

Financial Development and Poverty Reduction in Bangladesh: Evidence from the ARDL Bound Testing Approach

Kazi Mezbah Uddin Ahamad¹, Shakil Mahmood Shaon² and Noushin Farjana Huda Chowdhury³

¹ Mawlana Bhashani Science and Technology University

Received: 14 April 2021 Accepted: 3 May 2021 Published: 15 May 2021

Abstract

This paper investigates how financial development is related to poverty reduction in a developing nation such as Bangladesh. Employing the ARDL bound testing approach to cointegration, the study finds that the variables representing poverty reduction and financial development are cointegrated. The long-run and the short-run estimations indicate that financial development reduces poverty. In particular, on average, a 1 percent increase in financial development reduces poverty by 0.6 percent in the long run, and in the short run, the rate is 0.4 percent. The results are robust even when controlling for military expenditure and macroeconomic stability variables. The study also discovers that even though military expenditure may seem innocuous in the short run, it hurts poverty reduction in the long run.

Index terms—

1 Introduction

It is proven in many empirical studies that financial development has an influential role in the economic growth of a nation (Beck and Levine, 2004; Levine, 2005; King and Levine, 1993). A large body of studies has chiefly concentrated on finding the nexus between finance and growth, while the implication of finance on poverty has been uncharted. Specifically, in the developing nations where poverty is a predominant social problem, questing for better policy options to subdue poverty by focusing on the link between finance and poverty will be of paramount importance. In the SDGs ending poverty in all of its forms has been identified as the most prioritized goal (UN, 2019). Still, in this world, about 9.2 percent of the total population live below the international poverty line of \$1.90 a day (World Bank, 2020a). And, the middle-income countries are mainly the host of this large share of the population. In 2016, about 14.3% of the total population was below the poverty line in Bangladesh. The country intends to bring the number to below 3% by 2030. The present study aims at unearthing the link between financial development and poverty in an error correction model so that the long run, as well as the short-run implication of finance on poverty reduction, can be better understood from the perspective of Bangladesh. The next section surveys the existing literature concerning the role of finance in poverty reduction, and the remainder of this paper is structured as follows. Section 3 provides a brief overview of poverty and the financial sector from the perspective of Bangladesh, and section 4 specifies and describes the model and the data. Section 5 and section 6 present the estimation method and analysis of the obtained results, respectively. Section 7 concludes the study.

2 II.

3 Literature Review

Financial development is indispensable for the healthy growth of an economy. The positive association between financial development and growth is empirically proven in many studies (Levine, 2004; Levine, 2005; Beck and

Levine, 2004). But there is a dearth of literature on the nexus between financial development and poverty reduction. Jeanneney and Kpodar (2011), with a large sample of developing countries over the period 1966-2000, find a positive association between finance and poverty reduction. But their results are sensitive to the financial deepening variables, finance as measured by the ratio of M3 to GDP produces strong outcome-confirming the McKinnon 'conduit effect' (McKinnon, 1973) -as opposed to the ratio of credit to the private sector which has a rather weaker positive effect on poverty reduction. They also corroborate the findings of Akhter and Daly (2009) that financial instability as an outcome of better financial development hurts the poor. Beck et al. (2007) extensively examine the role of finance on the poor. They find that financial development can be both quite effective for the poor through the channel of aggregate growth and by reducing the income inequality amongst the poorest quintile. Also, utilizing the data on a fraction of the population living on less than \$1 per day, they observe the favorable role of financial development in poverty alleviation. Donou-Adonsou and Sylwester (2016) use the data of 71 developing countries and find an overall positive effect of financial development mainly measured by the credit to GDP ratio on various poverty measures such as headcount ratio and poverty gap. Their study also reveals that microfinance institutions do not influence poverty. Rewilak (2017) separates financial development into four components and finds that financial deepening and greater access to finance significantly reduce poverty. Few studies focus on the income inequality and poverty nexus. For example, Clarke et al. (2006) find that financial development is inversely related to the level of the Gini coefficient. They make use of the data of a panel of countries ranging from the period 1960-1995. Omar and Inaba (2020), using the data of 116 developing countries between 2004 and 2016, observes that financial inclusion plays a favorable role in poverty alleviation and reducing income inequality.

Several studies investigate the country-specific link between financial development and poverty reduction, and these studies, in particular, examine the causal relation between the variables following a cointegration test. Odhiambo (2009) inspects the causality among financial development, poverty, and economic growth for the data of South Africa ranging the period 1960-2006 to find that both financial development and economic growth Granger-cause poverty reduction in the country. Kheir (2018), using the data covering the period 1980-2015, finds for another African nation, namely Egypt, that there exists bi-directional causality between financial development and poverty reduction both in the short-run and long run. The study uses the ratio of household final consumption expenditures to GDP as a proxy for poverty data. For financial development variables, it employs domestic credit to the private sector as a percentage of GDP, and the ratio of liquid liabilities to GDP (M3/GDP). Uddin et al. (2014), using quarter frequency data for 1975-2011, find that poverty, financial development, and economic growth are co-integrated and financial development contributes to poverty reduction. Abdin (2016) also discovers a favorable role of financial development in poverty reduction using the data of Bangladesh for the period 1974-2013.

III. Poverty and Financial Development in Bangladesh: An Overview

Table (1) provides a brief overview of the poverty scenario in Bangladesh between the years 1991 and 2016. Even though data on poverty headcount ratio is rare and usually observed with a 5-year interval, and so is the data on the Gini index-a measure of income inequality-we have compiled the available data to assess the progress Bangladesh has made concerning poverty reduction. During 1991, about 43.5% of the population in Bangladesh was living below the poverty line of \$1.90 a day. By 2000, the scenario improved a lot. Since then, a host of targeted pro-poor policy actions and possibly the boom of export-oriented RMG sectors reduced the poverty figure dramatically. By the year 2012, Bangladesh had already achieved the MDG goal of halving the proportion of people living below the poverty line. Despite achieving extensive success in poverty reduction, a bleak picture could be visible when it came to income inequality. Between the years 1991 and 2016, the Gini index was 31.3, indicating a moderate inequality existed in Bangladesh. Nevertheless, the picture concerning the economic development as measured by the level of per capita GDP in constant 2011 USD adjusted for PPP was superior. The level of per capita GDP increased by more than double to USD 3920.3 in 2016 from USD 1534.5 in 1991. Household expenditure, a measure of poverty reduction adopted in this study, also showed the same trait.

In gauging the performance of financial development in Bangladesh, we categorize the financial development variable into four components in line with the Cihak et al. (2013), namely financial deepening, financial access, financial efficiency, and financial stability. In this study, we have used domestic credit provided to the private sector by all financial intermediaries as a percentage of GDP to represent the financial deepening as well as the key financial development variable. The bank credit to deposit ratio and cost to income ratio represent financial stability, financial efficiency, respectively. Figure ?? illustrates the scenario that prevailed in the financial sector between the years 2004 and 2017. Only the data on credit to the private sector contains a decent number of observations, so we conduct our main regression analysis with it. Still, for assessing the overall condition of the financial sector, we make use of the available data on the financial stability and efficiency along with financial depth.

4 Source: WDI 2020

Figure ?? : Scenario in the financial sector in Bangladesh (2004) (2005) (2006) (2007) (2008) (2009) (2010) (2011) (2012) (2013) (2014) (2015) (2016) (2017) According to the available data, it is apparent that the financial sector operated with a fair amount of efficiency between the years 2004 and 2017. In this period, banks' profitability measured by the cost to income ratio remained fairly constant, and the average

was about 42.4%. The financial stability represented by the credit to deposit (CD) ratio also depicted a similar picture. Between the years 2004 and 2017, on average, the CD ratio was a little over 80% which was indicative of better financial stability during this period. Data on ATMs per 100,000 adults and bank accounts per 1,000 adults obtained from the WDI 2020 revealed that in terms of financial access, the country still needed to pave a long way to achieve decent success. In 2009, only one ATM was available per 100,000 adults, and around 2017, the number slightly increased to a mere eight ATMs. As to the bank account, about 248 people among 1000 adults had it in 2004, and the number slowly rose to 715 per 1000 adults in 2017.

IV.

5 The Model and Data

The present study aims at unearthing the effect of financial development on poverty reduction. We formulate the following model, in line with Beck et al. (2007), Odhiambo (2009), and Kheir (2018) to assess the nature of the relationship.

$$(1) \text{pov} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \text{fin} + \alpha_2 \text{CD} + \alpha_3 \text{ATM} + \alpha_4 \text{BA} + \alpha_5 \text{GDP} + \alpha_6 \text{M2} + \alpha_7 \text{M3} + \alpha_8 \text{CPI} + \alpha_9 \text{MIL} + \epsilon_t$$

In equation (1), pov corresponds to our poverty measure, y and fin represent the level of economic development and the financial development, respectively, x is a set of control variables. All the series considered in this study are annual and span the period 1990-2019. Table 2 provides the descriptive statistics of the variables. There is a substantial lack of data on the most common poverty measure headcount ratio. For Bangladesh, we observe data with only a 5-year interval. To circumvent this problem, we have employed the logarithm of household final consumption expenditure in constant 2011 USD adjusted by PPP. Studies such as Odhiambo (2009), Kheir (2018), and Kheir et al. (2012) use household expenditure as a reliable proxy for poverty variable because usually, the income of the poor is consistent with their expenditure.

We have used the log of the per capita GDP in constant 2017 USD adjusted for PPP to gauge economic development. A positive sign on the coefficient of GDP will point to the fact that an increase in the level of economic development will reduce poverty. Domestic credit to the private sector (% of GDP) is the proxy for financial development. This proxy is superior compared to the ratio of broad money (M2 or M3) to GDP as the domestic credit to the private sector as a percentage of GDP captures the movement of savers' money to the private firms. This measure is also reliable as it includes the activity of the other non-bank financial intermediaries involved in the financial sector, and at the same time, excludes credit to the public sector. We have also used military expenditure as a percentage of GDP to capture the fact that spending on the military may hinder the progress of poverty reduction. To capture the macroeconomic stability we make use of the log of consumer price index data. World Development Indicator (WDI) 2020 by the World Bank is the source of all the data series.

V.

6 Method

This study employs the ARDL (autoregressive distributed lag) bound testing approach of cointegration developed by Pesaran and Shin (1995), Pesaran and Pesaran (1997), and Pesaran et al. (2001). ARDL technique to test for cointegration has several merits over the cointegration approach developed by Johansen (1998), Johansen and Juselius (1990), and Engle Granger (1987). ARDL approach is still applicable regardless of the variables being purely I (0) or I(1) or an admixture of both. It provides superior results even in the smaller sample size. The approach requires a single equation set-up as opposed to the other conventional methods, which need a system of equations. Additionally, the ARDL approach yields unbiased estimates of the long-run model, although some variables are endogenous (Odhiambo, 2009).

Our data involve the period 1990-2019, and the series are annual. Owing to this fact, we have kept the maximum lag length to two periods following Pesaran and Shin (1998), Narayan (2004), and Ahamad (2019). We express the model in the following ARDL form:
$$\text{pov}_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \text{fin}_t + \alpha_2 \text{CD}_t + \alpha_3 \text{ATM}_t + \alpha_4 \text{BA}_t + \alpha_5 \text{GDP}_t + \alpha_6 \text{M2}_t + \alpha_7 \text{M3}_t + \alpha_8 \text{CPI}_t + \alpha_9 \text{MIL}_t + \epsilon_t$$

Here, ϵ_t is the white noise error term, and $\hat{I}^n$ denotes the first difference operator. The variable pov represents our poverty measure. The chosen proxy is the natural log of households and NPISHs final consumption expenditure adjusted for PPP. And, y denotes the level of economic development for which the proxy is GDP per capita at PPP. Here, x is a vector of control variables that includes consumer price index (CPI) and military expenditure as a percentage of GDP.

The existence of a long-run relationship or cointegration among the variables of interest is confirmed by calculating joint F-statistic or Wald statistic. The null hypothesis, in this case, is $H_0 = 1 = 2 = 3 = 4 = 0$ implying that there is no level form relationship or no cointegration among the variables. The alternative hypothesis is $H_1 = 1 \neq 0$, which implies that there exists a long-run relationship among the variables or, more precisely, the variables are cointegrated. The computed F-statistic is compared against two sets of F-statistic critical values, namely, lower bound F_L : I(0) and upper bound F_U : I(1). If the computed F-statistic exceeds the upper bound value, then the null is rejected to confirm that there exists a long-run relationship among the variables. In case the computed value is smaller than the lower bound critical value, then the null cannot be rejected. If the

93.8% in model 2. This higher speed of adjustment in model 2 justifies the inclusion of relevant control variables in its specification.

VII.

Conclusion

This paper attempts to find the link between financial development and poverty reduction in a developing nation by taking Bangladesh as a case. The findings in this paper corroborate the results of many past studies concerning the role of financial development in poverty reduction. In particular, the error correction model employed in this paper reveals that both in the short-run, as well as in the long run financial development reduces poverty. The study also provides valuable insight regarding military expenditure and its role in poverty reduction. Despite showing no significant effect in the short-run on poverty, military expenditure, in the long run, exerts a detrimental negative role in poverty reduction. The findings of this paper can be used as an important policy guide. The government in a developing nation can always reduce poverty by enhancing the depth of the financial sector and by ensuring easy access of the people who need credit the most. We should also bear in mind that excessive government interventions may divide the credit facilities in the financial market in such a way that the most influential borrowers obtain credit at subsidized rates of interest. In contrast, less advantaged groups often need to acquire credit at a higher interest rate from the informal market (Kirkpatrick 2000). If policy-makers address this issue, finance may not appear as a growth-limiting factor and play a progressive role in poverty reduction.

1

Time	Poverty headcount ratio at \$1.90 a day (2011 PPP)	Gini index	GDP per capita (PPP)	per (USD, consumption expenditure, PPP (100 million)	Households and NPISHs Final consumption expenditure, PPP (100 million)
1991	43.5	27.6	1534.5		1.58
2000	34.2	33.4	1937.7		2.04
2010	19.2	32.1	2883.5		3.24
2016	14.3	32.4	3920.3		4.28

Source: WDI 2020

Figure 1: Table 1 :

2

	Household final consumption expenditure (100 million)	GDP per capita (PPP)	per	Consumer Price Index	Domestic credit to the private sector (% of GDP)	Military expenditure (% of GDP)
Mean	2.81	2554.98		83.57	30.33	1.31
Median	2.45	2225.61		66.88	28.63	1.30
Maximum	5.30	4753.73		179.68	47.58	1.58
Minimum	1.58	1517.73		32.25	14.55	1.06
Std. Dev.	1.11	940.83		45.60	11.37	0.16

Source. Author's calculation

Figure 2: Table 2 :

2

Variables	ADF Statistics (with trend)	PP Statistics (with trend)	5% Critical Value	10% Crite- cal Value
y	-0.25	0.31	-3.58	-3.23
$\hat{I}^?y$	-3.49*	-3.55*	-3.58	-3.23
pov	0.96	1.99	-3.58	-3.23
$\hat{I}^?pov$	-4.49**	-4.39**	-3.58	-3.23
fin	-2.45	-2.52	-3.58	-3.23
$\hat{I}^?fin$	-4.74**	-4.68**	-3.58	-3.23
cpi	0.61	0.38	-3.58	-3.23
$\hat{I}^?cpi$	-3.97**	-3.97**	-3.58	-3.23
mil	-1.59	-1.86	-3.58	-3.23
$\hat{I}^?mil$	-3.62**	-3.64**	-3.58	-3.23

Notes. $\hat{I}^?$ denotes first difference operator, ** and * denotes statistical significance at 5% and 10% respectively.

Figure 3: Table 2 :

3

	Model	Optimal Lag Length	F	LM Test ? 2	ARCH ? 2	JB Test ? 2
1	Sample (1990-2019)	ARDL(2,13)	1.73	2.96(0.22)	0.82(0.31)	0.23(0.89)
2	Sample (1990-2019)	ARDL(2,07)	3.91	5.73(0.06)	1.01(0.31)	0.71(0.69)
	Finite Sample: n=30 k=2 (Model 1)				Finite Sample: n=30 k=4 (Model 2)	
	Significance level	I(0)	I(1)	Significance level	I(0)	I(1)
	10%	2.915	3.695	10%	2.525	3.56
	5%	3.538	4.428	5%	3.058	4.223
	1%	5.155	6.265	1%	4.28	5.84

Notes. The null hypothesis of bound test: no levels relationship, I(0) and I(1) denote lower and upper bound respectively. For the LM, ARCH, and JB tests, inside the parentheses, P-value associated with the corresponding test is attached.

Figure 4: Table 3 :

Financial Development and Poverty Reduction in Bangladesh: Evidence from the ARDL Bound Testing Approach

Year 2021
40
Volume
XXI
Issue III
Version I
E)
(

Global Journal of Human Social Science -
Dependent Variable: log of poverty variable (pov) Model 1 Model 2
t-Statistic Coefficient (8.710862)*** 0.961633 (12.80859)*** t-Statistic
(2.329256)** 0.005012 (2.331573)** -0.06643 (-3.141236)*** -0.05224
(-0.652512) (31.62293)*** 18.92711 (56.85097)*** In the parentheses,
a t-statistic is provided for the corresponding coefficient. ***, **
denote significance Variable Coefficient y 0.792604 fin 0.006022 mil cpi
c 19.84848 at the 1% and 5% level respectively
© 2021 Global Journals

Figure 5: Table 3 :

Variable	Dependent variable: $\hat{I}^? \text{pov}$			
	Model 1		Model 2	
$\hat{I}^? \text{pov}(-1)$	Coefficient 0.275259	t-Statistic (1.593674)	Coefficient 0.507119	t-Statistic (5.665513)***
$\hat{I}^? \text{y}$	1.962343	(7.514775)***		
$\hat{I}^? \text{fin}$	0.004166	(2.529394)***	0.003012	(1.907131)*
$\hat{I}^? \text{mil}$			-0.00561	(-0.20221)
$\hat{I}^? \text{cpi}$			0.262591	(3.239281)***
ECT(-1)	-0.59624	(-4.12826)***	-0.93794	(-7.52602)***

Figure 6: Table 4 :

- [Pesaran and Pesaran ()] , H M Pesaran , B Pesaran . Microfit 4.0. 1997. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- [Ahamad et al. ()] 'Aid-growth Nexus in Bangladesh: An Evidence from the ARDL Bound Testing Approach'. K M U Ahamad , N F H Chowdhury , M Muzib . 10.7176/JESD/10-6-17. <https://doi.org/10.7176/JESD/10-6-17> *Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development* 2019. 10 (6) p. .
- [Pesaran and Shin ()] 'Autoregressive distributed lag modeling approach to cointegration analysis'. H M Pesaran , Y Shin . 10.1016/j.rdf.2017.10.001. *DAE Working Paper Series* 1995. (9514) . Cambridge: Department of Applied Economics, University of Cambridge
- [Available at <https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org> (2019)] Available at <https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org>, 2019. 2 February 2021. UN. (Sustainable development goals knowledge platform)
- [Pesaran ()] 'Bounds testing approaches to the analysis of level relationships'. H M Pesaran . *Journal of Applied Econometrics* 2001. 16 p. .
- [Engle and Granger ()] 'Cointegration and error correction: representation, estimation and testing'. R F Engle , C W J Granger . *Econometrica* 1987. 55 (2) p. .
- [Omar and Inaba ()] 'Does financial inclusion reduce poverty and income inequality in developing countries? A panel data analysis'. M A Omar , K Inaba . 10.1186/s40008-020-00214-4. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40008-020-00214-4> *Journal of Economic Structures* 2020. 9 (1) .
- [Levine ()] *Finance and growth: theory and evidence*, R Levine . No. 10766. 2004. (NBER Working Paper)
- [Levine ()] 'Finance and growth: Theory and evidence'. R Levine . *Handbook of economic growth*, P Aghion, & S Durlauf (ed.) (Amsterdam) 2005. North-Holland Elsevier Publishers.
- [Clarke et al. ()] 'Finance and Income Inequality: What Do the Data Tell Us?'. G R G Clarke , L C Xu , H Zou . 10.2307/20111834. <https://doi.org/10.2307/20111834> *Southern Economic Journal* 2006. 72 (3) p. 578.
- [Akhter and Daly ()] 'Finance and poverty: evidence from fixed effect vector decomposition'. S Akhter , K Daly . *Emerging Markets Review* 2009. 10 (3) p. .
- [Beck et al. ()] 'Finance, inequality and the poor'. T Beck , A Demirgüç-Kunt , R Levine . 10.1007/s10887-007-9010-6. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10887-007-9010-6> *Journal of Economic Growth* 2007. 12 (1) p. .
- [Odhiambo ()] 'Finance-growth-poverty nexus in South Africa: A dynamic causality linkage'. N M Odhiambo . 10.1016/j.soc.2008.12.006. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soc.2008.12.006> *Journal of Socio-Economics* 2009. 38 (2) p. .
- [Donou-Adonsou and Sylwester ()] 'Financial development and poverty reduction in developing countries: New evidence from banks and microfinance institutions'. F Donou-Adonsou , K Sylwester . 10.1016/j.rdf.2016.06.002. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rdf.2016.06.002> *Review of Development Finance* 2016. 6 (1) p. .
- [Uddin et al. ()] 'Financial development and poverty reduction nexus: A cointegration and causality analysis in Bangladesh'. G S Uddin , M Shahbaz , M Arouri , F Teulon . 10.1016/j.econmod.2013.09.049. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econmod.2013.09.049> *Economic Modelling* 2014. 36 p. .
- [Jeanneney and Kpodar ()] 'Financial development and poverty reduction: Can there be a benefit without a cost'. S G Jeanneney , K Kpodar . 10.1080/00220388.2010.506918. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220388.2010.506918> *Journal of Development Studies* 2011. 47 (1) p. .
- [Abdin ()] 'Financial Development and Poverty Reduction: Exploring the Links between the Issues Using Evidence from Bangladesh'. J Abdin . 10.5430/ijfr.v7n4p44. <https://doi.org/10.5430/ijfr.v7n4p44> *International Journal of Financial Research* 2016. 7 (4) p. .
- [Cihak et al. ()] 'Financial Development in 205 Economies'. M Cihak , A Demirguc-Kunt , E Feyen , R Levine . *NBER Working Paper Series* 2013. p. .
- [Kirkpatrick ()] 'Financial Development, Economic Growth, and Poverty Reduction'. C Kirkpatrick . *The Pakistan Development Review* 2000. 39 (4) p. .
- [Johansen and Juselius ()] 'Maximum likelihood estimation and inference on cointegration with applications to the demand for money'. S Johansen , K Juselius . *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics* 1990. 52 p. .
- [Mckinnon ()] *Money and Capital in Economic Development*, The Brookings Institution, R I Mckinnon . 1973. Washington DC.
- [Bank ()] *Poverty and shared prosperity 2020: Reversals of fortune*, World Bank . 10.1596/978-1-4648-1602-4. 2020b. 2020a. Washington, DC: World Bank. (World Development Indicators (WDI) 2020 database)
- [Narayan ()] *Reformulating Critical Values for the Bounds F-statistics Approach to Cointegration: An Application to the Tourism Demand Model for Fiji*, P K Narayan . 02/04. 2004. p. . Department of Economics Monash University

12 CONCLUSION

- 296 [Johansen ()] ‘Statistical analysis of cointegration vectors’. S Johansen . *Journal of Economic Dynamics and*
297 *Control* 1998. 12 p. .
- 298 [Beck and Levine ()] ‘Stock markets, banks and growth: panel evidence’. T Beck , R Levine . *J Bank Finance*
299 2004. 28 (3) p. .
- 300 [Kheir ()] ‘The nexus between financial development and poverty reduction in Egypt’. V B Kheir . 10.1108/rep-
301 07-2018-003. <https://doi.org/10.1108/rep-07-2018-003> *Review of Economics and Political Sci-*
302 *ence* 2018. 3 (2) p. .
- 303 [Rewilak ()] ‘The role of financial development in poverty reduction’. J Rewilak . 10.1016/j.rdf.2017.10.001.
304 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rdf.2017.10.001> *Review of Development Finance* 2017. 7 (2) p. .