Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

¹ Thinking Forgetting Through: Maurice Blanchot, for Example

David Appelbaum¹
 ¹ SUNY New Paltz
 Received: 15 December 2019 Accepted: 2 January 2020 Published: 15 January 2020

6 Abstract

7 Much of Blanchot?s thought seeks to undo the safe, secure interiority of early Heidegger. It

⁸ takes the form of a radical nihilism open to the outside, where a swatch of irredeemable

⁹ negativity exposes language and being to a corrosive contaminant while effacing all

¹⁰ transcendental signifieds. The result is the impenitent-the forgetting that antedates all

¹¹ memory. Yet the trace of the immemorial persists and persistently indicates the beyond being,

 $_{12}$ $\,$ which is the sacred. A light-hearted unconcern-a kind of reduction of ontic

¹³ appropriativity-then constitutes a way to (of) the outside, a non-place absolutely lacking in an

¹⁴ inside. Metaphorically, the insouciance of casual reading (rather than one that digs for the

¹⁵ profundity) offers access to an inaccessible text, a text made inaccessible by the reach for

¹⁶ meaning. The sacrifice Blanchot has in mind, in going beyond that of the object of thought,

¹⁷ requires a total rehabilitation of thinking. Thought as forgetting becomes the dissembled

¹⁸ auto-affection of the outside. Such thinking bears the mark of a primordial affirmation, the ¹⁹ sacral Yes.

20

21 Index terms—

²² 1 Introduction

he disaster is related to forgetfulness-forgetfulness without memory, the motionless retreat of what has not been
 treated-the immemorial, perhaps.

To remember forgetfully: again, the outside.' [WD 3] 'The time of affliction: a forgetting without forgetting, without the possibility of forgetting.' [IC 195] Blanchot's habit is of re-appropriating words, assigning different significations to concepts already amply imbued with meaning, eviscerating their vitality, turning them inside out. The operative is the term 'without.' Imagine Blanchot's thought without 'without.' Derrida catalogs the list on which Blanchot practices the procedure. 1 1 'Sans [without, -less] plays like a strange spring, neither a force [energie] nor a function [fonctionnement].' 'Pace Not(s)', in Parages, ed. John P. Leavey, tr. Tom Conley, James Hulbert, John P. Leavey, and Avital Ronell. Stanford: Stanford University Press, 2011, p. 77.

One could say that the without is an indicator of dissimulation. Most famously, 'relation without relation' tries 32 to alert us that what appears as relation is 'in reality' dissimulating something other than relation (which, in an 33 'advanced' age of simulation would be another simulacrum.) A relation without relation between one and the other 34 signifies the 'inaccessibility' of relating, and 'that this inaccessible relation sets up . . . the inaccessible presence 35 36 of the other-man without horizon-who becomes relation and access in the very inaccessibility of his approach.' 2 37 Forgetting in itself-as transitive-is subsequent to an event, memorable consciously or not. Ordinarily, one forgets 38 a memory, for instance, my wife's birthday (which I know perfectly well but haplessly forgot.) One can also forget something that was never Doing without, avowal of the lack, should not be taken as a dialectical negation-which 39 can then be subsumed under a higher synthesis. It is rather Bataille's 'unemployable negation,' the negative 40 beyond the yesno duality, which is to say, beyond the traditional diacritical matrix of meaning in which discourse 41 conducts its business and harnesses its ends. Here, 'without' is an indicator (in Husserlian terms); it points to 42 rather than expresses the outside-that which remains absolutely separate without being able to be separated. 43 Blanchot feels such non-dialectical indication belongs to a neutral or neuter language. 44

1 INTRODUCTION

What about forgetting without forgetting? As a term, it too would be employed to point to a dissimulation. 45 It would not, however, involve dissimulations that could be realized, for example, in psychoanalysis, where 46 repression dissimulates itself through displacement, condensation, and disguise. To acknowledge the movement 47 there leads one to a depth in which successive syntheses expand the signification of the secret-the memory 48 trace withheld by the person from herself. Analysis of dissimulation then informs the very subject under 49 investigation, her drives, their exciting factors and valuations. By contract, 'without' [with-out] as an index 50 involves a dissimulation so empty of meaning that it renders any possible meaning inoperative. 'Without' undoes 51 depth, displays an empty secret, leaves repetition a numerical redundancy; while abandoning speaking nonetheless 52 tells what always has been said already. In other words, Blanchot's operative weaponizes the preposition with 53 the desoeuvrement [unworking or worklessness] of the outside. Nothing withstands its approach which, in the 54 concomitant withdrawal, saps vitality from meaning like a parasite. 55

56 [Indeed, Derrida's conception of parasitism is an evocation of the outside.]

In forgetting without forgetting, forgetting becomes intransitive. No event, happening, or occurrence is the object of forgetting. Nothing is. To be able to remember that one exists (the regression of Cartesianism), to want to have a conscience, designates a forgetting that is potent enough to evoke its opposite. Dasein recoils from the threat of non-being and is remembered. The potentiation is related to that of death and its possibilizing of impossibility.

62 It has 'fallen' even farther than the horizon of the subject, if we agree that experience always has the possibility 63 of being recalled. It is beyond an event of 'subjectivity without the subject' since the limits and identity of any purported cache of experience to be so designated preclude immemorability. The fallen-ness [Verfallen] is of 64 an order infinitely vaster than Heidegger's version of Verfangnis, the muting of the call of conscience, whereby 65 Dasein lives in a perpetual confusion between the ontic and the ontological. The fall that Blanchot has in mind is 66 more like that into Levinas' il y a -a non-region (non-lieu) of sheer being without presence, an excess absolutely 67 lacking any order, lawless, anonymous, Plato's khora. The loss is so extreme that predication is inoperative. 68 Nothing can be called anything since the means of one thing inhering in another have been annulled. The result 69 is not silence but babble, murmuring, or as Levinas says about cognitive processes, the rumble of 'reservations 70 of thought.' Blanchot here also wants to go farther when he expresses 'forgetfulness as thought.' 5 Thought 71 would become a dissimulation of absolute forgetting; it would lend the appearance of cognitive activity which 72 would in fact be the illusory displacement of a nameless passive force that lacks all depth of signification. It is a 73 74 step that changes the nature rather than the degree of thinking. It advocates abandon of an appearance/reality polarity. If forgetting as absolutely other is absolute disorder, thought no longer operates on the basis of truth, 75 the disclosure of the real; shades on Heidegger on errancy. To be able to say whether a remembered event in fact 76 happened or was imagined to happen is impossible. There is no transcendental signified, only the infinite play 77 of signifiers, substituting one for another. The horde of polarities of 'metaphysics' go by the board: fact/fiction, 78 presence/absence, real/fantasy. Moreover, the thoughtful play of forgetfulness does not restrict thinking to a 79 forbidden or overlooked preserve but rather stigmatizes thought as the other, the other as thought. One could 80 say, following Deleuze, that the fall renders thought as proximity, nearness, or closenessimmanence in short. 81 It is a blank receptacle for becoming-creative, an experimental form to be seized by a passivity incapable of 82 appropriation, a 'line of flight.' At the same time, however versatile thought remains, it cannot think being, i.e., 83 forgetting 'forbids all presence of thought-all power to conduct thought as far as presence (as far as being).' ?? 84 Forgetting, in this sense, ruins thought as a vehicle for good sense by stripping it of all teleology; 'thus would 85 thought fall outside all teleology and perhaps outside its site.' ?? To withhold arrival at the end (aim or cessation 86 alike) is thought's responsibility. One could say that dying holds thought consciencebound for Blanchot in the 87 way that death does being-in he-world for Heidegger. Dying becomes the counterconcept to living, taking the 88 place of death in Being and Time. But whereas death is empowered to give back life, to return thought (and 89 Dasein) to being, dying has another assignment. It unworks life, renders thought inoperative and moves it, as 90 Blanchot says, 'toward the precipice, the sheer fall, headlong.' 8 Paradoxically one can ask, as the protagonist 91 of Awaiting Oblivion does, 'Would forgetting be the only remembrance commensurate with death?' 9 Thus, 92 the triad forgetting, thinking, dying appears as a post-lapsarian list of traits, human existence after the fall 93 from the reality that metaphysics institutes-although 'after' does not designate any chronological order. The 94 appearance too is dissimulation. The disaster, an occurrence that never takes place because it always already 95 has, implicates the other time, non-sequential, non-linear, nonsuccessive. Forgetting is 'earlier' than good sense 96 (thought, memory) as it is then able to dissemble discursive thinking. 97

Forgetting thus becomes a counter-or codename for the outside, the non-place that escapes all naming. Does 98 it bear a relation to discursive, dialectical forgetting, as in 'I forget my wife's birthday'? Perhaps only through 99 another tag that Blanchot gives the outside, the immemorial. Because of the immemorial's shiftless vibrancy, to 100 mark this or that is impossible. With nothing to stick onto, there is a repeated return to inscription in the face 101 of the 'disastrous instability,' but without accomplishment. ??0 No object is able to presence because the very 102 movement of presencing effaces itself as soon as it is initiated. The absent moment of presence signifies a past 103 that must remain immemorial since the living present is necessary for what passes for experience and its trace. 104 Empty of experience, however, does not mean empty of consciousness. The immemorial breeds consciousness as 105 passivity patient that passivity'), subjected to assault by the inconstant and repetitive streaming of images and 106 linguistic fragments. Levinas' analysis of insomnia throws a spotlight on the peculiar vigilance that is unable to 107

withdraw from awareness because it has become possessed and has surrendered its mastery over endings. It also 108 suggests that Blanchot would embrace the outside as the il y a, Levinas' term for bare being, a transdescendence 109 rather than transascendence of the ontic. Blanchot does say, in the mouth of one of his protagonists, 'Being is yet 110 another word for forgetting.' ??1 Absolute forgetting belongs to no one (has no genitive case) since contact effaces 111 sovereignty, along with identity and difference. It is an amnesiac whose effects are more global than personal. 112 [Blanchot: 'That forgetting speaks in advance in every word that speaks does not only signify that each word is 113 destined to be forgotten, but also that forgetting finds its repose in speech and keeps speech in accord with that 114 which is hidden.' 12] Its advent cannot be contained by subjectivity. Thus its powerlessness has the strange 115 result of invading interpersonal space. Blanchot: 'The one who, forgetting, is effaced from us in this forgetting 116 also effaces in us the personal ability to remember; then the impersonal remembrance is awakened, the personless 117 remembrance that takes the place of forgetting for us.' 13 'Impersonal remembrance' eradicates human history 118 as well as all personal records of events. The remainder is empty to excess. The excessive emptiness, like a black 119 hole, exerts a fascination that draws the attention to it; impotency as a weak force. The renewed encounter 120 excites a countermemory of being threatened by nothingness. Whoever succumbs to fascination risks opening a 121 horizonless space that cannot be confined to this or that person. Absolute forgetting contaminates absolutely, 122 happily crossing boundaries of persons, like the air between us. It is safe to say that once let out of the bag (like 123 124 Pandora's hope), remembrance of the outside is here to make repeated entries into everyday life.

125 The lack of memory-traces, the terrified welcome due the outside, the impersonal menace of remembering the 126 immemorial: the definite descriptions make it seem that Blanchot speaks of a rare or abstruse phenomenon. This is not the case. The outside is actually familiar to all: it is found in the fact of obscurity. Ill-lit, partially blocked, 127 at a bad angle, distraction, confusion: deficiencies that condition perception allow leakage of forgetting into 128 the presentation of presence. They, moreover, are normal operating conditions. Blanchot frames his discussion 129 of obscuration in terms of Heidegger's notion of the everyday, Allstaglichkeit. 'In the everyday we have no 130 name, little personal reality, scarcely a figure, just as we have no social determination to sustain or enclose 131 us.' 14 Language is without moorings since words are bound through obscurity to the signifieds, and thus work 132 inoperatively: shades of forgetting, to the point of a silence 'that has already dissipated as soon as we keep still 133 in order to hear it . . . in the unspeaking speech that is the soft human murmuring in us and around us.' 15 134 Anonymous, subjectless, indeterminate, ineffectual: the everyday is the diffuse focal point of forgetting. 'Radical 135 nihilism' is what Blanchot calls it; its impotency has the effect of denying the possibility of a beginning. In 136 its strangeness, forbidding the idea of creation, everydayness is the uncreated. [Hence, '[e]veryday man is the 137 most atheist of men.' ??6 Forgetting is also secret. As the protagonist of Awaiting Oblivion says, 'to welcome 138 forgetting as the accord with that which is hidden, the latent gift.' 17 ??4 The Infinite Conversation, p. 242. 15 139 idem 16 Ibid., p. 245. 17 Op. cit., p. 45. 140

Concealment [Verborgenheit], hidden, secret, sacred. It is this chain that Blanchot thinks through in his 141 investigation of absolute forgetting [Vergessenheit]. By homonymy in the French (le don latent and le don 142 l'attend), there is a further link with waiting. In waiting, one takes an attitude that corresponds to forgetting. 143 Such waiting would have to be intransitive, neither for this or that or the other thing. Figuratively, it is embodied 144 in the figure of Odysseus tied to the mast as the ship approaches the sirens' lair. In him are glimpsed the aspects of 145 a welcome that yields no access because the outside is not enclosed by any interior. They are 'silence, discretion, 146 forgetfulness.' ??8 The movement of a passive disengagement, surprising and refreshing, becomes apparent. 147 Acceptance of the gift latent with forgetting makes 'a game of human time and out of that game to create a 148 free occupation, one stripped of a immediate interest and usefulness, essentially superficial and yet in its surface 149 movement capable of absorbing all being.' ??9 Game time is not human time. Time contains the possibility of 150 presence-everyday time-is not the time of waiting-forgetting. As Blanchot writes, 'Waiting that takes place in 151 time opens time to the absence of time, where there is no reason to wait.' 20 Game time is thus the other time 152 or otherwise than time. 153

For one thing, it lacks the repeated endeavor of the project [Entwurf], of being ahead of oneself in order to 154 catch up with oneself in the present. This absence is what allows Blanchot to describe Orpheus's failing as one 155 of waiting, with patience; 'patience is the ruse which seeks to master this absence by making of it another time, 156 measured otherwise.' 21 In his quest to return Eurydice to earth, he ceases to be disinterested, diverted by the 157 scenery, disengaged, light-hearted. He ceases to think a thought of waiting, 'thought that is the waiting for that 158 which does not let itself be thought, thought borne by waiting that is adjourned in this waiting.' ??? Is it possible 159 to understand more fully the reverse movement-into the otherwise than time, game time? The lack of concern goes 160 by the name of insouciance, a kind of concentrated heedlessness, nonattachment, or, in phenomenological terms, 161 reduction. One steps back from being-in-the-world, engaging not the ontological difference and call of conscience, 162 but rather what Blanchot labels a 'movement of sacrifice.' He ceases to move in game time, its suspension of 163 gravity, its innocence and lack of concern, and its easy concentration on the flight of images and simulacra. 23 164 The easy equation of the reduction with sacrifice provides the cornerstone for the human encounter with the 165 sacred. Here, the hidden is allowed to be hidden, the forgetting forgotten, the secret preserved. Such allowance, 166 which perhaps is Gelassenheit itself, is inadvertent. It cannot be deliberate, purposeful, or goaloriented activity. 167 In 'a sacrifice without ceremony, where the sacred itself, night in its unapproachable profundity, is given back. 168 . . to the inessential, which is not the profane but less than any such category,' there is no one who officiates. 169 **??4** The strange movement of a reduction that is otherwise than a reduction. 170

In phenomenology, suspension of the 'natural attitude' that posits existence to the objects of consciousness yields a field in which vectors of intentionality delineate that at which awareness points. By assignation of meanings to the vectors, phenomenology is able to move from ontology to semiology. Signification takes precedence over being. Transformed under Blanchot's gaze, the reduction, a 'movement of sacrifice', now suspends not only existence but also meaning. Holding the meaning in abeyance, withdrawing consciousness from the semantic field, leaves the transcendental ego without orientation, adrift, lost in a domain where linguistical fragments stream in excess but where, lacking a point of stability, (non-) experience is a senseless flux.

If a reduction without intentionality can be accomplished at all, only a subjectivity without a subject is capable of it. But perhaps that is what intransitive forgetting is about: enucleation of a subjectplace such that what happens happens by virtue of no one at all. At that point, subjectivity is not different from objectivity; the lines of distinction have become deformed.

182 **2 25**

The cost of sacrifice, accomplished through negligence, is nothing other than the suffering one's own nothingness. 183 184 Bereft of the law of identity, one is cast beyond the pale, into the desert of thought. For Orpheus (who is divine), 185 this is 'the moment when he frees himself from himself.' Experienced humanly, as Lawlor observes: 'one must feel naked and defenseless so that one undergoes the presence of the outside, that is, one must be in the void, naked 186 187 and defenseless, and yet undergo the feeling that one is still not inside the outside.' A consciousness belonging to 188 no one that, having no object, is powerless to disengage, because it has always already annulled its engagement. A consciousness that sees without the protection of the object and hence, is totally exposed to forces that menace 189 its integrity at every step. A consciousness so dilated that it cannot but be susceptible to the onslaught of the 190 outside and the pandemonium it invites. 26 24 idem 25 Lawlor will call this 'multiplicity'; Foucault speaks of 191 'language in its raw being.' Leonard Lawlor, Early Twentieth-Century Continental Philosophy, Bloomington: 192 Indiana University Press, 2012, p. 197. ??6 Ibid., p. 185. 193

194 The 'extreme moment of liberty' is the extreme torsion of spirit.

Liberation amounts to forgetting being-in-the-world (authentically, inauthentically), surrendering the place 195 of belonging to the weak force that undoes all appropriative forms, including designatory ones. In the teeth of 196 terror (the underworld, the sirens), brought forth by distraction and diversion, one has cleared the scene for work 197 of the sacred. Anarchical, transgressive, forceful, subtle: the work as emissary of the sacred exposes the least 198 human part of one's humanity-the part least capable of claiming it for one's own. 'Not my will, but Thine.' It 199 might appear that a passive attention, one possessed by fascination and rendered oblivious to the world, would 200 201 be dissipated and flaccid. This is not the case. Foucault observes that a reduction via negligence is, to use a religious term, a kind of zeal-not unlike a passion for learned ignorance. Zeal and negligence are 'two indefinitely 202 203 reversal figures.' ??? An impassive passion of 'letting oneself be attracted by attraction . . . to being the aimless 204 movement without a moving body of attraction itself in the void,' zeal is the remainder after one has reduced 205 all other expressions of affectivity. ??8 Zeal is able to make a stand in the face of terror since it itself is 'a courageously negligent solicitude, in going toward the light in negligence of shadow, until it is discovered that the 206 207 light itself is only negligence, a pure outside equivalent to a darkness that disperses, like a blown-out candle, the negligent zeal it had attracted.' ??9 Of course it is the writer who displays this sort of courage on the ramparts 208 that overlook the outside. The writer, the heir to the witness of Odysseus, of Orpheus. He or she makes 'a 209 game of human time and out of that game to create a free occupation, one stripped of all immediate interest 210 and usefulness, essentially superficial and yet in its surface movement capable of absorbing all being.' Zeal: what 211 endures the negligent play of light and darkness in the absolute dissolution of the world. 30 Just as zeal animates 212 213 a confrontation with one's nothingness, so too the writerly impulse is the opposite of slackness and nonchalance. 214 The high tonality that springs from a profound and waiting silence, Blanchot writes, produces 'the spark which extreme tension ignites as the brilliant point which has escaped this mindful wait-the glad accident, insouciance. 215 ??1 The legacy of such art (its carelessness and lack of concern) belongs to the reader. While avoiding the threat 216

217 of the essential solitude of the writer, one joins the gamesmanship found in writing.

Of reading, Blanchot says: 'It shares . . . the lightness, the irresponsibility, the innocence of the decision' to write. The reader thus makes herself available to the unworking force that literature shrouds. In the gesture lies the tacit affirmation of reading, whose essence is 'the freedom of this Yes.' 33 First to forget. To remember only there where one remembers nothing.

To forget to remember everything as though by way of forgetting. There is a profoundly forgotten point from which every memory radiates. Everything is exalted in memory starting from something forgotten, an infinitesimal detail, a miniscule fissure into which it passes in its entirety.

The affirmation is special. Indicative of a lightness that prefigures a disappearance, we should not take the reader's lightness lightly. It is a consent without self-reflection, directed only to the linguistic game-that which abjures human time. There, the referents blur unblended in the deconstructive force of the murmuring sirens song, and form, specificity, and difference lose their footing. What is there is less there, to the vanishing point, i.e., nondifferentiation.

Things themselves have surrendered their specific limits so that the reader says 'yes' only to their being at

hand [Vorhandensein]. The two sides of lightness open to an insobriety that harbors no regrets for the absent

232 identities.

Volume XX Issue XII Version I ^{1 2 3 4 5} Here, to further determine dissimulation is, Heidegger posits concealment [Verborgenheit] as the agency that shuts down truth as aletheia. Truth or self-being is repeated m remembered on the basis of a social persona, a construct made of what one thinks that others think of oneself. Such forgetting, however, is always already about being. It may lack a determinate object but has an

Drawing on Nietzsche's Zarathustra, Blanchot describes the last man-at the limits of his humanity-in the

image of the reader. Both need to dismantle a determinate world in order to approach the beginning, that is,

the neutral presence of being out of which the literary work emerges. Both respectfully succumb to the inhuman

song, sung humanly by the sirens, and come close to the point of all initiation. Both surrender the means of

production of signification-analysis, critique, interpretation-in order to allow a reading that does not sound in

opposition to the text. Such a reading (could we say a close reading?) then echoes the counsel of the last man:

34 'To remember forgetfully: again, the outside.' ??5 In the wedge that the disaster drives between language and

the power of the real, the impotency of amnesia acquires a subtle force. To abandon the 'ends of man', the arche,

beginning or principle, can permit thought to wait, and in waiting, 'to await the future,' as Lawlor says. ??6

This suggests that, for Blanchot, forgetting is essentially bound up with eschatology and messianism. Contact

with the outside provides purification, dislodging memory-traces that impede receptivity to what is to come. It

is the dark gaze that Kevin Hart apotheosizes, at the center of Blanchot's 'counterspirituality.' 37 33 idem 34

Maurice Blanchot, The Last Man, tr. Lydia Davis. New York: Columbia University Press, 1987, p. ??5 The

Writing of the Disaster, p. 3. 36 Op. cit., p. 145. ??? Cf. 'mystery abides in how one sees, not in a transcendent

being, in the dark gaze rather than in the lumen fidei.' Kevin Hart, The Dark Gaze: Maurice Blanchot and the

Stripping one of the source of pretension and hubris, it absolves also of the misshapen form of humanity

transcendentia. It takes a forceful reconsideration-the call of conscience-for memory to get back on track.

Sacred, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2004, p. 160.

associated with being-in-the-world. This

outside itself (forgetting that lacks an ipse) is absolutely other. It is 'that which, in other words, cannot be forgotten because it has always already fallen outside memory.'

enigmatic, indeterminate ns

The forgetting Blanchot has in mind, forg

Figure 1:

[Note: 31 'Orpheus' Gaze,' o, 176. 32 'Reading,' in The Station Hill Blanchot Reader, p. 435.]

Figure 2:

251

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248 249

250

¹Maurice Blanchot, The Infinite Conversation, tr. Susan Hanson. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1993., p. 74. IC

²3 Martin Heidegger, Being and Time, tr. Joan Stambaugh. Albany: State University of New York Press, 1996, p. 1. 4 Maurice Blanchot, The Writing of the Disaster, tr. Ann Smock. Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press, 1986, p.28. WD

³idem6 The Writing of the Disaster, p. 33. 7 Ibid., p. 39. 8 Ibid., p. 40. 9 Maurice Blanchot, Awaiting Oblivion, tr. John Gregg. Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press, 1997, p. 46. AO

⁴The Writing of the Disaster, p. 89. 11 Awaiting Oblivion, p. 35. 12 Ibid., p. 46. 13 Ibid., p. 38.

 $^{^{5}}$ © 2020 Global JournalsThinking Forgetting Through: Maurice Blanchot, for Example

distortion has much to do with the wall, line, barrier, or barricade erected that seals off an inside in opposition to an outside. To encounter the outside (experience of non-experience) is to meet with that which cannot be enclosed since it lacks any interior. One simply awaits a future whose imminence deepens the patience to endure.

There is another measure of messianism in Blanchot's thought. It corresponds to the lore that locates the 255 messiah-to-come among the lepers below the city's ramparts. That is, forgetting is constituted in the form of 256 Nietzsche's 'active oblivion,' an agency meant to clear the mind of memory-traces so that it might be acutely 257 aware of the formless (un)working of creative energies. The repeated wiping the slate clean shares common ground 258 with Bergson's (and Deleuze's) 'memory of the present,' that, like an after-image, appears in its disappearance 259 and like a pure aperture, gives itself wholly over to what is currently there. Both thoughts recompose the present 260 in terms of a virtuality in which presence vanishes into a becoming-actual or a being-creative. Oriented by the 261 twin poles of no longer and not yet, memory of the present has always already emerged from an encounter with 262 nothingness, and has reckoned the wages of living on, in contrast to those of death and dying. It is not shackled by 263 appropriative impulses that would 'territorialize' virtuality and repeatedly actualize the same as the last time. It 264 has ante-ed the price of freedom-submission to anonymity and anarchy-and waits in an endlessness that is totally 265 aligned with patience. It is not Hamlet's 'readiness is all' but a way of aimless improvisation, an awareness of an 266 impersonal cosmic drama in which game is to play a part, no matter which. 267

268 Waiting, one forgets. One forgets the messiah, the coming messiah, the coming of the messiah. Viens is the 269 operative thought, as Derrida says. Come nearer, even though de-distancing [Entfernung] has been annulled. To be bathed by the energies of the void is a kind of baptism, the second baptism, to be exact. The trial by fire 270 ('singe-less flames') opens thought to the 'latent gift,' a radical reorientation of thought's province. 'To think,' 271 Blanchot tells us near the end of The Writing of the Disaster, 'is to approach the thought of the One which 272 strictly escapes thought, even though thought is turned toward the One as the needle of the compass toward the 273 pole which it does not indicate-turned?' 38 ?? 8 The Writing of the Disaster, p. 140. Such a One differs from 274 a medieval transcendental as much as from 'God.' Turning from all names, one worships the absolute escape, 275 deferring the end of turning as long as the freshness and vitality of forgetting animate the process. 276

277 [The Station Hill Blanchot Reader, tr. Lydia Davis, Paul Auster, and Robert Lamberton (ed.) ()] Barrytown:

Station Hill, The Station Hill Blanchot Reader, tr. Lydia Davis, Paul Auster, and Robert Lamberton (ed.)
1999. 19 p. 51. (Awaiting Oblivion)

[Blanchot and Orpheus' Gaze ()] Maurice Blanchot , Orpheus' Gaze . The Space of Literature, tr. Ann Smock,
 (Lincoln) 1982. University of Nebraska Press. p. 53. (SL 22 Awaiting Oblivion)

²⁸² [The Space of Literature] The Space of Literature, p. 175.