Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

The Dress Code for Lawyers: In Search of Change with Climatic Compatibility in Bangladesh Emdadul Haque¹ ¹ Southeast University Received: 6 February 2012 Accepted: 4 March 2012 Published: 15 March 2012

7 Abstract

Dress code is a part of dignity and professionalism with little exception. The outfit of Judges 8 and Advocates with judicial robes seems a mark of dignity and loyalty towards court and 9 justice. Americans refused to adopt the judicial attire of the British after independence. 10 Almost all countries in the Indian subcontinent are in debt to the British for the development 11 of their jurisprudence including dress code. Even, the British has relaxed wearing judicial 12 costumes but these countries including Bangladesh have slight headache to suit the dress code 13 for lawyers as per climatic conformity and culture even after the departure of the British. 14 India has modified dress code for lawyers to a tiny extent but the practice is still like colonized 15 India reminding silent domination of the British. This write up is a venture to explore the 16 historical chronicles of the judicial attire across the world and theirs recent changing trends 17 and practices with a view to seek a meaningful transformation in Bangladesh. 18

19

20 Index terms— lawyers, dress code, bangladesh, climatic compatibility, adjustment, change.

²¹ 1 Introduction

ndeniably, the close nexus between profession and dress (Rahman, 2010) is visible all around the globe from time 22 immemorial. Lawyers, Judges, Doctors, Army Personnel, Police Forces and Convict Prisoners follow fixed dress 23 code as directed by respective states. Wearing specific dress code, lawyers are to sweat to earn in many countries 24 with sweltering climate. Question arises that should it be more according to the climate rather than protecting 25 impressed legacy reflecting magnificence or pointing ridicule. Judicial costume preserves respect for authority 26 and the status symbol of the court (Yablon, 1995) for judges and advocates providing a degree of anonymity, 27 mark of dignity, strength, discipline, decency, legal fraternity and respect towards courts. Respect to the court 28 should be reflected by knowledge, and not by dresses, anyways for disciplinary issues, dresses may be decided 29 according to culture and compatibility with the climate of a particular country. Many countries have changed 30 judicial costumes for lawyers to get rid of the British colonial legacy and adjusted the same keeping consistency 31 with climatic compatibility paving the way II. 32

³³ 2 Historical Chronicles of The Dress Code for Lawyers

Beyond any reasonable doubt in science and technology the domination of either of the British or of America 34 35 is still sustained even ranging from parliament to the court premises in most parts of the world. Whether we 36 prefer it or not we are to cite the British or America as the pioneer of all creativities, discoveries and inventions. The same fact is echoed while digging out the historical chronicles of dress code for lawyers. This reminds the 37 quotation of Dan Brown, an American author of thriller fiction, the Da Vinci Code, saying history is always 38 written by the winners. He further opines that when two cultures clash, the loser is obliterated, and the winner 39 writes the history books which glorify their own cause and disparage the conquered foe. The English judicial 40 costumes worn by the judges are the most distinctive working wardrobe in existence for more than six centuries 41 (Baker, 1978). The costumes for judges were more or less established by the time of British King Edward III 42

4 SIGNIFICANCE OF DIFFERENT COLOURS IN THE JUDICIAL COSTUMES

(1327-1377) for attending the Royal court. The material for ceremonial dress or robes was originally given to 43 judges as a grant from the Crown. The division of legal profession in England dates back to 1340, paving the way 44 for the evolution of professional advocacy (Waker, 1980). In 1340, in a public reaction general people opposed the 45 length of the judicial attire H tec for Court's commitment to providing an appropriate and accessible environment 46 ??BBC, 2011). But the dress code of lawyers introduced by the British long ago is still in force in Bangladesh 47 causing concerns among the lawyers because of unsuitability and uncomfortability in hot and humid weather 48 in most parts of a year. The dearth of Indebt legal literature and study materials about prevailing costume 49 jurisprudence in Bangladesh leads the writer to undertake this initiative in a bid to depict the history, tradition 50 and recent change in countries to reconstitute the judicial costumes in Bangladesh keeping pace with climate, 51 heritage and culture, moral and social values. In this study Advocates, Attorneys, Counsel, Solicitor, Barrister, 52 Judges are branded as lawyers. 53

⁵⁴ but the lawyers obstinately decided to adhere to the long robes. The judges during medieval era wore violet ⁵⁵ robes in the winter and green robes in the summer. The green summer robes fell into disguise by 1534 and after ⁵⁶ 1534 only the black and violet robes were usually worn.

However, robes can be interpreted to mean wig and gown (Abdulraheem, 2006). Apart from clergy and the 57 military, legal professionals used to wear gown. In Europe as far as forensic dress is concerned, a scholastic and 58 59 ecclesiastical tradition goes back to the days when long mantles were worn by the avocaticonsistorial of papal 60 courts and the lawyers of the Roman Sapienza. Reverend advocates in ecclesiastical and secular courts used to 61 wear toga which subsequently came to be the pleader's uniform. Long robes were imported into the courts first by the priestoriginal judges and later by those who patronized the courts since 13 th century (Haque, 2012). 62 In ancient Rome a judge used to wear a purple-trimmed toga when performing his duties as a judge to derive 63 their authority from monarchies or feudal lords. In England, codification of rules for English judicial uniform 64 occurred with the Judges' Rules, 1635. The Rules introduced no change rather set out what and when the 65 existing costumes to be worn. After 1635 a black robe with a light colour fur or coat in winter and violet or 66 scarlet robes with short-pink taffeta in summer were introduced. A black girdle or cincture was worn with all 67 robes. By the end of 1680s two rectangles of linen tied at the throat. So, in England judges, barristers and 68 solicitors in the 17 th century were using black coats, gowns, bands and traditional wigs. Three stories are found 69 in England regarding using of robes. Firstly, robes adopted in 1685 as the symbol of mourning for King Charles 70 II. Secondly, in 1694 it is found that all of the nations judges attended the funeral of Queen Mary II dressed in 71 72 black robes as a sign of mourning. Since the mourning period lasted a few more years after Mary's burial, the 73 custom of wearing black robes became entrenched in the English judiciary. Thirdly, in memory of Queen Anne in 1714, the same mourning was followed. Italian judges resembling English judges in the 18 th century wore black 74 robes, white bands and white wigs. Thus from the tradition of three monarchs the black robes tradition spread 75 around the Britain and then surrounded in the world and still persists today as part of the Britain's colonial 76 adventures (Fred, 1978). The Muslim countries were not lagging behind in using robes. The used to wear wigs 77 to shield their shaved, hairless heads from the sun. After the , the use of wigs went into oblivion in the West 78 for a thousand year until they were revived again in the 16th century as a means of compensating for hair loss 79 or improving one's personal appearance or complexion. Royal patronage was crucial to the revival of the wig as 80 Queen famously wore a red wig in a Roman style while French Kings pioneered wig-wearing. In 1624 Louis XIII 81 went prematurely bald and the fashion conscious king in absence of his natural curly hair used to wear a wig 82 to disguise his baldheadedness in a planned way. His successive king Louis XIV also went prematurely bald and 83 opted for wig as a style leader. Since then wigs were used as fashion which became almost universal for European 84 upper & middle class men by the beginning of the 18th Century. Other rationales included ease of hairdressing, 85 ease of cleaning of hair, comfort while sleeping, ability to change styles and colours and class considerations as 86 wigs were expensive. Wigs were also used after shaving of natural hair to get relieve from head lice. Around 1715, 87 lighter wigs were used as fashion too. It dribbled its custom out of fashion until the 1720's when it was only worn 88 by professionals namely lawyers and doctors. After 1740, it was only worn by judges and had gone completely 89 out of fashion and reversed for ceremonial dress. Bands are official neckwear accustomed to use by clergy and 90 lawyers. Bands used by clergy often called preaching bands and worn by lawyers are usually called barrister's 91 bands. Again the history of adoption of bands credited to England where bands were used for legal, official 92 and ecclesiastical and academic use in the mid-seventeenth century. During mid-seventeenth century plain white 93 bands came to be in variable neckwear of all judges, sergeants, barristers, students, clerical and academicians. 94

95 **3** III.

⁹⁶ 4 Significance of Different Colours in the Judicial Costumes

The colours of judicial costumes have different significance varying from culture to culture. Lawyers in the courts of most countries of the world wear black, red and white ceremonial dress signifying different themes. Basically, black is supposed to be the colour of mourning, authority and power and also implies submission. Priests wear black to purport submission to God. So, in the case of lawyers their submissions are towards court and justice system. On the other hand, red is the second-most admired colour for judicial robes historically associated with royalty and judges were appointed as a servant of the Monarch. Red is also considered as the colour of courage and sacrifice. White symbolizes innocence and purity. Apart from these three colours blue and green are also popular in the judicial dress. Blue signifies justice, perseverance and vigilance while green is supposed
 to be the colour of justice in Islam. In fact, colour does not have similar theme and significance in all cultural
 representations. White dress is worn in marriage of the Christian couple while a deceased in the Muslim and
 Hindu culture is being worn a white dress for burial. To a frustrated lover, blue is a

¹⁰⁸ 5 Blind Legacy of the British Judicial

109 Costumes in the Indian Subcontinent

The imposition of European and English ideas on legal system and judicial attire as well as address in their 110 dominated colonies and exploited regions of the world are still in persistence. The Indian Subcontinent was not 111 112 an exception to these rather the entire jurisprudence of the Indian Subcontinent has a blind legacy of the British 113 legal system. The present legal and judicial system as well as judicial costumes of the region owes its origin mainly 114 to two hundred years of British rule in the Indian Sub-Continent although some elements of it are remnants of Pre-British era tracing back to Hindu and Muslim administration. India, Pakistan, Bangladesh, Sri Lanka and 115 Bhutan of the Indian Subcontinent were directly ruled by the British, but Nepal had a treaty relationship with 116 the British and was not ruled by the British directly, the silent domination of the British is not denied in the 117 country. 118

Justice V.R. Krishna Iyer, a former Judge of Indian Supreme Court and a Jurist says more than six decades 119 ago India bid farewell to the British, but the diehard imperial jurisprudence remains and Indian courts even 120 today copy the British precedents as Indian law (Harsh, 2010). Indian Bar and Bench have borrowed even their 121 costume, including gown, collar and bands, from the British. Indeed, a relic of the British Raj, the sooty robe 122 is believed to have been adopted under a mourning ritual that followed English Monarch's demise. In India, the 123 Advocates Act, 1961 adopted the black outfit and stipulates five layers for male lawyers with a slightly dressed 124 down for female lawyers. The Act mandates male Advocates to wear a black buttoned up coat, chapkan, achkan, 125 sherwani or a black open breast coat while female lawyers are required to wear a black full-sleeve jacket or blouse, 126 paired with sari or long skirts, pants or salwar kameez. In addition, male Advocates are to wear long trousers 127 (white, black striped or grey) or dhoti excluding jeans. Furthermore, in courts other than the Supreme Court, 128 High Courts, District Courts, Sessions Courts or City Civil Courts, a black tie may be worn a male Advocate 129 instead of bands. The senior Advocate in the High Courts and in the Supreme Court wear King's Council's 130 gown. Wearing of Advocates gowns is optional except appearing before in the Supreme Court or in High Courts 131 according to Part VI of Chapter IV of the Bar Council of India Rules under Section 49(1) (gg) of the Advocates 132 Act, 1961. Except in Supreme Court and High Courts during summer, wearing of black coat is relaxed recently. 133 On the contrary, the Bombay High Court has imposed a mandatory dress code for litigants entering its premises 134 wearing modest dresses and in sober colours (Dhananjay, 2011). As a reason a circular issued by the High Court 135 also adds that these instructions were given so that there were no unsocial activities in the premises. A foreign 136 couple had to pay a fine for entering the court remises for violating the dress code. 137

Following the British tradition in , the courts have continued to uphold the same for lawyers wearing black and 138 white in the courts. However, in 1980s, judges modified their dress to do away with wig and to allow the usage 139 of a black traditional Pakistani Sherwani. Dress code for legal practitioners varies with the season in Pakistan. 140 A formal black suit and tie are worn during the winter months. White trousers and a white neck band are worn 141 during the winter months. In addition, judges wear a black robe over their other garments. Wigs are no longer 142 worn. Dress codes are rigorously enforced within the Superior Courts. Both judges and counsels in dress in black 143 and white. Male lawyers wear white shirt, black coat, gown, tie and trousers but female lawyers wear sari. Wigs 144 are worn by judges of the Supreme Court, Court of Appeal and President's counsel only on various ceremonial 145 146 occasions.

Like India, Pakistan and Sri Lanka, Bangladesh follows the British judicial attire in a similar fashion.
V.

¹⁴⁹ 6 Lawyers Robes and Law in Bangladesh

Undoubtedly, lawyer's uniform in Bangladesh has its root in the British aristocracy. In line with the British 150 tradition, the Supreme Court of Bangladesh has provided Civil Rules and Orders (CRO) containing dress code 151 for judicial officers and Advocates. According to Rule 911 of the CRO, male judicial officer in Bangladesh when 152 presiding over the Court wear a king counsel's gown of any black cloth other than silk, stand up, winged white 153 color and bands, a full sleeve white shirt, a black coat of any pattern or black chapkan or achkan and if the coat 154 left unbutton, a black waist coat is worn. They are also required to wear light colour trouser or pant. Judges of 155 the Supreme Court wear almost same dress code but wear wigs in ceremonial occasions not during sessions in 156 157 court. Lady judicial officers when presiding over the Court wear a king counsel's gown of any black cloth, stand 158 up, winged white color and bands, white and light colored sari or salwar-kamiz, a black coat of any pattern. The wearing of the full robes is compulsory for all judicial officers (CRO, 1982) No deviation of the rule is allowed 159 except in special circumstances to be submitted to the Supreme Court V Pakistan Sri Lanka court of session, 160 Tribunals or any court of judicial Magistrate wear the same gown as in the Supreme Court. All male advocates 161 appearing before the subordinate courts shall wear a black or white chapkan, achkan or buttoned-up long coat 162 with dark or white trousers to match and a black or dark coloured plain tie and the gown. chapkan, achkan, or 163

serwani with black half sleeved gown and band or Black open breast coat, white shirt, stand up winged white 164 color stiff or soft, with a black gown and band. In either case, long trouser (white, black or black striped or 165 gray) shall be worn if European dress is worn, then a black coat with dark or white trousers and a black or dark 166 colored plain tie and gown. And all lady Advocates-black full sleeved jacket or blouse stand up, winged white 167 color, stiff or soft, with a black gown and band sari or salwar kamiz (white or black) shall be worn. The wearing 168 of the prescribed dress is compulsory for all advocates. In accordance with the Rule 38 of the Supreme Court of 169 Bangladesh (Appellate Division) Rules, 1988, the dress prescribed for Supreme Court Advocates is a short coat or 170 Sherwani of black material, white shirt with turned down collar and white bands in the summer, white trousers, 171 and in the winter, trousers of materials in deeper shades of grey. The Advocate shall wear a short black gown 172 in court, unless the court directs otherwise. The dress of Senior Advocates shall be similar with an additional 173 requirement that they shall wear special gown as prescribed for Barristers appearing before the High Court in 174 London. The dress for Advocates-on-Record shall be as that for Advocates of the Court (SCB, 1988). However, 175 regarding dress code for Judges and Advocates there is no mention in the Bangladesh Legal Practitioners and 176 Bar Council Order, 1972 but the professional conduct and etiquette are well mentioned. Moreover, addressing 177 judge as "My Lord" or "Your Lordship" in the Supreme Court is practiced raising question as to many people 178 God only can be addressed with this salutation. In the subordinate judiciary, the expression "Your Honour" to 179 the judges seems reasonable to Advocates. However, the title used in the courtroom, such as "Learned Friend or 180 181 Advocate or Counsel" for lawyer is a legal fiction used to show respect to opponent counsel.

182 **7** VI.

¹⁸³ 8 Relationship Between Judicial Attire and Access to Justice

To analyze whether there are interconnection between judicial attire and access to justice, this part will synthesize 184 the intentions of those who impose formal judicial costume and assess the effects on citizens in seeking justice. The 185 question is unanswered whether judicial attire is more related with subjective satisfaction or objective satisfaction 186 in easy access to justice. Dress code as a part of decorum in the judiciary is an effort to maintain the order, 187 dignity of the court and canon of judicial ethics requires it. Complete banning can raise questions about race, 188 religion and access to justice but it does not pose a problem. On the other hand, the consequences of the public 189 being barred entry to the courthouse are particularly problematic since it operates as a chill on the public's access 190 to justice. Access to the courthouse should be unfettered. Gerry Weber, an attorney with the Southern Center 191 for Human Rights and former legal director of the American Civil Liberties Union of Georgia, said that courts 192 193 have fairly broad discretion to ensure that dress complies with standards of decorum for the courtroom. After 194 examining the history of judicial attire, it appears that those who impose changes generally intend to distinguish 195 their judges, have their judges mimic others, or project an image to their citizens. After achieving independence from England, American judges abstain from wearing wigs and fur-lined scarlet robes they wore under English 196 control, and instead wore simpler black robes or shed them altogether to make judges look more human. Some 197 argue that English judges have kept their formal and distinctive attire to distinguish their legal system from other 198 countries (Willy, 2011). From the very inception, robes are thought to project a respectful image to court users, 199 in hopes that lay people like criminal defendants view the proceedings seriously, or that witnesses feel compelled 200 to tell the truth. But, robes also have been used by powerful groups like robberbarons to project oppressive 201 control over restless citizens. In these cases, the dignified look which robes provide can be viewed by lay users as 202 elitist or intimidating -an effect which some leaders might desire. 203

²⁰⁴ 9 VII.

²⁰⁵ 10 Human Rights Perspective of The Dress Code

Bangladesh is a pluralistic country in terms of religion, ethnicity, language and laws. In Bangladesh society, an 206 outfit is said to be complete or proper when it respects or meets three values, viz. social, cultural and spiritual 207 values. But the lawyer's costumes in the former British colonies including Bangladesh are against these three 208 values rather these dresses are the symbol of legal enslavement and silent domination of the British. Lawyers 209 without air condition facility and during load shedding hours in the subordinate courts seem to be in the oven 210 but in the superior courts they enjoy air condition facility and electric back up during load shedding hours. Since 211 the black dress code scientifically, absorbs more heat amounting to silent torture and oppression on mind and 212 equivalent to violations of or instrumental to the violations of human needs morality, public order and public 213 214 safety in a democratic society (UDHR, 1948). The climate in most of the European countries is cold and people 215 are white. So, the black robes fit them both in weather and in colour of complexion. But in Bangladesh the 216 weather is excessively hot and the robes are unsuitable for the lawyers in climatic difficulty and appearance of 217 people. It is ironic to judges and Advocates who sometimes express views of change of dress code relaxing cultural imperialism and as an insult to right of choice and a denial of freedom to comply with the tenets of climate, 218 culture and morality. Dressing should respect local culture even though it is regarded as professional dressing 219 to resist the slavish imitation of the British which is not only demeaning to the sovereignty of the country but 220 also culturally insensitive. In line with Bangladesh Constitution, the state shall adopt measures to conserve the 221 cultural traditions, heritage, and arts aiming to enrichment of the national culture (Bangladesh Constitution, 222

1972). This constitutional provision is unrealized in the court premises. The objective of human rights is to uplift human dignity ensuring freedom, nondiscrimination and justice but the concept in true sense does reflect in case of judicial costumes for lawyers.

²²⁶ 11 VIII.

227 The Wind of Change of the Dress Code Around the World Undeniably, the role of the British for the legal 228 development in their former colonies is much acclaimed except in the USA and there is less scope to criticize their role in the subcontinent. But the wind of change is blowing all across the globe including the United Kingdom 229 relating to the dress code. The English judiciary has long been regarded as a bastion of conservative mores and 230 sartorial continuity (Independent, 2009). Nonetheless, it has revised its judicial costumes. In November 21, 2011 231 the President of the UK Supreme Court (UKSC) in a press notice revised the dress code at the UKSC. According 232 to the new guidance lawyers appearing at the UK's highest court set up in October, 2009 will no longer have to 233 wear the traditional wigs and gowns. The purpose of the new costume in line with the court's goal is to make 234 235 the court as accessible as possible extending the court's commitment to providing an appropriate environment 236 for considered discussion of legal issues. Even if all advocates in a case agree, they may dispense with part or 237 all of court dresses. Supreme Court justices wear no legal costume. The relaxed dress code would also apply to advocates appearing before the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council (JCPC). Judges and lawyers appearing 238 239 in criminal courts still wear traditional wigs and gowns but they can be dispensed in cases involving children. The 240 Supreme Court move followed a request by the UKSC/JCPC User Group, which represents professional users of the court, for an extension of the practice already adopted in family cases where advocates customarily appear 241 unrobed. The official notice anticipated that some advocates will not wish to take advantage of this dispensation 242 while others may prefer to reduce their legal dress to a simple gown, or to appear without legal dress at all. In 243 2008, Britain's Lord Chief Justice created a simpler style of court dress in which judges in civil and family cases 244 in England and Wales were stopped wearing wigs ??Guardian,2008). 245

246 During the early history of the United States, the court dress of judges and practicing lawyers closely mirrored British dress code of the 18th century. After the revolution many of the founders including Thomas Jefferson 247 wanted to purge their nation of any symbols of the old English aristocratic order terming it as a rejected system 248 249 (Glenn W. 1956). In the then time the judicial wigs were banned but the robes were retained as part of compromise. The practice fell out of favour and died out by the mid-nineteenth century when the states and 250 feds began to increasingly harmonize and from then on almost every judge in America has started to wear a 251 standardized black robe over a formal business suit. Today, generally judges of both state and federal courts are 252 253 free to select their own courtroom attire. The most common choice is a plain black robe which covers the torso and legs, with sleeves. Female judges will sometimes add to the robe a plain white collar similar to that used in 254 255 academic dress. Beneath the robes business attire is standard coupled with a shirt as well as tie for men and a 256 woman's suit and stockings for women. The USA as a federal country further left regulation of judicial costume to the jurisdiction of the individual states. Many states especially in the South shared Jefferson's original mentality 257 and had their judges wear no official costume for quite a long period of time. Despite the standardization there 258 are still some quaint exceptions to the black robe hegemony. 259

Despite no fixed dress code in the court premises for Attorneys in USA, there are some peculiar dress codes 260 in some states in the Federal country. In New Mexico, USA general public are not allowed in the court rooms 261 dressed with shorts, tank or halter-tops, muscle shirts and T-shirts with indecent words or graphics. Lenore 262 Nesbitt, the first female judge appointed to the U.S. Southern District of Florida, used to send women out of 263 her courtroom for wearing opentoed shoes. Allegheny County Common Pleas Judge David R. Cashman orders 264 attorneys out of his courtroom if he feels they're underdressed. Usually when an attorney who wore casual clothes 265 to the office that day is summoned to court unexpectedly. All witnesses The judge also jailed the person for three 266 days and instructed him to buy pants that fit or at least get a belt to hold up pants so that underwear doesn't 267 show. 268

Like America, Canadian judges do not wear wigs and long robes. Canada used to wear British styled robes 269 before 2008. Despite its British heritage Canadians have reconstructed a society based on their own aesthetics 270 rather than copy blindly from the British. Similarly, justices of the Canadian province of Ontario's Superior 271 Court of Justice are no longer addressed as "My Lord," or "My Lady," but are now addressed as "Your Honour." 272 In Australia court dress varies according to jurisdictions of courts from federal to state levels. Plain black 273 robes have been worn over normal attire since 1988, when the High Court abandoned the previous court dress 274 of black silk robes, bar jackets, jabots or bands and full-bottomed wigs and lace cuffs on formal occasions and 275 276 bench for ordinary business. Wigs were abolished in Western Australia for both judges and lawyers in all courts 277 in 2010. Stipendiary Magistrates and justices of the peace do not robe, other than in New South Wells where 278 they have worn a black robe over normal business attire since 2005. Prior to 2010, Barristers did not robe before 279 the Federal Magistrates Court. Barristers are now expected to robe for most hearings, but not for interlocutory or interim matters. Wigs full-bottomed or otherwise are not worn on any occasion. Aside from these countries 280 one or two countries have eliminated the tradition of wearing elaborate judicial robes altogether. In Greece and 281 Scandinavia, for example, a suit is fine to wear during any legal proceeding. 282

Like much of the former colonial countries the black outfit has stayed with the lawyers in India, although under section 49 of the Advocates Act of 1961, the judicial dress should be prescribed in keeping pace with

the climatic conditions. But the practice is quite different showing the colonial hangover. But, in the wake of 285 movement from lawyers' community, the Bar Council of India, in a circular in 2001, dispense with the coat from 286 March 15 to June 15 to lower court lawyers. In spite of such relaxation, most lawyers still adhere to the dress 287 288 code throughout the year, although subordinate courts are almost never air-conditioned. In another move the Bar Council of India by a resolution in 2006, throws out the phrase "My Lordship" or "My Lord" addressing 289 the judges of the High Courts and Supreme Court in favour of "Your Honour", "Honourable Court" or just "Sir 290 or Madam". This change followed the acceptance of the more socialistic political ideology prevalent in modern 291 Indian society, which has dedicated itself to ending the hierarchies that the legal system reflects and reinforces. 292 But still the new changes are not widely accepted and practiced because of embedded habit and partly out of fear 293 of falling in disfavour with judges. Two writ petitions were filed with Delhi High Court in 2001 seeking change in 294 the dress code of advocates and seeking restraint of senior advocates in India from wearing the Queens Council's 295 gown of England but both of them dismissed by the court terming meritless and misconceived. 296

Most of the Muslim countries in the Middle East tend to follow anti-western dress code for lawyers. Judges in these countries wear very simplistic costumes denouncing fancy court room dress as western practice. In Afghanistan and in Iran chief justice wear white and black turbans apart from traditional robes. Judges in Libya and Egypt simply wear green sashes over the business suits terming green as the colour of justice in Islam.

301 **12 IX.**

13 Rationales of Change of Dress Code with Climatic Compat ibility in Bangladesh

Many Lawyers and academicians debate whether the sanctity of the dress code should give way to practicality.

The cumbersome compulsion, combined with the scorching heat is uncomfortable and unbearable during summer.

They demand a pattern of change with climatic adjustability to restructure our colonial institutions to reflect our oriental culture and

308 14 Year

In South Africa judges wear British-style robes, although Dutch influences can also be seen as a legacy of Dutch 309 colonialism. High Court judges of South Africa wear black robes to hear civil cases and appeals but red and 310 black robes are used during criminal cases. In the post apartheid South Africa special blue robes are designed for 311 constitutional court judges. At the end of 2004, the Council of the Law Society of South Africa (LSSA) decided 312 court attire for advocates with effect from April 1, 2005. As per the new dress code, an advocate whether 313 314 appearing in the constitutional court, High court, Magistrate court or in other courts s/he will be dressed with a 315 white shirt or a blouse with a bib, a black jacket, an attorney's gown and a dark trouser or skirt. Now in many African court dresses are lightweight simply because the full outfit would be too hot for most people to wear in 316 that climate. In Kenya, a country of the East Africa in a judge's colloquium in 2011, it is decided that judges 317 will no longer be referred to as "my Lord" rather to be referred as "Your Honour" and wigs will be discarded 318 with immediate effect (Nation, 2011). Terming the current dress code uncomfortable owing to unbearable heat 319 the colloquium decided to a lighter robe for the judicial officers sensing the necessity of robes as a mark of dignity 320 and respect to courts. needs. Most lawyers in Bangladesh are short in size, brown or dark in screen and so with 321 long robes they 10, r-c an al an al look ridiculous and aliens to common people. Again the history, tradition, 322 heritage, culture and social values of people do not match with the existing dress code for lawyers. Moreover, 323 324 elite lawyers import judicial costume from Britain which is very expensive while average lawyers use second hand ones for years. Bangladesh is a tropical country in which from March 15 to November 15 a very hot and humid 325 weather persists. Lawyers are to wear the dress code for professional compulsion despite their disinterest. Load 326 shedding in Bangladesh is more acute than India and Pakistan. Weather condition in summer and in rainy 327 season is almost like India and Pakistan and sometimes hotter comparatively. So, in line with many countries 328 as said above Bangladesh needs to change the dress code for lawyers or a relaxation during the summer and 329 rainy season. Government in Bangladesh in 2009 has ordered male government employees to stop wearing suits, 330 jackets and ties to save electricity during hot months between March and November. In an Order the government 331 told the Ministers and employees not to turn their airconditions below 24C. The order is reiterated in 2012 as a 332 directory to save power but there is no punishment for breach of such order. Like India there is no movement in 333 Bangladesh by any lawyer's body to change the dress code here as lawyers are busy with practice, politics and 334 335 position. Bar council is the regulatory body of the advocates in Bangladesh and Supreme Court is the guardian 336 of the judiciary but no initiatives is visible by any of the bodies to make the dress code comfortable and suitable 337 for all seasons. The fact is that 40 years of independence is a reasonable length of time for us to restructure 338 our colonial institutions in order to give us a true sense of nationhood and to signal to our contemporary youth towards change we need. I am very optimistic that we have the capacity to design a judicial costume based on 339 Bangladeshi aesthetics. What we need at the moment is a transformative leadership to lead a cultural revolution. 340 Bangladesh has no cultural ties with Britain save through colonization. Commonsense suggests that we have a 341 strong justification to cast away that cultural heritage compared to Canada, the US, Australia, India and other 342

343 Muslim countries.

Bangladesh can take lesson from the British regarding relaxation of dress code. Prior to change of the dress code in the UK, the Lord Chancellor's consultation paper opines that there is no justification for retaining working court dress on the grounds of tradition alone. The paper said that courts are not a tourist attraction. Lord Chief Justice Taylor of England opined that their judges' formal attire made them look "antique and slightly ridiculous" -in 1990. As a result, progressive change is felt to be initiated or encouraged.

Change is yet to take place in Bangladesh because of colonial mind set and attitude of policy-makers, bureaucrats, politicians and even judges and Advocates. As regard change as a sociological issue requires absolute commitment, honesty, perseverance, and modeling from the top-echelon of society like political leaders as well as members of the civil society.

The change of dress code has taken places in many countries and the pattern of change is not revolutionary 353 rather evolutionary. Keeping in touch with UK, Indian and Pakistani judiciary as these three have resemblances 354 with Bangladesh let's dig out the possible reasons for the change of the dress code. In India the evolutionary 355 movement of change for dress code started in the 1990s and still continuing creating debate on dignity vs. 356 discomfort for the existing dress code of lawyers. In India those who favour the colonial dress code believed that 357 the dress code gave a degree of anonymity to judges and lawyers. The dress code is not merely believed to be 358 a status symbol but an integral part of the profession bringing out distinction, discipline, decorum and dignity 359 among lawyers and give them confidence to fight for justice. It is also termed as a mark of dignity, legal fraternity 360 361 and respect towards courts differentiating the lawyers from other professionals (Menezes, 1996).

362 On the other hand, the opponent of the Britishstyle costume find valid grounds to change the dress code or a relaxation of the dress code in summer and rainy seasons for removing physical discomfort and the subsequent 363 health hazards. Probably, change of dress code and redesign of the same according to suitability of climate will 364 not hinder administration of justice. The creation of a new dress code staying away from the legacy of the British 365 attire may boost sub continental cultural entity and heritage in the legal arena. As moral values and legal ethics 366 have already been well added into the legal profession the change in costume will not deteriorate the standard of 367 this profession. Sometimes, neck bands get touch with curry and tea stains, gowns are not dry-cleaned for long 368 days, coats are not drycleaned and ironed detracting the dignity that befits the profession. In the rainy season 369 the long robes get soaked with dirty water. Frequent power cuts and lack of power back ups in the courts demand 370 change of such dress code which stipulates five layers for male lawyers and with a slightly dressed down version 371 for female lawyers. In the subordinate judiciary the situation is even worse for the lawyers and judges owing to 372 dearth of facilities but superior courts are spacious with more facilities comparably. Medical opinion too finds 373 the dress code for lawyers in India unreasonable. Punebased Dr. Avinash Bhutkar opines increase in body heat 374 beyond a point lowers the appetite, slows down digestion as well as stimulates dehydration risk. Dr. Rajon TD, 375 a Mumbai based consultant specialist in skin severe discomfort. Nalini Karunakaran, an ayurvedic physician in 376 India points black is a very unhealthy colour and being speedy absorber of heat could lead to a breakdown of 377 health in the long run and also may results skin problems and orthopedic complications. Bangladesh should share 378 experience from India for the modification of dress code in the country. It is notable that, in a survey in the UK 379 in 1992, 85% of the public felt that robes lent dignity to court proceedings, 71% felt the emphasized the witness 380 to tell the truth and ultimately 79% were in favour of retaining robes. In another study was initiated in 2003 381 to measure how court dress impacts public confidence. The study stressed negative effects of formal attire for 382 victims and witnesses recommending change with the demand of time. Eventually, the UK changed the judicial 383 dress code in a evolutionary way. Similarly, in a study in India in 1990s, around 55.6% felt the black coat was 384 completely unsuitable for the tropical Indian climate while 86% said dress code for lawyers was necessary and 385 around 65.2 percent felt their dress code had merely become a status symbol. The result of the study reflected 386 when Indian Bar Council relaxed dress code for lawyers, although to a little extent. 387

388 15 X.

389 16 Conclusion

Dress code expresses sanctity and commitment of the lawyers toward judicial institutions and enhances their 390 responsibility for the profession. But if the dress code is compatible with season, customs and cultural spirit and 391 principles then the commitment, integrity and respect concerning the noble profession may be expedited. Trend 392 of change of the costume jurisprudence both in the western and oriental countries is a beckon of hope in the 393 direction of liberalism shifting from conservatism. Interestingly, in recent years, English reforms sought to project 394 modernization and simplicity, to ensure that their own citizens maintained respect for their legal system. It is also 395 important to not overlook simple logistical reasons for changing attire. Perhaps most importantly, the distinctive 396 397 black robes can serve as a reminder to judges of the importance of their responsibility to administer justice and 398 not perpetuate bias. On the other hand, judges who become less formal by removing robes or simplifying them 399 might appear less pompous and more human. The negative consequences of wearing formal attire seem to weigh heavier today. In Europe or in America power cuts is not a problem and climate is not hot whereas frequent 400 power cuts is an acute problem in India, Pakistan and Bangladesh. Most of the lawyers in Bangladesh have 401 adapted with the dress code against their intention while some of them feel embarrassed terming it unsuitable 402 and disgusting but yet to raise any concern. Most of the colonial countries have changed their dress code but 403 Bangladesh is the glaring exception to this. Even UK, Canada, Australia, South Africa, India have shown the 404

pattern as well as way towards change of the judicial costume recently and USA changed it long ago. So, the
 change of dress code is inevitable in Bangladesh keeping pace with its climate, heritage and cultural, social and
 moral values. ¹



Figure 1: (

407

 $^{^1{\}rm The}$ Dress Code for Lawyers: In Search of Change with Climatic Compatibility in Bangladesh © 2012 Global Journals Inc. (US)

- 408 [Article ()], Article 1948. 29 (2). Universal Declaration of Human Rights
- 409 [Waker ()], R J Waker. The English Legal System 1980. p. 234.
- 410 [Civil Rules and Orders ()], Civil Rules and Orders 1982. Government Publication. (1).
- 411 [Baker and Baker ()] A History of English Judges' Robes, J H Baker , Baker . 1978. 12 p. .
- 412 [Kabra ()] Black Armour of Law, Harsh Kabra . 2010. (The Hindu)
- [Rahman ()] Costumes of Judges and Advocates are Not Season Friendly, Ain-Odhikar (Law and Rights), The
 Daily Prothom Alo, Romel Rahman . 2010. p. 27.
- [Menezes ()] Dignity vs. Discomfort, Lawyers Debate Whether the Sanctity of their Dress Code Should Give Way
 to Practicality, Saira Menezes . 1996.
- ⁴¹⁷ [Mahapatra ()] Dress Code for Litigants? Bombay High Court Believes So, The Times of India, Dhananjay
 ⁴¹⁸ Mahapatra . 2011.
- 419 [Mutunga ()] Dressing and Addressing the Kenyan Judiciary, Willy Mutunga . 2011. Kenya Law Reports.
- 420 [Guardian ()] Legal Argument as Judges Ditch Wigs, The Guardian . 2008.
- 421 [Objection! Judges Rejects New Robes ()] Objection! Judges Rejects New Robes, 2009.
- [The Constitution of the People's Republic of Bangladesh ()] The Constitution of the People's Republic of Bangladesh, 1972. (Article 23)
- 424 [Phillips ()] The Evolving Legal Profession in the Commonwealth, Fred Phillips . 1978. New York: Oceana
 425 Publication. p. .
- 426 [Abdulraheem and Modupe ()] 'The Hijab, Barristers' Dress Code and Religious Freedom in the Legal Profession
- in Nigeria'. Nimatallah Abdulraheem, Modupe. *IIUM Law Journal* 2006. 14 (2).
- [The Supreme Court of Bangladesh ()] The Supreme Court of Bangladesh, 1988. Government Publication. (The
 Appellate Division Rules)
- 430 [Haque ()] The Tradition of Lawyers Dress Code, Law and Our Rights, Emdadul Haque . 2012. The Daily Star.
- [Ferguson ()] 'To Robe or Not to Robe? A Judicial Dilemma'. Glenn W Ferguson . Journal of American Judicature
 Society 1956. 19.
- 433 [UK Supreme Court Throws Out Legal Dress BBC ()] 'UK Supreme Court Throws Out Legal Dress'. BBC 2011.
- [Wigs Out in Court after Changes in Judicial Dress Code Nation ()] 'Wigs Out in Court after Changes in Judicial Dress Code'. Nation 2011.