

An Econometric Modelling of Absorptive Capacity, Credit Market Development and Economic Development in Nigeria

Dr. Audu Nathan Pelesai¹ and Dr. Audu Nathan Pelesai²

¹ Niger Delta University, Wilberforce Island, Bayelsa State, Nigeria.

Received: 10 February 2012 Accepted: 4 March 2012 Published: 15 March 2012

Abstract

This paper empirically examines the relationship between absorptive capacity, credit market development and economic development in Nigeria. Recent theoretical works show the significance of absorptive capacity and credit market development to economic growth. In this study, the perceived relationship between absorptive capacity, credit market development and economic growth is estimated econometrically using the Ordinary Least Square Estimation Method (OLSEM). The result showed that there is a substantial positive effect of the selected macroeconomic variables on economic growth in Nigeria. The Granger causality test showed that absorptive capacity, human capacity development, lending rate, savings, gross domestic investment and gross domestic product indicates an evidence of causality from the selected macroeconomic variables (ABC, HCD, LR, SAV and GDI) to economic growth/development.

Index terms— Economic growth, credit market, economic development, absorptive capacity, and microeconomic policy.

1 Introduction

redit market is a provider of funds such as granting of loans by creditors/lenders to the borrowers/debtors where the debtors do not reimburse the lenders immediately. Nigeria's commercial banks represent almost 90% of the financial system assets and approximately two-third of the total credit extended to the private sector. However, Nigeria displays a significantly lower share of bank loans to GDP than that of countries like Indonesia and South Africa that Nigeria is likely to be compared with ??Hacker, 2008). The high rate of money supply to GDP (financial deepening) suggests that availability of finance is not problem rather, the inefficient absorptive capacity of the private sector to utilize the fund. Existing evidence however suggests that various factors may be responsible for the above problem. The factors include fund mobilization/aggregate savings, high banks lending rate, inflationary expectation, institutional factors, inappropriate sectorial policies, level of economic activities, the structure and efficiency of the financial system among others.

A major concern however is that growth rate registered in most African countries including Nigeria does not march the quantum of export earnings they receive. Nigeria particularly earned enormous revenue from crude petroleum export during oil boom years (mid 70's and 2000's) which caused significant increase in the public sector spending without particularly leading to economic growth. For example in 1970's, gross domestic investment as a percentage of GDP was 16.8% in 1974. This later rose to 31.4% but drastically declined to 9.5% and 8.9% in 1984 and 1985 respectively (Audu, 2010 and ??BN, 2010). There is always the problem of capital market not being able to channel its resources to core growth activities (private sector); or to utilize lessons from the past experience with past failures in the country's development history. Despite basic reforms which have encouraged the development of the credit market, the absorptive capacity of the market has not been investigated. Hence, economic growth through credit market development remains in a state of dilemma. This therefore calls for an understanding of the relationship between credit market, absorptive capacity and economic growth. Therefore, this paper will provide insight into some possible policy options on the transmission mechanism between credit

market, absorptive capacity and economic growth in Nigeria. This study will also recommend measures that will aid in obtaining the macroeconomic objective of economic growth through credit market development in Nigeria.

Over the years, various policies and strategies have been adopted by the government which has ensured continuous growth of the credit market. These strategies and policies include; the Nigerian Enterprise Promotion decree of 1972 which was amended in 1977 as Indigenization decree, Guided Deregulation of 1994, the National Economic Empowerment Development Strategies of 1999 and the Bank Consolidation of 2004 among others (Duru, 2010 and Babatunde, 2007). While progress in the literature of credit market, absorptive capacity and economic growth has been quite substantial, literature on the specific roles of credit market, absorptive capacity in the process of economic growth in Nigeria is still quite scanty; mostly limited to testing causal relationships between selected credit market and absorptive capacities variables and economic growth; many aspects of this matter remain to be explored. This paper tries to fill that gap, by using causality tests as well as estimating an economic growth model to empirically examine the relationship between credit market, absorptive capacity and economic growth in Nigeria. The main aim of the paper is to examine the relationship between credit market development, absorptive capacity and economic growth in Nigeria. The paper is divided five sections. Section 1 is the introduction, while section 2 deals with theoretical and empirical issues. In section 3, the structure of the Nigerian credit market and absorptive capacity, while section 4, methodology and results are discussed. Section 5 contains some concluding remarks.

2 a) Theoretical and Empirical Issues

Both theoretical and empirical evidence suggest that a strong credit market promotes economic growth. Seven decades ago, Schumpeter (1934) stressed the role of the banking sector as a financier of productive investments and thus as an accelerator of economic growth. Babantunde (2007) suggests that financial sector might affect economic growth in the sense that it increases the productivity of investments, reduces transaction costs and affects savings. Duru (2010), Oluitan (2009), Onwioduokit (2007) and Babatunde (2007) have all constructed theoretical models wherein efficient financial markets improve the quality of investments and enhance economic growth. Also, a number of studies investigate the link between finance and growth empirically. Hondroyannis and Lolos (2005) work provides evidence that the development of finance accelerate economic growth. Gryay and Tzel (2007) in their study observed a strong positive relationship between financial development and economic growth. Babatunde (2007) researched financial sector development and economic growth in Nigeria and concluded that financial indicators are robustly correlated with economic growth. What is more interesting to financial economists is the role of market institutions regarding the definition of property rights by market institution, because a complex set of institution must be created to ensure that abuses are appropriately punished (Green, 2004). Similarly, the weakness of institutions in developing countries is well identified by Aron, (2002) when he opined that institutions in some less developing countries (LDCs) are weak because such rules are simply absent, suboptimal or poorly enforced when the costs of monitoring and enforcement, transaction costs may be too high when property right or the rule of law are reliable. It is in this vain that Stiglitz, (1998) held that the creation of effective institution and the rules that govern economic transactions lie at the heart of a successful transition. Institutional studies in settings of transitional and developing economies have gained more attention in the recent past.

In Nigeria, few studies have been focused in this direction. Ajakaiye, (2002) examines the impact of banking sector credits to the private sector on real investment from 1981 -1995 in Nigeria. He analyzed the impact of real banking sector credit to the economy on real private consumption expenditure as a way to ascertain the implications of the relatively low personal credit as a proportion of total banking sector credits before and after the reforms in Nigeria. Starting with investment expenditure, the study postulated that real investment expenditure would increase if there is an increase in real bank credit to the economy. While Adebisi (2005) assessed the relationship between stock market indicators and economic growth in Nigeria using cointegration approach. His finding reveals that capital market development variables (size and liquidity) are statistically significant in explaining economic activity. To ?riyo and Adelan (2005) in their study observed that the commissioning of electronic business in the Nigeria stock market in mid 2003 has made it possible for investor to access the 'central security clearing system's database' from the internet to monitor movements in their stock accounts. According to them, this online real time monitoring opportunity of investors' accounts has enhanced transparency in 'Stock Exchange Market in Nigeria'. The duo also held that that the "trade alert information system" launched in 2005 constitute a recent development in the Nigerian Capital Market. This alert system conveys a text message on mobile phones to alert stockholders of any transaction in their stock within 2hours. To these scholars, this mechanism mitigates unethical practices and promotes transparency in the Nigerian Capital market.

3 b) Credit market development and absorptive capacity

For financial integration to translate into faster growth, the process would have to be in synch with measures designed to strengthen countries' absorptive capacity, such as further trade liberalization and development/strengthening of the financial sector. In addition, growth in many Asian countries tends to be driven by external rather than domestic demand, which means many seem to share a common exposure to the same

type of demand shocks. Hence, regional financial integration may not reduce volatility if countries' business cycles tend to be synchronized. This suggests countries may find it beneficial to support policies that reduce the correlation between regional outputs and financial markets. The most important among those, in our view, is the need to move to more domestic-driven growth. This would need to include foreign exchange policies that do not distort the allocation of resources between tradable and non-tradable sectors. In addition, domestic private sector development could play an important role. The process could also be jump-started by large-scale investments in the non-tradable sector: in(D D D D)

C Year many countries, the need for better education, health and infrastructure -which is required to move to middle or upper-income status -remains unmet. These expenditures need not be publicly funded or supplied by the public sector. Rather, what may be required is a change in regulatory structure to support greater private sector supply of infrastructure and traditionally public services. Risk management is an important part of financial integration. With financial integration, risk management will acquire a regional perspective on top of the home dimension (Dominique, 2005;Ndebbio, 2004).

As noted by Wesley and Levinthal (1990), the development of absorptive capacity and in turn, innovative performance is history-or path-dependent and argued how lack of investment in an area of expertise early on many foreclose the future development of a technical capability in the area. In order words, efficient utilization of credit market resources encourages research and development which brings about increase in output, hence, economic growth. suggested that most innovation result from borrowing rather than invention. The ability to exploit external knowledge is thus a critical component of innovative capabilities. It is argued that the ability to evaluate and utilize outside knowledge is largely a function of the level of prior related knowledge. This knowledge confers the ability to recognize the value of new information, assimilate it and apply it to commercial ends. This ability constitutes what is called a firm's 'absorptive capacity'. Abernathy (1978) and Rosenberg et al (1988) have noted that through direct involvement in manufacturing, a firm is better able to recognize and exploit new information relevant to a particular product market. Absorptive capacity of a firm is influenced by the responsiveness of research and development (R & D) activity to learning incentives as an indication to the empirical importance. The empirical challenge then is to understand the impact of the characteristics of learning environment on R&D spending.

According to Wesley and Levinthal (1990), empirical analysis of R & D investment suggests that firms are in fact sensitive to the characteristics of the learning environment in which they operate. Thus, absorptive capacity appears to be part of firm's decision calculus in allocating resources for innovative activity. Despite these findings, because absorptive capacity is intangible and its benefits are indirect, one can have little confidence that the approval level to say nothing of the optimal level of investment in absorptive capacity is reached. Increase in private sector investment is inevitable for an economy to develop its absorptive capacity.

This view is corroborated by Shahnoushi et al, (2008) in a study on causality between financial development and economic growth in Iran using time series data for the period 1996-2004. He argued that credit market development should be treated as the most important dimension of economic development, as it leads to not just financial investment, but also investment in social and economical substructure and investment in human resources, as it enables increases in the skills and experts level of the work force.

4 II.

5 Methodology and Results

From the literature reviewed, we ascertain that there exist a relationship between credit market development, absorptive capacity and economic growth but the extent and the direction of the relationship were not obtained. In order to examine the causality relationship in Nigeria we employ the standard Granger causality test, cointegration and the error correction mechanism. According to Gujarati and Porter (2009), Granger causality test, cointegration and the error correction mechanism explains if it is economic growth that causes credit market development or absorptive capacity that causes economic growth.

Most empirical literature on the subject considers cross country regression to examine the growth effects of financial market development. It is also essential to study individual country evidence for the purpose of policy formulation. In this regard, and following the reviewed studies, this paper identifies several indicators of credit market development and absorptive capacity such as gross domestic investment (GDI), savings (SAV), lending rate (LR) and human capital development (HCD) as the most appropriate variables for this study as they have been used widely as a prime indicator of credit market development and absorptive capacity as the data for these variables are reasonably available. The credit market development, absorptive capacity variables as used in most recent studies is adopted. However, the current study included gross domestic product (GDP) spread as an additional variable for explaining economic growth. In this paper, the relationship between credit market development, absorptive capacity and economic growth is measured by adopting the modified model of Shahnoushi et al, 2008. This is an improved model of Onwioduokit (2007) and the modified model of Odedokun (1996) but the variant of Onwioduokit (2007) and Odedokun (1996) is specified in the equation as follows:

Where ABC = Absorptive capacity (measured by total credit to the economy), GDP= real GDP (proxy for economic growth), GDI = Gross domestic investment, LR = lending rate, HCD = Human capital development,

SAV = Savings, ϵ_t = stochastic error term. The expected signs of the coefficient a priori are: $\alpha_1 > 0$; $\alpha_2 < 0$; $\alpha_3 > 0$; $\alpha_4 > 0$

The results of the Ng and Perron (2001) modified unit root test is presented in Table 1. Four of the variables under scrutiny namely absorptive capacity (ABC), gross domestic product (GDP), lending rate (LR) and human capital development (HCD) are I (1) process, which means that they are stationary at first difference. Savings (SAV) and gross domestic investment (GDI) are I (0) process, implying that they are stationary at levels. The purpose of testing the stationarity properties of the variables in bounds approach to co-integration is because the (ARDL) bounds testing approach is applicable only in the presence of I (1) and I (0) variables or a mixture of both. This means that the assumption of bounds testing will collapse in the presence of I (2) variables (Pesaran et al 2001). The Ng and Perron (2001) modified unit root results presented in Table 1, implies that the bounds testing approach is applicable in this study, as all the variables are a mixture of I (1) or I (0).

The next task of the paper having established the order of integration of variables included in the model is to estimate for long run relationship, whose result is presented in Table ???. The purpose is to establish the long run relationship among the variables. Following Pesaran et al (2001), since the time series are annual, the paper adopt 2 as the maximum order of the lags in the ARDL and the estimated long-run equation, and three cointegrating relationship exists among the variables at both the trace and max-eigen statistics (2 and 1 cointegrating relationship at 5% levels) among the variables included in the model, we then proceed to estimate the over-parameterized and parsimonious error correction model in Table 6 and 7 respectively for the period 1970 -2010. Also, the descriptive statistics in Table 2, shows that absorptive capacity (ABC), gross domestic investment (GDI), gross domestic product (GDP), human capital development (HCD), lending rate (LR) and savings (SAV) averaged 10.90, 11.39, 12.69, 8.07, 17.75 and 10.73 with a standard deviation of 2.87, 3.21, 2.42, 2.40, 7.31 as well as 2.99 respectively while variables ranges between 5.86 -15.13, 7.21 -17.54, 8.56 -16.25 for ABC, GDI and GDP respectively. It also varies from a minimum of 5.07%, 6.00% and 5.83% to a maximum of 11.89%, 36.09% and 17.38% respectively for HCD, LR and SAV. The correlation matrix in Table ??, reveals that a positive correlation exists among all the variables; some with a high correlation and some with low correlation. For example, there is a very high positive correlation between ABC and GDP (98%), while the correlation between GDI and LR, between HCD and LR as well as between LR and SAV is relatively low (57.4%, 68.1% and 69.8% respectively). The Granger causality tests in Tables 5 shows that there exists a bi-direction casualty between ABC and GDP as well as HCD and ABC while a uni-directional casualty exist between LR and ABC; SAV and ABC; HCD and GDI; GDI and SAV; GDP and HCD; LR and GDP and well as between HCD and LR while the stability tests of the model which shows that the model is relatively are presented in Figure 1, 2 and 3 respectively.

Following the estimation of the long run coefficients, the paper proceeds to estimate the error correction model. The paper adopts the general to specific approach to arrive at the parsimonious estimate by eliminating the jointly insignificant variables. The error correction term shows the speed of adjustment to restore equilibrium in the dynamic model. In particular, the ECM coefficients show how quickly or slowly the variables converge to equilibrium and the ECM coefficient is expected to have a negative sign. As observed by Gujarati, (2004) a highly significant error correction term is a strong confirmation of the existence of a stable long run relationship. The result of the error correction model indicates that the error correction term ECM(-1) is well specified and the diagnostic statistics are good. The ECM (-1) variable has the correct a priori sign and is statistically significant. The speed of adjustment of 0.089 shows a high level of convergence. In particular, about 8.9 per cent of disequilibrium or deviation from long run growth rate of GDP in the previous period is corrected in the current year. The Durbin Watson (DW) statistics value of 2.92 shows the absence of first order serial autocorrelation in the model while the F-statistics of 24.63 shows that the entire model is statistically significant. The value of adjusted R² of 0.854 indicates a good fit. In particular, the model explains about 85.4 per cent of total variations of the dependent variable around its mean.

The paper conducted stability test of the long run and short run coefficients using the cumulative sum (CUSUM) and the cumulative sum of squares (CUSUMQ). As observed by Bahmani-Oskooee (2001), the stability of the regression coefficients is evaluated by stability tests and stability tests can show whether or not the regression equation is stable over time. This stability test is appropriate in time series data, especially when one is uncertain when change might have taken place. The null hypothesis is that the coefficient vector is the same in every period. CUSUM and CUSUMQ statistics are plotted against the critical bound of 5 per cent significance. As noted by Bahmani-Oskooee and Wing NG (2002), if the plot of these statistics remains within the critical bound of 5 per cent significance level, the null hypothesis, which states that all coefficients in the error correction model are stable, cannot be rejected. The results of these tests strongly suggest that the model is fairly well specified and robust for policy analysis (Udah, 2011).

The result based on Table 7 reveals that the first lag of absorptive capacity has a positive impact on current absorptive capacity. This implies that absorptive 2012(D D D D) C

Year capacity is determined by the total credit to the economy. A priori the absorptive capacity variable agrees with theoretical predictions, and it was statistically significant at levels. The paper argues that absorptive capacity promote economic growth and development. The interaction of ABC with GDP enters with a positive sign and is statistically significant. This strongly suggests that GDP have a positive impact on economic growth and development but only within certain threshold of ABC performance. Lending rate coefficient has the correct

a priori sign and is statistically significant at levels. This means that to accelerate the pace of growth and economic development, the country needs to ignite a simultaneous decrease in lending rate on loans as well as an increase on interest rate on saving on bank deposits. Similarly, gross domestic investment did not conform to a priori expectation but it is statistically significant. This means that if GDI is increased by a percent, absorptive capacity (ABC) will decline by 75.2%. This can be attributed to the high lending rate on borrowing in the country thus its negative impact on absorptive capacity of the economy.

Gross domestic product exerts a very significant positive influence on absorptive capacity thus suggesting that GDP impact on economic growth and development through ABC is very high therefore, government at all levels should implement policies that would bolster absorptive capacity in the country. It was also found that the coefficient of training and retraining as well as technology represented by human capacity development is positive as well as statistically significant in determining the level of ABC. In addition, savings was found to be significant and positive. The implication of this is that a one per cent increase in savings in Nigeria will lead to 48.3 percent increase in rate of absorptive capacity as well as economic growth and development.

6 III.

7 Conclusion

This paper has attempted to identify the key determinants of credit market development, absorptive capacity and economic growth in Nigeria, by adopting the economic analysis technique. This was done by modelling credit market development, absorptive capacity and economic growth and testing for the stability model. The paper used data from 1970 to 2010. In the empirical analysis, Johansen maximum likelihood cointegration procedure was employed, to show that there is a long -run relationship between credit market development, absorptive capacity and economic growth/development and its economic determinants. The stability of the model validates that the economic determinants significantly impact on credit market development, absorptive capacity economic growth for the Nigerian economy, indicating that government macroeconomic policies are greatly promoting credit availability as well as training and retraining (Udah, 2011; Audu, 2010; Mundell, 1963).

The parsimonious error correction results reveal that all the variables used in the study except gross domestic investment conforms to our economic a priori expectation. This notwithstanding, all the variables were highly significant. The findings strongly show that for credit market development, absorptive capacity to bolster the pace of economic development/growth in Nigeria, it requires other supportive factors such as gross domestic product, lending rate, savings and human capital development. The government at all levels should endeavour to increase investment in education and infrastructural development as these are the key movers (drivers) of economic development/growth in any country. According to Sanusi, (2004) the design of macroeconomic policy should be the main goal for the promotion of domestic savings, domestic investment, domestic price as well as exchange rate stability as a precondition for the achievement of sustainable economic growth and development in Nigeria. His view shows credence to this paper which held that the selected macroeconomic variables are strong drivers of economic growth and development. The current view therefore, is macroeconomic policies should be growth-centred, with full employment as the ultimate objective. In response to that view, this paper attempt to project a policy framework that will prioritize and improve growth hence near or full employment while maintaining stable lending rate, growth in domestic savings and human capacity development among others. This policy framework adapted the Mundellian principle of effective market classification.

¹© 2012 Global Journals Inc. (US)

²© 2012 Global Journals Inc. (US)



Figure 1:

1

Variables	MZa	MZt	MSB	D D D D) C (MPT
ABC	-19.2589	-3.09361	0.16063	1.30619
GDP	-20.5255	-3.17834	0.15485	1.28194
LR	-41.0385	-4.52967	0.11038	0.59740
HCD	-20.7716	-3.22016	0.15503	1.18840
GDI	-32.4945	-3.65646	0.11253	1.82996
SAV	-18.0415	-60.9258	3.37698	3375.81
ECM(-1)	-18.3139	-3.00578	0.16413	1.41098
Critical				
Values	-13.8000	-2.58000	0.17400	1.78000
1%	-8.10000	-1.98000	0.23300	3.17000
5%	-5.70000	-1.62000	0.27500	4.45000
10%				

[Note: CYear]

Figure 2: Table 1 :

2

Correlation matrix.
Johansen Cointegration test.

Figure 3: Table 2 :

Pairwise Granger Causality Tests

Date: 11/13/11 Time: 10:52

Sample: 1970 2010

Lags: 2

Null Hypothesis:

	F-Statistic	Probability	
LOG(GDI) does not Granger Cause LOG(ABC)	Accept	0.86275	
LOG(ABC) does not Granger Cause LOG(GDI)	Accept	0.25231	
LOG(GDP) does not Granger Cause LOG(ABC)	Reject	0.01338	
LOG(ABC) does not Granger Cause LOG(GDP)	Reject	0.06145	
LOG(HCD) does not Granger Cause LOG(ABC)	Reject	0.04932	
LOG(ABC) does not Granger Cause LOG(HCD)	Reject	0.10033	
LR does not Granger Cause LOG(ABC)	Reject	0.03174	
LOG(ABC) does not Granger Cause LR	Accept	0.43745	
LOG(SAV) does not Granger Cause LOG(ABC)	Reject	0.07861	
LOG(ABC) does not Granger Cause LOG(SAV)	Accept	0.73455	
LOG(GDP) does not Granger Cause LOG(GDI)	Accept	0.12006	
LOG(GDI) does not Granger Cause LOG(GDP)	Accept	0.31887	
LOG(HCD) does not Granger Cause LOG(GDI)	Reject	0.01098	
LOG(GDI) does not Granger Cause LOG(HCD)	Accept	0.94591	
LR does not Granger Cause LOG(GDI)	Accept	0.22659	
LOG(GDI) does not Granger Cause LR	Accept	0.57652	
LOG(SAV) does not Granger Cause LOG(GDI)	Accept	0.51940	
LOG(GDI) does not Granger Cause LOG(SAV)	Reject	0.02680	
LOG(HCD) does not Granger Cause LOG(GDP)	Accept	0.95840	
LOG(GDP) does not Granger Cause LOG(HCD)	Reject	0.00398	D
			D
			D
			D
			)
			(
LR does not Granger Cause LOG(GDP)	Reject	0.00563	
LOG(GDP) does not Granger Cause LR	Accept	0.33668	
LOG(SAV) does not Granger Cause LOG(GDP)	Accept	0.83933	
LOG(GDP) does not Granger Cause LOG(SAV)	Accept	0.95921	
LR does not Granger Cause LOG(HCD)	Accept	0.11826	
LOG(HCD) does not Granger Cause LR	Reject	0.04667	
LOG(SAV) does not Granger Cause LOG(HCD)	Accept	0.15325	
LOG(HCD) does not Granger Cause LOG(SAV)	Accept	0.24258	
LOG(SAV) does not Granger Cause LR	Accept	0.40352	
LR does not Granger Cause LOG(SAV)	Accept	0.78447	

F critical = 2.45

[Note: CGranger causality test.]

Figure 4: Table 5 :

6

Dependent Variable: LOG(ABC)

Method: Least Squares

Date: 06/03/12 Time: 11:32

Sample(adjusted): 1972 2010

Included observations: 39 after adjusting endpoints

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
LOG(ABC(-1))	0.404731	0.167990	2.409251	0.0234
C	-0.112046	0.955042	-0.117321	0.9075
LOG(GDI)	-0.381203	0.178831	-2.131638	0.0835
LOG(GDI(-1))	-0.009444	0.201073	-0.046966	0.9629
LOG(GDP)	-0.063424	0.279486	-0.226930	0.8223
LOG(GDP(-1))	0.599800	0.249534	2.403680	0.0254
LOG(HCD)	0.674094	0.232839	2.895108	0.0329
LOG(HCD(-1))	0.168263	0.242763	0.693115	0.4944
LOG(SAV)	0.418275	0.127503	3.280511	0.0098
LOG(SAV(-1))	0.000820	0.118906	0.006898	0.9945
LR	-0.013045	0.019844	-0.657352	0.5167
LR(-1)	0.142722	0.021666	6.290638	0.0000
ECM(-1)	-0.028004	0.022688	-1.234318	0.2281
R-squared	0.908435		Mean dependent var	11.15159
Adjusted R-squared	0.873097		S.D. dependent var	2.707858
S.E. of regression	0.352051		Akaike info criterion	1.011119
Sum squared resid	3.222432		Schwarz criterion	1.565639
Log likelihood	-6.716811		F-statistic	185.1790
Durbin-Watson stat	1.796579		Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000

Figure 5: Table 6 :

7

Dependent Variable: LOG(ABC)

Method: Least Squares

Date: 06/03/12 Time: 11:57

Sample(adjusted): 1972 2010

Included observations: 39 after adjusting endpoints

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
LOG(ABC(-1))	0.444905	0.141430	3.145760	0.0036
C	-0.255425	0.716203	-	0.7238
			0.356637	
LOG(GDI)	-0.752442	0.084794	-	0.0000
			8.873765	
LOG(GDP(-1))	0.805845	0.169081	4.766032	0.0001
LOG(HCD)	0.261395	0.060118	4.348032	0.0007
LOG(SAV)	0.482744	0.127176	3.795873	0.0024
LR(-1)	-0.032994	0.016661	-	0.0566
			1.980305	
ECM(-1)	-0.089796	0.017472	-	0.0000
			5.139420	
R-squared	0.897376	Mean dependent var		11.15159
Adjusted R-squared	0.854525	S.D. dependent var		2.707858
S.E. of regression	0.336849	Akaike info criterion		-0.842317
Sum squared resid	3.517482	Schwarz criterion		-1.183561
Log likelihood	-8.425187	F-statistic		34.63774
Durbin-Watson stat	2.929486	Prob(F-statistic)		0.000000

Figure 6: Table 7 :

266 [Cambridge] , Cambridge . Harvard University Press.

267 [International Financial Market Institutions and Money] , *International Financial Market Institutions and*
268 *Money* 15 p. .

269 [Indian Journal of Economics] , *Indian Journal of Economics* 67 (3) p. .

270 [Africa Development Review] , *Africa Development Review* 18 (1) p. .

271 [Güryay and Tüzel ()] , S Güryay , E Tüzel . *Financial Development and economic Growth: Evidence from*
272 *northern Cyprus: International Research Journal of Finance and Economies* 2007. 12 p. .

273 [BIFT's Journal of International Management and Research (Nov.4, July-September. 69 -73)] , *BIFT's Jour-*
274 *nal of International Management and Research* Nov.4, July-September. 69 -73. 1.

275 [Luinten and Khan ()] 'A quantitative Reassessment of the Finance-Growth Nexus. Evidence from a Multivariate
276 VAR'. Luinten , M Khan . *Journal of Development Economics* 1999. 60 p. .

277 [Wesley and Levinthal (1990)] 'Absorptive Capacity: A New Perspective on Learning and Innovation'. M C
278 Wesley , D A Levinthal . *Administrative Science Quarterly* 1990. Mar. (1) p. .

279 [Odedukun ()] 'Alternative econometrics approaches for analyzing the role of financial sector in economic growth:
280 Time Series evidence from LDCs'. M O Odedukun . *Journal of Development Economics* 1996. 50 p. .

281 [Annual Report and Statement of Account CBN ()] 'Annual Report and Statement of Account'. CBN 2009.
282 CBN Press.

283 [Ariyo and Adelakan ()] *Assessing the impact of capital market reforms in Nigeria: An incremental approach.*
284 *Paper presented at the 26 th References Références Referencias Annual Conference of the*, A Ariyo , O
285 Adelakan . 2005. Abuja: Nigerian Economic Society.

286 [Levine ()] 'Bank Based or Market Based Financial Systems: Which is better'. R Levine . *Journal of Financial*
287 *Intermediation* 2002. 11 p. .

288 [Ajakaiye ()] 'Banking sector reforms and economic performance in Nigeria'. D O Ajakaiye . *Deregulation and*
289 *Banking Crises in Nigeria: A Comparative Study*, H Stein, O Ajakaiye, P Lewis (ed.) (New York) 2002.
290 Palgrave Macmillian.

291 [Gujarati and Porter ()] *Basic Econometrics. 5 th Edition*, D N Gujarati , D C Porter . 2009. Singapore:
292 McGraw-Hill International Publication.

293 [Pesaran et al. ()] 'Bound Testing Approaches to the Analysis of level Relationships'. M H Pesaran , Y Shin , R
294 J Smith . *Journal of Applied Econometrics* 2001. 16 p. .

295 [Ovat ()] 'Capital Market Development and Economic Growth in Nigeria: An empirical Analysis. A Ph.D.
296 Dissertation submitted to the Dept'. O O Ovat . *Technological Implications of New Growth Theory for*
297 *the South. United Nations Development Programme*, 45 Nigeria, B Patrick (ed.) 2010. 2008. of Economics,
298 University of Calabar

299 [Adebiyi ()] 'Capital Market Performance and the Nigerian Economic Growth'. M A Adebiyi . *Issues in Money,*
300 *Finance and Economic Management in*, Akano Fakisi (ed.) (Nigeria. Lagos) 2005. University of lagos Press.

301 [Shahnoushi et al. ()] 'Causality between 50. Financial Development and Economic Growth in Iran'. N Shah-
302 noushi , A G Ebaeli , M Daneshvan , I E Shokn , M Molallebi . *World Applied science Journal* 2008. 13 p.
303 .

304 [Vazakidis and Adamopoulos ()] 'Credit Market Development and Growth'. A Vazakidis , A Adamopoulos .
305 *American Journal of Economics* 2009.

306 [Kasekende ()] *Developing a Second Banking System. A Paper Presented at IMF Seminar, Tunisia*, L Kasekende
307 . <http://www.eurojournal.com/finance.html> 2008.

308 [Saci et al. ()] 'Does Financial Development Affect Growth?'. K Saci , G Giorgioni , K Holden . *Appl ied*
309 *Economics* 2009. 78 (2) p. .

310 [Damatriades and Hussein ()] 'Does Financial Development Cause Economic Growth? Time Series Evidence
311 from 16 countries'. O P Damatriades , A K Hussein . *Journal of development Economics* 1996. 51 p. .

312 [Osei] *Does the stock Market matter in Ghana? A Granger causality Analysis. Bank of Ghana Working Paper*,
313 Osei . p. 5.

314 [Gerschenkron ()] *Economic Backwardness in Historical Perspective. A Book of Essays*, A Gerschenkron . 1969.

315 [Caporale et al. ()] 'Endogenous Growth Models and Stock Market Development: Evidence from Four Countries'.
316 G Caporale , P Howells , A Soliman . *Review of Development Economics* 2005. 9 p. .

317 [Green ()] 'Equity politics and market institutions: The development of stock exchange governance in China'. S
318 Green . *Asia Programme Working paper* 2004. 1984 -2003. 12 p. .

- [Ndebbio ()] *Financial Deepening, Economic Growth and Development: Evidence from Selected Sub-Sahara African Countries*, AERC Research Papers No. 142 African Economic Research Consortium, J E U Ndebbio . 2004. Nairobi.
- [Duru ()] *Financial Development and Economic Growth in Nigeria*, D Duru . 2010. 1970-2008.
- [Bencivenga and Smith ()] 'Financial Intermediation and Endogenous Growth'. V Bencivenga , B Smith . *The Review of Economic Studies* 1996. 58 p. .
- [Gross ()] *Financial Intermediation: A Contributing Factor to Economic Growth and Employment*, M D Gross . 2001.
- [Onwuoduokit and Adamu ()] *Financial Liberalization in Nigeria: An assessment of relative impact*, E Onwuoduokit , P Adamu . 2005. Nigerian Economic Society. (Selected paper for the 46 th Annual Conference of the)
- [Hondroyiannis ()] *Financial market and economic growth in Greece*, Lolos Hondroyiannis . 2005. 1986-1999.
- [Oluitan ()] 'Financial Sector Development and Economic Growth in Nigeria. Selected paper for the 48 th Annual Conference of the Nigerian Economic Society, Nigeria's Development in Time Perspective'. R Oluitan . from <http://www.csae.ox.ac.uk/conference/2009-EDRA/papers/094.41>. OnwuoduokitE. *Bank Credit and Economic Growth: The Nigerian Experience*. Retrieved on 8 th November, 2009. 2011. 2007.
- [Babatunde ()] 'Financial Sector Development and Economic Growth: The Nigerian Experience. Selected paper for the 46 th Annual Conference of the Nigerian Economic Society'. W A Babatunde . *Lagos 10. Bahmani-Oskooee, M*, 2007. 2001. 2 p. . (Real and Nominal Effective Exchange Rates of Middle Eastern Countries and their Trade Performance)
- [Eller et al. ()] 'Foreign Direct Investment in the Financial Sector and Economic Growth in Central and Eastern Europe: The Crucial Role of the Efficiency Channel'. M Eller , P Haiss , K Steiner . *Emerging Market Review* 2006. 7 p. .
- [Audu (2010)] 'Foreign Direct Investment, Economic Growth and Export Performance in An Error Correction Analysis'. N P Audu . *Journal of Research in National Development* 2010. June. 8 (1) p. .
- [Soludo ()] *From a pariah, failed State to an emerging market economy: The Obasanjo Legacy and challenges ahead*, C C Soludo . 2007. Abuja. Central Bank of Nigeria
- [Acemoglu et al. ()] *Institutions as the Fundamental Cause of Long-Run Growth. Handbook of Economic Growth*, D Acemoglu , S Johnson , J Robinson . 2004. New York: McGrawHill Press.
- [International Agricultural Trade Advanced Reading in Price Formation, Market Structure and Price Instability] *International Agricultural Trade Advanced Reading in Price Formation, Market Structure and Price Instability*, Boulder Colo: Westy Press.
- [Ng and Perron ()] 'Lag Length Selection and the Construction of Unit Root Tests with good and power'. S Ng , P Perron . *Econometrica* 2001. 69 p. .
- [Bahmani-Oskooee and Wing ()] 'Long-run Demand for Money in Hong Kong: An Application of the ARDL model'. M Bahmani-Oskooee , N G Wing , RC . *International Journal of Business and Economics* 2002. 1 p. .
- [Kyle (ed.) ()] *Market Structure, Information, Future Markets and Price Formation*, A Kyle . Gray G., Andrew Schmitz and Alexander Sarris (ed.) 1984.
- [Mishra and Pradhan ()] P K Mishra , B B Pradhan . *Financial Innovation and Its impact on Reserve bank Policies*, 2009.
- [Mishra et al. ()] P K Mishra , K B Das , B B Pradhan . *Economic Growth: Financial Innovation Perspective*, 2009.
- [David ()] 'Nigerian Financial Sector Assessment'. T K David . *Report for USAIN/ Nigeria. Economic Growth Strategy* 2003.
- [Lucas ()] 'On the Mechanics of Economic Development'. R Lucas . *Journal of Monetary Economics* 1988. p. .
- [Dominique ()] 'Regional financial integration and private sector development'. D F Dominique . <http://www.barcap.com> *Asian EM Strategist* 2005.
- [Guiliano and Ruiz-Arranz ()] *Remittances, Financial Development and Growth. IZA Discussion Paper Series 2160*, P Guiliano , Ruiz-Arranz . 2006. Bonn, Germany.
- [Udah ()] 'Remittances, human capital and economic performance in Nigeria'. E B Udah . *Journal of Sustainable Development in Africa* 2011. 13 (4) p. .
- [Nzue ()] *Stock market development and Economic Growth. Evidence from Cote D'Ivoire*, F Nzue . 2006.
- [Atje and Jovanovic ()] 'Stock Markets and Development'. R Atje , B Jovanovic . *European Economic Review* 1993. 37 p. .

-
- 375 [Mishkin ()] *The Economics of Money and Financial Markets. London. Pearson*, F S Mishkin . 2007. Addison
376 Wesley Publishers.
- 377 [Athanasios and Antonios ()] ‘The Effect of Stock and Credit Market Development on Economic Growth: An
378 Empirical Analysis for Italy’. V Athanasios , A Antonios . *International Research Journal of Finance and*
379 *Economic* 2010. 45 (4) p. .
- 380 [Abernathy ()] *The Productivity Dilemma*, W J Abernathy . 1978. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.
- 381 [Rosenberg and Steinmueller ()] ‘Why are Americans such poor imitators?’. N Rosenberg , W E Steinmueller .
382 *American Economic Review* 1988. 78 (2) p. .