

# The Current Status of the Examination System for Evaluating the Russian Language Proficiency, the Knowledge of the History as well as the Fundamentals of the Russian Legislation

Arsenii Parfenov

Received: 3 January 2022 Accepted: 23 January 2022 Published: 5 February 2022

## Abstract

This article focuses on the system of testing the Russian language proficiency, as well as on the knowledge of the history and the legislation basics of the Russian Federation. The subject of this research is the realization by the government bodies, organizations, and other involved actors of the provisions of Paragraph 8, Clause 15.1 of the Federal Law "On the Legal Status of Foreign Citizens in the Russian Federation" (Federal Law 115-FZ of July 25, 2002). The purpose of this work implies offering an overview of the current practice in terms of implementing the indicated requirements in 85 subjects of the Russian Federation for further development of recommendations regarding its improvement. The article provides a look at research results of one of the components of the system employed for linguisticdidactic testing -the regional system of the Russian language testing, the knowledge of history and basics of the Russian legislation. Through the course of this research, there were over 120 regulatory acts analyzed, with 509 respondents involved, 412 of them being employees of organizations authorized to carry out the test, while 97 persons were officers of the regional branches of the Internal Affairs Ministry.

**Index terms**— regional authorities, foreign citizens, testing, exam, history of russia,

## 1 Introduction

his item dwells on the system of evaluating the proficiency of the Russian language, as well as the knowledge of the Russian history and legislation (hereinafter -the Exam). An analysis of the current course and the status of the system for linguisticdidactic testing in general were the focus of another article by this author [1]. This article, in turn, is aimed at presenting the outcomes of a research focusing on one component of the linguisticdidactic test system -a regional system for the Exam. The objects of the study here include the implementation of the provisions of Paragraph 8, Clause 15.1 of the Federal Law "On the Legal Status of Foreign Citizens in the Russian Federation" (Federal Law #115-FZ of July 25, 2002) by public authorities, institutions and other parties involved [2].

The aim of this study is to describe the current practice of employing the said provisions in 85 subjects of the Russian Federation to develop recommendations for their further improvement.

Subject to Par. 8, Cl. 15.1 of the Federal Law "On the Legal Status of Foreign Citizens in the Russian Federation" (Federal Law #115-FZ of July 25, 2002), foreign citizens applying to obtain a patent can prove their command of the Russian Language, the history and legislation of Russia not only with a certificate yet also with a "document certifying their successful completion of the examination revealing their knowledge of the Russian Language, the history and legislation of the Russian Federation" [2]. During that, the Federal Law states that the requirements for the minimal level of knowledge needed to pass the exam successfully, as well as the respective form of the document are to be approved by "an executive body in charge of developing the public policy and regulations in the area of education" [2]. As the above suggests, the powers on setting the minimal level of knowledge to pass the Exam, as well as on approving the document itself reside in the Ministry

of Education and Science of the Russian Federation (similarly to the federal system for arranging comprehensive examinations). However, the right of approving the list of "academic institutions authorized to arrange the examination in order to test the Russian language proficiency, as well as to check the knowledge of the history and the basics of the Russian legislation", as well as the arranging the procedure and the type of hosting such exams has been delegated to the supreme executive bodies of the respective regions of the Russian Federation. This means that the adoption of Federal Law #357-FZ of 24/11/2014 [23] resulted in establishing a separate regional system for certifying foreign nationals' Russian Language proficiency, as well as their knowledge of the Russian history and the legislation basics, whereas such separate system operates along with the federal system for holding comprehensive exams (Par. 1.1, Cl. 15.1, Federal Law #15-FZ of July 25, 2002).

The following methods were employed through this research: analysis of regulatory legal acts, including local ones, as well as samples of standard and other documents; statistical analysis; polls among employees of authorized organizations, executive authorities, and foreign citizens.

Over 120 regulatory acts were analyzed during this study, with 509 respondents interviewed, 412 of them representing organizations authorized to conduct the Exam, and another 97 being employees of regional departments of the Ministry of Internal Affairs.

## 2 II.

### Minimal Requirements for the Knowledge Level

Following Par. 8, Cl. 15.1 of the Federal Law "On the Legal Status of Foreign Citizens in the Russian Federation" (Federal Law #115-FZ of July 25, 2002) [2], the requirements for the minimal level of knowledge required to pass the Exam successfully shall be approved by the Ministry of Education of the Russian Federation.

As of now, no regulatory legal act has been issued, which would set the requirements mentioned above. This means that there are no single requirements available concerning testing foreign citizens' level of communication competency during the Exam through the entire territory of the Russian Federation, which results in stratification of language skills, the emergence of regional deviations, and blurred language standards.

Lack of such requirements is nothing but an unacceptable gap in the current legislation, specifically when talking of a situation where the federal system of arranging comprehensive exams has a minimal level of knowledge requirements, as well as the form and procedure for arranging the exam, approved by Order of the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation (#1156 of August 29, 2014) "On approval of the form, procedure for arranging the exam in Russian as a foreign language, the history of Russia and the basics of the legislation of the Russian Federation, as well as the requirements for the minimal knowledge level required to successfully pass the specified exam".

An analysis of regulatory legal acts effective in various subjects of the Russian Federation shows the following ways available to eliminate this gap at the regional level. 35 out of the 85 Russian subjects, for instance, have no regulatory legal acts concerning the Exam. The respective regulations of 34 subjects (out of the 50 subjects where there have been regulatory legal acts regarding the Exam issued), contain a reference to an act of the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation, which does not exist (the requirements for the minimum level of knowledge in these subjects, therefore, are also not established, which does not prevent the Exam from being held in 24 of such subjects).

Regulatory acts of the Republic of Karelia [4], the Republic of Sakha [5], the Tomsk Oblast [6] mention no minimal requirements, while the entire regional regulatory base of the Republic of Tatarstan [7] limits itself with nothing more than a list of the authorized organizations (neither the procedure nor type of Exam is set). 12 subjects of Russia have regulatory acts that have some reference requirements to the Order #1156 by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation "On approval of the form, procedure for holding the exam in Russian as a foreign language, the history of Russia and the basics of the legislation of the Russian Federation, the requirements for the minimum level of knowledge required to successfully pass the specified exam" dated August 29, 2014 [8]. Besides, it is to be noted that the said order was issued subject to Par. 4 of Cl. 15.1 of the Federal Law "On the Legal Status of Foreign Citizens in the Russian Federation" (Federal Law #115-FZ of July 25, 2002) [2] and sets the minimal requirements for the level of knowledge needed to pass the exam within the federal system. These subjects, actually, only try to bridge the gap by just referring to the provisions of the respective federal law.

Following Par. 2, Cl. 3 of the Federal Law "On the general principles of the organization of legislative (representative) and executive bodies of Public Authority in the subjects of the Russian Federation" (Federal Law #184-FZ of October 6, 1999) [9], the subjects of Russia are within their full right of introducing their own legal regulation on issues of joint management of the Russian Federation and its subjects. This right, however, is granted to the Russian subjects only until there are respective federal laws adopted (i.e. until Federal Law #115 of July 25, 2002 was adopted). As a result, the supreme executive bodies of the Russian subjects, by referring, in their regional regulatory acts, the Order by the Ministry of Education and Science of Russia (Order #1156 of August 29, 2014), actually contradict Par. 8 of Cl. 15.1. of Federal Law #115-FZ of July 25, 2002, which, in turn, results in a collision between the federal legislation and that of the administrative subjects of Russia, thus contributing to mismanagement and violation of the legality principles.

---

### 3 III.

## 4 Form of Document

According Par. 8 of Cl. 15.1 of Federal Law #115-FZ of July 25, 2002, the form of the document issued by respectively authorized organizations is to be approved by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation [??2]. Unlike the requirements for the minimal level of knowledge, the form of the document was approved by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation, subject to the Order "On approval of the form of the document for passing the exam for proficiency in the Russian language, knowledge of the history and fundamentals of the legislation of the Russian Federation" (Order #21 of January 26, ??015) [10].

As of today, in all the subjects of Russia that hold the Exam, the form of the document is similar to that approved by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation subject to Order #21 of ??anuary 26, 2015). However, in 2016, a number of organizations, which belong to the list of those authorized to arrange the Exam, issued documents that did not meet the requirements set within the Order mentioned above, which was a serious violation of the federal law. Mention should be made here that according to the order of the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation, the document on passing the Exam is not a protected polygraphic product, which means it incorporates no effective anti-counterfeit protection mechanisms. In most organizations, the said document is printed on conventional office paper used for laser printers, copiers and fax machines, with no polygraphic equipment used. Apart from the lack of requirements concerning the degree of protection for the Exam document, there are other gaps in the regulatory legal act issued by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation, for instance, total absence of any requirements for the procedure for issuing a document, the area and the term of its validity.

These gaps are cannot be allowed, since as early as when preparing the regulatory legal act, it was obvious that there would be need to have the above requirements approved, and yet it all remained neglected. Another thing worth mentioning is that the Order of the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation #1154 "On approval of the form, procedure for issuing a certificate of proficiency in the Russian language, knowledge of the history of Russia and the basics of the legislation of the Russian Federation and the respective technical requirements" of August 29, 2014, issued earlier to regulate the federal Examination system [??11] featured no such gaps.

The regional regulatory documents, too, have no validity period specified for the document. The validity term of the issued document across the studied regions varies from 1 to 5 years. According to the data obtained through phone surveys carried out among authorized organizations, 9 subjects of the Russian Federation have an indefinite term of validity for the document they issue. The validity term varies both among the subjects of the Russian Federation and within the regions. 6 of the organizations authorized to operate in the Belgorod Oblast, for instance, reported that the document is valid for 1 year, while one organization claimed their document is valid for 5 years, and another 9 organizations issue the document for an indefinite term.

In the Altai Krai, representatives of the Regional state budgetary professional educational institution Rubtsovsky College of Agriculture and Industry, and of the Regional state budgetary professional educational institution Altai College of Industry and Economics reported through a telephone survey that they were not aware of the validity period for the document they issue. A similar situation is to be observed regarding the period of issue and the area of validity for the document. 11 out of the 50 studied subjects of the Russian Federation, have respective normative legal acts approving the term for issuing the document (the Republic of Altai, the Republic of Dagestan, the Republic of Mordovia, the Republic of Khakassia, the Kamchatka Krai, as well as the Kursk, Irkutsk, Oryol, Penza, Tomsk, and Tyumen Oblasts).

Subject to the decisions by the Governments of the Saratov [12] and Leningrad [13] Oblasts, the term for issuing the document shall be approved by the authorized organization. In the remaining 37 subjects, there is no term set for issuing the document in regulatory legal acts. As the surveys showed, the term for issuing the document in the subjects of the Russian Federation varies from 1 working day to 2 weeks. In the Altai Krai, for instance, as reported by representatives of the Polzunov Altai State Technical University, the document is issued within 10 working days.

The Regional state budgetary professional educational institution Barnaul State Pedagogic College issues the document on the day the Exam is passed. Other authorized institutions of the Altai Krai failed to offer a specific answer regarding the term of issuing the document. The area of validity for the document is specified within regulatory acts for the Amur [14] and Arkhangelsk Oblasts only [??15]. In the Belgorod Oblast, 4 organizations reported their document is valid all over the Russian Federation; 6 organizations claim their document is valid across the Belgorod Oblast; another 4 organizations state they have no details concerning the area of validity for the document. 35 of the subjects involved into the study have their documents valid exclusively across their respective subject.

Since the issue of certifying the Russian language proficiency, the knowledge of the history of Russia and the basics of the Russian legislation belongs to the joint jurisdiction of the Russian Federation and its subjects, the issue of identifying the area for the document validity appears a complicated one. As a result, the lack of a direct indication of the document validity period in the respective regulatory legal acts of the Ministry of Education of the Russian Federation, as well as in the respective acts of the Russian subjects results in significant uncertainty in terms of legal regulation. Besides, the lack of an indication of the validity period, the term of issue and the

validity area expands the discretionary powers enjoyed by the Ministry of Internal Affairs, which is a potential corruption-triggering factor.

Apart from the legal issues, the regional examination system faces another one, which is much more serious -an issue of methodology. Any language testing, for instance, has to follow a key principle -the principle of validity. Validity here means that the respective test results match for the purpose for which the testing was carried out [16].

The fact that there are different validity periods applied to a document that is meant to be used for a single shared purpose (certifying a foreign citizen's command of all types of speech activity, as well as their knowledge of the Russian history and basics of legislation in view of the requirements for the minimum level of knowledge to be allowed a work permit or a patent) is a direct violation of this principle. Besides, the lack of minimal requirements for the knowledge level means we are not within our right of speaking about any concept of validity taken as the match between the test materials and the evaluation purpose, since the evaluation purpose (compliance with the minimum level of knowledge) has not been set. As a result, we can witness the lack of equality guarantees for foreign citizens when they come to take the Exam.

IV.

## 5 List of Educational Institutions

Unlike the document form and the requirements for the minimal level of knowledge required to pass the Exam, the right to approve the list of "educational organizations entitled to conduct an exam for the Russian language proficiency, the knowledge of the Russian history and the basics of the Russian legislation" shall be granted to the supreme executive bodies of public authority in the respective subjects of the Russian Federation.

The study revealed that the lists of organizations were approved in 50 subjects, yet the Exam is actually held only in 36 subjects of the Russian Federation. All the attempts to obtain information about the Exam in the Republic of Dagestan, as well as in the Republic of Mordovia, failed, because the organizations authorized to hold the Exam replied to neither the phone calls nor to the E-mails sent to them. Nor is there any data about the Exam available on the websites of these organizations. All in all, there are 234 organizations authorized to hold the Exam in 85 regions of Russia.

In the Belgorod Oblast, there are 4 organizations are authorized to hold the Exam for all foreign citizens, while 24 general education schools arrange the Exam for citizens of Ukraine only (these organizations are part of the previously named 234 authorized entities). Such differentiation based on the citizenship principle is not envisaged within federal legislation and contradicts the equality principles. As telephone interviews suggest, only 44% (103) of the organizations do actually hold the Exam.

70% (163 organizations) out of the 234 authorized organizations have no structural units focusing specifically on studying, teaching of the Russian language, Russian as a foreign language. There are Russian Language Departments functioning in 25 (11%) of the authorized organizations only; 57 organizations (24%) have Departments of Russian Language (some organizations run both a Department of Russian Language and a Department of Russian as a foreign language). 41% of the organizations that do actually hold the Exam are professional academic institutions (colleges); 30% are educational organizations of higher education (universities); 20% are general education institutions (schools); 6% are organizations of additional professional education (institutes of advanced training), and another 3% are organizations of additional education (see Fig. ??). Openly available sources contain no information about the competitive selection process when composing the list of authorized organizations. Unlike the federal system of holding a comprehensive exam, where the application-based procedure is employed to select the list of educational organizations to hold a comprehensive exam subject to the Order #1153 "On approval of the procedure and criteria for putting educational organizations on the list of educational organizations holding the exam in Russian as a foreign language, the history of Russia and the basics of the Russian legislation" of August 29, 2014 [17], the procedure for putting organizations on the regional list is currently regulated at neither the federal nor regional level.

## 6 ???????? -colleges

It appears that putting composing the regional list of organizations authorized to hold the Exam should be performed so that those truly intending to hold the above-mentioned exam should have a true opportunity to join the selection. In other words, developing the list of organizations should be subject to offering equal access to all those interested parties. This statement has been proven through other studies. As an article focusing on anti-competitive requirements for the selection of those to provide services on transporting and storing detained vehicles, "judicial and administrative practice revealed negative effects of such legal uncertainty affecting the procedure for the selection of entities to offer respective services" [18]. In particular, the said study offers a view at the stance of Arbitration courts of various subjects of the Russian Federation "on the inadmissibility of free choice of those who will be offered respective services in conditions where equal access is not enjoyed by other members of the market" [Ibid., p. 50].

Given the current situation of lacking a legally set procedure for putting organizations on the regional list, there are also signs of antimonopoly legislation violation to be observed as well. When issuing a regulatory legal act on the list of educational organizations enjoying the right to hold the Exam within a respective Russian

subject, by failing to specify the order for putting organizations on this list, the respective public authority's supreme executive body, actually, restricts the choice of organizations for foreigners seeking to take the Exam, and creates a situation of discrimination. Such actions may violate Par. 5 and 8 of Part 1, Cl. 15 of Federal Law #135-FZ "On Protection of Competition" of July 26, 2006 [19].

As a result, officials representing public authorities of the respective subjects of the Russian Federation may be held liable for violating the antimonopoly legislation subject to the provisions of Clause 14.9 of the Russian Code of Administrative Offence [20]. Here mention should also be made concerning the ambiguous qualification of the Exam in view of its legal nature. On the one hand, the Exam is a service offered to foreign citizens and persons with no citizenship, in order to evaluate their level of language proficiency and the degree of communication competency, for their further access to a work permit or patent and getting employment in the Russian Federation. Holding the Exam, therefore, can be attributed to so-called public services (for more details concerning public services, see the studies by E. V. Talapina and Yu. A. Tikhomirov [21], L. K. Tereschenko [22], N. V. Putilo [23]), as the Exam "offers activities of a generally significant orientation", "has an unlimited range of subjects using them", and is also "carried out either by a public and municipal authority or another body" [24].

The issue of defining and distinguishing the concepts of common and public services is rather new both for the theory of law and for legal practice. Research works often operate the concepts of common and public services as synonymous (see, for example, the article by I. N. Bartsits [25]). Another theoretical and scientific approach (L. K. Tereshchenko [26], N. V. Putilo [27]) views public and common services as a part and the whole. According to the latter, for instance, public services bear the same features as common services, the difference being that "public service, first of all, characterizes the subject that offers the service: it is always a public body" [28].

In view of the above, from a theoretical stance, the Exam can be seen as a public service on the one hand, and as a non-governmental service ensuring activities of a generally significant orientation, on the other. As a result, approaches to studying and carrying out legal analysis of the Exam can vary significantly depending on the chosen approach.

An analysis of the current legislation reveals a confusion of the concepts of the public and common services. According to Par. 2 (d) of Clause 1 of the Russian President's Decree #314 "On the System and Structure of Federal Executive Authorities" of March 9, 2004, the functions of offering public services are to be interpreted as "the provision, by federal executive authorities, directly or through federal state institutions or any other organizations that are their subordinate, free of charge or at prices regulated by public authorities, of services to citizens and organizations, pertaining to the field of education, healthcare, social welfare, as well as in other areas as envisaged by federal laws" [29].

Public services, therefore, can be offered by organizations that do not belong to the executive authority bodies. Federal Law #210-FZ "On arranging the provision of public and municipal services" of July 27, 2010, however, defines public services as follows: "a public service offered by a federal executive authority, a body of a public state extra-budget fund, an executive body of a public authority of a certain subject of the Russian Federation, as well as by a local government body while exercising certain public powers transferred by federal laws and laws of the subjects of the Russian Federation <?>, -activities on the implementation, respectively, the functions of a federal executive authority, a body of a public state extra-budget fund, an executive body of a public authority of a certain subject of the Russian Federation, as well as by a local government body exercising certain official public powers transferred by federal laws and laws of the subjects of the Russian Federation, <?>, which are carried out upon requests from applicants within the limits of the powers granted to bodies providing public services as set by the regulatory legal acts of the Russian Federation and the regulatory legal acts of the subjects of the Russian Federation" [30].

In other words, as is stated by the Federal Law, no transfer of powers allowing provision of public services, shall be envisaged from public authorities to other organizations. The difference in approaches to the definition of public services in the above regulatory legal acts results in a conflict between the federal law and the Russian President's decree (this was also pointed at in the article by I. A. Rudenko [31]).

As traditionally, in case of a contradiction between a federal law and subordinate legal acts, the federal law prevails, it would be reasonable to discuss further the status and the legal regulation of the Exam as a non-governmental common service. The choice of this approach is also due to practical considerations.

The potential introduction of a public service mode in relation to the Exam implies that the Exam is to be held as part of a public assignment -a document setting requirements for the composition, the quality and the procedure for providing public services. Therefore, the introduction of the public service mode in relation to the Exam should be consistent with the requirements of the Budget Code of the Russian Federation (namely, Clause 69.2, which focuses on the development and content of the public assignment task) [32], as well as the provisions of Federal Law #210-FZ "On arranging the provision of public and municipal services" of July 27, 2010 [30].

One of the inevitable features of a common service is the generally significant orientation of the service. Holding the Exam is definitely an activity of a generally significant orientation. Mandatory test of language integration for foreign citizens arriving in the Russian Federation is a crucial part of foreigners' integration into Russian society, since no adjustment will be possible for newly coming foreigners with no language proficiency and knowledge of the cultural and historical foundations of the host country.

The introduction of requirements implying certification of language command contributes to the implementation of the main public assignment ensuring public safety. Besides, this measure, as is claimed by A. A. Parfenov in

his article, is aimed "at maintaining the national identity of the host society itself and serves to ensure interethnic harmony within the state" [33]. As a result, lack of a set procedure for putting organizations on the list does not allow talking about effective implementation of the public service (holding the Exam), and, consequently, about solving the above-mentioned public assignment; this leads to worsening public security, undermines the national identity foundations, provokes violation of the legality and equality principle, and does not stand any criticism from the stance of legal technique. In reality it results in levelling the Exam process itself, whereas all respective Russian and international standards for language testing are neglected. Now, having established that the criteria and the procedure for putting the organizations on the list are an integral part of the entire Exam system, it is important to have a look at the issue of the content of these criteria and the choice of a certain inclusion procedure for organizations.

Since the right to approve the list resides in the supreme executive bodies of the public authorities of the respective Russian subjects, technically, each of them should be independent in setting the criteria and procedure for approving the list of such organizations. On the other hand, though, the inclusion criteria for organizations should be established based on the requirements for the minimum knowledge level required to pass the Exam (has to be approved by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation subject to Par. 8 of Clause 15.1, Federal Law # 115-FZ [2]), based on the capacity of organizations that intend to hold the Exam, as well as based on the needs for holding this Exam in each specific subject of the Russian Federation [34].

It is obvious that the requirements for the minimum knowledge level required to pass the Exam should be the same for all parts of Russia. The application procedure for putting organizations on the list, along with market mechanisms (the Exam service is paid), would allow meeting the needs of each specific region in holding the Exam. From this stance, the criteria to put organizations on the regional list should be the same as well.

Applying different criteria in different parts of the Russian Federation will lead to a difference in the level of testing organizations in the regions in terms of their competence in the field of Russian as a foreign language, and Exam experience, and, therefore, will lead to differences in evaluating the proficiency level demonstrated by foreign citizens in terms of their Russian language command and the degree of their communication competence. This, in turn, will lead to inefficient implementation of the main public assignment, which implies holding the Exam mentioned above.

Approving identical regulatory legal acts on the inclusion criteria in each particular subject of the Volume XXII Issue I Version I 18 ( ) Russian Federation seems impractical due to the load of the regulation-making stress imposed on supreme executive bodies in respective parts of the Russian Federation, as well as due to the subsequent costs related to ensuring control and supervision by the federal executive authorities of the Russian Federation (including legal expert evaluation of regulatory legal acts in the parts of the Russian Federation).

During that, the federal system of holding comprehensive exams incorporates an order by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation (#1153 of August 29, 2014 [17], which lists the criteria and the procedure for putting educational organizations on the federal list. These criteria set the minimum qualifications level for educational organizations, which would allow them to hold comprehensive examinations for foreign citizens wishing to obtain a patent or work permit, temporary or permanent residence permit. In this regard, there are several ways to overcome the gap, which is due to the lack of legal norms establishing criteria and procedures to be employed for including organizations in the list.

One of the ways, for instance, could be a direct use of the provisions from the order by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation dated (Order #1153 of August 29, 2014) [Ibid.]. As a result, organizations intending to hold the Exam in any subject of the Russian Federation, in order to be included in the list by the supreme executive body of this particular subject will have to meet a set of criteria identical to the set meeting the requirements of the federal system. With this approach, though, regional organizations will have no reason to be included in regional lists, since the criteria for being put on the federal list -which allows holding comprehensive exams throughout Russia- would be basically identical to the criteria to be included in regional lists. During that, a potential reason to reject the idea of being included in the federal list in favor of being put on the regional testing system may be some corruption-related factors at the level of a particular part of the Russian Federation. This means that the elimination of a legislative gap by issuing an order identical to Order #1153 would result in duplicating the functions of the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation, increase the regulatory burden on the supreme executive bodies of regional public authorities, as well as increase the risk of corruption at the regional level.

The development of criteria for including organizations in the list for the regional system should be performed by competent authorities, in view of the experience of issuing similar regulations. The Order #1153, however, approves the criteria for including organizations in the list of those authorized to hold the Exam for foreign citizens seeking not only to obtain a work permit or a patent, yet also those who want to obtain a temporary stay permit and a residence permit.

This means that organizations intending to hold the Exam only for those seeking to obtain a work permit or a patent, could enjoy some softer criteria. Given the lack of experience featured by the supreme executive authorities of the Russian subjects in terms of developing such criteria, such an order should be issued by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation. This, in turn, would take amending Par. 8 of Clause 15.1 of Federal Law #115-FZ "On the Legal Status of Foreign Citizens in the Russian Federation" [2], granting the Ministry of Education and Science respective powers.

## 7 Form and Procedure to Hold the Exam

As far as the form and procedure of the Exam are concerned, the situation is similar to that with the organizations list approval. The right to approve the form and procedure of the Exam is subject to the provisions of Par. 8 of Clause 15.1, Federal Law #115-FZ [2] to the supreme executive bodies of the public authorities in the respective part of the Russian Federation. The form and procedure of the Exam have been established in 49 subjects of the Russian Federation, that is, in all regions of Russia where lists of organizations have been approved, except the Republic of Tatarstan. The regional regulatory basis of the Republic of Tatarstan [7] is limited only to identifying the list of authorized organizations, while the form and the procedure of the Exam have not been set.

In 31 subjects of the Russian Federation, as prescribed by respective regulatory acts, the Exam is held verbally (as an interview) and in writing (as a test), including with computer and remote technologies; in 9 subjects, a similar form has been approved for the Exam, yet with no remote technologies employed; in 3 subjects, a similar form of the Exam has been approved, while without computer and remote technologies (Kirov Oblast [35], Khanty-Mansi Autonomous Okrug -Yugra [36], Tyumen Oblast [37]).

In the Amur [14], Belgorod [38], Bryansk [39] and Oryol [40] Oblasts, as well as in the federal city of Moscow [41] a written test has been approved, including computer and remote technologies; no part of the Exam is held verbally. In the Tula Oblast, the Exam is held both verbally and in writing, while the verbal part is approved as "Listening" [42].

It is to be noted that this form implies testing, above all, listening skills and does not allow any complete assessment of the speech production activity. As could be concluded based on the information obtained in the Moscow Oblast through telephone conversations, the entire Exam includes 20 questions. In 39 out of the 50 subjects of the Russian Federation, the time allocated to complete the tasks is set by the authorized organizations. The regulatory legal acts of Further analysis shows that the duration of the Exam varies within the region, even in parts of the Russian Federation where the time offered to complete the tasks is approved by the local supreme executive authority.

In the Tyumen Oblast, for instance, following the Order by the Government of the Tyumen Oblast of April 20, 2015 (Order #136-p "On establishing the procedure and form of holding in the Tyumen Oblast the exam for proficiency in the Russian language, knowledge of the Russian history and the basics of the Russian legislation" [37], the Exam duration is 75 minutes. However, the information obtained during the study of control and measuring materials of the Tyumen State University pointed at the fact that the duration of the Exam is 100 minutes. In the Sakhalin Oblast, representatives of the authorized organization the Sakhalin Technical School of Service reported on the phone that the Exam duration is 30 minutes while 90 minutes are mentioned officially in the regulatory act approved by the Government of the Sakhalin Oblast [46].

When having the Exam duration approved by respectively authorized organizations, the time allowed for the Exam varies across the regions from 60 to 130 minutes. However, this difference could be observed not among regions only yet also with a subject of the Russian Federation. In the Ulyanovsk Oblast, for instance, the Exam time varies from 80 to 130 minutes. The significant differences in the form and procedure of testing, both among different Russian subjects and within some of them, suggest lack of a unified system for holding the Exam. As a result, when approving the form and procedure for the Exam at the level of each individual subject of the Russian Federation, the situation becomes similar to the approval of the criteria and procedure for authorizing organizations. Differences in the Exam form and procedure in different parts of the Russian Federation imply different approaches to assessing foreigners' level of the Russian language proficiency and the degree of their communication competence. This can result, as noted above, in ineffective completion of the main objective pursued through holding the Exam, in deteriorated public safety, as well as in undermined foundations of the national identity and violated legality and equality principles.

A logical solution to the problem could be having an approved -at the federal level (by the Russian Ministry of Education and Science) -form and procedure for the Exam (this would entail amendments in Par. 8 of Cl. 15.1 of Federal Law #115-FZ [2]), or holding a legal expert evaluation of the regulatory acts in the regions of Russia followed by introducing respective amendments and bringing the Exam form and procedure to a single shared standard in all subjects of Russia. The latter measures might be attributed to the regulatory & methodological responsibilities of the Russian Ministry of Education and Science, and would require extra funding, therefore.

### VI. Other Issues Faced by the Regional System for Holding the Exam

Apart from the issues and gaps described above, which are related to Par. 8 of Cl 15.1 of Federal Law #115-FZ [3], the regional examination system also features some other issues of legal nature.

## 8 a) Price of the Exam

One of such issues is the procedure for setting the price of the Exam. The regulatory acts of all the subjects of the Russian Federation embraced by the study failed to offer any information concerning the body setting the price of the Exam.

The regulatory acts of 42 subjects out of the 50, for instance, mention nothing regarding the price of the Exam; the regulatory acts of the Belgorod Oblast [38] and of the Zabaikalsky Krai [47] indicate that the price is to

## 9 B) PROCEDURE FOR CONTROL & MEASURING MATERIALS DEVELOPMENT

---

be set following the current effective legislation of the Russian Federation; in the Arkhangelsk [15], the Jewish Autonomous [48] Oblasts, as well as in the Republic of Sakha (Yakutia) [5] have the fee for the Exam set by the organization in charge of holding the Exam itself.

In the Oryol Oblast, the price of the Exam is set by the Department of Education of the said subject [40], whereas in the Republic of Udmurtia, the Exam price is calculated by the Institute for Education Development, an Autonomous Educational Institution for Additional Professional Education.

23 out of the 50 subjects of the Russian Federation within the study, have the same price of the Exam through the entire region. In the Belgorod Oblast, the price varies from 300 Rubles (Municipal Budgetary Educational Institution Secondary School #2 -Exam for nationals of Ukraine) to 1000 Rubles (the Belgorod State National Research University). In the Kirov Oblast, the Exam price fluctuates between 1500 and 2700 Rubles; in the Ulyanovsk Oblast -from 3500 to 4000 Rubles; in the Nizhny Novgorod Oblast -from 1900 to 2500 Rubles; in the Zabaikalsky Krai -from 1200 Rubles (for citizens of Ukraine) to 4000 Rubles; in the Sakhalin Oblast -from 3200 to 4200 Rubles; in the Altai Krai from 2000 to 2500 Rubles; in the Irkutsk Oblast -from 2000 to 3500 Rubles; in the Penza Oblast -from 2500 to 3000 Rubles, and in the Tomsk Oblast -from 2500 to 3000 Rubles. According to the provisions of Clause 15 of Federal Law #135-FZ of July 26, 2006 "On Protection of Competition" [19] the supreme executive bodies of the public authorities in the regions of the Russian Federation have no right to take actions and issuing any acts restricting competition. The direct setting of the Exam price, therefore, leads to a violation of the provisions of Clause 15 of Federal Law #135-FZ.

When classifying an Exam as a service that is required and mandatory in terms of the provision of public or municipal services, the procedure for setting the fee for such services is to be established by a regulatory legal act by the supreme executive body of the public authority of the respective subject of the Russian Federation (according to Clause 9 of Federal Law #210-FZ of July 27, 2010 "On arranging the provision of public and municipal services" [30]. The list of services, which are required and mandatory for the provision of public and municipal services is also approved by the regulatory legal act of the respective subject of the Russian Federation. The lack of the Exam in these lists of the Russian subjects does not allow classifying this service as required and mandatory for the provision of public and municipal services.

The document certifying the command of the Russian language, the knowledge of the Russian history and the legislation fundamentals, actually, talking objectively, is not the only one required to apply for a work permit or a patent. The full list of documents confirming the required command knowledge of the Russian language, as well as the knowledge of the history of Russia and the fundamentals of the Russian legislation is set out in Paragraph 1 of Clause 15.1 of Federal Law #115-FZ "On the Legal Status of Foreign Citizens in the Russian Federation" [2]. This list, namely, also includes a certificate of the Russian language proficiency, the knowledge of the Russian history and the basics of the Russian legislation, which is issued after upon successful completion of the comprehensive exam in the federal system.

### 9 b) Procedure for control & measuring materials development

Another issue related to holding the Exam in the regions of the Russian Federation is the lack of shared uniform approved requirements for methodological support and control materials (hereinafter -CMM). 29 of the 50 subjects of the Russian Federation studied, do have a procedure set for the development of CMM. 21 parts of the Russian Federation offer no information about the procedure for CMM development. The analysis shows that all the CMM-development procedures can be broken into three types: CMM development by a special commission in charge of ensuring methodological support; CMM development by a specially authorized organization, and CMM development by organizations holding the Exam.

Open sources contain no data regarding the approval of the members of the commissions functioning in all the 29 subjects of the Russian Federation that employ this mechanism for the development of CMM. Nor open sources contain any information about holding the competitive procedures for approving organizations authorized to develop CMM; there are no criteria for selecting such organizations, as well as any other information explaining the respective decision. None of the authorized organizations has any structural unit specializing in the field of linguodidactic testing.

In the regions where the development of CMM lies within the scope of powers of organizations holding the Exam, out of 12 organizations, only 2 have structural units specializing in studying and teaching the Russian language; 1 organization has a structural unit specializing in Russian as a foreign language.

In the Zabaikalsky Krai, the Exam is held by 5 organizations, using 2 tests that differ in their structure [48]. In one of the educational organizations, the test structure does not include such subchapters as Speaking, Writing and Listening. In all subjects there is no information about holding any public discussion while developing CMM.

The above suggests there are no sufficient grounds to believe that all stakeholders were involved in the development of CMM, while there was no comparative analysis of CMM carried out regionally. There were no procedures implemented to ensure compliance of all available test materials with the existing scientific and methodological standards. The above points at discriminatory conditions created through CMM development, and, consequently, a violation of Paragraph 8, Part 1 of Clause 15 of Federal Law #135-FZ "On Protection of Competition" of July 26, 2006 [19].

Neither the regulatory legal acts effective in the respective subjects of the Russian Federation nor open sources offer information showing whether the development of CMM was carried out on a paid basis. In the event the



development of CMM is carried out subject to an order placed by public bodies on a paid basis, then the choice of the contractor organization in charge of developing CMM should be done in view of the provisions of Federal Law #44-FZ of April 05, 2013 "On the contract system in procurement of goods, works, services for public and municipal needs" [49].

The analysis of regulatory legal acts of the Russian regions also allows making judgements regarding potential violations of the legislation on the personal data protection of foreign citizens and stateless persons, copyright. In 21 subjects of the Russian Federation, for instance, the only requirement for personal data storage and transfer implies that such data must be stored in an authorized organization. In 20 subjects of the Russian Federation, the requirements hold it that personal data must be stored in authorized organizations for one year, in the Republic of North Ossetia-Alania [50] -for 3 years, in the Nizhny Novgorod Oblast [51] -for no less than 3 years, in the Altai Republic [43], Vologda [52] and Tomsk Oblasts [6] -for 5 years. In the Kirov Oblast [35] and in the Yamalo-Nenets Autonomous District [53] regulatory acts contain no data regarding the storage of personal data.

No Russian subject has set any requirements concerning the transfer of personal data (including via the Internet). The research has shown that consent to personal data processing is obtained in 11 parts of the Russian Federation out of the 50. In the other subjects, this information could be obtained neither from open sources nor from authorized organizations representatives. In 11 subjects of the Russian Federation, the control and measurement materials used by the respectively authorized organizations contain direct borrowings of the test tasks (more than 400) that have been approved and used as part of the comprehensive examination held within the federal system (control and measurement materials from 21 subjects of the Russian Federation were analyzed within the study).

## 10 VII.

## 11 Conclusion

Given the results of the study, the following conclusions can be made regarding the current status of the regional system of the Exam. The analysis of the regulatory and legal acts has revealed a large number of violations of the Russian legislation, including Federal Law #115-FZ "On the Legal Status of Foreign Citizens in the Russian Federation"; Federal Law #135-FZ "On Protection of Competition" of July 26, 2006. Certain gaps were identified in Cl. 15.1 of Federal Law #115-FZ, legal collisions observed in federal laws and the laws of the legislation of the Russian Federation subjects, as well as a collision between federal laws provisions and Russian President's order. Besides, there have been issues identified and analyzed concerning the status of the activities aimed at holding the Exam, as well as concerning such activities classification within the existing theoretical approaches and in view of the available legal practice. Attempts have been made to resolve the detected conflicts and gaps in legislation.

As a result, conclusion can be made suggesting that the regional Examination system does not currently fulfill its main function -to provide a reliable assessment of the language proficiency and the communication competence demonstrated by foreign citizens and stateless persons, for their further obtaining a work permit or a patent, as well as employment in the Russian Federation.

Different subjects of the Russian Federation hold different exams assessing the Russian language proficiency, the knowledge of the Russian history and the basics of the Russian legislation, while the results of such exams are not comparable, which leads to the stratification of language competencies, disturbed principle of equality, potential corruption factors, which, in turn, leads to deterioration in public security, undermines the foundations of the national identity, and triggers violation of the legality and equality principle.

The above-described attempts aimed at solving each identified issue in the regional system will inevitably increase the amount of regulatory stress, control & supervision activities in both federal executive bodies of public authority and supreme executive bodies in respective subjects of the Russian Federation, which is impossible to be done successfully without extra funding, numerous legal expert evaluations, and events held to arrange public discussion will all the stakeholders focusing on respective changes.

There is a need to amend the legislation not at the level of the Russian Federation subjects alone, yet also at the federal level (namely, amendments to Federal Law # 115-FZ "On the Legal Status of Foreign Citizens in the Russian Federation"). Besides, the regional system for holding the Exam actually "competes with a more efficient and developed federal system of holding the comprehensive exam, and duplicates its functions" [1].

## 12 References (

1 2 3

<sup>1</sup>The Current Status of the Examination System for Evaluating the Russian Language Proficiency, the Knowledge of the History as well as the Fundamentals of the Russian Legislation

<sup>2</sup>© 2022 Global JournalsGThe Current Status of the Examination System for Evaluating the Russian Language Proficiency, the Knowledge of the History as well as the Fundamentals of the Russian Legislation

<sup>3</sup>()




Figure 1:

3032. (v red. ot 7 marta 2017 g.). Dostup iz sprav.-pravovoi sistemy «Konsul'tant Plyus».

3. O vnesenii izmenenii v Federal'nyi zakon «O pravovom polozhenii inostrannykh grazhdan v Rossiiskoi Federatsii» i ot del'nye zakonodatel'nye akty Rossiiskoi Federatsii [Elektronnyi resurs]: feder. Zakon ot 24 noyab. 2014 g. ? 357-FZ // Sobr. zakonodatel'stva Ros. Federatsii. 2014. ? 48. st. 6638. (v red. ot 3 iyulya 2016 g.). Dostup iz sprav.-pravovoi sistemy «Konsul'tant Plyus».

4. Ob ustanovlenii

obrazovaniya

Volinyushchei pravo na provedenie ekzamina na vladenie russkim yazykom, znanie istorii Rossii i osnov za XXII

Is-sue

I

Ver-sion

I

22

(

)

[Note: zakon ot 25 iyulya 2002 g. ? 115-FZ // Sobr. zakonodatel'stva Ros. Federatsii. 2002. ? 30. st.]

Figure 2:

- [1153 (v red. ot 31.07.2015) // Dostup iz spav.-pravovoi sistemy «Konsul'tant Plyus» 1153 (v red. ot 31.07.2015) // Dostup iz spav.-pravovoi sistemy «Konsul'tant Plyus,
- [773-P // Ofitsial'nyi internet-portal pravovoi informatsii ( )] 773-P // Ofitsial'nyi internet-portal pravovoi informatsii, obrashcheniya : 16.01. URL: [publication.pravo.gov.ru/Document/GetFile/8900201508240014?type=pdf](http://publication.pravo.gov.ru/Document/GetFile/8900201508240014?type=pdf) 2017.
- [Kovalev ( )] *Antikonkurentnye trebovaniya k otboru lits dlya okazaniya uslug po peremeshcheniyu i (ili) khraneniyu zaderzhannykh transportnykh sredstv* // *Sovremennaya konkurentsia*, A V Kovalev . 2015. 9 p. .
- [Dostup iz spav.-pravovoi sistemy «Konsul'tant Plyus». 33. Parfenov A. A. Obzor zarubezhnogo zakonodatel'stva v sfere yazykovykh uslug // *Dostup iz spav.-pravovoi sistemy «Konsul'tant Plyus». 33. Parfenov A. A. Obzor zarubezhnogo zakonodatel'stva v sfere yazykovogo testirovaniya s Nenetskogo avtonomnogo okruga ot 17 avg*, 2015. ((v red. ot 28 dek. 2016 g.))
- [Kodeks Rossiiskoi Federatsii] 'Elektronnyi resurs]: feder. zakon ot 30 dek. 2001 g. ? 195-FZ // *Sobr. zakonodatel'stva Ros'. Dostup iz sprav.-pravovoi sistemy «Konsul'tant Plyus*, Kodeks Rossiiskoi Federatsii (ed.) (Federatsii. 2002. ?1. ch. 1. st. 1. (v red)
- [O sisteme i strukture federal'nykh organov ispolnitel'noi vlasti [Elektronnyi resurs] ukaz Prezidenta Ros. Federatsii ot 9 marta 2004 g. ? 314 (v red. ot 7 dek. 2016 g.) // *Sobr. zakonodatel'stva Ros. Federatsii. 2004. ? 11. St. 945. Dostup iz sprav.-pravovoi sistemy «Konsul'tant Plyus*]b12 *O sisteme i strukture federal'nykh organov ispolnitel'noi vlasti [Elektronnyi resurs]: ukaz Prezidenta Ros. Federatsii ot 9 marta 2004 g. ? 314 (v red. ot 7 dek. 2016 g.) // Sobr. zakonodatel'stva Ros. Federatsii. 2004. ? 11. St. 945. Dostup iz sprav.-pravovoi sistemy «Konsul'tant Plyus*,
- [O Zashchite] Konkurentsii O Zashchite . *Elektronnyi resurs*] : feder. zakon ot 26 iyulya 2016 g. ? 135-FZ // *Sobr. zakonodatel'stva Ros. Federatsii. 2006. ? 31. st. 3434. (v red*, (Dostup iz sprav.-pravovoi sistemy «Konsul'tant Plyus)
- [Ob organizatsii predostavleniya gosudarstvennykh i munitsipal'nykh uslug [Elektronnyi resurs] feder. zakon ot 27 iyulya 2010 g. ? 210-FZ // *Sobr. zakonodatel'stva Ros. Federatsii. 2010. ? 31. st. 4179. (v red. ot*]b13 *Ob organizatsii predostavleniya gosudarstvennykh i munitsipal'nykh uslug [Elektronnyi resurs]: feder. zakon ot 27 iyulya 2010 g. ? 210-FZ // Sobr. zakonodatel'stva Ros. Federatsii. 2010. ? 31. st. 4179. (v red. ot*, 28. (Dostup iz spav. -pravovoi sistemy «Konsul'tant Plyus)
- [Rudenko ( )] *Ponyatie i sushchnost' gosudarstvennoi uslugi* // I. A. Rudenko. *Vestnik Saratovskogo gosudarstvennogo sotsial'noekonomicheskogo universiteta*, I A Rudenko . FZ//*Sobr. zakonodatel'stva Ros* 2014. 1998. (? 3 (52). S. 68 -71. 32. Byudzhetni kodeks Rossiiskoi Federatsii [Elektronnyi resurs] : feder. zakon ot 31 iyulya)
- [Bartsits ( )] *Ponyatie «Publichnaya usluga» v kontekste Federal'nogo zakona ? 210-FZ «Ob organizatsii predostavleniya gosudarstvennykh i munitsipal'nykh uslug» i vne ego* // I. N. Bartsits. *Gosudarstvo i pravo*, I N Bartsits . 2013. 10 p. .
- [Talapina et al. ( )] *Pravo i ekonomika*, E V Talapina , V Publichnye Funktsii V Ekonomike // E , Yu A Talapina , Tikhomirov . 2002. p. .
- [Putilo et al. ( )] *Publichnye uslugi: pravovoe regulirovanie (rossiiskii i zarubezhnyi opyt)*, N V Putilo , Sistema , Uslug . 2007. (S. 8-20)
- [Putilo et al. ( )] *Publichnye uslugi: pravovoe regulirovanie (rossiiskii i zarubezhnyi opyt)*, N V Putilo , Sistema , Uslug . 2007. (S. 8-20)
- [Tereshchenko et al. ( )] *Zhurnal rossiiskogo prava*, L K Tereshchenko , // L K Uslugi: Gosudarstvennye , Tereshchenko . 2004. 10 p. .
- [Tereshchenko et al. ( )] *Zhurnal rossiiskogo prava*, L K Tereshchenko , // L K Uslugi: Gosudarstvennye , Tereshchenko . 2004. 10 p. .
- [Tereshchenko et al. ( )] *Zhurnal rossiiskogo prava*, L K Tereshchenko , // L K Uslugi: Gosudarstvennye , Tereshchenko . 2004. 10 p. .
- [Tereshchenko et al. ( )] *Zhurnal rossiiskogo prava*, L K Tereshchenko , // L K Uslugi: Gosudarstvennye , Tereshchenko . 2004. 10 p. .