

1 The Veiled Curriculum and the Capability of Youth to Resist 2 Negative Peer Pressure

3 Dinah Katindi Nyamai¹

4 ¹ University of Nairobi

5 *Received: 13 September 2021 Accepted: 4 October 2021 Published: 15 October 2021*

6 **Abstract**

7 Most parents/guardians hope their children would be faced with only positive peer pressure,
8 but many young people grapple with negative peer pressure—that seemingly overwhelming push
9 to conform to harmful behaviors like drug abuse. This article reports research findings from a
10 research conducted on the role played by accidental messages arising from social interactions
11 in learning contexts on young people's choices to follow their peers or not to imitate them.
12 The target population of the investigation included 1246 young people from higher learning
13 institutions in Nairobi city county. The researcher employed Taro Yamennes' sample size
14 calculation formula in determining the sample size. The researcher used a self-made
15 questionnaire with 64 items with a consistency coefficient of 0.83 and an interview guide with
16 14 items to gather data. The study results showed that inadvertent messages arising from peer
17 interrelationships shape youths' ways of thinking, decision making, and their behavior.

19

20 **Index terms**— peers, veiled curriculum, at-risk youth, resist, negative peer pressure.

21 **1 Introduction**

22 everybody experiences peer pressure, but young people are more vulnerable to negative peer pressure and its
23 damaging consequences because of their strong desire to belong and fit in. Peer pressure is mostly subtle and
24 young people express it through behaving in ways that their peers believe is appropriate but other times social or
25 peer pressure is more direct-coercing young people to do things they know are not right. Some young people are
26 currently experiencing pressure that is influencing their willingness and ability to maintain safe behaviors around
27 COVID-19 pandemic (Loriggio, 2020& Koenig, 2020). A research conducted by Wilson at. el., in 2020 revealed
28 that some young people were experiencing "social or peer pressure to not wear a mask-reportedly receiving
29 'negative reactions' or 'odd looks' from their peers when wearing a mask or feeling 'weird' about wearing a
30 mask" (p.1498). As defined by Hartney (2020) and Dabü (2018, p. 1), peer pressure is the influence peers have
31 on one another while peers are part of one's social circle who tend to be of similar age group or share similar
32 circumstances like same abilities, or status.

33 A peer does not always refer to a close friend because peers can include one's classmates, playmates, neighbors,
34 churchmates or even classmates. Peers spend a lot of time together and that is why sometimes they unintentionally
35 pick up some of their peers' habits and take risks they would avoid in the absence of their friends ??Kleinschmidt
36 2018, p. 1). This observation concurs with ??ipoff (2011) who claimed that peer pressure is not only stressful
37 and overwhelming on young people, but it also alters the way one's brain behaves and as a result emotionally
38 insecure young people feel crippled to control their own lives.

39 One's desire to belong persuades him or her to do things he or she knows are wrong while others succumb
40 to negative peer pressure because of fear of the thought of getting kicked out of the peer group. Low levels
41 of self-confidence and poor self-esteem are major reasons why some young people succumb to negative peer
42 pressure. According to Aragon (2006), insecure young people often yield to risky behaviors like drug abuse,
43 promiscuous sexual behavior, and even radicalization. Cummings & Miller-Graff (2015) defined "emotional

2 REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

44 security as a regulatory process mirrored in people's higher-order organization response systems covering various
45 cognitive, social, physiological, and emotional domains, which enable an individual to positively endure negative
46 life situations (p.1). While emotionally secure people make decisions for themselves based on their core values
47 and do not easily bow to negative peer pressure, emotionally insecure people lack the endurance to carry on and
48 are easily overwhelmed by life challenges (Degges-White, 2017). The more insecure a person is, the easier it is
49 for social groups to influence him or her to behave in particular ways.

50 Negative peer pressure can lead to high stress levels among young people, depression, antisocial behaviors, and
51 poor decision-making. Both the silent and articulated peer pressure shape young people's ways of thinking and
52 their choices to conform or not to conform (Calv 'o-Armengol & Jackson, 2009). Passive or silent peer pressure
53 stems from a young person who feels pressured to dress or behave like those who appear to have high social
54 status among his or her peer group members while the articulated or active peer pressure involves young people
55 intentionally behaving in a manner to pressure their peers to change their attitude towards certain things and
56 behavior in certain ways which can be as simple as a high school student making fun of another student's way of
57 dressing.

58 Peer pressure is strongest in learning contexts where young people spend on average 900 hours a year,
59 interacting, and socializing with other peers (Bush, Ryan & Rose, 2011), during their formative years. This means
60 creating conducive learning contexts would empower young people to not only say NO to negative peer pressure
61 but to positively engage their brains in choosing friends wisely-peers who will not pressure them into doing
62 paraphernalia that are likely to ruin their present and future lives (??Lipoff, 2011). Attention is however often
63 directed towards young people's formal schooling because as noted by Melhuish et al. (2015) and Montaigne's
64 (2013) it is perceived as significant in developing young peoples' competencies that reflect positively on their whole
65 lives including their academic performance. The veiled curriculum however accounts for close to 90 percent of
66 all young people's learning experiences (Massialas & Joseph, 2009 ??&kcu, 2012). According to Alsubaie (2015),
67 among other researchers, the veiled curriculum is more penetrative than the formal curriculum and its impact is
68 felt by all in every learning context. This observation is echoed by Crossman (2019) who suggested that the veiled
69 curriculum remains the most effective in inculcating moral values among upcoming generations as compared to
70 the official curriculum. The researcher's desire to offer viable ways of holistically nurturing young people to be in
71 sync with upright norms that can guide them in making wise choices, augmented the need to investigate on the
72 role played by accidental messages arising from social interactions in learning contexts on young people's ability
73 to resist negative peer pressure. The research was majorly guided by two specific objectives:

74 1. To examine the relationship between accidental messages arising from how role models in learning contexts
75 say what they say and do what they do and young people's ability to resist negative peer pressure. 2. To
76 determine the relationship between accidental messages arising from the values and beliefs role models cherish
77 and young people's ability to resist negative peer pressure.

78 II.

79 2 Review of Related Literature

80 Learning context are characterized by three sorts of curricula (Sharpe, 2013 andAzimpour & ??halilzad, 2015).
81 The first type of curriculum is generally referred to as the 'official' curriculum. It contains detailed objectives
82 and set learning activities and is prepared by authorized specialists. The second sort of curriculum comprises
83 elements that are not included in the officially planned learning activities and is often referred to as 'the informal
84 curriculum.' The third type of curriculum comprise accidental lessons arising from how activities in learning
85 contexts are conducted as well as how people say what they say and do what they do-generally referred to as
86 the hidden curriculum. One of the key aspects of the veiled curriculum that affects young people's learning
87 and behavior involves peer influence (Rietveld, 2010). According to a research conducted by Rex in 2000) and
88 Crossman in 2019 peers can diminish or enhance young peoples' enthusiasm to participate in learning activities
89 and feel appreciated or be part of a specific peer group which is sometimes a cause of rebellion and misbehavior.
90 This observation is in line with Ndakwa (2013) and ??ukman and Kamadi (2014) who claimed that young people
91 take up their peers at school as their role models.

92 The concept of a veiled curriculum dates back more than a century to John Dewey (1938), but the phrase
93 hidden curriculum was coined by Phillip Jackson in 1968. It is based on the recognition that young people
94 engross unrecorded lessons such as how they should relate with peers which are not openly recorded in any
95 official curriculum. The main aim in this research is to spur educators to explore the intersections between the
96 veiled curriculum and students' ability to resist negative peer pressure. The endeavor is informed by researchers
97 like Massialas & Joseph (2009), ??ubukçu (2012) and Crossman (2019), among other researchers who suggested
98 that accidental lessons arising from the emotional, and social atmosphere of learning contexts, accounts for close
99 to 90 percent of all young people's learning outcomes and have strong influence on young people's ways of thinking
100 including their decision making.

101 According do what they do shape young people's beliefs, attitudes, and moral principles as well as their behavior
102 have significant effects on learners' attitude, feelings, and their ethical conduct. Peer pressure is not exclusive
103 in learning institutions, but students' anxiety is compounded by academic coursework demands, which more
104 often than not, increases their risk of sexual promiscuity, substance abuse, stress and sometimes radicalization

105 (Mahmoud, Staten, Hall & Lennie, 2012). The stress weakens their self-confidence and negatively influences their
106 ways of thinking, their behavior, and the kind of people they become.

107 Substantial amount of research has explored inner workings of learning institutions as well as the mechanisms
108 through which schooling can reinforce cultural ideologies that serve dominant interests like ethnic, class, gender,
109 or market interest (Apple 1995). For example, Giroux (2001), who is functionalist, argued that learning contexts
110 not only provides instructions but also values, norms, and principles throughout young people's lives. Curriculum
111 re-conceptualists like Apple (2004) saw the veiled curriculum as conveying values, beliefs, and attitude to young
112 people as part of the overall school culture, but such learning is not explicitly stated in the official curriculum
113 document. According to Dickerson (2007), the veiled curriculum comprises unintended lessons young people
114 imbibe through experiences of being in a learning context rather than what they learn through the specified
115 educational objectives. Other scholars like Margolis (2001) focused on the cognitive side of the veiled curriculum-
116 the content of the subject matter because they believed every subject a teacher teaches has a veiled curriculum
117 which is sometimes in contradiction with the goals of the official curriculum. Fyock (2008) Alsubaie (2015)
118 students not only learn the content officially taught but also pick numerous unspoken lessons arising from
119 undersigned teacher-student interactions which directly and indirectly exert both positive and negative force on
120 their behaviour. This article focused on the relationship between young peoples' experiences in learning contexts
121 and their succumbing to negative peer pressure. This is because veiled curricular exists whether educators want
122 it to or not and we are better advised if we were cognizant of the accidental lessons arising from interrelationships
123 in learning contexts and how best we can tap its positive elements to holistically nurture young people to resist
124 negative peer pressure.

125 **3 III.**

126 **4 Methods**

127 The research employed mixed methods—"a research approach whereby researchers collect and analyse both
128 quantitative and qualitative data within the same study" (Shorten and Smith, 2017).

129 **5 a) Design**

130 The research employed sequential mixed methods design to minimize limitations of either approach. The
131 researcher began with quantitative data collection and analysis phase, which informed the follow-up qualitative
132 phase to explain and enrich the quantitative data as suggested by Creswell & Plano Clark (2011) and Hubbard
133 (2010). The researcher went through the filled questionnaires to identify factors tested in the first phase that
134 respondents had perceived as having significant (strongly agree) or not having significant (strongly disagree)
135 influence on their ways of thinking and used them to develop an interview guide with 14 open-ended items to
136 gather in-depth explanations through face-to-face interviews.

137 **6 b) Environment**

138 The target population of the research was 1246 undergraduate students in Christian universities in Nairobi City
139 County. The researcher employed Taro Yamane's sample size calculation formula. The formula is given by $n =$
140 $N / (one + Ne^{-2})$: where n =corrected sample size, N = population size, and e = Margin of error (MoE), $e=0.05$.
141 Hence at 5% MoE., the sample size from Africa International University was $680 / (1+680 (0.05^2)) = 680/2.7$
142 = 251.85~252 while from Kenya Methodist University was $566 / (1+566 (0.05^2)) = 566/2.42 = 233.884$ ~234-a
143 total of 486 youth. The researcher stratified students in each university and proportionately selected random
144 samples of students. According to Creswell (2009), stratified sampling produces are more inclusive because
145 they incorporate sub-groups of small populations, which researchers are likely to leave out if they employ other
146 sampling procedures.

147 **7 c) Respondents**

148 The sub-groups at KeMu students were also divided according to three schools: school of education & social
149 sciences (SESS), school of business and economics (SBE) and school of medicine, health sciences & technology
150 (SMHST) while at AIU the subgroups included school of theological studies (STS), school of business and
151 economics (SBE) and school of education arts & social sciences (SEAS). Table 1 summarized samples drawn
152 from the universities' student populations.

153 **8 d) Instruments**

154 The researcher used a self-developed questionnaire with five-point rating scale: strongly agree, agree, not sure,
155 disagree and strongly disagree. The content validity of the 64-item questionnaire was determined by four research
156 experts determined while its reliability was determined through Chronbach's alpha which gave a reliability
157 coefficient of 0.83.

158 9 e) Data Gathering

159 With permission from National Commission for Science, Technology & Innovation (NACOSTI), the researcher
160 personally distributed 486 questionnaires. Out the distributed questionnaires 417 were correctly filled and
161 returned. The researcher also conducted face-to-face interviews with 10 key informants to comprehensively explore
162 the why and how of accidental lessons. The researcher met all the participants, and after explaining the aims of
163 the research, she invited each of them to participate in the research.

164 10 f) Treatment of Data

165 Data was analyzed using descriptive and Pearson correlation statistics in the SPSS program version 25 program.
166 The descriptive statistics determined frequency of respondents' views on the Likert scales while the Pearson
167 correlation coefficient tested, the relationship between independent and dependent variables. The grounded
168 theory analysis approach was utilized in analyzing the qualitative data because it offers researchers a more
169 neutral view of understanding human behavior in their normal social context.

170 11 IV.

171 12 Findings and Discussions

172 As revealed by the findings reported in this article, the primary value of the veiled curriculum is that it calls
173 attention to aspects of schooling that are rarely acknowledged and majorly remains unexamined. The descriptive
174 statistics indicated that most of the respondents agreed that accidental messages arising from learning institution's
175 interrelationships have both positive and negative effects on young people's decisions and behavior. 84.4% of
176 the young people who participated in the said research agreed that moral principles cherished by role models
177 in learning contexts instill in them a craving to stand up for what is right even sometimes against their friends'
178 points of view. 80.3% of the young people who participated in the said research agreed that unspoken messages
179 arising from the ways people in their learning institutions intervene when they have challenges in life encourage
180 them to be more cautious in how they live. 79.8% of the young people who participated in the said research
181 agreed that the way older peers behave makes them see free thought as a fruitful practice in the teaching-learning
182 processes which means unintended messages arising from social interactions can inspire independence and reduce
183 chances of yielding to negative peer pressure among young people. 69.6% of the young people who participated
184 in the research agreed that unplanned messages arising from how appraisal is carried out in learning contexts
185 inspire them to prioritize competition over collaboration. 69.4% of the young people who participated in the
186 research agreed that unplanned messages arising from learning contexts' ways of dealing with students caught in
187 the wrong inspire in them a desire to freely associate with people of the opposite sex which can lead to promiscuous
188 behavior. 68.7% of the young people who participated in the research agreed that rules that require young people
189 to handle their academic tasks inculcates in them a desire to work independently which can reduce negative peer
190 influence. 65.7% of the young people who participated in the research agreed that the way some peers treat
191 younger peers emphasize interrelationships are mostly one-way—that is older peers have higher knowledge and are
192 more powerful and young peers must be respectful and compliant. These findings concur with Jerad (2006) who
193 argued that providing young people with safe surroundings not only protects teaching-learning time from needless
194 interruptions but promotes values and norms that motivates hard work in young people.

195 A univariate analysis conducted on null hypothesis 1: there is no significant relationship between accidental
196 lessons arising from how role models say what they say and do what they do and students' ability to resist negative
197 peer pressure, indicated a positive and significant relationship between unintended lessons arising from learning
198 institutions' interrelationships and young people's attitude, decisions, choices, and behavior. The coefficient of
199 determination also known as the R square results in table 2 indicated a p value of 0.000 which was less than the
200 conventional probability of 0.05. This led to the rejection of null hypothesis 1: there is no significant relationship
201 between accidental lessons arising from how role models in learning institutions say what they say and do what
202 they do and young people's ways of thinking and their choices to yield to negative peer pressure.

203 Volume XXI Issue X Version I The univariate analysis conducted to test null hypothesis 2: there are no
204 significant relationship between values and beliefs cherished by role models and students' ability to resist negative
205 peer pressure, indicated a positive and significant relationship between unintended lessons arising from values
206 and beliefs cherished by role models and young people's attitude, decisions, choices, and behavior. The coefficient
207 of determination also known as the R square results in table 3 indicated a p value of 0.000, which was less than the
208 conventional probability of 0.05. This led to the rejection of null hypothesis 2. there is no significant
209 relationship between accidental lessons arising from values and beliefs cherished by role models in learning
210 institutions and young people's ways of thinking and their choices to yield to negative peer pressure. The
211 interviewed participants' responses generally concurred with the quantitative data. 9 participants out of the
212 interviewed 10 key informants claimed that the veiled curriculum was more influential in determining students'
213 worldviews, decisions, and choices. According to the key informants the accidental messages were ingrained
214 in learning institutions' social atmosphere and casual interrelationships and neither curriculum designers nor
215 instructors were very familiar with the accidental messages young people pick and they influence their response
216 to negative peer pressure. Participants 02, 06, 09 and 10 claimed that veiled messages conveyed through how

217 instructors evaluate students' learning, especially those emphasize the importance of learners wholeheartedly
218 obeying every teacher's instruction were detrimental to student's critical thinking skills. Participant 05 echoed
219 the same sentiments when she asserted "some accidental messages arising from values and cultural inclinations
220 learning institutions cherish shape young people's knowledge acquisition styles, their view of self as well as how
221 they relate with peers. According to participant 10 instructors including parents or guardians are better advised if
222 they thoroughly understood influences of accidental messages arising from learning institutions' interrelationships
223 on young people's responses to peer pressure.

224 When the researcher probed the participants on why they perceived accidental messages arising from learning
225 institutions' interrelationships as having significant influence on their learning experiences, the 10 participants
226 suggested that learning atmosphere is crucial in how students learn and turn out to become in their adult
227 years. Participants 07 and 09 claimed that "the first day they entered their university classroom, the emotional
228 atmosphere of the classroom immediately separated them from their high school world relaxed world." The
229 researcher prompt to understand what they meant by 'university's classroom emotional atmosphere separated
230 them from their high school world" and they said "the university's learning context created wide open doors of
231 free interactions with unlike in high school where teachers strictly monitored students' movement. According
232 to the two participants, freedom in higher learning institution immediately begins to grow one up. Participants
233 01 and 08 claimed that "benefits of a learning institution's emotional atmosphere that is friendly and a little
234 bit strict are endless because such an environment discourages students from being passive receivers of ideas,
235 boosts their self-esteem as well as nurtures their problem-solving skills which in turn helps to resist negative peer
236 pressure.

237 Both the quantitative and qualitative findings suggest that conducive learning contexts are more likely to have
238 a positive impact on young people's ways of thinking and their choices to conform or not to conform to negative
239 peer pressure while negative (unconducive) learning environments are likely to cause some young people to
240 engage in risk behaviors. The implication here is that it is not advisable for educators to be ignorant of the veiled
241 curriculum if they desire to holistically nurture young people to resist negative peer pressure. Things as simple
242 as how educators rebuke a weak student in class can either reinforce negative attitude in a student leading to low
243 self-confidence in his or her competencies while in other students it can cause lack of hope instead of inspiring
244 them to work on improving themselves. This means social learning environments can impose unnecessary stress
245 on young people and encourages incorrect views of reality which in turn can enhance anxiety and undermine
246 their ability to resist negative peer pressure.

247 V.

248 **13 Conclusion**

249 Current researcher concludes that when designing and implementing any curricula, educators must consider the
250 presence of the veiled curriculum and map its positive elements on the official curriculum to holistically nurture
251 young people's inner self-confidence, their resilience and perseverance to enable them to resist negative peer
252 pressure. This is because one's experiences in social contexts can either positively or negative shape his or
253 her whole life including his or her decisions to conform or not to conform to negative peer pressure. We are
254 therefore better advised if we created conducive learning contexts to not only nurture a holistic worldview in
255 young people but to also nurture critical thinking skills to enable them to overcome negative peer pressure. This
256 means education implementers must also set humane rules, and reasonable expectations proportional to young
257 people's capability so as to nurture them to wisely choose when and what to conform to and when to simply say
NO to negative peer pressure.

Figure 1:

1

© 2021 Global Journals

Figure 2: Table 1 :

258

13 CONCLUSION

2

| Indicator | Sum of Squares | Df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
|------------|----------------|-----|-------------|--------------|------|
| Regression | 78.291 | 1 | 78.291 | 1180.354.000 | b |
| Residual | 24.143 | 364 | .066 | | |
| Total | 102.434 | 365 | | | |

Figure 3: Table 2 :

3

| Indicator | Sum of Squares | Df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
|------------|----------------|-------|-------------|---------|-------|
| Regression | 82.141 | 1 | 82.141 | 550.010 | .000b |
| Residual | 50.777 | 340 | .149 | | |
| | | Total | 132.918 | | |

Figure 4: Table 3 :

259 Year 2021

260 .1 A

- 261 The Veiled Curriculum and the Capability of Youth to Resist Negative Peer Pressure
 262 [Wilson] , Rebecca F Wilson .
 263 [Sharma] , Andrea J Sharma . (Sarahjean Schluechtermann)
 264 [Currie; Joan Mangan] , Dustin W Currie; Joan Mangan .
 265 [Kaplan] , Brian Kaplan .
 266 [Goffard et al.] , Kimberly Goffard , ; Julia Salomon; Sue Casteel; Ashley Mukasa , ; Niki Euhardy; Andrew
 267 Ruiz .
 268 [Bautista and Bailey] , Gregory Bautista , ; Erika Bailey .
 269 [Azimpour and Khalilzade ()] , Ehsan Azimpour , Ahad Khalilzade . *Hidden curriculum. World Essay* 2015. 3
 270 (1) p. .
 271 [Dickerson ()] *A postmodern view of the hidden curriculum. Electronic Theses and Dissertations*, Linda Dickerson
 272 . 2007. Georgia Southern University.
 273 [Melhuish et al. ()] *A review of research on the effects of early childhood education and care on child development*,
 274 E Melhuish , K Erekky-Stevens , K Petrogiannis , A Ariescu , E Penderi , K Rentzou , A Tawell , P Leseman
 275 , M Broekhuisen . https://www.researchgate.net/publication/291970194A_review_of_research_on_the_effects_of_early_childhood_Education_and_Care_ECEC_upon_child_development_CARE_project 2015.
 276 [Ndakwa ()] *A Simplified guide: The making of a new student*, D Ndakwa . 2013. Nairobi: Pavement Publishers.
 277 [Lukman and Hamadi ()] 'Disciplinary measures in Nigerian senior secondary schools: Issues and Prospects'. A
 278 Lukman , A A Hamadi . *Journal of Research and Method in Education* 2010. 4 (3) p. .
 279 [Rietveld ()] 'Early Childhood inclusion: The hidden curriculum of peer relationships'. Christine Rietveld .
 280 *Journal of Educational Studies* 2010. 45 (1) p. .
 281 [Apple ()] *Education and power (2 nd Ed.)*, Michael Apple . 1995. Nueva York: Routledge.
 282 [Dewey ()] *Experience and education*, J Dewey . 1938. New York: Simon and Schuster.
 283 [Bayanfar et al. ()] 'Explanation of the impact of hidden curriculum on emotional component of learning for
 284 middle school students'. F Bayanfar , H Maleki , Seyf , A Delavar . *Journal of Curriculum Studies* 2009. 5
 285 (17) p. .
 286 [Westergaard ()] 'Factors influencing risk for covid-19 exposure among young adults aged 18-23 years-Winnebago
 287 County'. Ryan Westergaard . *Wisconsin* 2020. 69 (41) p. .
 288 [Alsubaie ()] 'Hidden curriculum as one of current issue of curriculum'. Merfat A Alsubaie . *Journal of Education
 289 and Practice* 2015. 6 (33) p. .
 290 [Nami et al. ()] 'Hidden curriculum effects on university young people's achievement'. Yaghoob Nami , Marsooli
 291 , Hossein , Maral Ashouri . 10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.12.788. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences* 2014. 2014.
 292 114 p. .
 293 [Hartney ()] *How peer pressure influences addiction*, Elizabeth Hartney . <https://www.verywellmind.com/what-is-peer-pressure-22246> 2020.
 294 [Apple ()] *Ideology and curriculum (3 rd Ed)*, Michael Apple . 2004. New York, NY: Routledge Falmer.
 295 [Rex ()] *Judy constructs a genuine question: a case for interactional inclusion*, L A Rex . 2000. Teacher and
 296 Teacher Education. 16 p. .
 297 [Jackson ()] *Life in the classroom*, P Jackson . 1968. New York, Holt, Reinhart, and Winston.
 298 [Koenig ()] *Managing peer pressure during COVID-19*, Faydra Koenig . <https://www.redbluffdailynews.com/2020/07/06/managing-peer-pressure-during-covid-19/> 2020.
 299 [Hubbard ()] 'Manifestations of hidden curriculum in a community college online opticianry program: An
 300 ecological approach'. Barry Hubbard . *Graduate Theses and Dissertations*, 2010.
 301 [Shorten and Smith ()] *Mixed methods research: expanding the evidence base*, Allison & Joanna Shorten , Smith
 302 . <https://ebn.bmjjournals.org/content/> 2017.
 303 [Calv'o-Armengol et al. ()] 'Peer pressure'. Antoni & Calv'o-Armengol , O Matthew , Jackson . 10.1111/j.1542-
 304 4774.2010.tb00495. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1542-4774.2010.tb00495> *Journal of the European
 305 Economic Association* 2009. 8 (1) p. .
 306 [Lipoff ()] *Peer pressure and the young adult's brain*, Sarah Lipoff . <https://www.funderstanding.com/theory/child-development/peer-pressure-and-the-young-adults-brain/> 2012.

13 CONCLUSION

- 312 [Draper ()] 'Peer pressure is everywhere'. Thompson Draper . <https://phsnews.com/4337/opinions/peer-pressure-is-everywhere/> #:~: text=Peer%20pressure%20exists%20everywhere%2C%20and%20needs%20to%20be, 2017. p. .
- 315 [Loriggio ()] *Peer pressure, public messaging will affect behavior when rules loosen: Experts*, Paola Loriggio . <https://nationalpost.com/pmn/news-pmn/canada-news-pmn/peer-pressure-public-messaging-will-affect-behaviour-when-rules-loosen-experts> 2020.
- 319 [Aragon ()] *Peer-pressure-it is everywhere*, Jerry Aragon . <https://ezinearticles.com/?Peer-Pressure---Its-Everywhere&id=300220> 2006.
- 321 [Dabu ()] *Physical safety or emotional security?*, Jericha Dabu . <https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/lifetime-connections/201706/physical-safety-or-emotional-security> 2018. 2017. Suzanne. (Effects of peer pressure on the habit)
- 324 [Yazdeli et al. ()] 'Relation of hidden curriculum situation and young people's emotional intelligence'. Yazdeli , Z Taghva'ei , A Yazdkhasti , H Rahimi . *Scientific Journal of Education Strategies in Medicine* 2014. 6 (4) p. . Kashan University of Medical Sciences and Kashan University
- 327 [Jerald ()] *School culture: The hidden curriculum*, Craig D Jerald . <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED495013> 2006.
- 329 [Çubukçu ()] *The effect of hidden curriculum on character education process of primary school students*, Zühal Çubukçu . 2012. 12 p. .
- 331 [Fyock ()] *The effect of the teacher's worldviews on the worldviews of high school seniors*, James A Fyock . 2008. A Dissertation. Liberty University
- 333 [Kleinschmidt ()] *The effects of peer pressure on teenagers*, Karen Kleinschmidt . <https://howtoadult.com/the-effects-of-peer-pressure-on-teenagers-8074006.html> 2018.
- 335 [Margolis ()] *The hidden curriculum in higher education?*, E Margolis . 2001. New York: Routledge.
- 336 [Hauenstein ()] *The importance of social environments for personal development*, Patrick Hauenstein . <http://www.theomniview.com/pov/blog/the-importance-of-social-environments-for-personal-development/> 2014.
- 339 [Massialas and Hurst ()] 'The new social studies-retrospect and prospect'. Byron Massialas , Joseph Hurst . *The Social Studies* 2009. 100 (1) p. .
- 341 [Mahmoud et al. ()] 'The relationship among young adult college students' depression, anxiety, stress, demographics, life satisfaction, and coping styles'. Jihan R Mahmoud , Ruth T Staten , Lynne A Hall , Terry A Lennie . 10.3109/01612840.2011.632708. *Issues in Mental Health Nursing* 2012. 33 p. .
- 344 [Yousefzadeh ()] 'The role of elements of hidden curriculum in learning life skills among university students: A case study of the young people at Islamic Azad University'. Masoud Yousefzadeh . *Indian Journal of Fundamental and Applied Life Sciences* 2014. 4 (4) p. .
- 347 [Yüksel ()] 'The role of hidden curriculum on the resistance behavior of undergraduate young people in psychological counseling and guidance at a Turkish University'. Sedat Yüksel . *Asia Pacific Education Review* 2006. 7 (1) p. .
- 350 [De Marrais and Le Compte ()] *The way schools work: A sociological analysis of education 2 nd Ed*, K B De Marrais , M D Le Compte . 1995. White Plains, NY: Longman Publishers.
- 352 [Sharpe ()] *Three types of curriculum*, Susan Sharpe . https://prezi.com/yjeqntj_hzdd/three-types-of-curriculum/ 2013.
- 354 [Shaw ()] 'Training to failure, training to success: The hidden curriculum of seminary education'. Perry Shaw . *Theological Reflections: Euro-Asian Journal of Theology* 2006. 7 p. .
- 356 [Triangulation and its importance in research ()] *Triangulation and its importance in research*, <http://www.360dissertation.com/triangulation-and-its-importance-in-research/> 2020.