, 2001). Abbey, et al., (2007) and Eisenberg (2001) reported that college students are likely to have multiple sexual partners, averaging six or more partners, which invariably increases their sexual risk susceptibility. According to Gullette and Lyons (2006), college students may engage in unprotected intercourse, have multiple sex partners, attend wild parties, seek novel social experiences and thereby expose themselves to serious risks and dangers. It is therefore necessary to pay attention to them, with the aim of helping them out of their risky sexual behaviours and for them to live a wholesome life.

While many populations in Nigeria are at risk for HIV infection, college and university students, due to unsafe 55 sexual behaviors, experimentation with alcohol and drugs, and failure to see themselves at risk of infection, are 56 particularly vulnerable to this disease (Ubuane et al., 1999). The poor economic conditions in Nigeria exert great 57 pressure on young people to engage in unsafe sexual activities and many youths, especially females who are in 58 the universities, have turned to commercial sex work to supplement their income (e.g., to help pay their fees at 59 school, take care of themselves and lots more). In many cases, wealthy older men, referred to as "sugar daddies," 60 entice these young women with money to have unprotected sex. Such circumstances may have contributed to 61 HIV/AIDS infection among youths (Eke-Huber, 2000). 62

63 Risky sexual behavior among university students remains a serious problem and these behaviors may even 64 be on the increase (Pluhar, Fongillo, Stycos & Dempster-McClain, 2003). Casual sex is common on university 65 campuses and hook-ups are considered a normal sexual practice among this category of students too (Grello, Welsh & Harper, 2006; Paul & Hayes, 2002). A significant percentage of college students have reported engaging in risky 66 sexual behavior, such as not engaging in safe sex communication, using drugs or alcohol prior to or during sexual 67 activity, having sex with multiple partners and inconsistently using condoms during sexual intercourse (Baldwin 68 & Baldwin, 2000; Plannery, Ellingson, Votaw & Schaefer, 2003 and Gullette & Lyons, 2006). Although, the report 69 given above is from the West, it can be generalized based on the influence of Globalization, a phenomenon that has 70 transferred a lot of foreign practices to the developing countries in the name of civilization and Westernization. 71 It is no longer news that sexual risk taking can lead to a number of negative consequences, which may include 72 damage to social reputations, health problems, unintended pregnancies and sexually transmitted infections (STIs), 73 including HIV/AIDS. In 2001 in the United States of America, the rates of unintended pregnancies were highest 74 among women aged 18-24 years, compared to other age groups, with 1 out of 10 women reporting an unintended 75 76 pregnancy ??Finer & Headshaw, 2006). This is particularly pathetic because; pregnant adolescents may become adolescent mothers, who drop out of school and face economic disadvantage. Similar outcomes (as reported 77 78 above) may occur in less-developed countries such as Nigeria where this present study is carried out.

Because sexuality is an important aspect of one's life and can alter an individual's familial, societal, and cultural 79 environment as a whole (Askun & Ataca, 2007), studying risky sexual behaviors is important because they (such 80 risky behaviors) can threaten both physical well-being and social interactions (Miller et al., 2004). The choice 81 of university students as participants in this study has been because they have been identified as a vulnerable 82 group (Adam and Mutungi, 2007). Secondly, university students represent the future business, educational, and 83 government leaders of any country; the potential to multiply the impact of an effective intervention in university 84 students is high because they will graduate and move into all regions of the country (Adam, and Mutungi, 2007). 85 The study will be significant in using the findings to provide data regarding the predisposing characteristics to 86 sexual risk susceptibility among university students with the view to suggesting steps to reducing or eliminating 87

88 sexual risk susceptibility among this set of population.

## 89 1 II.

47

48

49 50

51

52

53

54

## <sup>90</sup> 2 Theoretical Background

Adolescent risk-taking behavior can be analyzed from several different perspectives. Risk-taking theories based
on dispositional traits examine individual differences between persons that might account for a propensity to
take risks (Kaplan, 1980;Botvin, 1986;McCord, 1990; Petersen, Compas, Brooks-Gunn, Stemmler, Ey, & Grant,
1993). However, although it is established that an individual's dispositional traits can greatly influence his risk
taking propensity, most of the researches in this area are not conclusive enough to state that dispositional traits
are causal factors in adolescent risk-taking ??Milistein & Igra, 1995).

97 Biological models of adolescent risk-taking examine genetic factors, neuro-endocrine influences, and pubertal 98 events (Irwin & Millstein, 1986; Cloninger, 1987; Udry, 1988Udry, , 1990)). Another approach entails using 99 the developmental perspective to explain risk-taking in Another perspective is to examine stable differences such as sensation-seeking or locus of control (Zuckerman, Eysenck, & Eysenck, 1978; ??ilistein & Igra, 1995). 100 Bronfenbrenner's (1979) ecological theory describes the social world of adolescents in several microcosms of 101 contact. Parental monitoring of adolescent behavior has also been associated with adolescent risk-taking (Millstein 102 & Igra, 1995). In reviewing the theories, it appears that none offers conclusive insight into the risk-taking behavior 103 of adolescents, hence, the eclectic approach is applied regarding the theoretical application. 104

#### III. 3 105

#### **Review of Empirical Studies** 4 106

In general, involvement in high-risk activities has been positively associated with personality factors, such as social 107 maladjustment, and with perceived benefit of risk (Lavery, Siegel, Cousins, & Rubovits, 1993). Researchers 108 reported that persons who engaged in high-risk behaviors had higher scores on such variables as: affiliation, 109 desirability, dominance, exhibition, and self-esteem and they exhibited significantly higher sexual risk, smoking 110 risk, driver and passenger risk, venturesomeness, and impulsiveness (Jackson, 1984; Moore & Rosenthal, 1993). 111 In other words, these researchers are of the opinion that personal psychological factors of an individual as well 112 as the perceived benefit to be derived from the risk taken can motivate the person into risky behaviors. 113

In a study conducted by Adam and Mutungi, (2007) to examine sexual risk behavior among Kenyan university 114 students; a total of 1,917 participants were sampled and they reported that more males than females had earlier 115 sexual debut than their female counterparts. In other words, they are more at risk than the females. These 116 authors also found that students' year at the university affected their sexual behaviour, for both genders rates of 117 sexual activity varied with their year at the university. 118

In a study by Adam and Mutungi, (2007); Fischtein, Herold, and Desmarais (2007), the researchers concluded 119 120 that men thought about sex more frequently than did women, were more likely to engage in oral sex, and lost 121 their virginity at a younger age. Specifically, those persons who were single, had higher education, and did not 122 attend religious services on a regular basis were more likely to engage in risky sexual practices. (In other words, what Fischtein et al. (2007) are saying is that, participants' marital status, academic level, and level of religiosity 123 influenced their sexual risk susceptibility) 124

The progression from thoughts of sexual activities and reality was not discussed. The researchers also noted 125 that a larger difference exists between the number of lifetime partners between males and females, with males 126 reporting higher numbers of sexual partners than females. However, it is important to note that the researchers 127 found that men and women may be using different strategies to determine the number of partners with whom 128 they have had intercourse, and, therefore, this discrepancy may account for some of the gender differences within 129 the literature. 130

Based on the foregoing, the following hypotheses were stated and tested in this study. 131

? Undergraduates who are males will be significantly more susceptible to sexual risk than female undergrad-132 uates. ? Religious affiliation of students will significantly predict their sexual risk susceptibility ? Students' 133 institution of learning will significantly influence their susceptibility to sexual risk. ? Students' academic level 134 will have significant influence on their sexual risk susceptibility. 135 IV.

136

#### Method a) Design 5 137

The study adopted an ex-post facto survey research design which was seemed appropriate for the study because no 138 variable was consciously or deliberately manipulated in the study, they had already occurred and were measured 139 as such. 140

#### b) Participants 6 141

Participants in this study were 320 undergraduates who were randomly selected from two Universities in South-142 Western Nigeria. 143

#### 7 c) Instrument 144

Instrument of data collection used for this study was the 4-item, Sexual Risks Scale -Perceived Susceptibility 145 (SRSP), which was developed by DeHart and Birkimer (1997). The scale is in the likert format, with responses 146 ranging from Strongly disagree (1), Disagree (2), Neutral (3), Agree (4), Strongly agree (5). The authors reported 147 an Alpha reliability co-efficient of .84, while for this study an Alpha reliability co-efficient of .78 was recorded. 148 Demographic data was collected in the first part of the questionnaire which was included for the purpose of this 149 study. A mean score and scores below the mean are interpreted as low sexual risk susceptibility, while a score 150 above the mean is regarded as high sexual risk susceptibility. 151

#### d) Research Ethics Committee Approval 8 152

Before the administration of the questionnaires on participants, the proposed work was submitted to the 153 University's Research Ethics Committee for approval.( D D D D ) E Year 154

155 The approval was given for the conduct of the research and this was used in the two schools where samples 156 were drawn for the study. Though it took about three weeks before the committee gave the approval, which eventually elongated the proposed time-line for the conduct of the research. e) Administration of Questionnaire 157 Contacts were made in the Universities that were used in this study, and assistance was sought from lecturers 158 in speaking with the students and seeking their willingness to participate in the research. Those who indicated 159 interest in participating were included in the research. Research instrument was administered to students on 160 an agreed date. This was possible because the students had already been approached and intimated with the 161

research aims and objectives and those who indicated interest in participating in the study were informed of the date and venue of the test. On the agreed date, test instrument was administered to participants and retrieved on the same day.

165 V.

## 166 9 Results

Four hypotheses were stated in all, the t-test for independent samples was used to analyse hypotheses 1 and 2, 167 while the univariate analysis was done for hypotheses 3 & 4. The result of analysis is presented in this section. 168 The result shows that gender is a significant predictor of sexual risk susceptibility among undergraduates (df 169 = 318, t = 3.2, p = <.05). The mean difference showed that male students were more susceptible to sexual 170 171 risk than females. In other words, male undergraduates are more ready to take sexual risks than their female 172 counterparts. The second hypothesis was also accepted; i.e. religious affiliation was a significant predictor of sexual risk susceptibility (df = 2, ms = .81, F=3.41, p <.05). The third hypothesis which stated that Students' 173 institution of learning will significantly influence their susceptibility to sexual risk was accepted also (df = 318, 174 t = -2.96, p = <.001). However, the fourth hypothesis which stated that Students' academic level will have 175 significant influence on their sexual risk susceptibility (df = 3, ms = .24, F= 1, 02, p > .05) was rejected since the 176 result of analysis did not show any significant influence. It therefore follows that the level in which a student is 177 (i.e. whether first, second, third or fourth year) does not necessarily influence his level of sexual risk susceptibility. 178

# 179 **10 VI.**

## 180 11 Discussion

More males than females are more susceptible to sexual risk. The mean difference showed significant difference 181 182 between male and female undergraduates. This is in line with the findings of Adam et al., (2007) and Fischtein 183 et al., (2007) who in different researches, carried out at different locations reported that male students are more prone to sexual risk than their female counterparts. One may deduce from the findings that, sexuality of young 184 185 people appears to be the same all over the world, despite differences in location, culture and other such variables. In other words, the possibility of generalizing possible solution for the amelioration of sexual risk behavior is 186 high. Because of their adventurous nature, male ego and possibly the cultural practice that has always put the 187 male above the female; male students may see themselves as manifesting their masculinity and sociability (as it 188 189 is more or less supported and portrayed in the culture), by having many sex partners. It is not news that men in most African setting marry more than one wife at the same time, while it is considered an absurdity for a 190 woman to be married to more than one husband at the same time. This is more of the practical manifestation 191 of Bandura's Social Learning theory. One can say that the male undergraduates have learnt vicariously from the 192 193 models they have in the society i.e. married men who have more than one sex partners, hence their vulnerability to sexual risks than their female counterparts. 194

The result of data analysis also showed that those who did not affiliate with any religious organization, or 195 did not show any serious religious commitment are more susceptible to sexual risk. This is also in line with 196 the previous finding of Fischtein et al. (2007) who have reported that an individual's level of religiosity will 197 significantly influence his sexual risk behavior. For the present study, it was discovered that those who are 198 not affiliated with any religious group are significantly more susceptible to sexual risk than those who have 199 religious affiliation. Although, there is a dearth of literature on this particular variable in relation to sexual 200 201 risk susceptibility, yet it seems logical to explain that religion plays a very significant role in the inculcation 202 of moral values in its adherents. Every religion (Christianity, Islam and Traditional), teaches morality and sanctity, particularly with regard to one's sexual life and practices. It is taught with such emphasis that sexual 203 promiscuity attracts severe punishment from God. Hence it is not expected of any faithful to get involved in 204 sexual immorality. This way, religion has attempted to curb or reduce sexual risk among its adherents and this 205 could therefore explain the finding that more people who do not have religious affiliation are significantly more 206 susceptible to sexual risk than those who have religious affiliation. 207

Result also showed that the third hypothesis is accepted, i.e. Institution of learning significantly influenced 208 participants' sexual risk susceptibility. As mentioned above, samples for the study were drawn from two 209 universities in Southwestern Nigeria. One of the Universities operates and enforces dress codes for the students, 210 while the other university does not. It was discovered that more participants from the university where dress 211 212 code was not in used were significantly 2012 (DDDD) E Year higher on sexual risk susceptibility than those 213 who are in the university where there is dress code. It is only logical to say that, since the school authorities 214 are monitoring students' dressing, to the point that whoever is caught flaunting the rule is punished severely, 215 many students (particularly females) were deterred from dressing indecently and this invariably reduced if not completely eradicated provocative dressing on campus hence the eradication of rape and other forms of sexual 216 harassment on campus. On the other hand, on a campus where everyone is free to dress 'anyhow', more students 217 dressed provocatively and thereby increase the incidences of rape, sexual assault and similar offences on campus. 218 The result thus suggests that dress code in the university may significantly influence sexual risk behavior of 219 university undergraduates. 220

Finally, the fourth hypothesis was rejected. This is because the result of analysis showed that students' 221 academic level did not significantly influence their sexual risk susceptibility. This implies that, the class of a 222 student i.e. whether in first year (100level) or second year (200level) or even higher levels (300 and 400levels) is 223 not a significant factor that influences undergraduates' sexual risk susceptibility. It sounds more like sexual risky 224 behavior is not a behavior that is acquired on campus per se, it is more of a thing that must have been innate 225 in the individuals involved. Care has to be taken however not to overlook the possibility of peer influence on 226 students on campus (although that is beyond the scope of this present study, it could be a suggestion that such 227 variable as peer influence could be included in further studies). 228

# 229 **12 VII.**

# 230 13 Recommendations

I will love to suggest that university authorities should pay more attention to male students on campus in order to educate and re-orientate them for cognitive and behavioral change that will be geared towards reducing their sexual risk susceptibility. This does not however mean that the females should be completely left out.

It is also recommended that university authorities should consider the introduction of dress code on campus with the aim of reducing provocative dressing that has been found to account for sexual harassments and other offenses on campus.

Although religion has generated so much crisis and brouhaha at different times and different parts of the country, the fact remains that if it is well practiced, it has its own advantages, particularly in the area of moral development and the teaching of virtues that are opposed to sexual promiscuity.

# <sup>240</sup> **14 VIII.**

# 241 **15** Conclusion

It is interesting to conclude that demographic variables of sex, religious affiliation, and institution of study are significant predictors of sexual risk susceptibility among university undergraduates. However, level of students

in school does not have significant influence on their sexual risk susceptibility.  $^{12}$  <sup>3</sup>



Figure 1:

244

 $<sup>^1 @</sup>$  2012 Global Journals Inc. (US)

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$ © 2012 Global Journals Inc. (US)

 $<sup>^3 @</sup>$  2012 Global Journals Inc. (US)

## 15 CONCLUSION

- 245 [Archives Of Sexual Behavior], Archives Of Sexual Behavior 36 p. .
- 246 [Cambridge], Ma Cambridge. Harvard University Press.
- [ Depression In Adolescence. American Psychologist] , Depression In Adolescence. American Psychologist 48 p.
   .
- 249 [American Sociology Review] , American Sociology Review 53 p. .
- <sup>250</sup> [Moore and Rosenthal ()], S M Moore, D A Rosenthal. 1993.
- 251 [Petersen et al. ()], A C Petersen, B E Compas, J Brooks-Gunn, M Stemmler, S Ey, K E Grant. 1993.
- 252 [Lavery et al. ()] 'Adolescent Risk-Taking: An Analysis Of Problem Behaviors In Problem Children'. B Lavery ,
- A W Siegel, J H Cousins, D S Rubovits. Journal Of Experimental Child Psychology 1993. 55 p. .
- [Flannery et al. ()] 'Anal Intercourse And Sexual Risk Factors Among College Women'. D Flannery, L Ellingson
   , K Votaw , E Schaefer . American Journal Of Health And Behavior 2003. 1993-2000. 7 (3) p. .
- [Venturesomeness] 'And Risky Behavior Among Older Adolescents'. Impulsiveness Venturesomeness . Perceptual
   And Motor Skills 76 p. 98.
- 258 [Feldman G. R. Elliott (ed.)] At The Threshold: The Developing Adolescent, Feldman & G. R. Elliott (ed.) p. .
- <sup>259</sup> [Udry ()] Biological Predispositions And Social Control In Adolescent Sexual Behavior, J R Udry . 1988.
- [Udry ()] 'Biosocial Models of Adolescent Problem Behaviors'. J R Udry . Social Biology 1990. 37 p. .
- 261 [Pluhar et al. ()] 'Changes Over Time In College Students' Family Planning Knowledge, Preference, And Be-
- haviour And Implications For Contraceptive Education And Prevention Of Sexually Transmitted Infections'.
  E I Pluhar , E A Fongillo , J M Stycos , D Dempster-Mcclain . College Student Journal 2003. 37 p. .
- [Abbey et al. ()] 'Condom Use With A Casual Partner: What Distinguishes College Students'Use When
   Intoxicated?'. A Abbey , M Parkhill , P Buck , C Saenz . Psychology Of Addictive Behaviour 2007. 21
- 266 (1) p. .
- 267 [Kaplan ()] Deviant Behavior In Defense Of Self, H B Kaplan . 1980. New York: Academic Press.
- [Eisenberg ()] 'Differences in sexual risk behaviors between college students with same-sex and opposite-sex
   experience: Results from a national study'. M Eisenberg . Archives of Sexual Behavior 2001. 30 (6) p. .
- [Finer and Henshaw ()] 'Disparities In Rates Of Unintended Pregnancy In The United States'. L B Finer , S K
   Henshaw . Perspectives on Sexual and Reproductive Health 2006. 1994 And 2001. 38 p. .
- [Eke-Huber ()] 'Empowering Nigerian female adolescents in safe sex practices: Health Education strategies'. E
   A Eke-Huber . Nigerian Journal of Empirical Studies in Psychology and Education 2000. 1 (3) p. .
- [Baldwin and Baldwin ()] 'Heterosexual Anal Intercourse: An Understudied High-Risk Sexual Behaviour'. J A
   Baldwin , J D Baldwin . Archives of Sexual Behaviour 2000. 29 p. .
- [USAID (R D/H/HIV-AIDS (ed.) ()] *HIV/AIDS: The evolution of the pandemic, the evolution of the response,* USAID (R & D/H/HIV-AIDS (ed.) 1993. Washington, DC: USAID. United States Agency for International
   Development (USAID
- [Fischtein et al. ()] How Much Does Gender Explain In Sexual Attitudes And Behaviors? A Survey Of Canadian
   Adults, D Fischtein , E Herold , S Desmarais . 2007.
- 281 [Cloninger ()] 'Neurogenetic Adaptive Mechanisms In Alcoholism'. C R Cloninger . Science 1987. 236 p. .
- 282 [Harding et al. ()] 'Nigerian university students" knowledge, perceptions, and behaviors about HIV/AIDS: Are
- these students at risk?'. A K Harding, E C Anadu, L A Gray, D A Champeau. Journal of the Royal Society
  for the Promotion of Health 1999. 119 (1) p. .
- [Grello et al. ()] 'No Strings Attached: The Nature Of Casual Sex In College Students'. C M Grello , D P Welsh
   M S Harper . Archive of Sex Behaviour 2006. 2009. 43 p. . (Journal of Sex Research)
- [Olayelu et al. ()] 'Prevalence of Human Immunodeficiency Virus Types 1 & 2 infections in Nigeria'. O Olayelu ,
   L Bernstein , C Ekweozor , Z Sheng , S Omilabu , X Li , J Sullivan-Halley , S Rasheed . Journal of Infectious
   Disease 1993. 167 p. .
- 290 [Mccord (ed.) ()] Problem Behaviors, J Mccord . S. S. (ed.) 1990.
- 291 [Irwin and Millstein ()] 'Risk-Taking Behaviors And Biopsychosocial Development During Adolescence'. C E
- IrwinJr, S G Millstein. Emotion, Cognition, Health And Development In Children And Adolescents: A Two
   Way Street, E Susman, L V Feagans, & W Roy (ed.) (Hillsdale, Nj) 1986. Erlbaum. p. .
- [Furby and Beyth-Marom ()] 'Risk-Taking In Adolescence: A Decision-Making Perspective'. L Furby , R Beyth Marom . Developmental Review 1992. 12 p. .
- [Gullette and Lyons ()] 'Sensation Seeking, Self-Esteem, And Unprotected Sex In College Students'. D Gullette
   M Lyons . Journal Or The Association Of Nurses In Aids Care 2006. 17 (5) p. .

- [Zuckerman et al. ()] 'Sensation-Seeking In England And America: Cross-Cultural, Age, And Sex Comparisons'.
   M Zuckerman, S Eysenck, H I Eysenck. Journal Of Consulting And Clinical Psychology 1978. 46 p. .
- [Esu-Williams ()] 'Seroprevalence of HIV-1, HIV-2, and HIV-1 group O in Nigeria: Evidence for an increase of
   HIV infection'. E Esu-Williams . Journal Of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome 1997. 16 p. .
- [Cohen ()] Sexual Risk Behaviors: Who Is Vulnerable? An Extensive Literature Review Of Sexual Risk Practices
   And The Development Of A Pamphlet For An At-Risk Community, A Cohen . 2009. At Antioch University
   Seattle At Seattle (Unpublished Phd Thesis Presented)
- [Adam et al. ()] 'Sexual Risk Behaviour among Kenyan University Students'. M B Adam , And , Mutungi .
   Http://Www.Jstor.Org/ Journal Of The Arizona-Nevada Academy Of Science 2007. 39 (2) p. .
- [Askun and Ataca ()] Sexually Related Attitudes and Behaviours Of Turkish University Students. Archive Of
   Sexual Behaviors, D Askun, B Ataca. 2007. 36 p. .
- [De Cock et al. ()] 'Shadow On The Continent: Public Health And Hiv/Aids In Africa In The 21st Century'. K
   M De Cock , D Mbori-Ngacha , And E Marum . Lancet 2002. 360 p. .
- Stable/40022355 (Curled From The Internet On (2010)] Stable/40022355 (Curled From The Internet On, 25 th
   June, 2010.
- Botvin ()] 'Substance Abuse Prevention Research: Recent Developments And Future Directions'. G J Botvin .
   Journal Of School Health 1986. 56 p. .
- 315 [Paul and Hayes ()] 'The Causalities Of "casual" Sex: A Qualitative Exploration of the Phenomenology of
- College Students' Hook-ups'. E L Paul , K A Hayes . Journal of Social and Personal Relationships 2002.
   19 p. .
- Bronfenbrenner ()] The Ecology Of Human Development: Experiments By Nature And Design, U Bronfenbrenner
   . 1979. Cambridge, Ma: Harvard University Press.
- [Ezedinachi et al. ()] The impact of an intervention to change health workers' HIV/AIDS attitudes and knowledge
   in Nigeria: A controlled trial, E Ezedinachi, M Ross, M Meremiku, E Essien, C Edem, E Ekure, O Ita.
   2002. Public Health. 116 p. 17. (Nigerian AIDS attitude intervention"))
- Jackson ()] The Jackson Personality Inventory Manual, D N Jackson . 1984. Port Huron; Mi: Research
   Psychologists Press.
- [Miller et al. ()] 'The utility of the five factor model in understanding risky sexual behavior'. J Miller , D Lynman , R Zimmerman , T Logan , C Leukefeld , R Clayton . *Personality and Individual Differences* 2004. 36 p. .
- Mindel et al. ()] 'Theoretical Models Of Adolescent Risk-Taking Behavior'. A Mindel, S G Millstein, V Igra *Adolescent Health Problems: Behavioral Perspectives*, J L J Wallander & L, Siegel (ed.) (New York) 1995.
  Guilford Press. p. .
- [Dehart and Birkimer ()] 'Trying To Practice Safer Sex: Development Of The Sexual Risks Scale'. D D Dehart
   J C Birkimer . The Journal of Sex Research 1997. 34 p. .