Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

Export Behavior and Propensity to Innovate in Developing Country: The Case of Afghanistan Aimal Mirza

Received: 9 December 2019 Accepted: 2 January 2020 Published: 15 January 2020

6 Abstract

4

7 The paper is aimed at e xamining relation bet ween factors determining export behavior and

 $_{\circ}$ propensity to innovate to fill Afghanistan specific literature gap. For this purpose, a number

⁹ of 236 small and medium manufacturing firms were targeted as statistical unit of analysis.

¹⁰ Required data were collected through questionnaire distributed to owner/manager of firms.

¹¹ Descriptive statistics as well as multivariate probit regression were utilized to analyze the

¹² data. Findings confirmed no significant relationship between export behavior and propensity

¹³ to innovate. It further suggests that marketing innovation and technological advancement

¹⁴ positively and significantly influence export behavior and propensity to innovate.

¹⁵ Furthermore, and unlike result of many other similar studies there was insignificant influence

¹⁶ of firm size and firm age on propensity to innovation.

17

18 Index terms— propensity to innovation, export behavior, firm, technological advancement, marketing 19 innovation.

20 1 Introduction

he term propensity to innovate refers to firm's willingness and capability to adopt a new ideal (Morris, 2005,
Sloan, 2008) from outside ??Cannon, 1985 ?? Hansen and Birkinshaw, 2007 ?? Sloan, 2008) at a high level of
risk ??Dobni, 2006 ?? Sloan, 2008). Whereas, the term export behavior refers to profile of firms with conjunction
of their decision to export or not to export ??Cavusgil & Tesar, 1979) according to same author when the export
objectives characteristics are combined, export profile becomes export behavior ??Cavusgil & Tesar, 1979).

Economists mostly agree that export plays important role for any country's development and developing ones

in particular. However, according ample studies in export has been less possible without innovation in this era.
There are many evidences that proves this assert. Forbes latest ranking of high growth innovative companies is
an example to name one of such evidences ??Forbes, 2018). According to this ranking majority of the innovative
companies are based on a developed country. Therefore, examination of export and innovation at firm level
has been an interesting research topic. However, most of studies have been conducted in context of developed

32 countries and there only few ones on same about developing countries. For this purpose, this study attempts to

33 improve our knowledge on relation between export behavior and propensity to in a developing country.

³⁴ 2 a) Background to Study

There is a debate if Afghanistan is least developed country (LDC) or developing one. According to United Nation Development Program Afghanistan holding fifth least developed country in terms human index development of rank in 2007 improved its positon to 20th in 2019. Furthermore, within same time frame there has been considerable GDP growth and infrastructure development. These positive changes indicate that Afghanistan is developing despite of instability and political unrest.

Earlier the study discussed that export was highly crucial for countries to sustain development, meanwhile we also argued that innovation was one of important element for export. Furthermore, we argued that Afghanistan was developing. These discussion and argument allows as to investigate relationship between both as area of research in this country on the basis of issue that there is a significant deficit in balance of trade in the country. 44 According to figures reported by National Statistics and Information Authority (NSIA, 2020) of Afghanistan,

45 import has been as large as USD 8.5 billion where export has been only 1.7 billion at maximum meaning that 46 import for more outweighs export in this county.

To address the said issue-Afghanistan government recently has focused on promotion of some agro product such as dry and fresh fruit mainly by focusing on these products supply chain. Though there has been some achievement on value chain, analysis of supply chain considering the existing capacity at concerned organization in this county is complex to understand and seems not to bring significant changes. Parallel to this manufacturing has been the prime driver of export development. On the basis of issue, argument and discussion next section

53 proposes problem statement that lays a foundation for conduct of this study.

54 **3** b) The Problem

As discussed in previous sections it is highly important to address issue of lower export in Afghanistan. This issue lays a foundation for many researches. As the importance of innovation and export was discussed above

 $_{\rm 57}$ $\,$ one of research area is to examine relation between innovation and export in this $\,$

58 4 Theoretical Background

This section focusses the existing studies two strands. The first strand discusses determinants of export behavior. 59 Sai, Sun and Liu (2018) unravels the effects of export and innovation on firm-level markup and productivity. The 60 61 findings show that starting to export without innovation has negative affect on firm productivity and markup, 62 while starting to innovate without export has a significant positive impact on productivity and ultimately 63 to export. Radicic (2019) explore the extend in which the potential complementary relation exists between technological persistence innovation, feedback and export. Result suggests positive relation between three with 64 no connection between past product and process innovation to export behavior of firms. Similarly, Azar and 65 Ciabuschi (2017) examines relation between export performance and innovation type. Their study finds out that 66 export enhances radicalness and extensiveness of technological innovation. On the other hand, a study of Crick 67 and Crick (2016) examines on what exist behind a firm export order and marketing innovation concentrating 68 on risk/reward considerations in decisionmaking. Result of the study suggest that a variety of factors can affect 69 the decision of owner/managers in small firms to start their internationalization path. Decisions are made 70 in the context of perceived risk and reward with conjunction of different opportunities exploitation. Edeh, 71 Obodoechi and Ramos-Hidalgo (2020) in their study explore heterogeneous effect of innovation type on SMEs 72 export performance in developing countries finds out simultaneous technological and non-technological impact of 73 74 innovation on firms' export performance. Study further finds out that product innovation is negatively related 75 with export performance while process innovation is positively related with same. Lewandowska et al (2016) in their study aim at examining to what extend innovation can influence export confirming need for application 76 of network approach to research on between interrelationships internationalization of the firm and innovation. 77 Similar to this, Oura, Zilber and Lopes (2016) comparing the effect of innovation capacity on export behavior and 78 internationalization experience on export behavior suggests that internationalization has greater effect on export 79 behavior than innovation capacity. Furthermore, Rodil, Vence and Carmen Sanchez (2016) examines the problem 80 that what happens to innovation level of firm when export increases. Finding suggest that marketing innovation 81 is crucial for export enhancement. Similar to this, Tavassoli (2018) analyze role of product innovation on firms' 82 export behavior. Findings suggests output of firm as result of innovation measured by sales has a positive and 83 significant role on firm subsequent export behavior. Result of studies discussed as above point to importance of 84 innovation toward export involving other variables such as internationalization, product quality, owner/manager 85 perceived risk and marketing innovation. 86 The From the review of literature as document above one understand that innovation can lead to improvement 87

The From the review of literature as document above one understand that innovation can lead to improvement of export behavior. Researches are mostly conducted in developed and European countries in particular. Researches have been generally quantitative and either data were collected through questionnaire or from databases. It further shows that most of researches H8: Firm age significantly influence propensity to innovate.

⁹¹ 5 H9: Process innovation significantly influence propensity to ⁹² innovate.

H10: There is a positive relation between export behavior and propensity to innovate.

94 6 III.

95 7 Methodology

In order to address the defined research questions author applied quantitate method due to the large population size whereby qualitative study was practically impossible. Data were collected through data collection tool structured in light of available literature and conceptual model as shown in figure 2.1. Table ??.1. explains how variables were measured in questions/ statement included in data collection instrument on dichotomous scale i.e. 100 Yes/No where 1 was assigned to "Yes" and 0 was assigned to "No" and values such as investment and expenditure.

101 Study targeted a number of 236 manufacturing firms located in three major cities of Afghanistan (Kabul, Herat

and Jalalabad) and questionnaires were distributed key person in firm (i.e. either owner/manager).

103 8 Measure

104 ? ? = [? ?? , ? , ? ??] ? ??? (?, ?) ?? ? = [? ?? , ? , ? ??] ? ???(? ? ?, ?)(3)

where i=1,...,N indicates observations, j=1,...,M indicates outcomes, Xi is a K-vector of exogenous covariates, the ui are assumed to be independent across i but correlated across j for any i, and "MVN" denotes the multivariate normal distribution.

108 IV.

¹⁰⁹ 9 Results and Findings

Findings based on descriptive statistics (table 4.1) shows AFN 532,000 and AFN 474,000 on average basis and 110 firms expenditure gain exposure to internationalization and train their labor force respectively. These amount 111 respectively to equivalent USD 7000 and USD 6000 approximately 1, which are considerable in view of firms 112 size and age. Similarly, average size of firms has been AFN 6.2 million equivalent to USD 80,000 with an average 113 age of 11. This indicates that half of manufacturing firms are medium in size according to SME definition of 114 Afghan Ministry of commerce and industry. The age figure indicates that firms are still young. Probit regression 115 analysis (table 4.3) result shows that majority i.e. 53% of manufacturing firms were not involved in export. 116 Finding further suggests that marketing innovation had higher impact (i.e. sig = 0.045 and coef = 0.536) on 117 export behavior whereas, rest of variables had insignificance influence on same. 118

119 10 Discussion

Finding of data analysis is both surprising and interesting. It is surprising in a sense that most of important 120 variables across literature were insignificant and interesting in a sense that innovation is less dependent to firm 121 size and age. Therefore, leading to substantiating only hypothesis(s) 2 and 5. The findings lead to answer research 122 123 questions one that marketing innovation explains export behavior. Answer to question number two asserts that 124 technological advancement defines propensity to innovate and finally there is no relation between two. However, 125 these findings are prone to some limitation such as higher log likelihood and insignificant R square. Due to nature of data (mixture of amounts and binary values) study applied multivariate probit regression nonetheless, 126 descriptive statistics and predictors percentage analysis seem to be more reliable on the basis which risk-taking 127 behavior managers/ owners, process innovation, product quality are more worthwhile for further examination 128 and attention. 129

Apart from positive effect of marketing innovation and technological advancement on explained variables which agrees with findings of authors such as Crick and Crick (2016); Rodil, Vence and Carmen Sanchez (2016) and Hue (2019 the rest of findings (i.e. insignificant effect of other variable on explained variables) contradicts with majority of previous studies, which adds more to our surprise.

¹³⁴ 11 Volume XX Issue VII Version I

135 **12** (**E**)

As policy implication this study suggest the government should not overlook quality control of product that may lead to process innovation as product improvement has been highly emphasized upon through research studies over the world. Besides, technological advancement-managerial implication of this study include attention to training and development which may positively affect product innovation through process improvement that ultimately leads to higher innovation.

•

Figure 1:

140

¹Author utilized Afghanistan central bank's (known as Da Afghanistan Bank) AFS/USD mid-rate as of July 25, 2020

 $^{^{2}}$ © 2020 Global Journals

Technological

 $\mathbf{2}$

Figure 2: Figure 2

examining

leadership's effect on each dimension of innovation capability, namely, product innovation and process innovation. The findings indicate that knowledge sharing mediates transforming leadership effects on innovation capabilities. These findings are further similar to Hues' (2019) study with aims at empirically analysis of innovation decision determinants the result of study indicates that besides firm size, a higher percentage of skilled force representing technological factors and which are based on technological intensive sector to be critical factors of innovation decisions besides. Similarly, Medase, (2020) confirm positive effect of firm size as well as firm age on product innovation. Authors such as Aziz and Samad (2016); Coad (2018) and Pellegrino (2020) emphasize on positive role of firm age on process and product innovation. From the studies discussed above one can realize the importance of variables such as training and development, technology, firm size, firm age and finally product and process quality on innovation.

thediffenetreessformational

Figure 3:

	Scale	Depen Elrad icator Variable	Constructs
Firm Exports	Dichoto	Export	ExpBeh
	mous	Behavior	
		Propensity	
Growth in sales as result of	Dichoto	to	PropInnov
product/process improvement,	mous	Innovate	
technological advancement and			
training & development			
Firm exposure to	AFN	Exposure to	ExpInt'l
Internationalization (Fair,	thousand	Internationa	
exhibition, Owner/manager		lization	
business trips)			
Table continues to next page		,	
		owner/man	
Is manager/owner risk averse?	Dichoto	ager risk-	MgrRTB
	mous	taking	
	DII	behavior	
Did firm approach for marketing	Dichoto	Marketing	MInnov
lead to change product or	mous	Innovation	
production process?		Product	
Has there been changes in	Dichoto	quality and	ProdQntQlt
quantity and quality of product	mous	quantity	
based on customer demand?		improvement	
	N (C) 110	technological	A 1
Firm's additional investment on technology after	Million	advancement T	Adv
Firm's sum on diture on technical and noncorol de	AFN	Development	ТЪ
velopment training	AFN	Training and	1D
veropment training	unou-	framing and	
Change in production process as	Sanu		
because of improvement in	Dichoto	Process	ProInnov
product quality and quantity or	mous	innovation	1 TOTIMOV
vice versa?	mous	mnovation	
total value of firms fixed asset	AFN	Firm Size	FSize
(Machinery)	Million		
Number of vears since firm's	Number	Firm Age	FAge
establishment.	of Years	0	0
			(1)
			(2)

Figure 4:

 $\mathbf{4}$

Covariate

1: Data descriptive information Exposure to N Minimum Maximum Mean Std. Dev 236 0 Internationalization Manager/Owner Risk Taking Behavior Marketing Innovation 236 Product Quantity and Quality 236

Similarly, to descriptive statistic a percentage analysis of variables (table 4.2) indicates that majority of own

Figure 5: Table 4 .

4	2

	Risk Averse	Risk taker	Total	
Managers risk	109	127	236	
taking behavior	46%	54%	100%	
Marketing	led to product and	did not lead to	Product and process	
Innovation	Process innovation	Innovation		
	118	118	236	
	50%	50%	1	
Product quality and quantity	as result of customer demand			
	Yes	No		
	132	104	236	
	56%	44%	100%	
process	As result of improvement in product			
innovation	quantity and quality			
	Yes	No		
	130	106	236	
	55%	45%	100%	

Figure 6: Table 4 . 2 :

$\mathbf{43}$

Probit Regression		Export	Export Behavior		
			Total nu	mber of observation	
	Yes	No			
	112	124	236		
	47.5%	52.5%	100.0%		
log likelihood	321.36				
Nagal Kerke R Square	0.029				
	Coef.	$\operatorname{St.Er}$	Sig	$\operatorname{Exp}(\mathrm{B})$	
Exposure to Internationalization	-0.000	0.000	0.754	1.000	
Owner/Manager Risk-taking					
Behavior	0.130	0.267	0.627	1.139	
Marketing Innovation	0.536	0.267	0.045	1.708	
Product Quantity and Quality	-0.197	0.267	0.462	0.822	
Similarly, probit regression of propensity to					
innovation (table 4.4) indicates that technological					
advancement has significant and positive influence over					
propensity to innovate (i.e. $sig=0.011$ and $coef=$					
0.6884). however, other variable defined has					
propensity to innovate (i.e. sig=0.011 and coef= 0.6884). however, other variable defined has					

insignificant effect on same.

Figure 7: Table 4 . 3:

44

Logistic Regression	gistic Regression Propensity to innovate			
	Yes	No	observa	tion
	112	124	236	
	47.5%	52.5~%	100.0%	
log likelihood	318.93			
Nagal Kerke R Square	0.042			
	Coef.	$\operatorname{St.Er}$	Sig	$\operatorname{Exp}(\mathrm{B})$
Technological Advancement	0.684	0.270	0.011	0.504
Training & Development	0.000	0.000	0.642	1.000
Process Innovation	0.330	0.274	0.229	1.391
Firm Size	0.000	0.000	0.634	1.000
Firm Age	-0.003	0.022	0.883	0.997
A partial correlation analysis (table 4.	5) between	In this analy	sis all predicat	cors included in model
export behavior and propensity to inn	ovate indicates	remained con	ntrol variables.	
negative yet very insignificant relation	ship between two	0.		

Figure 8: Table 4 . 4 :

$\mathbf{45}$

Control Variables			Export Be- bayior	Propensity to Innovation
1. Exposure to Internationalization	Behavio	r Correlation	1.000	-0.038
1	Ex-			
	port			
2. Manager/Owner Risk Taking		Significance		0.572
Behaviour		(2-tailed)		Table con-
				tinues
3. Marketing Innovation				
4. Product Quantity and Quality				
5. Technological Advancement		df	0	225
6. Training and Development	Propens	it©orrelation	-0.038	1.000
7. Process Innovation	to	Significance	0.572	
	Inno-	(2-		
	vation			
		tailed)		
8. Firm Size		,		
9. Firm Ag		df	225	0
V.				

Figure 9: Table 4 . 5 :

- 141 [Ha et al. ()] 'A Transaction Cost Approach to Analysis on Determinants of Korean SMEs' Transformation into
- Direct Export'. S Ha, Y S Jeong, H H Park. International Commerce and Information Review 2016. 18 (3)
 p. .
- [Ha et al. ()] 'A Transaction Cost Approach to Analysis on Determinants of Korean SMEs' Transformation into
 Direct Export'. S Ha , Y S Jeong , H H Park . International Commerce and Information Review 2016. 18 (3)
 p. .
- [Le and Lei ()] 'Determinants of innovation capability: the roles of transformational leadership, knowledge sharing and perceived organizational support'. P B Le , H Lei . *Journal of knowledge management* 2019.
- [Seenaiah and Rath ()] 'Determinants of innovation in selected manufacturing firms in India: Role of R&D and
 exports'. K Seenaiah , B N Rath . Science, Technology and Society 2018. 23 (1) p. .
- [Dai et al. ()] 'Disentangling the effects of endogenous export and innovation on the performance of Chinese
 manufacturing firms'. X Dai , Z Sun , H Liu . China Economic Review 2018. 50 p. .
- [Hao et al. ()] 'Does Firms' Innovation Promote Export Growth Sustainably?-Evidence from Chinese Manufacturing Firms'. L Hao , B Qiu , L Cervantes . Sustainability 2016. 8 (11) p. 1173.
- [Edeh et al. ()] 'Effects of innovation strategies on export performance: New empirical evidence from developing
 market firms'. J N Edeh , D N Obodoechi , E Ramos-Hidalgo . *Technological Forecasting and Social Change* 2020. 158 p. 120167.
- 158 [Coad ()] 'Firm age: a survey'. A Coad . Journal of Evolutionary Economics 2018. 28 (1) p. .
- [Aziz et al. ()] 'Innovation and competitive advantage: Moderating effects of firm age in foods manufacturing
 SMEs in Malaysia'. Abd Aziz , Nurul Nadia , Sarminah Samad . Procedia Economics and Finance 2016. 35
 (2016) p. .
- [Sikharulidze and Kikutadze ()] 'Innovation and export competitiveness: evidence from georgia firms'. D
 Sikharulidze , V Kikutadze . European Journal of Economics and Business Studies 2017. 3 (2) p. .
- [Coad et al. ()] 'Innovation and firm growth: Does firm age play a role'. A Coad , A Segarra , M Teruel . Research
 policy, 2016. 45 p. .
- [Oura et al. ()] 'Innovation capacity, international experience and export performance of SMEs in Brazil'. M M
 Oura , S N Zilber , E L Lopes . International Business Review 2016. 25 (4) p. .
- [Lewandowska et al. ()] 'Innovation complementarity, cooperation partners, and new product export: Evidence
 from Poland'. M S Lewandowska , M Szymura-Tyc , T Go??biowski . Journal of Business Research 2016. 69
 (9) p. .
- [Pellegrino and Piva ()] 'Innovation, industry and firm age: are there new knowledge production functions'. G
 Pellegrino , M Piva . *Eurasian Business Review* 2020. 10 (1) p. .
- [Zhang and Zhu ()] 'Market orientation, product innovation and export performance: evidence from Chinese
 manufacturers'. J Zhang , M Zhu . Journal of Strategic Marketing 2016. 24 (5) p. .
- [Medase ()] 'Product innovation and employees' slack time. The moderating role of firm age & size'. S K Medase
 Journal of Innovation & Knowledge 2020. 5 (3) p. .
- [Ribau et al. ()] 'SMEs innovation capabilities and export performance: an entrepreneurial orientation view'. C
 P Ribau , A C Moreira , M Raposo . Journal of Business Economics and Management 2017. 18 (5) p. .
- [Hue ()] 'The determinants of innovation in Vietnamese manufacturing firms: an empirical analysis using a
 technology-organizationenvironment framework'. T T Hue . *Eurasian Business Review* 2019. 9 (3) p. .
- [Crick and Crick ()] 'The first export order: A marketing innovation revisited'. D Crick , J Crick . Journal of Strategic Marketing 2016. 24 (2) p. .
- [Ardito et al. ()] 'The influence of alliance ambidexterity on innovation performance and the moderating role of
 firm age'. L Ardito, A M Petruzzelli, V Albino. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management* 2019.
- [Rodil et al. ()] 'The relationship between innovation and export behaviour: The case of Galician firms'. Ã?"
 Rodil, X Vence, M Del Carmen Sánchez. Technological Forecasting and Social Change 2016. 113 p. .
- [Tavassoli ()] 'The role of product innovation on export behavior of firms'. S Tavassoli . European Journal of
 Innovation Management 2018.