

1 Applying Gravity Model to Analyze Determinants of 2 International Migration from Developing Countries

3 Laila Touhami Morghem¹

4 ¹ University of Tripoli, Libya

5 *Received: 7 December 2019 Accepted: 4 January 2020 Published: 15 January 2020*

6

7 **Abstract**

8 The rising numbers of immigrants from developing countries during the past decades is the
9 most important economic issues facing the countries of the world. Understanding the
10 underlying motives behind the phenomenon of international migration is a prerequisite for
11 making informed political decisions. Therefore, this study aims to investigate the
12 determinants of individuals 'migration from low- and middleincome developing countries to
13 high income countries; (Canada, France, Germany, Britain, USA), using Unbalanced Panel
14 Data For the period of (1995-2017). The study used the utility function through the push and
15 pull factors of international migration, by applying standard analysis to the extended gravity
16 model for a number of developing countries. using negative binomial regression; this is
17 considered as the most appropriate to estimate the relationship between the number of
18 immigrants as a dependent variable and other explanatory variables in this study. The results
19 of the study showed that the level of per capita income is the most important economic
20 determinant. In ddition to the presence of former immigrants from the same immigrant
21 country in the destination countries.

22

23 **Index terms**— international migration, gravity model, developing countries, negative binomial.

24 **1 Introduction**

25 Individuals make the decision to migrate from their homelands to other countries for various reasons; and migration
26 continues to occur in our present times until it became one of the most prominent problems of the modern era,
27 because there are still many causes that motivate people to leave their home lands and settle somewhere else,
28 no matter that these causes might differ from the previous reasons. The scope of international migration has
29 expanded to involve all societies, especially in developing countries. migration happens in several legal and illegal
30 ways, and thus it may affect many economic and social aspects of the migrant and both of the country of origin
31 and the destination country.

32 Since migration affects the demographics of the country of origin and the destination country, their development
33 path, and their level of growth, there is an urgent need to study migration and understand its aspects and
34 determinants through investigating the causes of this phenomenon, so that decision and policy makers can deal
35 better with it, and find solutions to address the negative effects that it might cause.

36 This study aims to answer these questions: Are the immigration flows responding to the push and pull
37 factors? What is the effectiveness of the determinants in increasing and decreasing the number of immigrants
38 from developing countries? II.

39 **2 Literature Review**

40 International migration and individuals' moving across borders is one of the most important current issues
41 of concern to policymakers, international organizations, and researchers around the world. This issue has

2 LITERATURE REVIEW

42 been adequately interpreted through theories that focused on the determinants, causes, economic and social
43 implications of migration.

44 "Ravenstein" was the first researcher to write in the field of migration explaining the motives for immigration
45 in 1885; he listed these motives in laws later called the laws of ??avenstein. In 1966 "Lee" improved these laws
46 by focusing on the size of migratory flows and their characteristics. According to Lee: migration depends on
47 the homeland's economy to an extreme extent; Lee acknowledged the existence of push factors that lead to the
48 migration of persons, which are associated with the country of origin, and "pull" factors that attract international
49 migrants to a specific country, in addition to the presence of overlapping obstacles and personal factors connected
50 with the immigration decision.

51 The theory of the neo-classics by Lewis (1954) & Ranis and Fei (1961) explained international and internal
52 migration. According to this theory, migration occurs due to geographical and economic variations affecting the
53 labor market, as countries with abundant employment have lower workers' wages, while workers' wages increase
54 in capital-intensive countries, which makes the individual think about moving in search of higher wages. This
55 theory assumes that eliminating wage variations could end employment migration. On an individual level, Todaro
56 ??1967) believes that individuals plan to emigrate based on their expectations for the cash return, but they must
57 consider the expenses of migration. An immigrant should consider the costs of the trip to another country, and
58 should consider the consequent efforts that will encounter them in searching of a job and adapting to the new
59 work and new language; not to mention the psychological fluctuations related to moving away from family and
60 home ??Massey et al., 2011).

61 Many researchers in studying international migration combined the theoretical frameworks and the applied
62 frameworks in attempts to study this phenomenon, its determinants, as well as its effects on economic growth.
63 many studies addressed the phenomenon of international migration by analyzing and researching its motives
64 and effects. ??Poot and Cochrance, 2004) conducted a study to measure the economic impact of international
65 migration on New Zealand, they found that migrants affected the economy in both demand and supply; they
66 recommended that future international migration researches should take into account that migratory flows have
67 changed and are not only made for a living, but have become diverse in terms of the composition of migrants,
68 such as skilled labor migration and student flows.

69 While in another study ??Bertochi and Strozzi, 2006), investigated the determinants of mass immigration
70 that occurred since the nineteenth century until World War I for fourteen countries (Belgium, Denmark, France,
71 Britain, Germany, Italy, Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, Spain Sweden, Australia, Canada, and America); the
72 results of this study assured that the variances of income and the level of development between countries in
73 addition to the population structure play a prominent role in determining the rate of migration to the countries
74 included in the study sample.

75 In an analytical study on the economic and noneconomic determinants of the international migration of fourteen
76 OECD countries, (Mayda, 2007), has reached annual data for the period from 1980 to 1995; that data indicated
77 that one of the pull factors that attracted immigration is the increase in financial return. On the other hand,
78 the study found that the impact of the "push" factors was not in the individual's share of the total income of
79 the country of origin as expected, but the greatest impact was that of the distance between the country of origin
80 and the destination country, as it had a significant negative impact on international migration. (Mayda, 2010)
81 conducted an applied study on the impact of the economic, social, cultural and demographic determinants of
82 migration on OECD countries, and on measuring the impact of migration on the average income in both sending
83 countries and receiving countries; this study found that obtaining employment opportunities and increasing the
84 average income in receiving countries as well as their less restrictive laws, significantly increase the international
85 immigration rates.

86 Lewer and Van den Berg, 2008, used the gravity model to test the international migration, response to
87 different pull forces. Panel Data covered migration data for 16 OECD countries among all the sending countries
88 of immigrants, for a ten-year period 2008-1991; the results of the analysis of the geographical dimension were
89 not very significant, which indicates the ease of moving across borders for immigrants; on the other hand, it was
90 found that international migration depends on the stock of migrants in the receiving country.

91 Based on the Ageing population and the low birth rates on the European continent, (Ramos and Surinach,
92 2013) believe that the European Union countries need to import labor from neighboring countries; Ramos and
93 Surinach also analyzed the relationship of bilateral migration with many different factors in the year 2011, by
94 estimating the gravity model of 200 sending countries between 1960 and 2010, this analysis resulted in the size
95 of the population having a positive and important relationship with migration, in addition to the existence of a
96 common language and colonial relationship between receiving and sending countries.

97 Gonzalez and Mesanza, 2011 examined the factors affecting migration of graduate students according to the
98 Erasmus program, they used a sample of Panel Data, from the bilateral flows of the countries participating in the
99 program. Their study showed that the cost of living, the quality of the university, language, and climate were
100 among the most important factors influencing student migration, which amounted to two million in 1987.

101 From the perspective of the sending countries, (Ullah, 2012), chose to study the determinants of labor migration
102 from Bangladesh to 23 countries, during the period 2009-2012, and by using Panel Data, he concluded that
103 economic, demographic and cultural factors had a major impact on labor migration. To call attention to the
104 relationship between economic and political factors in the both origin and host countries, (Westmore, 2014)

105 analyzed data on highly skilled migrants as well as low skilled ones between ninety-two sending and forty-four
106 receiving countries; his findings indicated that high private wages given to skilled labor in the receiving country
107 is linked with the increase in the number of immigrants; these results supported the impact of the differences in
108 policies related to business and labor markets, as the more stringent policies constitute an incentive for highly
109 skilled people to emigrate, while they do not constitute a significant incentive for people with low skills to do so.
110 the study also showed that wage differences were the main driver of migration, especially for high skilled people.

111 As for international migration as an option for individuals driven by several factors at the micro and macro
112 level, the study of (Porumbescu, 2015) added, that the decision to migrate is not only taken at the individual level,
113 but is taken with the participation of all family members; most members work not only to maximize their income,
114 but also to reduce risks that occur as a result of the failure of local markets to allocate resources efficiently. Many
115 economists focus their attention on market defects, such as high rate transactions in the markets as well as limited
116 access to information and weak communication and transportation infrastructure; all this focus creates incentives
117 to send family members away. (Pavkovic et al., 2018) used annual Panel Data for the period 1995-2016, following
118 the Poisson distribution and the "Negative Binomial Regression" model, to study the determinants of migration
119 to 28 European Union countries; these determinants include demographic, economic, social and political variables.
120 the study found that job opportunities and a good standard of living are the two factors that mostly influence
121 the attraction of migrants, which supports the economic incentive for international migration.

122 III.

123 3 The Methodology

124 The increased numbers of international migrants to developed countries has imposed itself over the past five
125 decades on all those interested in this phenomenon, and the issue of migration and its impact on various activities
126 in the receiving countries is still drawing intense attention. This obliged academics to work to formulate a
127 model for migration, where international voluntary migration represents a choice for individuals based on specific
128 motivations. (Smith, 2012) believes that it is easy to understand the migration motives on the personal level, but
129 find it hard to study the effects at the country level. Therefore, the Newton Law of Gravity, which is often used
130 to measure trade exchange between countries, has been expanded to include the study of international migration.
131 This model assumes that every country has distinct characteristics (push and pull factors), in addition to the
132 presence of bilateral impacts between countries (factors of distance and common language). However, despite
133 the great interest in the topic of international migration, we find that studies related to the determinants of
134 migrations are few. Most studies on international migration came to study the relationship of migration with
135 some economic variables without looking at the causes and motives of this migration, such as the studies on
136 migrations to the United States of America, (Karemera et al. 2000) and (Greenwood and McDowell, 1999),
137 and the study of international migration to the United Kingdom (Mitchell and Pain, 2003), and the migration
138 to Germany, (Voglar and Rotte, 2000); (Fromentin, 2013) study was on the relationship between international
139 migration and unemployment in France.

140 Several studies have adopted the theoretical framework in the migration model, whereby potential immigrants
141 choose the country that presents the benefits they seek, and thus the immigration decision is a function of the
142 push and pull factors according to the following: (Grogger and Hanson, 2011), (Ortega and Peri, 2013).

143 Where and the destination country (f).

144 is the cost of migration. Assume that

145 The cost of migration can be illustrated as follows:

146 Where includes all direct and indirect costs, while means the non-monetary costs that relate to the immigrant
147 himself, such as moving away from family and friends. (Borjas, 1987) assumes that an individual's wages depend
148 on his skill level s_i , and thus the wages of the individual in either the country of origin or the host country are
149 as follows:

150 As long as the individual is looking to maximize his benefit, he will choose migration if is greater than zero.

151 Thus, we can include a set of variables related to the push and pull factors in equation (4), so the study can
152 examine the effect of these factors on the individual's decision to migrate.

153 Numerous literatures on international migration has relied on random utility maximization models by
154 combining various factors into the gravity model, which has become common in statistical analysis, especially
155 with regard to measuring bilateral flows between two geographical regions. This model was based mainly on the
156 law of gravity between objects developed by the "Newton" and named (Newton's law) in 1687, which states that
157 the attraction forces between two bodies are directly proportional to their size and inversely proportional to the
158 distance between them. This model is no longer confined to the science of physics but has become used in many
159 sciences, especially the study of economic phenomena, related to the movement of goods, services, capital and
160 individuals. The spatial interaction has been likened to the law of gravity. One of Volume XX Issue VI Version
161 I c -) ih + t ih (w -) if + t if = (w ihf m if

162 w and w ih refer to the wage of the individual (i) in the origin country (h), t if and
163 indicates the benefits granted to the individual.ih t ihf c) < 0 ih w , if t (cov) <0 , if w , if t (cov ,)>0 ih
164 w , if w (cov ihf z) + hf x (hf c = ihf c (1) (2) hf x ihf z I s h ? + h ? = ih W I , s f ? + f ? = if W (3)

165 By subtracting equations (??), (??), (1) we produce the following equation:ihf z -) hf x (hf c -) ih t - i f t
166) + (h ? - f ?) + (h ? - f ? = (ifh m (4)

167) ifh m (the first researchers who used this model is (Tinbergen, 1962).

168 The basic form is as follows: (Burger et al. 2009) Where:

169 g is the Constant of the equation m i is the size of the sending country m j is the size of the receiving country

170 d ij is the distance between the two countries GF ij is the power generated by gravity between the two countries

171 As this model became dominant in applied studies in the field of international migration, it was enhanced to

172 include variables that indicate factors of push and pull to understand the determinants of migration.

173 IV.

174 4 Econometric Model

175 Even though studies differ in determining the factors of gravity explained by the phenomenon of migration flow
176 between countries according to the nature of the study and the views of researchers, but never the less they shared
177 the use of basic variables in the traditional model such as: the population of sending countries and receiving
178 countries and the distance between countries.

179 For the purpose of the study we added other variables to the gravity model; as many studies that were
180 conducted to explain the factors affecting international migration and its determinants supported the addition
181 of demographic, economic, political and social variables; Thus, the form of the regression equation to study the
182 determinants of international migration will be as follows:

183 The dependent variable is immig ij , which expresses the number of migrants between the sending and receiving
184 countries, while the other variables act as independent variables, and the symbols in the form indicate: i is the
185 sending country, j is the receiving country, t denotes time, e to the error term, pop is the number of population
186 in each country, dis is the distance between the two countries, gdpcap is per capita income in each country, ur is
187 an unemployment rate, edu is the average years of education, gini is the inequality index of income distribution,
188 polscor, is the political stability index, pov is the poverty index, dcomlang is an dummy variable equal to one
189 if the two countries speak the same language, Emig is the stock of immigrants from the sending country in
190 the receiving country, and c 0 to c 13 denote the parameters to be estimated. The unbalance panel data were
191 used, which is distinguished in that it combines the cross-section data and time series data, which contributes to
192 increasing degrees of freedom, which in turn increases the efficiency of the estimate and raises the explanatory
193 power of the regression (Gujarati, 2003).

194 V.

195 5 Estimation Strategy

196 To avoid the problems facing estimating the gravity model in commercial exchange and spatial mobility, by
197 giving the dependent variable the logarithmic form, the search for an alternative and more appropriate estimation
198 technique for the gravity model has become necessary. a number of researchers directed attention to the quality
199 of using the count data estimator in estimating the gravity model. ??Silva and Teneyro, 2006) (Wooldridge,
200 2012).

201 6 a) Poisson Maximum Likelihood Estimator (PML)

202 This method of estimation is applied when the dependent variable takes a valid positive value (0,1,2,3...). This
203 variable may take many small values including zero, and when being estimated the dependent variable is not in
204 the logarithmic form. the count data form follows the following equation. Equation (??) is a nonlinear equation
205 where the exponential function is a nonlinear function. Greene (2002) considered that this method is the best
206 method to accommodate the heterogeneity of cross-sectional data over time, as this estimation is characterized by
207 equal variance with the mean of the data that follows the Poisson distribution, since this distribution is assumed
208 to have a conditional mean, in the sense that the dependent variable is required for the factors of push and pull
209 factors. for the purposes of the assessment, it is written:

210 7 b) Maximum Likelihood Negative Binomial (NBM L)

211 This method is an example of a Poisson estimator, when the dependent variable follows count data, which
212 takes positive integer values, with repeated numbers from zero and small values, and the variance exceeds the
213 mean of E (Y) <Var (Y), the negative binomial distribution occurs ; As the depended variable of this study,
214 NBML estimator is appropriate, and the parameter estimation follows the maximal Likelihood method (Ismail
215 and Jemain, 2007). ©

216 8 E (Y/X

217) (6) $E(y) = u$, $Var(y) = u$ (7) VI. Data and Sources Used in the Study Do migration flows respond to push
218 and pull factors? What are the determinants that affect increasing or reducing the number of migrants?

219 To answer these questions, the study assumed that there are several factors behind the increase in the number
220 of migrants heading to other countries for the purpose of residency, and there are different determinants of
221 the decision to make international migration, which translates into a flow of individuals and groups to another
222 country. To investigate the impact of these various factors, a number of 94 countries in the developing world

223 were chosen as sending countries, classified into three groups: The Low-Income, 21 countries, the (Lower-Middle-
224 Income) 40 countries, and the (Upper-Middle-Income) 33 countries, while 5 receiving countries from High-Income
225 countries were selected. (See Appendix).

226 This study assumes that there are many factors that motivate individuals to migrate from their countries, and
227 other factors that attract them to certain countries. The study merged data related to international migration
228 with macroeconomic data for the sample sending and receiving countries as follows:

229 The study adopted various sources to create a database for the study sample for a number of developing
230 countries as immigration origin countries and for a limited number of destination countries. This data covers a
231 number of (23) years for the time period 1995 to 2017. The symbol (i) is given to denote the origin country,
232 whereas the symbol (j) indicates the destination country for all variables of the equation (*) The following table
233 (1) shows the variables used in the model and their sources: between the curve and the hypothetical line of
234 absolute equality, therefore zero is representative of absolute equality, and 100 indicates complete inequality. the
235 written data on this indicator has been extrapolated for some of the unavailable years, based on data of other
236 years from the same country.

237 ? The Political Stability Index and the absence of violence are expressed in a percentage, with zero
238 indicating the lowest degree of political stability, and the 100 for the highest rank in political stability. ?
239 The unemployment index in the sending country shows the ratio of the unemployed to the population. ? The
240 GeoDist database provides information developed specifically for applied research to estimate the gravitational
241 equation in particular, because it contains bilateral data linking the pairs of countries, which allowed the study
242 to adopt the variable of distance between sending and receiving countries used in the first model, which is the
243 distance between the capitals of countries in kilometres.

244 ? In this study, the language that is commonly used in both countries is expressed as a Dummy variable,
245 which is equal to one in case the two countries speak the same language, otherwise it is equal to zero. ? We note
246 in this study that international migration data do not cover the entire period of time, in addition to the presence
247 of serious fluctuations on migratory flows data during the study period. it must be noted also that international
248 migration data covers only legal migration (Inflows of Foreign Population by Nationality), and even though there
249 are many sources for the data, but they were taken according to the same classification. The United Nations
250 (UN SD, 2017) defined the stock of migrants as the number of international migrants residing in a country other
251 than where they were born on a given date, (Stock of Foreign-Born Population by Country of Birth). ? As for
252 the education index, the average number of years of education received by individuals over the age of 25 years
253 was adapted and transferred from academic achievement levels, using official periods for each level. ? The study
254 adopted the poverty index based on the percentage of the population living below the international poverty line
255 (\$ 1.9) per day according to the World Development Indicators. ? Despite the multiple sources of the Gini Index
256 in income distribution, the study adopted the sources that follow the same method in calculating the indicator,
257 namely the extent of the deviation of income distribution between individuals in the economy, with Lorenz curved
258 line for the cumulative percentages of the total income received against the cumulative number for individuals
259 starting from the poorest individual, expressed by the space Table ??: Variables used in the model and their
260 sources VII.

261 9 Variables Description

262 Table ??2) displays a statistical description of the variables used in the study, showing the mean and standard
263 deviation of the variables, with the largest and smallest values of the variables in the presence of missing values
264 for some countries; in addition to that the table shows the number of observations during the study period of
265 1995 to 2017. The standard deviation measures the deviation of the data set in relation to the arithmetic mean,
266 and indicates the fluctuation in the values that the variable takes during the study period. Looking at this
267 scale, the standard deviation of the dependent variable (Immig ij) shows the fluctuation in the values during
268 the study period as it is affected by the extreme values, as it reached a large number (12530) because there
269 were no flows of immigrants between countries in some years, while in contrast there were flows of very large
270 numbers in other years, as in the case of immigration from Mexico and Cuba to the United States of America.
271 the largest flows recorded during the study period were from Syria to Germany in the year 2015 where it reached
272 309699 immigrants (OECD. Stat). these results indicate a large dispersion about the arithmetic mean of the
273 dependent variable. Study data for the dependent variable contain zero observations in some years for the sample
274 country pairs. Figure (1) shows the distribution of the dependent variable's value as it appeared in the study
275 database, which takes non-negative integers, including zero and small values in an indication that there is no
276 flow of immigrants in a specific time period; the distribution is largely concentrated on the left side of the figure,
277 While it seems clear that the data slant to the right, indicating that small values represent the majority and a few
278 high values, as shown by the statistical description in table (2) that the variance is greater than average. Figure
279 (1) shows that the dependent variable does not follow the normal distribution, because the normal distribution
280 is for variables that take all the values. (Wooldridge, 2016).

281 **10 Estimation Results**

282 Before starting to estimate the model, the study's expectations are reviewed for the parameters to be estimated;
283 the study expects a positive relationship between the flow of immigrants and pull factors, on the other side a
284 negative relationship is expected between the dependent variable and push factors according to previous studies
285 and in line with the theories related to migration, which is presented in table (3).

286 **11 Table 3: The sign expectations for the parameters**

287 **12 By author based on economic theories.**

288 The study estimated the equation (*) in a nonlinear method, using (NBML), where the dependent variable (immig
289 ij) is at the level instead of taking the logarithm, which allows zero occurrences in the dependent variable, and
290 repeating small values with the existing of other extreme value, which results in the negative binomial distribution
291 of dependent variable data. Many applied studies found that the use of this model is characterized by the smallness
292 of standard errors compared to other methods.

293 Parameters are estimated using data on the flow of migrants from different developing countries (i) to the five
294 countries (j) used in the study sample as receiving countries, during the period 1995-2017. The results of the
295 gravity model estimation are summarized in Table (4), and the results are presented according to the different
296 model specifications and the relationships of independent variables with each other.

297 The estimated values of the coefficients are expressed in IRR, which indicates the percentage change in the
298 rate of occurrence of the dependent variable due to the increase in the explanatory variable by one unit. The IRR
299 value appears directly in the table, and is calculated as follows: (Croux, NA) Looking at the results in Table (4),
300 and from the Wald chi-square test, p value appeared, as in Table (4), we can see that the models are statistically
301 significant.

302 The results of the NBML estimate showed variables that have a great relationship with the dependent variable
303 (Immig ij) with a high statistical significance in all estimated regression equations, such as: per capita income
304 in developing countries, individual's income in receiving countries, immigration stock, and distance between
305 countries, which is consistent with several previous studies in the field of international migration and it is also
306 consistent with the study's expectations.

307 ? The estimate shows an inverse relationship with statistical significance between the per capita income in
308 developing countries (Lgdpcapi) and the influx of immigrants, as this result indicates weak per capita income
309 in these countries, which encourages migration. the results support the economic drive behind international
310 migration, and the desire to have a higher income. ? The importance of distance was also estimated, and the
311 results confirm the primary role of the distance variable in explaining migration flows, as the results revealed an
312 inverse relationship with statistical significance between the flow of migrants and the distance between sending
313 and receiving countries among developing countries, which is consistent with economic theories and Newton's
314 gravity theory, as it turned out that the greater the geographical distance between sending and receiving countries,
315 the more this reflects negatively on the possibility of migration of individuals from developing countries. many
316 individuals refrain from immigration in order to avoid the material cost, in reference to the costs of movement.

317 ? The results of the estimate in relation to the income distribution inequality index was negative. the estimate
318 in the table that shows that the parameter signal does not agree with the study's expectations despite the
319 statistical significance, in addition to the low value of the parameter. high ratios in the Gini index indicate a
320 rise in the number of poor people. A feature of mostly low-income countries, which does not enable individuals
321 to migrate. ? Maximizing the benefit to the individual is one of the main causes of migration, results that
322 support individual migration in desire to obtain high income have emerged, but the Poverty Index has produced
323 an interesting but possible result in the case of developing countries. the relationship between a high poverty
324 index and the increases of poor people' numbers in these countries, so these people cannot migrate because of the
325 cost associated with migration. This result supports the belief that adopts the concept of restricting poverty, so
326 we find that the relationship between the poverty index and the numbers of migrants is an inverse relationship,
327 and without statistical significance, as the increase of the poverty index by one unit reduces the possibility of
328 migration by a rate of (0.001). ? Results of the estimation of the dummy variable which expresses the commonly
329 used language in the sending and receiving countries. The variable expressing the language (Dcomlang ij) did not
330 come in line with the study's expectations for the relationship between it and the dependent variable according
331 to the estimates listed in the table (4).

332 The study found that the probability of migration is less among the countries that speak a common language,
333 and this contrasts with many applied studies that investigated determinants of international migration and
334 contrasts the expectations of the study, but it is consistent with (Sprenger, 2013)study, who found that the
335 common language had a negative impact on international migration between 21 developed countries from the
336 European Union and the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development.

337 ? Stock of immigrant's variable (Lemmig ij) indicates the importance of the presence of former immigrants
338 in the receiving country from the same country of the new immigrant, as this variable indicates a positive
339 relationship with high statistical significance, and it is considered one of the important variables in increasing
340 the number of immigrants significantly according to the results of the estimate. The results indicate that the
341 increase in the stock of migrants (1%) leads to the possibility of migration from developing countries by (0.01)

342 unit, indicating that the presence of former migrants in the receiving country, provides
343 the newcomer with moral support and reduces the psychological cost of the migrant. ? Concerning the variable
344 that expresses political stability (polscore i), the results came to highlight the expected reverse relationship
345 between the index of political stability in sending countries and international migration with high statistical
346 indications. an increase in the index of political stability in developing countries leads to a decrease in the
347 incidence of migration by (0.003). the estimates indicated that there is a direct significance relationship between
348 the political stability index (polscore j) in the receiving countries and the migration of individuals to it, as the
349 increase in the political stability index by one unit leads to the possibility of migration by (0.007). ? There is
350 a direct and statistically significant relationship between the population of the sending country (Lpop i) and
351 the number of people immigrating from it. The results show that an increase in the population in the total
352 of developing countries by (1%) leads to a possible increase in the occurrence of migration at a rate of (0.06)
353 which is consistent with the study expectations. population density often indicates low income and lack of job
354 opportunities, but the population of the receiving country (Lpop j) came contrary to the study's expectations;
355 the estimates indicated that there is a direct relationship with statistical significance between the population of
356 the receiving country and the migration of individuals to it, perhaps this is due to the sample countries that were
357 chosen as receiving countries, where each of them is characterized by population density.

358 ? The results of the estimation in relation to the rate of unemployment in the sending country (Ur i) came
359 contrary to expectations, as there was a statistically significant reversal relationship between the unemployment
360 rate in the sending countries and the increase of immigrants from them. The results indicated that increasing the
361 unemployment rate by a unit (1) leads to a decrease in the rate of migration occurrence of the developing countries
362 by (0.01). this can mean that the increase in unemployment means lack of money to carry out migration. ? The
363 study found that there is a direct relationship between the average years of education in developing countries
364 (Edu i) and international migration, which is in line with the study's expectations that individuals with high
365 level of education emigrate to obtain better job opportunities and higher income that encourages innovation.

366 IX.

367 13 Conclusion

368 In this paper, I examine the determinants of migration flows from the selected developing countries to five
369 high income countries for the period 1995-2017. To estimate the gravity model for migration flows, I use the
370 NBML estimator. This estimator is appropriate, where the descriptive statistics show that the variance is
371 greater than mean for dependent variable, and has a large percentage of zero values. Using the dataset, I try
372 to study the determinants of immigration flows. The immigration flow data enabled me to account for both
373 country of destination and country of origin effects. To sum up, the estimations results support hypotheses
374 that there are positive relationship between international migration and pull factors; income, political instability
375 and emigration in destination country, on the other hand one can conclude that there is enough evidence to
376 support study expectation about negative relationship between the international migration and push factors;
377 income and distance between developing countries and destination countries. Results show, the main reasons
378 of migration flows are high per capita income, former migrants in destination countries, low migration costs
379 combined with their low per capita income in origin countries. Perhaps the interesting result of this study is that
380 unemployment rate in developing countries did not show the expected positive result. To reduce the migrant's
381 number from developing countries, governments should improve the macroeconomic setting. Regarding future
382 directions for research, indicators on quality of governance or other institutional determinants could be included as
383 additional explanatory variables in order to assess the future evolution of international migration from developing
384 countries. This study is a modest addition to the literature of international migration. while this paper looks
385 at the determinants of international migration, it provides a framework to analyze the impact of migration, on
386 developing countries economies.

13 CONCLUSION

Applying Gravity Model to Analyze Determinants of International Migration from Developed Countries

$$GF_{ij} = \frac{(m_i m_j d_{ij})^{1/2}}{Gdp_{cap_{i,t}}^{2+c} \cdot Pop_{j,t}^{10+c} \cdot Polscore_{j,t}^{9+c}}$$

Year 2020
14 Immig_{i,j,t} = $c_0 + c_1 Gdp_{cap_{i,t}} + c_2 Pop_{j,t} + c_3 Polscore_{j,t}$

Volume XX Issue VI Version I
E)
(
Global Journal of Human Social Science -

Figure 1:

Applying Gravity Model to Analyze Determinants of International Migration from Developing Countries

The
Sources

	Symbol	Immigij	Gdpcapi	Gdpcapj	Uri	Popi	Popj	Year 2020	Ginii	Povi	Edui	Volume	Polscorei	XX	Polscorej	Issue	Emmigij	VI	Ver- sion	I	E)	(-	Variable	Mean	St Dev.	Min	Max
Global																												
Jour- nal																												
of																												
Hu- man																												
So- cial																												
Sci- ence																												
© 2020 Global Journals		Immig I,j	3780.223																									
		Gdpcap I	7263.654																									
		ur I	8.2128																									
		Polscore I	33.031																									
		Pop I	50543180																									
		Edu I	6.422																									
		Pov I	21.767																									

[Note: DisijCEPII GeoDist database Dcomlangij Dummy Variable, (<http://www.cepii.fr/anglaisgraph/bdd/distances.htm>).]

Figure 2: Table 2 :

13 CONCLUSION




Figure 3:

4

? Per capita income in receiving countries (Lgdpcapj) maintains its immense importance for immigrants, and is the strongest economic factors attracting international migration ever. the results of the estimate were consistent with economic theories and expectations of this study and the results of other applied studies in this field. The results indicate that there is a direct significant relationship between the individual's income in the receiving countries and the number of immigrants from developing countries, where the results indicate that increasing the individual's income in the receiving countries (% 1) leads to the possibility of migration in (1.33).

developing countries rate of

Figure 4: Table 4 :

387 [Croux] , C Croux . p. T1.

388 [Gujarati ()] , D Gujarati . 2008. 2003. Basic Econometrics, New York: McGraw-Hill. p. .

389 [Pavkovi? et al. (2018)] , A Pavkovi? , N Pejovi? , P Pali? . 2018. January.

390 [Karemra et al. ()] 'A gravity model analysis of international migration to North America'. D Karemra , V I
391 Oguledo , B Davis . *Applied Economics* 2000. 32 (13) p. .

392 [Lewer et al. ()] 'A gravity model of immigration'. J J Lewer , Van Den , H Berg . *Economics letters* 2008. 99
393 (1) p. .

394 [Ramos Lobo and Suriñach Caralt ()] *A gravity model of migration between ENC and EU*, R Ramos Lobo , J
395 Suriñach Caralt . AQR13/09. 2013. 2013. AQR-Working Papers.

396 [A Revisit to the Determinants of Immigration in the European Union: Evidence from Count Panel Data Models The 2nd Interna
397 'A Revisit to the Determinants of Immigration in the European Union: Evidence from Count Panel Data
398 Models'. *The 2nd International Statistical Conference in Croatia: "New Advances in Statistical Methods
399 Applications for a Better World*,

400 [Smith ()] 'A Study of international migration from 1999-2006: An analysis of political indices and other non-
401 economics determinants'. A Smith . 14. 28. *IOS Working Papers*, 2012. 2013. 9. (The determinants of
402 international migration in the European Union: an empirical analysis)

403 [Ranis and Fei ()] *A theory of economic development. The American economic review*, G Ranis , J C Fei . 1961.
404 p. .

405 [Lee ()] 'A theory of migration'. E S Lee . *Demography* 1966. 3 (1) p. .

406 [Porumbescu ()] 'Defining the new economics of labor migration theory boundaries: a sociological-level analysis
407 of international migration'. A Porumbescu . *Revista de ?tiin?e Politice. Revue des Sciences Politiques* 2015.
408 (45) p. .

409 [Ullah ()] 'Determinants of international labor migration from Bangladesh: a gravity model of panel data'. M S
410 Ullah . ???????ç ??"? 2012. (25) p. .

411 [Greene and Greene ()] *Econometric Analysis*, W N Greene , Greene . 2002.

412 [Lewis ()] 'Economic development with unlimited supply of labor'. W A Lewis . *Manchester School* 1954. 22 p. .

413 [Ismail and Jemain ()] 'Handling overdispersion with negative binomial and generalized Poisson regression
414 models'. N Ismail , A A Jemain . *Casualty Actuarial Society Forum*. Citeseer 2007. p. .

415 [Grogger and Hanson ()] 'Income maximization and the selection and sorting of international migrants'. J
416 Grogger , G H Hanson . *Journal of Development Economics* 2011. 95 (1) p. .

417 [Mayda ()] *International migration: A panel data analysis of the determinants of bilateral flows*, Centre for
418 Research and Analysis of Migration Department of Economics, Mayda . 2007. Drayton House. p. 30.

419 [Westmore and Ben ()] *International migration: The relationship with economic and policy factors in the home
420 and destination country*, economic department working papers No, Westmore , Ben . 2014. Paris. 1140.

421 [Wooldridge ()] *Introductory Econometrics: A Modern Approach*, J M Wooldridge . 2012. Boston: Cengage
422 Learning.

423 [Wooldridge ()] *Introductory econometrics: A modern approach*, J M Wooldridge . 2016. Nelson Education.

424 [Greenwood and Mcdowell ()] *Legal US immigration: Influences on gender, age, and skill composition*, M J
425 Greenwood , J M Mcdowell . 1999.

426 [Poot and Cochrane ()] 'Measuring the economic impact of immigration: A scoping paper. Immigration Research
427 Programme'. J Poot , B Cochrane . *Population Studies Centre* 2004. University of Waikato

428 [Burger et al. ()] 'On the specification of the gravity model of trade: zeros, excess zeros and zero-inflated
429 estimation'. M Burger , F Van Oort , G J Linders . *Spatial Economic Analysis* 2009. 4 (2) p. .

430 [Borjas ()] 'Self-selection and the earnings of immigrants. (No. w2248)'. G J Borjas . *National Bureau of Economic
431 Research* 1987.

432 [Tinbergen ()] *Shaping the world economy; suggestions for an international economic policy*, J Tinbergen . 1962.

433 [Street] Gordon Street . London WC1H 0AX,

434 [Bertocchi and Strozzi ()] *The age of mass migration: Economic and institutional determinants*, G Bertocchi ,
435 C Strozzi . 2006.

436 [Mitchell and Pain ()] 'The determinants of international migration into the UK: A panel-based modelling
437 approach'. J Mitchell , N Pain . *DISCUSSION PAPERS-NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF ECONOMIC AND
438 SOCIAL RESEARCH* 2003.

439 [González et al. ()] *The determinants of international student mobility flows: an empirical study on the Erasmus
440 programme*, C R González , R B Mesanza , P Mariel . 2011. 62 p. . (Higher education)

13 CONCLUSION

441 [Ortega and Peri ()] 'The effect of income and immigration policies on international migration'. F Ortega , G
442 Peri . *Migration Studies* 2013. 1 (1) p. .

443 [Vogler and Rotte ()] 'The effects of development on migration: Theoretical issues and new empirical evidence'.
444 M Vogler , R Rotte . *Journal of Population Economics* 2000. 13 (3) p. .

445 [Ravenstein ()] 'The laws of migration'. E G Ravenstein . *Journal of the Statistical Society of London* 1885. 48
446 (2) p. .

447 [Silva and Tenreyro ()] 'The log of gravity'. J S Silva , S Tenreyro . *The Review of Economics and statistics* 2006.
448 88 (4) p. .

449 [Fromentin ()] 'The relationship between immigration and unemployment: The case of France'. V Fromentin .
450 *Economic Analysis and Policy* 2013. 43 (1) p. .

451 [Massey ()] 'theories of international migration: A review and Appraisal'. Massey . *Source: Population and*
452 *Development Review* 2011. Sep., 1993. 19 (3) p. .