

1 Development of Instructional Model based on Indonesian
2 National Qualification Framework to Improve Soft Skills
3 Students in Vocational Technology

4 Julaga Situmorang¹, Siman² and Yuniarto Mudjisusatyo³

5 ¹ Universitas Negeri Medan

6 *Received: 14 December 2018 Accepted: 2 January 2019 Published: 15 January 2019*

7 **Abstract**

8 This study aims to develop a learning model based on the framework of Indonesia's national
9 qualifications in an effort to improve students' vocational high school soft skills in North
10 Sumatra Province. To produce operational products, namely the Indonesian National
11 Qualification Framework (KKNI) based learning model, a cycle of research and development
12 was carried out known as "the R D cycle" which was carried out in stages over a period of
13 three years. Quasi-experimental method with the design of "Pretest-Posttest Control Group?"
14 was conducted in order to draw the effect of learning model developed and used ttest to
15 determine effectiveness of the learning model developed.

16

17 *Index terms*— soft skills, learning model, kkni, technology vocational school

18 **1 Introduction**

19 conceptually, competency-based curricula can be recognized as one of the means for implementing teaching and
20 learning processes in the classroom to provide and broaden students' insights about knowledge, skills and other
21 basic values in the hope that they can be reflected in the habits of thinking and acting. However, students have
22 a very strong dependence on how they are treated by educators. That is, the success of students / students
23 mastering competencies (learning outcomes) with regard to how lecturers / teachers practice learning systems in
24 the implementation of learning.

25 The main problem in conceptually based Indonesian National Qualification Framework (KKNI) is how to
26 relevance competencies (learning outcomes) with the framework of Indonesia's national qualifications contained
27 in the planning and readiness of teachers / lecturers to manage their learning in order to achieve the desired
28 competencies in students effectively , efficient, and interesting. Graduates' competencies for all educational
29 institutions are related to hard skills and soft skills. In this regard, in general, education today is more about the
30 development of hard skills (90%) than the development of soft skills that are only 10% [1]. In fact, the results
31 of research in Europe show that a person's success in the business world is 80% determined by his soft skills and
32 only 20% is determined by his hard skills.. This means that they prioritize soft skills rather than hard skills for
33 graduates of educational institutions. The survey findings are in line with the opinion of Bergh, et al. [2] which
34 states that the human resources (HR) that will be able to exist in the 21st century are those who have soft skills
35 strong, in the form of the ability to think creatively, productively, make decisions, solve problems, learn how to
36 learn, collaborate, and selfmanagement.

37 Workers often complain that workers from educational institutions who do not have good soft skills generally
38 cannot stand the world of work, are not honest, get bored quickly, cannot work together, and cannot communicate
39 verbally or write reports with good [3],, various survey results also show that in recruiting workers almost all
40 companies prioritize soft skills applicants'rather than their hard skills [4].

41 From the description above, it can be concluded that reliable graduates from educational institutions expected
42 by the business / industry are graduates who have high soft skills . If these reliable graduates are specified as

3 THE FIVE COMPETENCIES: (A) RESOURCES: IDENTIFIES, PLANS, AND ALLOCATES RESOURCES; (B) INTERPERSONAL: WORKS WELL WITH OTHERS; (C) INFORMATION: ACQUIRES AND USES INFORMATION; (D) SYSTEMS: UNDERSTANDS COMPLEX INTERRELATIONSHIPS; (E) TECHNOLOGY: WORKS WITH A VARIETY OF TECHNOLOGIES. THE THREE-PART FOUNDATION CONSISTS OF: (A) BASIC SKILLS: READS, WRITES, PERFORMS ARITHMETIC AND MATHEMATICAL OPERATIONS, LISTENS, AND SPEAKS EFFECTIVELY; (B) THINKING SKILLS: THINKS CREATIVELY, MAKES DECISIONS, SOLVES PROBLEMS, VISUALIZES, KNOWS HOW TO LEARN, AND REASONS; (C) PERSONAL QUALITIES: DISPLAY RESPONSIBILITIES, SELF-ESTEEM, SOCIAILITY, SELF-MANAGEMENT, INTEGRITY, AND HONESTY.

graduates of vocational schools (e.g. SMK) in Vocational Schools and developed them in their students through teaching and learning strategies. The basic competency is that all Educational Teaching Institutions (LPTKs), including the UNIMED Faculty of Engineering's Mechanical Engineering Education Study Program, which will produce prospective teaching staff at Vocational Schools must also be able to form and develop these soft skills to their students as long as they attend college on campus. For (B) reasoning skills, the form of education is not yet clear, but the basic idea is that the learning model must be clear and systematic, thus it needs analysis and development to accommodate the demands of various stakeholders, and getting full support and commitment from all teaching staff (lecturers / teachers) in their implementation. The implementation of the competency-based curriculum has been started in Vocational Schools since the 2000 school year. However, based on the results of the questionnaire given to students about the achievements **HONESTY** designed in the learning implementation plan it turns out that it has not been in line with the target. Based on the survey of the practical learning process there were several problems. First, most students do not have the willingness to do the best and the standard or prioritize perfection, there is a tendency to just fulfill the task. Second, lack of systematic, well-coordinated work habits that reflect efficient and effective work. Third, lack of work independence, there is a tendency towards dependence on friends and teachers so that there are often process errors or products. Fourth, lack of initiative or creative ideas when encountering problems in the process or product, so the results are not optimal [5].

These problems are due to the learning pattern so far emphasizing the mastery of hard skills and giving less portion to the efforts to develop soft skills so that they are side by side with hard skills. This is thought to be caused by the learning strategies implemented not relevant to the characteristics of the field of study and the characteristics of students, as stated by Reigeluth [6] that results that are effective, efficient and interesting are determined by the suitability of learning strategies with the characteristics of the study area and participants. Effective learning strategies are closely related to learning models. Kauchak and Eggen [7] suggest that learning strategies are wrapped by learning models. Therefore, the assessment of learning strategies requires an assessment of the learning model. That is why the focus of the problems in this study were examined from the aspect of the learning model. The field of study or field of expertise in this study is limited to the field of design and construction, namely the design of metal splicing concentration machine elements.

The main problem in conceptual learning of vocational technology competencies is how to relevance learning outcomes with the Indonesian National Qualifications Framework contained in the planning and readiness of teachers to manage their learning in order to achieve the desired competencies in students, effectively, efficiently, and attractively, especially in field of welding technology. Achievement of learning outcomes is determined by the learning model applied. Therefore, the focus of the problem in this study is the development of an IQF-based learning model that can improve students' soft skills.

In this regard, the formulation of the problem in this study is:

How is the effectiveness of the Indonesian national qualification framework (KKNI) based learning model developed in an effort to improve students' soft skills? II.

2 Literature Review

From the report SCANS identifies 5 (five) competencies and 3 (three) basic parts of a person's skills and qualities to be able to handle work, namely:

3 The five competencies: (a) resources: identifies, plans, and allocates resources; (b) interpersonal: works well with others; (c) information: acquires and uses information; (d) systems: understands complex interrelationships; (e) technology: works with a variety of technologies. The three-part foundation consists of: (a) basic skills: reads, writes, performs arithmetic and mathematical operations, listens, and speaks effectively; (b) thinking skills: thinks creatively, makes decisions, solves problems, visualizes, knows how to learn, and reasons; (c) personal qualities: display responsibilities, self-esteem, sociability, self-management, integrity, and honesty.

Competence is a basic characteristic that consists of skills, knowledge and other personal attributes (soft skills) that are able to distinguish a person from performing and not performing. Spencer & Spencer [10] classifies competencies as basic characteristics, causal relationships and reference criteria as follows: 1) Basic characteristics

98 are competence as part of an individual's personality and can predict behavior in situations and tasks, namely:
99 a) motives as encouragement from self someone consistently to take an action; b) nature / character, namely
100 physical characteristics and consistent responses to situations or certain information; c) selfconcept, namely the
101 values of attitudes or self-image possessed by individuals; d) knowledge, namely information that someone has
102 for a particular field; and e) skills, namely the ability to carry out tasks physically or mentally. 2). Causal
103 relationships are competencies that cause and predict behavior and performance. Motive competency, character
104 / self-concept can predict behavioral actions that can ultimately predict performance results. 3). Reference
105 criteria are the most critical competencies that can distinguish competencies with high or average performance.
106 Thus vocational competence is a manifestation of one's abilities and skills to carry out overall vocational tasks
107 in accordance with the expected standards in a real work environment.

108 Soft skills are non-technical competencies that point to personality characteristics. This can be seen in a
109 person's behavior, both when interacting in social situations, language skills, personal habits, or important traits
110 to support optimistic behavior. Based on this, it can be said that soft skills are the strength of oneself to change
111 or to overcome various work problems. Mastery soft skills of students is the essence of competence that must be
112 mastered and measured through performance during learning. Learning soft skills is seen as part of the effort
113 to form a professional attitude. This attitude will influence behavior caring for quality, fast, right, and efficient,
114 respecting time and reputation ??Djoyonegoro, 1998). The attitude formation must be carried out from the
115 beginning through the process of habituation to work that is developed and harmonized with learning needs.

116 Wagner [11] emphasizes seven survival skills that have important values in this 21st century era. When
117 examined, skills these are soft skills, namely: (1) critical thinking and problem solving, 2) collaboration through
118 networks and leading with influence, (3) agile and able to adapt, 4) initiative and entrepreneurship, (5) effective
119 communication both written and unwritten, (6) accessing and analyzing information; and (7) imagination and
120 imagination. Thus, mastery of soft skills is important so that graduates are able to survive various work challenges.

121 Soft skills can be observed through performance such as speaking ability that reflects ideas and information, or
122 clearly explains a topic, is easy to understand topics that are unknown, able to interact and work cooperatively in
123 groups. A person with high mastery of soft skills will reflect abilities that exceed the capacity as a workforce. This
124 ability arises because the person concerned is independently able to move internal processes to continue learning,
125 trying and finding something that benefits his work or for selfdevelopment. Thus soft skills are important to
126 master because they are needed by someone to develop themselves in doing work.

127 **4 The integration**

128 of connected models emphasizes the relationship between soft skills and hard skills on every topic, concept, skill,
129 and with the world of work today and in the future. Nested models are oriented towards achieving multiple skills
130 and multiple targets. With this model, learning soft skills will be easily achieved because soft skills integrated are
131 not forced. Every learning activity in it already has soft skills that are measured through learning targets.

132 Richey [13] defines the model as an illustration that results from the fact that it has an arrangement of a
133 certain sequence. According to him the model can be used to organize knowledge from various sources then used
134 as a stimulus to develop hypotheses and construct theories into concrete terms / conditions to apply them to
135 practice or test theories.

136 Gustafson and Branch [14] which emphasizes the practical function of a model that is a means to facilitate
137 communication, or regular instructions (algorithms) that are prescriptive in order to make decisions, or planning
138 instructions for management activities. Furthermore, it is said that a good model is a model that can help the
139 user to understand what the overall process is fundamentally. The basis of a good model is the connection of
140 several theories. Thus, it can be said that the benefits of the model for the user include: (1) explaining several
141 aspects of human behavior and interaction, (2) integrating what is known through observation and research, (3)
142 simplifying complex humanitarian processes, (4) guidelines to carry out activities.

143 In relation to learning, the learning model serves to direct educators to design learning that is used as a guide
144 in the implementation of learning in order to achieve effective, efficient, attractive, and humanistic learning. Joice
145 [15] explains the learning model is a plan or a pattern that is used as a guide in planning classroom learning
146 or learning in tutorials and for determining learning tools and directing us to design learning to help learning
147 participants so that learning objectives are achieved.

148 Kaufman and English [16] distinguish 3 (three) types of development models to determine which ones
149 are appropriate and appropriate to use, namely: (1) inductive models, which depart from students' current
150 behavioral experiences, then grouped, compared, developed and finally evaluated for revisions, (2) deductive
151 models, beginning with determining general goals, determining criteria, finding links between existing / partner

7 RESEARCH RESULTS

160 applicable curriculum implementation, does not require special funding and is more useful for strengthening hard
161 skills.learning of softs skills Integratedis implemented with aapproach connected model, and nested models [12]
162 Level I: Defining, including 1) Identifying the problem. In this first step what is done is identifying the problem,
163 namely the gap between what is expected and the one that exists. More specifically, determine the conditions,
164 what and what should be achieved by students, 2) The second step is the analysis of the situation, namely in an
165 environment such as what learning is carried out, including students, learners (teachers), managers, and sources
166 or materials learning, 3) The third step is organizing management, namely the executive leader who is responsible
167 and carries out communication and other authorities.

168 Level II: Development, including 4) identification of objectives, as part of the development stage of learning
169 begins with identifying specific learning objectives, if achieved, then the problem in the first step will be solved. In
170 the formulation of goals must be stated: who are the participants or students (Audiences), behavior (Behavior)
171 what can be done after the program is completed, under conditions (Condition) what they are formed, and
172 level (Degree) of expertise achieved, 5) selection special methods or learning methods used to achieve goals, 6)
173 constructing blueprints or prototypes, namely the components used, such as teaching preparation, exam materials,
174 and specific guidelines and program evaluation.

175 Level III: Evaluate, copy 7) test the prototype. The evaluation phase begins by testing each component of the
176 program. This initial trial was conducted on small samples and observations were held to see the presentation.
177 Student comments are used as an assessment of what they are achieving, 8) analysis of results. The data collected
178 in step seven determines the significance of the extent of the contribution given by each component to achieving
179 goals, useful or not, 9) implementation / revision. Program improvement is done by looking at the achievement
180 of certain goals, by reevaluating the contribution of learning components to achieving goals.

181 Regarding the product design model, there are five learning design models identified. The five models are (1)
182 Kemp (1977), (??) Banathy (1978), (??) Calvano (1980), (4) Paul Harmon (1982) and (??) Dick & Carey
183 Models (2005).) Of the five models, the learning design model from Dick, Carey & Carey [18] was chosen to
184 be used in this study. In this study, survey methods were used through needs analysis, trial methods through
185 procedures (a) expert review, (b) one-on-one trials, (c) small group trials, and (d) limited scale field group trials,
186 and (e) trials of large-scale field groups to produce operational products.

187 5 III.

188 6 Research Methods

189 Implementation of large group trials (field trials) using quasi-experimental methods with the design of "Pretest-
190 Posttest Control Group Design".

191 The population of this study were all students of the State Vocational School in the Field of Welding Technology
192 in North Sumatra Province. The subjects of this study consisted of students from the State Vocational School of
193 Welding Technology, each one from two cities and five regencies. This sampling uses a simple random technique.

194 Data collection techniques used in this study were questionnaire techniques and interview techniques, as well
195 as documentation techniques to capture data on needs analysis activities, questionnaire techniques to capture
196 data about riviuwer responses and students on expert validation activities and one-on-one trials and group trials.
197 small, and test techniques and observations on limited field testing activities as well as large-scale field trials.

198 The data analysis techniques used are (1) descriptive analysis to describe the data from the results of needs
199 analysis and expert validation and one-on-one and small group trials. (2) t-test to find out the difference of model
200 effectiveness for limited scale field testing.

201 IV.

202 7 Research Results

203 Based on the results of the analysis of the literature study, 10 soft skills were obtained which needed to be possessed
204 by professional workers, namely 1) communication skills, 2) computer and technical literacy, 3) interpersonal skills,
205 4) adaptability, 5) research skills, 6) project management skills, 7) problem-solving skills, 8) process improvement
206 expertise, 9) strong work ethics, and 10) emotional intelligence.

207 Based on the results of the needs analysis found six soft skills that must be owned by workers, namely 1)
208 communication skills, 2) team work and collaboration, 3) adaptability, 4) problem solving, 5) critical observation,
209 and 6) conflict resolution.

210 Based on the results of curriculum analysis of vocational technology (K13) found seven soft skills that must
211 be possessed by graduates, namely 1) creative, 2) productive, 3) critical, 4) independent, 5) collaborative, 6)

220 Projects, including problem analysis activities, alternative solutions, determining projects, completing projects,
221 and 6) confirmation (testing), feedback, and follow-up.

222 As a result of the second year research found that: (1) the quality of instructional model viewed from the
223 expert in educational technologies is good (76.00%), (2) in the one to one try out indicated that the product
224 is good (75.46%), (3) in the small group try out indicated that the product is good (87.04%), (??) and in the
225 field try out indicated that the product is very good (mean = 83, 7). Students achievements about soft skills
226 in experimental class (Mean= 83.7) is found higher than that with control class (Mean =73.5),where both are
227 significantly different. As awhole, the results showed that the performance of developed instructional model more
228 effective to improve soft skills of students' vocational high school.

229 V.

230 **8 Discussion**

231 Based on the results of the design expert, media expert and material expert as well as student assessment
232 results, this model is appropriate to be used to improve soft skills. The result of this second year research shows
233 that the Learning Model of Welding Engineering Based on KKNI which was developed more effective than the
234 learning model that is usually done. This is because the model has been built through theoretical studies of
235 learning models that are thought to be able to foster personal competence, thinking skills, social competence,
236 and vocational competence, as adapted from the learning models presented by Joyce, Weil, and Calhoun (2009
237), as well as Kauchak and Eggen (2012).

238 The learning model is also based on the characteristics of the field of study / expertise and characteristics of
239 students. ??eigeluth (1996) suggests that if the learning method is adapted to the conditions of learning it will
240 produce effective, efficient, and attractive results. This is also supported by Nadler ??1988) explaining that a
241 good model is a model that can help the user to understand the execution process.

242 Furthermore, it is said that the basis of a good model is the relation of several theories. Because the building of
243 the learning model has been based on the interrelationship between several theories, that is why this developed
244 model effective, efficient and attractive. Furthermore, the developed model will be tested again on a wide scale
245 to produce operational products.


246 **9 VI.**


247 **10 Conclusions**

248 The KKNI-based welding technique learning model to improve the soft skills that have been produced as the main
249 product has six main components1) Orientation, including motivation building activities, reviewing the initial
250 ability to explain goals, 2) Choosing assignments, including activity description conditions and analogies , 3)
251 Guided training, including activities to provide Examples, Group Tasks, and Feedback, 4) Independent training,
252 covering individual task activities and feedback, and 5) Projects, including problem analysis activities, alternative
253 solutions, determining projects, completing projects, and 6) Evaluation includes confirmation activities, feedback,
254 and follow-up.

255 The Learning Model of Welding Engineering Based on KKNI which was developed appropriate to be used to
256 improve soft skills and more effective than the learning model that is usually done. Furthermore, the developed
257 model will be tested again on a wide scale to produce operational products. ¹

10 CONCLUSIONS





10 CONCLUSIONS

-
- 258 [Spencer et al. ()] *Competence work: Models for superior performance*, L M Spencer , Spencer , Sm . 1993. New
259 York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- 260 [Burke ()] *Competency based education and training*, J Burke . 1995. London: The Falmer Press.
- 261 [Development of competency-based curriculum syllabus Jakarta: Center for Curriculum ()] 'Development of
262 competency-based curriculum syllabus'. Jakarta: Center for Curriculum 2002. 2006. (Ministry of National
263 Education)
- 264 [Forgarty ()] *How to integrate the curricula*, Robin Forgarty . 1991. Illinois: IRI Publishing.
- 265 [Wittich and Schuller ()] *Instructional technology: Its nature and use*, W A Wittich , C F Schuller . 1999. New
266 York: Harper & Row. 10. (th ed)
- 267 [Santoso (2008)] *Integrasi Soft Skill Mahasiswa di Perkuliahan: Langkah Lebih Pengembangan dan Pendekatan*
268 *Pendidikan di PT*, Slamet Santoso . <http://slametsantoso.com.html>, diakses 2008. 10 Mei 2017.
- 269 [Irma ()] *Kcurricula have and learning*, Yulaelawati Irma . 2007. Bandung: Raya Expert.
- 270 [Bergh (2006)] *Medical Students Perceptions of their Development of Soft skills. Part II: the Development of Soft*
271 *skills through Guiding and Growing*, Bergh . [http://www.sofpj.co.za/index.php/safpj/article/](http://www.sofpj.co.za/index.php/safpj/article/viewfile/661/575)
272 *viewfile/661/575*.Accessed 2006. May 22, 2017.
- 273 [Joyce et al. ()] *Models of teaching*, B Joyce , M Weil , E Calhoun . 2009. New Jersey: Pearson.
- 274 [Kaufman and English] *Needs assessment: concept and application*, 5th Ed. *Educational Technology*, R Kaufman
275 , English . New Jersey.
- 276 [Sujimat (2008)] 'Pengembangan Model Pendidikan Soft Skill Melalui Pembelajaran'. Dwi A Sujimat . *Jurnal*
277 *Teknologi Kejuruan* 2008. September 2010. 33 (2) p. .
- 278 [Reigeluth ()] Charles M Reigeluth . *Instructional desain theoriesand models*, 2009. Taylor and Francis. III.
- 279 [Sutabri ()] *Sarjana Komputer di Era Informasi*. www.kabar-indonesia.com.html, diakses 13 Mei, Tata Sutabri
280 . 2007. 2017.
- 281 [Kauchak ()] *Strategy and models for teachers: teaching content and thingking skills*, Eggen Kauchak . 2012. New
282 York: Pearson.
- 283 [Gustafson and Branch ()] *Survey of instruction development models, 4 years*, Kent L Gustafson , Robert M
284 Branch . 2002. Syracuse, NY: Eric Clearing house.
- 285 [Wagner ()] *The Global Achievement Gap*, T Wagner . 2008. New York: Basic Books.
- 286 [Dick et al. ()] *The systematic design of instruction*, W Dick , L Carey , J O Carey . 2005. New York: Pearson.
- 287 [Richey ()] *The Theoretical and Conceptual Base of Instructional Design*, R Richey . 1986. London: Kogan Page.