

# Evaluation of a Predictive Model for the Decision of Lifelong Learners to Continue or Drop Out a German Course

Moh. R. Dahman<sup>1</sup> and Semiha Dahman<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Istanbul University

*Received: 10 December 2018 Accepted: 5 January 2019 Published: 15 January 2019*

## Abstract

The necessary motivation, attitude, and anxiety along with other independent variables, like the placement test scores, influence learners' adequate progress and successful performance in language classrooms. A machine learning model was designed by Dahman and Dag (2019) to predict adult learners' decision to continue or drop out ESOL courses based on the input variables (motivation, attitude, anxiety, and placement test scores). This study investigated the accuracy of this model in a different setup, the decision of lifelong learners to continue or drop out a German Language course.

**Index terms**— prediction model; german language; L2 acquisition

Introduction the modern education system in its standard categories (formal or non-formal) bears loads of structured variables, from which you can adequately assess the desired output of it. For a possible example, in a non formal educational platform, like the second language programs for lifelong learners, dropout phenomenon can uniquely represent a critical feature (i.e. output) that's worth for comprehensive investigation. Initially, other features like language achievement, by lifelong learners, can be typically the key hub of necessary attention by the language teacher and effective administration. Commonly this specific feature, language achievement, is scientifically measured by various variables like necessary motivation, attitude towards the learned language, language anxiety, language aptitude, and many other attributes. However, It's crucial to notice that before the possible beginning of the language course (i.e. The planned progress to efficiently help learners to achieve desirable language achievement), identifying learners who are potentially at the edge to drop out, but not to continue, represents undoubtedly a significant fact that language teachers and administrators could properly use to reduce the dropout rate.

There has been at ease an immense amount of published study on dropout phenomenon., for example on school-age student (see, Alexander, Entwisle & Kabbani, 2001; Battin-Pearson, Newcomb, Abbott, Hill, Catalano & Hawkins, 2000), or adults drop out from further and higher education (see, for example, Duque, 2014; Vossensteyn, Kottmann, Jongbloed, Kaiser, Cremonini, Stensaker & Wollscheid, 2015). Nonetheless, noticeably rarely required published research has been conducted on dropouts by adult learners from typical L2 classrooms. The only study, of which we are aware of that examined the role of variables, which might contribute to the decision of continuing or dropout a language course, and ultimately, introduced a consensus predictive model for the decision, was a study by Dahman and Da? (2019).

In their published study, two dominant goals were investigated, the first goal was typically to attentively examine the relationship between the affective and the demographic variables and the adult learners' decision to continue or drop out ESOL course. And the second goal was precisely to propose a machine learning model to reliably predict the adult learner's decision to resume ESOL course; (before he/she indeed commences the course). Consequently, their adequate model, which fitted the demographic variable (the placement test score) and the affective variables (motivation, attitude, and anxiety), correctly predicted 83.3% of the adult learners' decision to continue ESOL course.

To this end, the scope of this study is precisely to adopt the proposed model, by Dahman and Da? (2019), in another L2 classroom, that is a German Language Classroom for lifelong learners. Correspondingly, as the

framework of this study suggests, the aim is to evaluate whether the proposed predictive model by Dahman and Da? (2019) will be adequate to predict a lifelong learner's decision to continue or not German Language course. Sequence of statistical analysis approach will be used to evaluate the model's result. Subsequently, If the developed model is adequate for different languages, e.g. German, other than English (i.e. ESOL courses), this suggests that the model can serve as an alarm system for identifying the lifelong learners who are merely at the possibility of dropping out German Language course. And that could graciously assist the responsible stakeholders (administrators and language teachers, and even policymakers) to modify the intended content of their courses and offer increased support to them.

## 1 II.

## 2 Literature Review

In forthcoming section, we will review the literature of the main variables of the proposed model by ??Dahman & Dag, 2019). As their study suggested, they categorized two learner variables, the affective variable, and the demographic variable. The published result demonstrated statistical differences, between the continued and the dropped out-groups, in the demographic variable (the placement test score) with a magnitude of large effect size (.378). Additionally, the result showed the affective variables (motivation, attitude, and anxiety) accounts for about 50% of the variation between the two groups. a) Affective Variables-The literature of the affective variables, in the L2 acquisition, is entangled. While the purpose of this study is not to focus on the affective variables per se, we considered the dominant classes of them as motivation, attitude, and anxiety as the proposed model suggested. ? Motivation is the power of stimulating people to accomplish a target. The first motivational dichotomy can be viewed in Gardner (1985) paper; it suggested that there are two main types of motivation: instrumental and integrative. The integrative motivation of which learners aim to integrate into the target language culture, and the instrumenta lmotivation of which learners aim to learn the target language for a functional purpose such as furthering a career or passing an examination (Ellis, R., 2004). Views about this dichotomy can be found in the work by (Gardner and MacIntyre, 1991;Williams and Burden, 1997).

Another motivational dichotomy found is intrinsic versus extrinsic. The extrinsic motivation that learners already have as personal characteristics, and the intrinsic motivation that learners gain or develop inside the classroom. Research studies on this dichotomy can be found in the work by (Dörnyei, 2008;Dörnyei, 2003; ??rookes & Schmidt, 1991).

The findings in both dichotomies indicated that there are differences in the socio cultural environment, in which the language learning takes place; that may affect the decision to continue the language class. Inbar, Donitsa & Shohamy (2001), investigated the teaching of Arabic to Hebrew in Israel, it is no surprise the finding showed low motivation, negative attitude, limited achievement, and high dropout rate, all were accounted, due to the conflict with the Arab world ??Inbar et al., 2001:298). In another study, Gardner and Smy the (1975) found that the dropout rate is positively correlated with lower motivation. To conclude, learning motivation was found to be the most important determinant of persistence in the second-language study (Clément & And, 1978; ??ahman & Dag, 2019). The socio-educational model of language learning by Gardner (1985) is the most common model in the research studied and it's verified through the AMTB -Attitude / Motivation Test Battery (Cochran, McCallum, & Bell, 2010;Robinson, 2005).

? Attitude possesses motivational properties and motivation provides attitudinal implications (Gardner, 2008); that indicates attitude as a primary factor of behavior. Plenty of research studies have reviewed attitude as an important variable to cause a positive or negative reaction to language learning (see, for example, Gardner, 2014; Oroujlou & Vahedi, 2011). Bartley (1969Bartley ( , 1970) ) found that students who continued their language classes were more positive attitudes toward the class than did the dropouts. Gardner and Smy the (1975) also found that dropouts demonstrated fewer positive attitudes than students who continued. AMTB has measure scales for attitude in tandem with motivation.

? Anxiety is another variable which contributes to the process of foreign language classroom learning. Not surprisingly, many research studies have shown the negative impacts of anxiety on (L2) achievement (Ellis, R., 2004). Bailey et al. (2003) established a strong relationship between student dropouts and foreign language anxiety. This anxiety may evidence itself at all stages of the language learning process. The different views on the effect of anxiety on (L2) learning can be found in the work by (Bailey, 1983; Horwitz, Horwitz, & Cope, 1986; Aida, 1994). Gardner (1987) in his paper "The Role of Anxiety in Second Language Performance of Language Dropouts", suggested that anxiety plays a significant role in language learning. Horwitz (1991) estimated that foreign language anxiety accounts for approximately 25% of the variance in foreignlanguage performance.

To conclude, "anxiety can play a significant causal role in creating individual differences in both language learning and communication" (MacIntyre, 1995: 90). That indicates the causal link between the anxiety and the adult learners' decision to continue or drop out in language classes. The Foreign Language Learning Anxiety Scale (FLCAS), developed by Horwitz et al. (1986) studies to measure the degree and source of learners' classroom language anxiety. i. Demographic Variables are individual characteristics assigned to some variables; Oxford (as cited in Onwuegbuzie et al., 2000) mentioned that gender differences exist in language learning strategies. Ehrman and Oxford (1995) found that age is related to the second language acquisition. Another demographic variable was reviewed in Onwuegbuzieet al., ??2000) literature review; the study stated that "one may assume

that students who have visited many foreign countries and whose immediate family members speak one or more foreign languages proficiently are more inclined to appreciate the benefits of foreign language acquisition, and, consequently, are more motivated to learn a language than are their counterparts” (Onwuegbuzie et al., 2000:6). The work is another demographic variable often cited in literatures. Type of work may conflict with classroom hours, might lead to dropout. In the research study conducted by (Dahman & Dag, 2019), the findings were surprisingly different. The study stated that ”There was evidence of the statistically significant effect of the ESOL adult learners’ placement test score (which was identified in this study as a demographic variable) on the decision to continue or drop out a language class; the effect size of the placement test was at large level (.378). Somewhat surprisingly, elements in the demographic variables (like gender, age, marital status, education, job, another spoken language, and is it the first language course) appeared to have no significance or direct effect on the decision to continue or drop out ESOL course among adult learners. Indeed, most of them showed a small effect size. It is possible that there are two reasons to explain this surprising finding. The first reason represents the nature of the services which are offered by FLS. In fact, FLS offers all the services as free of charge, because it’s a founded organization by the municipality of Istanbul, Turkey. The second reason is the nature of the classroom in which is a heterogeneous class. Both reasons maybe can reduce the effect size of the nonsignificance demographic variables reported. This end implies that, in a way, when the adult learners willingly enroll in ESOL course, which is not compulsory or whatsoever, the individual differences like age, gender, etc. might have a trivial effect on the decision to continue or drop out. Differently, the language placement test score is nontrivial.” ??Dahman & Dag, 2019:46).

### III.

## 4 Methodology a) The context of The Study

The target population of this study was lifelong learners who enrolled in German Intermediate Language Course offered by Fatih Language School (FLS) from Feb 2019 until May 2019. Two teachers participated in recording the findings of the experiment. Each teacher has two groups containing 25 learners for every group. That is in a total of 100 lifelong learners, all of whom were willing to voluntarily participate in the published study. Table (1) demonstrates the demographic distribution of the learners. Noteworthy that, FLS is a lifelong language training center founded by the Metropolitan Municipality of Istanbul, Turkey.

## 5 b) Instruments

i.

Demographic Variables: We collected the information about the lifelong learners’ demographic variable (the Placement Test Score) through a brief list that is voluntarily given by the teacher before the beginning of the course. Upon recording the placement test score, a learner profile was properly constructed along with a unique ID number. ii.

Affective Variables: In this study, we employed two instruments, that are used by ??Dahman & Dag, 2019) to measure the affective variables.

? The Foreign Language Learning Anxiety Scale (FLCAS), developed by Horwitz et al., (1986) which includes 33 statements, however, we selected 15 items for the study. Each item was rated by the participants using a 5-point Likert scale, in which 1 showed high anxiety and 5 indicated no-anxiety. The average time to fill out the questionnaire was 10 minutes.

? The second instrument is to measure the learner’s motivation and attitude score. The questionnaire consists of 55-items from AMTB, the instrument which administered in the study by ??ahman and Dag (2019). The six responses: Strongly Disagree (SD), Moderately Disagree (MD), Slightly Disagree (SD), Slightly Agree (SA), Moderately Agree (MA), and Strongly Agree (SA). In case the items were negative in the light of learning German language, the responses were reversed to obtain the final score. The average time to fill out the questionnaire was 30 minutes.

? Notes: We assumed that both instruments, which employed in this study, possess a satisfactory level of validity and reliability as reported in Dahman & Dag (2019) study, however, a pilot study was also conducted to measure the reliability of each one. We selected 25 learners at random from the target population. the FLCAS and AMTB, which merged into a single document and divided into two parts, were distributed. Participation in this study was solicited, and data were collected online using Excel Survey -Office 365. The invitation was sent (after acceptance from the participant by telephone) with an introduction. In the introduction, we explained the aim of the study with a respectful and understandable language. On top of that, we asserted the survey was voluntary, and the data would stay confidential.

?

Step Two: After we collected the data, serious of steps to verify the dataset, such as organizing, cleaning the data, dealing with missing data, and computing total scale scores, were performed.

? Procedure:

Step One: a mini software that is provided by the author of Dahman & Dag (2019) was provided to calculate the probability of each participant whether to continue or dropout the course. ii.

After the ending of the course By the end of the course (May. 10.2019) We asked the school management to advise the final status of each learner from the 100-sample size. Of the 100 participants, 88(88.00%) were continuations while 12(12.00%) were dropouts. Table ??3) illustrates the result.

IV.

## 6 Result and discussion

From the result of Table (2) and Table ??3), we can see that the accuracy of the model to predict the continuation as accurate as 95.4%. and for the dropout as accurate as 83.3%. Table (4) illustrates the result. This result implicates and overall accuracy of the proposed model of 94%. That's in tandem with the finding of the original work of proposing the model in other language that is ESOL. As the expectation indicated the accuracy of prediction.

V.

## 7 Conclusion

Overall, this study has adopted a predictive model by ??ahman & Dag (2019). The original work has been done to predict the decision by adult learner to continue ESOL course. We have typically implemented the proposed model, from the original work, into another language setup that is the German language. In the study, we carried out an experimental approach where the data were carefully collected over two completed phases, before the starting and after ending the course. The result typically shows the model, by ??ahman and Dag (2019), accurately predicted 94% of the lifelong learners' decision to continue or drop out of the course. That typically shows that such a model is good to be adopted in a language classroom to help teachers and managers to aid those who are merely at risk to drop out the course.

## 8 VI.

## 9 Limitation and Further Study

It must be born in mind that the study has been done at limited time and resources. In future work, the study can be better adapted for a broader sample and at multiple institutions at the same time. In this manner, the result of the study can't be generaliz able however can represent a base for further and future work. <sup>1</sup>

Demographic Variables		Type	Year 2019 Volume XIX Issue XI Version I G ) ( Learners n=100
Gender	1	Female	60 (60.0%)
	2	Male	40 (40.0%)
Age	1	18-29	66 (70.9 %)
	2	30-39	16 (13.7%)
	3	Over 40	18 (15.4 %)
Marital Status	1	Single	71 (75.2%)
	2	Married	26 (22.2%)
	3	Divorced	2 (1.7%)
	4	Widow	0
	5	Separated	1 (.9%)
Education	1	Pre-High School	0
	2	High School	13 (11.1%)
	3	Vocational School	1 (.9%)
	4	University	72 (76.1%)
	5	Master's Degree	10 (8.5%)

Figure 1: Table 1 :

- Cronbach's Alpha were .846 and .851 for FLCAS and AMTB, respectively.
- c) Data Collection and Procedures (Before and After the Course)
- i. Before the beginning course
- ? Data Collection
- ? Step one:
- The reliability coefficient test was run by PASW Statistics (Version 18).The values of

Figure 2:

Evaluation of a Predictive Model for the Decision of Lifelong Learners to Continue or Drop Out a

German Course			
Job	6	Ph.D. Doctoral	4 (3.4%)
	1	Art & Entertainment	5 (4.3%)
	2	Engineering	8 (6.8%)
	3	Business & Professional Services	8 (6.8%)
	4	Construction	1 (.9%)
	5	Education	11 (9.4%)
	6	Finance & Insurance	9 (7.7%)
	7	Food & Services	0
	8	Health & Medicine	5 (4.3%)
	9	Home & Garden	5 (4.3 %)
	10	Students	44 (47.9%)
	11	Other.	7 (6%)
Placement Test	12	Unemployed	2 (1.7%)
	1	A >85	40(40.2%)
	2	B 75-85	47(48.7%)
	3	C 60-74	13(11.1%)

Figure 3:

2

Year 2019

Volume XIX Issue XI Version I

G )

(

Item	Will continue	Will dropout	Total
Number of Learners	84	10	100
% of total sample	84%	10 %	-

Figure 4: Table 2 :

3

Item	Continued	Dropout	Total
Number of Learners	88	12	100
% of total sample	88%	12 %	100%

Figure 5: Table 3 :

---

4

Item	Continue	Dropout	Total
Continues	84	4	88
Dropout	2	10	12
			100

Figure 6: Table 4 :





- [Vossensteyn et al. ()] , J J Vossensteyn , A Kottmann , B W Jongbloed , F Kaiser , L Cremonini , B Stensaker , . . Wolscheid , S . 2015. (Dropout and completion in higher education in Europe: Main report)
- [Dahman and Da? ()] , M R Dahman , H Da? . 10.1007/s10639-019-09884-5. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-019-09884-5> *Educ Inf Technol* 2019. 24 p. 2429.
- [Duque ()] ‘A framework for analysing higher education performance: students’ satisfaction, perceived learning outcomes, and dropout intentions’. L C Duque . *Total Quality Management & Business Excellence* 2014. 25 (1-2) p. .
- [Bartley ()] *A Pilot Study of Aptitude and Attitude*, D E Bartley . 1969. (Factors in Language Dropout. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 028 672)
- [Gardner and Macintyre ()] ‘An instrumental motivation in language study: who says it isn’t effective?’. R C Gardner , P D Macintyre . 10.1017/S0272263100009724. *Studies in second language acquisition*, 1991. 13 p. .
- [Robinson ()] ‘Aptitude and second language acquisition’. P Robinson . 10.1017/S0267190505000036. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics* 2005. 25 p. .
- [Gardner ()] ‘Attitudes and motivation in second language learning’. R C Gardner . *Bilingualism, multiculturalism, and second language learning*, 2014. Psychology Press. p. .
- [Dörnyei ()] ‘Attitudes, orientations, and motivations in language learning: Advances in theory, research, and applications’. Z Dörnyei . 10.1007/s10639-019-09884-5. *Language learning* 2003. 53 (S1) p. .
- [Ehrman and Oxford ()] *Cognition plus: Correlates of language learning success. The modern language journal*, M E Ehrman , R L Oxford . 10.1111/j.1540-4781.1995.tb05417.x. 1995. 79 p. .
- [Onwuegbuzie et al. ()] ‘Cognitive, affective, personality, and demographic predictors of foreign-language achievement’. A J Onwuegbuzie , P Bailey , C E Daley . 10.1080/00220670009598738. *The Journal of Educational Research* 2000. 94 (1) p. .
- [Bailey ()] *Competitiveness and anxiety in adult second language learning: Looking at and through the diary studies. Classroom oriented research in second language acquisition*, K M Bailey . 1983. Rowley, MA; Newbury House. p. .
- [Aida ()] *Examination of Horwitz, Horwitz, and Cope’s construct of foreign language anxiety: The case of students of Japanese. The modern language journal*, Y Aida . 10.1111/j.1540-4781.1994.tb02026.x. 1994. 78 p. .
- [Bailey et al. ()] ‘Foreign language anxiety and student attrition’. P Bailey , A J Onwuegbuzie , C E Daley . *Academic Exchange Quarterly* 2003. 7 (2) p. .
- [Horwitz et al. ()] *Foreign language classroom anxiety. The Modern language journal*, E K Horwitz , M B Horwitz , J Cope . 10.1111/j.1540-4781.1986.tb05256.x. 1986. 70 p. .
- [Macintyre ()] *How does anxiety affect second language learning? A reply to Sparks and Ganschow. The modern language journal*, P D Macintyre . 10.1111/j.1540-4781.1995.tb05418.x. 1995. 79 p. .
- [Gardner ()] ‘Individual differences in second and foreign language learning’. R C Gardner . 10.1007/978-0-387-30424-3\_87. *Encyclopedia of language and education*, 2008. Springer US. p. .
- [Ellis ()] *Individual differences in second language learning*, R Ellis . 2004. Blackwell Publishing Ltd. p. .
- [Dörnyei ()] *Motivation strategies in the language classroom*, Z Dörnyei . 2008. Ernst Klett Sprachen.
- [Oroujlou and Vahedi ()] ‘Motivation, attitude, and language learning’. N Oroujlou , M Vahedi . 10.1016/J.SBSPRO.2011.11.333. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences* 2011. 29 p. .
- [Clément et al. ()] ‘Persistence in second-language study: Motivational considerations’. R Clément , P C Smy The , R C Gardner . *Canadian Modern Language Review* 1978. 34 (4) p. .
- [Battin-Pearson et al. ()] ‘Predictors of early high school dropout: A test of five theories’. S Battin-Pearson , M D Newcomb , R D Abbott , K G Hill , R F Catalano , J D Hawkins . *Journal of educational psychology* 2000. 92 (3) p. 568.
- [Horwitz ()] ‘Preliminary Evidence for the Reliability and Validity of a Foreign Language Anxiety Scale’. E K Horwitz . *Language Anxiety: From Theory and Research to Classroom Implications*, E K Horwitz, & D J Young (ed.) (Englewood Cliffs, NJ) 1991. Prentice Hall. p. .
- [Williams and Burden ()] ‘Psychology for Language Teachers: A Social Constructivist Approach’. M Williams , R L Burden . hardback:ISBN-0-521-49528-8. *40 West 20th Street*, (New York, NY) 1997. Cambridge University Press.
- [Gardner and Smythe ()] ‘Second language acquisition: a social psychological approach’. R C Gardner , P C Smythe . ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 1975. 163 (332) p. 754. Department of Psychology, University of Western Ontario
- [Gardner and Smythe ()] ‘Second Language Acquisition: A Social Psychological Approach’. R C Gardner , P C Smythe . *Research Bulletin* 1975. (332) .

## 9 LIMITATION AND FURTHER STUDY

---

- 246 [Gardner ()] *Social psychology and second language learning: The role of attitude and motivation*, R C Gardner  
247 . 1985. London: Edward Arnold.
- 248 [Inbar et al. ()] *Students' motivation as a function of language learning: The teaching of Arabic in Israel.*  
249 *Motivation and second language acquisition*, O Inbar , S Donitsa-Schmidt , E Shohamy . 2001. Honolulu,  
250 HI: School language Teaching & Curriculum Center. 23 p. .
- 251 [Alexander et al. ()] 'The dropout process in life course perspective: Early risk factors at home and school'. K L  
252 Alexander , D R Entwisle , N S Kabbani . *Teachers college record* 2001.
- 253 [Bartley ()] 'The importance of the attitude factor in language dropout: A preliminary investigation of group  
254 and sex differences'. D E Bartley . 10.1111/j.1944-9720.1970.tb01292.x. *Foreign Language Annals* 1970. 3 (3)  
255 p. .
- 256 [Gardner ()] *The Role of Anxiety in Second Language Performance of Language Dropouts*, R C Gardner . 1987.  
257 323 p. 774. (Research Bulletin No. 657. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED)
- 258 [Cochran et al. ()] 'Three A's: How do attributions, attitudes, and aptitude contribute to foreign language  
259 learning'. J L Cochran , R S Mccallum , S M Bell . 10.1111/j.1944-9720.2010.01102.x. *Foreign Language*  
260 *Annals*, 2010. 43 p. .