Volume 21 Issue 7
Originally issued as B1



Global Journal of human-social science: B
Geography, Geo-Sciences, Environmental Science & Disaster Management
Volume 21 Issue 1 Version 1.0 Year 2021
Type: Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal
Publisher: Global Journals
Online ISSN: 2249-460X & Print ISSN: 0975-587X

Analysis of Water Quality for Domestic use in Lafia Town, Nasarawa State, Nigeria

By Iliyasu M Anzaku & Garba Umar
Bayero University

Abstract- Lafia is a town in the middle belt of Nigeria, located within Latitude 8° 25W and 8° 35W and Longitudes 8°28'E and 8°34'E. Lafia covers an area of about 258km2 and has a population of about 330,712 inhabitants. This population caused environmental pollution as a result pressures exerts on the available water sources. It is against this background that this study is carried out to analyze the water quality for domestic use in Lafia town with the aim of examining the water quality status of the area compared to the World Health Organization permissible guidelines for portable water. The method adopted involves the use of reconnaissance survey. Data were collected from both primary and secondary sources and subjected to descriptive and laboratory analysis. The result shows that stream water source is the most polluted in Lafia with colour concentration of 10.5pt/co, turbidity of 8.5NTU and temperature of 26.4°c. The chemical properties for all the water sources sampled were slightly above the World Health Organization permissible guidelines. The result also shows that none of the water sources met the permissible guidelines in terms of chemical and microbiological properties. It was recommended amongst others that Nasarawa state water board should be provided with modern facilities for efficient address of domestic water use in Lafia. Clear water for everyone in Lafia for sustainable development.

Keywords: *water, quality, domestic, uses, chemical.*

GJHSS-B Classification: *FOR Code: 090508*



Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of:



© 2021. Iliyasu M Anzaku & Garba Umar. This is a research/review paper, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 3.0 Unported License http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/), permitting all non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Analysis of Water Quality for Domestic use in Lafia Town, Nasarawa State, Nigeria

Iliyasu M Anzaku [α] & Garba Umar [σ]

Abstract- Lafia is a town in the middle belt of Nigeria, located within Latitude 8° 25W and 8° 35W and Longitudes 8°28'E and 8°34'E. Lafia covers an area of about 258km^2 and has a population of about 330,712 inhabitants. This population caused environmental pollution as a result pressures exerts on the available water sources. It is against this background that this study is carried out to analyze the water quality for domestic use in Lafia town with the aim of examining the water quality status of the area compared to the World Health Organization permissible guidelines for portable water. The method adopted involves the use of reconnaissance survey. Data were collected from both primary and secondary sources and subjected to descriptive and laboratory analysis. The result shows that stream water source is the most polluted in Lafia with colour concentration of 10.5pt/co, turbidity of 8.5NTU and temperature of 26.4°c. The chemical properties for all the water sources sampled were slightly above the World Health Organization permissible guidelines. The result also shows that none of the water sources met the permissible guidelines in terms of chemical and microbiological properties. It was recommended amongst others that Nasarawa state water board should be provided with modern facilities for efficient address of domestic water use in Lafia. Clear water for everyone in Lafia for sustainable development.

Keywords: water, quality, domestic, uses, chemical.

I. Introduction

Water is a universal solvent that is chemically made up of two molecules of hydrogen atoms (H_2) and one molecule of oxygen atom (0) combined to form the compound, H_20 (water). Water is a major constituent of every living matter and it is very essential for life sustenance on earth. Water supports plant and animal lives, and is equally a very important raw material for industries. Without water, life and industry cannot function. All Living organisms are composed of cells that contain at least 60% of water (Enger and Smith, 2002). About two- third of human body is made up of water (Ayoade and Akintola, 1999). According to the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC)(2006) the human brain contain 95% water, heart 73%, blood 83%, bone 22%, liver 96%, lungs 86% and muscles 75%. In the mechanics of the body water is a very valuable substance that regulates body temperature and also helps to alleviate constipation by circulating food through intestinal tracts and eliminating waste from the body. All the cells and organs that make up entire anatomy and physiology of human body depend on water for their proper functioning.

Water has no substitute; however, due to its abundance mankind uses it extensively for various purposes. The different uses of water affect both quality and quantity of the available water supply. Proper management practices therefore plays an important role in local, national and international polices on water supply quality (Chaplin, 2008). According to Eziashi (1997), much of the earth's water is in the ocean (97%) or rock as ice (18%). The largest volumes of fresh water are stored as underground water accounting for about (0.6%). Only a tiny fraction (0.01%) is present as fresh water in lakes, streams and rivers. It is this proportion of water on earth that is important for many of the terrestrial ecosystems, including man for several uses such as domestic, commercial and industrial use. Some countries of the world have abundant supply of water from deep wells and underground springs. Others have to make extensive use of rivers, lakes and other sources. The supply of drinking water derives from these sources must not constitutes danger to both health of consumers either through infectious organisms (bacteria, virus protozoa) or through the presence of toxic waste inorganic or radioactive materials (Maud, 1990).

The quality of water is very important as its quantity. Many human activities and their bye- products have the potential to pollute water, as well as large and small industrial enterprises, water industry, urban infrastructure, agriculture, transport, discharges from abandoned mines, deliberate or accidental pollution incidence, all affects water quality. Pollutants from the aforementioned may enter surface or underground water directly or indirectly, or may affects atmospheric water quality such pollution may arise as point sources such as discharges through pipes, or non-point sources and hence more dispersed and diffused.

Water must be substantially free from dissolved salts, plants and animal waste, and bacterial contamination to be suitable for human consumption. The ocean which covers approximately 70% of the earth's surface contains over 97% of water. However, saltwater is not suitable for human consumption and some industrial process. Freshwater is devoid of salt

Author α: Department of Science, School of Continuing Education, Bayero University, PMB Kano, Nigeria.
e-mails: iliyasumammananzaku@gmail.com, mamman.iliyasu@yahoo.com
Author σ: Department of Geography, Faculty of Environmental Science, Nasarawa State University, PMB 1022, Keffi, Nasarawa State, Nigeria.

© 2021 Global Journals

found in ocean water. Unpolluted freshwater suitable for drinking is known as potable water. Early human migration routes and settlement sites were influenced by availability of drinking water. During the ancient time clean freshwater supplies were considered inexhaustible. But in recent times, despite advancement in drilling, irrigation and purification, the location, quantity, quality and control of potable water becomes an important factor for consideration. It is only recently that man began to understand that all the useable water supply could probably be exhausted because of the rapid world population increase compared to available water supply. This resulted to increased demand for water supply for industrial, agricultural and personal needs. The present shortage of water supply the world over can be attributed to human negligence in water management policies, population increased and climate change. Water pollution has negatively affected water supplies throughout the world for example Rivers in Poland, Latin America and Asia are severely polluted as a result of high population density and industrial activities. Aquifers used as sources of drinking water in many parts of the world are becoming contaminated with pesticides, herbicides and hazardous organic chemicals.

In China for instance, 41 large cities get their drinking water from polluted water sources. During the passage of water through hydrological cycle, water is usually polluted by sediments, washed from the land into surface water by erosion and farming activities, mining, grazing construction works, excess nutrients from soil erosion, human and animal waste and effluent from sewage treatment plants pathogens from sewage and livestock waste, and hazardous chemicals produced by industrialized nations. All these categories of waste are increasing because of rapid population growth and industrialization.

II. Study Area

Lafia town being the study area is located in Middle Belt, Nigeria. It is the capital city of Nasarawa state and is one of the 13 Local Government Areas in Nasarawa state. Lafia Local government Area was carved out of the then Benue-Plateau state in 1976, later Plateau state and now Nasarawa state, created on 1st October 1996. Globally, Lafia is located within latitudes 8°25' N and 8°35' N and longitudes 8°28'E and 8°34'E. the Local Government Area covers an area of about 258Km^2, extending over an area of about 18km from North to south and 14km from East to West. The town is located about 195km from Jos (in the north) and about 90km from Makurdi (in the South). Also Lafia town is accessible through Akwanga Abuja road in the North, Doma Road to the West and Obi-Keana road to the south. Lafia is bounded to the North by Shabu, to the West by Dorna to the East by Assakio and to the South East by Daddere. The town has a population of about 330,712 inhabitants (2006 census). The map of Nasarawa state showing location of Lafia is presented in Figure 1 and 2 below.



Source: NAGIS, 2019

Fig. 1: Nasarawa State Showing Lafia LGA

© 2021 Global Journals



Source: NAGIS 2019

Fig. 2: Lafia showing wards

The geology of Lafia town is made up of Basement Complex rocks. The geological setting of the area offers limited numbers of good aquifers as they are found far below underground. As a result, availability and reliability of surface and ground water in terms of both quality and quantity is uncertain, since yields from such aquifers are not enough to meet the water demand of the inhabitants, (Carter, 1953). The topography of Lafia town is made up of gentle undulating terrain generally below 400 metres with series of slopes to the wider Benue plain. This is because the town is located between the Benue valley and the Jos plateau. The town is drained by several streams such as Amba stream, Akurba stream, etc. The soils type is tropical ferruginous soil and is categorized as reddish brown which suggest the presence of microbial activities. It supports agricultural production. The vegetation type is Southern Guinea Savanna; it is characterized by discontinuous canopy, shrubs with luxuriant and dense grasses with tress attaining an average height of about 15 to 30 metres. The common tress found in the area includes locust bean, Shea butter, palm trees, etc. the Most important crops grown in the area includes maize, sorghum, cassava, cowpea, yarn etc.

a) Materials and Methods

This study employs volumetric techniques in analyzing physical and chemical parameters of the sampled water. In addition, microbiological analytical techniques were employed for biological parameters namely fecal coli form count and total coli form count. The two techniques are chosen because of the availability of reagents required for the analysis which also reduced the cost of the analysis.

Using laboratory analysis, the physical, chemical and microbiological properties of the water collected from the different five sources were analysed. The analysis involves the measurement of the presences of group of contaminant and their properties. Also analyze was the physiochemical parameters such as colour, turbidity, H, temperature, hardness and presences of sulphate, lead, chlorides, iron, copper, and total dissolved solids (TDS). Analyses were equally done with regards to microbiological characteristics which measures total coli form count (TC) and coli form organization unit. The instruments used in carrying out the analysis include:

- TDS conditional meter (HACH) model 446000.00
- Titrernetry Digital Titrator (HACH) model 1699.01
- Spectrophotometer (HACH) model DR 2000
- Palm test parameter.

The results generated from the laboratory analysis were presented in tables, figure and graphs.

III. Results and Discussion

The data gathered from various sources for this study were presented in tabular forms. Graphs and figures and analyzed as follows

© 2021 Global Journals

a) Physical Properties of Sampled Water

Table 1 below shows the concentration of physical properties of the sampled water as shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Physical Parameters of Sampled Water in Lafia

S/No	Water parameter	WHO Std	Sample water sources				
			Borehole	well	Rain	Stream	Tap
1	Colour (pt/co)	5(pt/co)	6.0	6.4	2.0	10.5	4.0
2	Turbidity (NTU)	5NTU	3.0	4.5	1.4	8.5	2.9
3	Temperature (°C)	25°C	22.5	23.4	15.4	26.4	20.5

Source: Field survey, 2019

Table 1 shows physical parameter of sampled water sources in Lafia. The values in Table 1 are used to represent a clear graph shown in Figure 3 to further illustrate the concentration of the physical parameters with reference to WHO permissible guidelines. Below is the graphical representation of concentration of physical parameter in sampled water shown in Figure 3.



Figure 3: Concentration of Physical Parameters.

Figure 3 shows that colour concentration was highest in stream water 10.5pt/Co and lowest in rain water 2.0pt/Co the range was 8.5 well water sample 6.4pt/Co, and borehole water 6.0pt/co was above the guidelines value of 5pt/co for potable water include tap water, 4.0pt/Co and rain water 2.0 pt/Co which recorded colour concentration below the guideline value of WHO. High colour concentration in stream water can be attributed to dissolved coloured materials in stream due to surface exposure. The range is therefore wide by this result. In terms of turbidity. stream water recorded 8.5NTU highest above WHO guideline and rain water 1.4 NTU lowest below the guidelines and the range was 7.INTU a wide range). Hence only stream water recorded turbidity concentration above the WHO guideline for potable water. Well water with 4.5 NW, borehole 3.0 NW and tap 2.9 NUT turbidity concentration fall below/WHO guideline value for potable water. The high turbidity in stream was due to suspended material in water brought about by human activities such as swimming; eroded materials from the land through run- off and other natural processesin the case of temperature, the result shows that stream water has the highest temperature 26.4°C above the guideline value of WHO for potable water other samples are, well water23.4°C, borehole water 22.5°C, tap water 20.5°C rain water 15.4°C below the permissible guidelines of WHO for portable water. The range in temperature was 11°C. High temperature in stream water can be attributed to the heat of the day.

Health implication of the findings: Colour if holmic substances are present in water such as Arsenic-rich water over a long period of time, it results in skin problems also if the colour is associated with red phosphorus it renders the water fairly unreliable for other organisms.

© 2021 Global Journals

Turbidity: Health implication of turbidity is simply aesthetic for human and on other organisms; it renders the inability of fish gills to absorb dissolved oxygen.

Temperature: Implication of temperature on human health is not direct; however, temperature alters the rate of microbiological activities in water.

b) Chemical Properties of Sampled Water

i. *pH, Calcium, Nitrates, Chlorides, and sulphates) of sampled water in Lafia.*

The above properties ranges are presented in Figure 4 below as drawn from Table 2.

Table 2: Chemical parameters (pH, calcium, nitrate, chlorides, and sulphates) In Lafia

S/No	Chemical parameter	WHO Std	Different Water sources				
			Borehole	well	Rain	Stream	Tap
1	pH	7	6.2	7.5	6.9	6.8	6.3
2	Calcium (mg/1)	100(mg/1)	101	105	109	106	102
3	Nitrate (mg/1)	25(mg/1)	22	28	30	40.5	27
4	Chlorides (mg/1)	25(mg/1)	26.1	23.2	22	20.0	21.5
5	Sulphate (mg/1)	25(mg/1)	22.5	21.0	20.5	28.3	23

Source: Field survey, 2019

Table shows the concentration of chemical properties; the values in the table are used to represent a bar graph as shown in Figure 4 illustrating concentration of pH, Calcium, Nitrates, and Sulphates below.



Figure 4: Concentration of Chemical Parameters

Figure 4 show that, pH of the five different sources of water falls within the guideline value of WHO. However, pH concentration in well water was highest with 7, 5 and lowest in tap water 6.3. This implies that water in wells was slightly alkaline and borehole, rain, streams and Tap water were, weekly acidic in Lafia. Calcium concentration is highest in rain water 109mg/l. However, the five water samples fall above WHO guidelines. Nitrate concentration was highest in stream water 40.5mg/i and lowest in borehole water 22mg/l. Below WHO guidelines rain, tap, and well water slightly fall above the standard in the cases of chloride, borehole water has the highest concentration of 26.1mg/l above WHO permissible guidelines for potable water and the least was rain Water 20.5 mg/l. however, borehole, well and tap water falls below the guideline value.

ii. *TDS and Hardness of sampled water in Lafia.*

The data gathered concerning chemical properties (TDS and Hardness) from five water sources sampled are presented and analyzed in figure 5 below, been drawn from Table 3.

© 2021 Global Journals

Table 3: Chemical properties (TDS and Hardness) of water sources in lafia

S/No	Chemical properties	WHO Std	Difference Water Sources				
			Borehole	Well	Rain	Stream	Tap
2	TDS(mh/1)	500	280	302	42	406	273
2	Hardness (mg/1)	100	134	140	105	136	107

Source: Field survey, 2019.

Table 3 presents chemical properties in the table are further represented concentration of the properties with shown below. (TDS and Hardness) of water samples. The values in bar graph in Figure 5 to show the distinct reference to the WHO permissible guide lines, shown below



Figure 4.5: Concentration of Chemical Properties (TDS, Hardness)

Figure 5 shows that stream water has the highest concentration of TDS of 406mg/l and lowest in rain water 42mg/l. However, the five water sources have TDS concentration below WHO guidelines. With regards to hardness, the aforementioned water source has the hardness concentration above WHO acceptable guidelines. Well water has the highest hardness 14mg/l and the lowest rainwater 105mg/l among the different water sources.

iii. *(Lead, Copper and Iron) of Water samples in Lafia.*

The data obtained with regards to chemical properties (lead, copper, and iron) from five water sources were analyzed and presented in Table 4 as represented in Figure 6 below.

Table 4: Chemical properties (lead, copper and iron) from water sources in Lafla

S/No	Chemical properties	WHO Std	Different Water Sources				
			Borehole	well	Rain	Stream	Tap
1	Lead (mg/1)	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.08
2	Copper (mg/1)	1.0	0.2	0.1	0.01	0.3	0.4
3	Iron (mg/1))	0.5	0.4	0.7	0.3	0.8	0.6

Source: Field survey, 2019

Table 4 shows the chemical properties (lead, copper and iron). The table values are represented in graphical form in Figure 6 indicating concentration levels in each of the water sources as shown in Figure 6 below.

© 2021 Global Journals



Figure 6: Concentration of Chemical Properties (Lead, Copper and Iron)

Figure 6 shows that, lead concentration was highest in tap water 0.08mg/l above WHO guidelines. The other four sources of water (borehole 0.4 well, 0.03mg/l rain, 0.01mg/l and stream 0.02mg/l) fall below WHO permissible guidelines for potable water. Rain water shows the least lead concentration 0.01mg/l. Copper shows high concentration of 0.4mg/i in tap water and lowest in rain water 0.01mg/i. However, the five water sources (borehole, stream and tap lies above the WHO permissible guidelines for potable water while well and rain water sample fall below the WHO guidelines. In the case of iron, stream water has the highest Iron concentration of 0.08mg/i follow by well water 0.7mg/l, tap water 0.6mg/i while borehole 0.4mg/i and the rain 0.3mg/l lies below WHO guidelines. The health implication of high concentration of led in water inhibit oxygen and mental development in babies and children, hence, children arc mostly prone to health effect of lead. Iron and copper: excessive dose of iron and copper leads to severe mucosal irritation, gastrointestinal irritation disorder of the respiratory mechanism, liver and kidney disorder. Based on this finding and health implication association with these trace elements, proper water treatment and mitigation measure should be adopted against those trace elements event thought the different is not significant.

c) Microbiological Parameter of Sampled Water in Lafia

The data gathered from five different water sources concerning microbiological parameters ofwater are presented and analyzed in Table 5 and the graph is shown in Figure 7 below.

Table 5: Microbiological characteristic of Sample Water sources

S/No	Microbiological parameter	WHO Std	Water sources				
			Borehole	Well	Rain	Stream	Tap
1	F.coli form (MPN/100ml)	0	0.03	0.04	0.01	0.05	0.02
2	T.coli form (MPN/100ml)	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.1	0.7	0.2

Source: Field survey, 2019

Table 5 shows the microbiological characteristics of sample water sources with reference to WHO permissible guide lines. The table values are further presented in bar graph to clearly illustrate the concentration level of the microbiological properties as shown in Figure 6 below.

© 2021 Global Journals



Figure 6: Microbiological Parameter of Sampled Water

In figure 6, it is evident that none of the five water sources meet the maximum allowable concentration faecal coli form of count of 0 count/100ml set by WHO and NAFDAC, the highest concentration of faecal coli form was observed in stream water 0.05 count/100ml, other samples have the following concentrations well water 0.04MPN/100ml, borehole 0.03 MPN/100ml, tap 0.2 MPN/100ml and the lowest rain 0.01 MPN/100ml.In the case of total coli form, stream water has the highest T. coli form count of 0.7 Count MPN/100ml followed by borehole 0.5MPN/100ml, well 0.4MPN/100ml, Tap 0.2MPN/100ml and rain 0.1MPN/100ml. Hence none of the five water sources meet the WHO permissible guidelines for potable water.

The health implication of the finding is that presence of micro-organisms in stream water can lead to number of disease which can be transmitted by pathogenic microorganisms. This could lead to outbreak of several water-related diseases such as typhoid fever, cholera, acute diarrhoea, bacillary dysentery etc. This renders stream water unsuitable for human consumption unless proper water treatment strategies is adopted otherwise stream water is not suitable for human consumption in Lafia.

IV. Conclusion

Water quality in simple terms pertains to the physical, chemical and microbiological characteristics of water relative to its specific use. The study found that stream water source was the most polluted source of water as a result of exposure to different materials making it prone to high concentration of physical, chemical and microbiological properties. By way of qualitative description, stream water has the highest concentration of physical and microbiological properties of water. However, by statistical analysis, the five sources of water in Lafia have no statistical significance difference from the WHO permissible guidelines for potable water. It is therefore the collective responsibility of both private and public sectors for ensuring effective management of water sources for greater sustainability. Furthermore, the research has provided explanatory information statistically and descriptively on the quality status (Physical, Chemical and Microbiological) parameters of five common sources of water for domestic uses in Lafia.

References Références Referencias

1. Ama, E. 0. A. (1996) 'Keynote address- water pollution and health of the nation: 'a.' FEPA?' in Ama, E.O.A. and Adedipe, N.0. (EDS), First National Seminar on Quality Monitory and Status in Nigeria. FEPA: Abuja, 3-10.
2. Ameh, E.G. and Mornob, A. (2006). Quality of groundwater in Port Harcourt, River State. Journal of Environmental Science. 'University of Jos.
3. APHA (1998). Standard Methods for the Examination for Water and Waste Water. (20ed). Washington, DC: American Public Health Association.
4. Ayoade, J. 0. And Akintola, F.Q. (1999) 'Water and Man'. In Faniran, A. and Okunrotifa, P.O. (eds.), A handbook of Geography Teaching for Schools and Colleges. Ibadan: Heinemann.
5. Ayoade, J.O. (1988). Tropical Hydrology and Water Resources. London: Macmilla.

© 2021 Global Journals

6. Botkin, B.D. and Keller, A.E. (1997). Environmental Science. 'Earth as a Living Planet. 2ed. Canada.' John Wiley.
7. Chanlett, E. T. (1974). Environmental Protection.' International Student edition, Tokyo: McGraw-Hill
8. Encyclopaedia Britannica (2009). A World Knowledge at Your Findings. Bristol.' Encyclopaedia Britannica (Delux Edition).
9. Eziahi, AC. (1999). "Surface Water Pollution in Nigeria.' causes, consequences and solutions. Journal of public and Private Law, 3(3): 111-124.
10. Eziashi, A. C. (1997).'Environmental Implications of indiscriminate dumping of castes in stream channels'. In Udoh and A/cpa (eds.), Environmental Education and sustainable Development. Jos.' Fab Education Books.
11. Faniran, A. (1977). 'Rural Water Supply in Nigeria 's Basement Complex: A study in south western Nigeria,'. The Nigerian Geographical Journal, 25 (1&2): 205-209.
12. Fredick, D.K. (1995) 'America's Water Supply: Status and Prospect for the Future'. Consequences, 1(1): 62-65.
13. Getis, A, Getis, 3 and Fellmann, J.D. (2004) Introduction to Geography. (9thed.). New York McGraw Hill Education.
14. Gray, N.F. (1994). Drinking — Water Quality Problems and Solutions. London: John Wiley, 43-64.
15. Helmer, R. (1996). 'Water Quality monitoring: national needs and international challenges'. In Ama, ED. A. and Adedipe, N.D. (eds.), First National Seminar on Water Quality Monitoring and Status in Mgerla. Abuja: FEPA, 11-25.
16. Twugo, K.O. (1999). Introduction to water quality and pollution control: Basic concepts in water pollution control 9, 10-16.
17. Khitoliya, R.K. (2004). Environmental Pollution: Management and Control for Sustainable Development. Ram Nagar, New Delhi: S. Chad and Company Ltd.
18. Krantz, D. and Kifferstein, B. (2006). 'Water Pollution and Society '. nw.umickedw'gs26s.
19. McDonald, T. A and Kay, D. (1988). Water Resources: Issues and Strategies. United Sates: Longman Scientific and technical
20. Morris, T.K. (2005). 'A Systematic Problem: Poor sanitation undermines water quality 'The Liberian Dialogue 43.
21. NAFDAC (2001). Consumer Safety Bulletin. National Agency for Food and Drug Administration and Control Nigeria.
22. National Population Commission (1996). Population Enumerator's Manual, Lafia.
23. Okoronkwo. M.O. and Zoakah A.I. (1998) 'Bacteriological quality of water In a drought afflicted community in Northern Nigeria Journal of Medical Laboratory Sciences.7 and 67.
24. WHO (1974). Health hazards of the Human Environment. WHO Geneva, 36-64.
25. WHO (1 993). International Standards for Drinking Water. Geneva: WHO. 3645.
26. WHO (1997).Guidelines for Drinking Water Quality: Surveillance and Control of Community Supplies (2%d.) Vol.3. Geneva: WHO.
27. WHO (2000). Global Water and Sanitation Assessment Repon 2000. Geneva: WHO.

© 2021 Global Journals