However, this technology is currently in beta. Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.

Community Leaders: Bane to Multinational Corporations Corporate Social Responsibility Policy Implementation in Niger Delta, Nigeria Okorie Christiana Uzoaru¹ and Dr. Dokubo Chidinma² ¹ University Portharcourt

Received: 8 December 2018 Accepted: 2 January 2019 Published: 15 January 2019

8 Abstract

6

⁹ The study examined community leaders as bane to multinational corporate social

¹⁰ responsibility policy implementation in Niger Delta, Nigeria. The research was guided by

¹¹ three research questions. The study is a qualitative research based on case study design.

¹² Population of the study is 100 respondents? which consist of 20 staffs of 2 multinational, 30

¹³ leaders of men Community Based Organisations (CBOs), 26 members of women community

¹⁴ based organisations and 24 members of registered youth community based organisations which

¹⁵ were purposively drawn from two states of Niger Delta, (Rivers and Bayelsa States). The

¹⁶ sample size for the study was 80, comprising 50 staffs of the multinational corporations, 10

¹⁷ members of men CBOs, 10 members of women CBOs and 10 members of youth registered

¹⁸ organisations drawn using snow ball sampling technique.

19

20 Index terms— community leaders, multinational corporation, corporate social responsibility.

²¹ **1 I. Introduction**

iger Delta Region of Nigeria is located in the south-south geopolitical zone of the country. The region consists of 22 Rivers, Bayelsa, Delta, Ondo, Akwa Ibom, Abia and Imo States, and it is the region that produces crude oil which 23 24 has been the lifeline of Nigeria economy. This region is also known for her home to multinational corporations, 25 mostly oil and gas related corporations such as Shell Petroleum Development Company (SPDC), AGIP Oil, ExonMobil, and so on. The presence of the multinational corporations in the region mostly Rivers and Bayelsa 26 state represents exploitation and untold hardship due to their operational activities that contributed to increased 27 suffering by the host communities. The multinational corporations over the years exploited the ignorance of the 28 rural communities to their own profit and impoverish the region. The abundance gas, hydrocarbon and water 29 resources in the region that was supposed to bring development to the people have resulted to hardship and 30 poverty in the area. 31

The operational activities of the multinational corporation have contributed to depletion and degradation of 32 environmental resources of the people. Land and water resources which are the economic main stay of the people 33 of Niger Delta have been negatively impacted on, resource depletion, extinction and degradation is visible in 34 35 most of the rural communities. Many of the indigenous people who depended on water resources are now left 36 with little or no sources of livelihood due to depletion as result of oil and gas exploration. The impoverish state 37 of the communities where the multinational corporations operate have in recent times serve as eye opener to the community members and thus resulted to confrontation by mostly youths in the community. Incessant cases of 38 Youths restiveness in Niger Delta usually emanate from grievances related multinational corporations exploitative 39 activities. In attempt to promote conducive environment for their business, multinational corporations engage 40 in different types of corporate social responsibility packages. 41

Corporate social responsibility has to do with corporation initiating actions that will influence positively on its host community. This is in accordance with the Commission of the European Communities (2001) definition

of corporate social responsibility as a concept whereby companies integrate social and environmental concerns 44 in their business operations and in their interactions with the stakeholders on a voluntary basis. Invang (2013) 45 observed that due to the dependency of business on society, certain responsibilities or obligations are imposed on 46 47 the business organisations to discharge as part of their corporate social responsibility. This can also been seen as strategies in which corporations conduct their business in an ethical and society friendly way which according to 48 Ismail (2009) involve a range of activities such as working in partnership with local communities, socially sensitive 49 investment, developing relationships with employees, customers and their families, and involving in activities for 50 environmental conservation and sustainability. 51 However, this study will cover CSR activities of multinational corporations in Rivers and Bayelsa States, 52 specifically Shell Petroleum Development Corporation (SPDC) and Nigeria Agip Oil Company (NAOC). 53 These corporations through the different memorandum of understandings (MOUs) they enter with their host 54 communities were obligated to carry out certain level of voluntary and active contributions toward environment, 55 social and economic improvement of community members where they do their businesses. But despite the 56 agreements on MOUs and the modalities of addressing issues on the MOUs, conflicts and disagreements are 57

⁵⁸ usually associated with host community and multinational corporation relationship. Mostly the youths from the ⁵⁹ communities' cause lots of blockages, hostages and sometimes destruction of companies' properties as a result of ⁶⁰ non-compliance to corporate social responsibility package embedded in the agreement. The continual conflicts ⁶¹ and confrontation between community members and multinational corporations prompt the researcher interest ⁶² on this study.

⁶³ 2 a) Statement of the Problem

Commitment to yearnings and suffering of community members due to impacts of multinational corporation's 64 operational activities on host communities is the main tenet of corporate social responsibility of the corporations 65 to the people, and in order to comply with these obligations, multinational corporations in Rivers and Bayelsa 66 States enter into MOUs with specific areas of interest which include education, employment, environmental 67 maintenance, health care, and so on. The corporations dialogue with community leaders that represent the host 68 communities to sign agreements and also map out modalities to meet up with the agreed terms. But despite 69 the CSR embedded in MOUs, community members still suffer and most cases the restore to confrontations, 70 blockages of corporation operating location, hostage of corporation personnel by youths from the communities 71 to demand for what they think rightly belong to them that are been taking away by the corporations without 72 proper compensations ; and also the neglect of their environment in which the multinational corporations have 73 degraded through oil and gas exploration activities that have denied them their means of livelihood. It is based 74 75 on this backdrop that this study is been carried out to find out the level of involvement of community leaders in 76 SPDC and NAOC corporate social responsibility implementation to their host communities, and answer to this 77 question is the problem of this study.

⁷⁸ **3** b) Purpose of the Study

The purpose of this study is to investigate community leaders as bane community leaders to multinational
corporations' corporate social responsibility (CSR) policy implementation in Rivers and Bayelsa States.
Specifically, the objectives of the study are to:

⁸² 4 II. Literature Review a) Community Leaders

A leader is someone who is entrusted with responsibility of leading other group members, he/she exert social influence to maximize the efforts of the group members to achieve group goal. In every facet of life, leaders are required to propel other members toward attainment of goal. A leader is supposed to possess qualities such as self-confidence, strong communication skill, creative and innovative in thinking, perseverance, willingness to take risk, level headed, reactive and open. Alam (2012) pointed out that leaders are crucial element because they are the one that stand in gap for their communities.

Community leaders according to Famakinwa, Adisa, and Alabi (2019, p.474) are "major key players in Nigerian 89 rural development programmes who influence the thoughts, ideas, actions, behaviour and feelings of other people 90 in their communities, and must be involved at every stage in any rural development programme before such can 91 bring any meaningful result", In view of this, Mgbada and Agumagu (2007) explained that community leaders 92 constitute a part of the power structure in the community and they influence and direct the activities of the 93 community they represent towards the achievement of their target goals. Community leaders may belong to one 94 higher economic class or the other but their primary responsibility is to ensure that progress is made in line with 95 community needs. According to ??lam (2012, p.630) 96

⁹⁷ 5 b) Concept of Social Responsibility

Corporate social responsibility is action by business organisation will influence positively on its host community. Corporate social responsibility (CSR) according to Ekanem (2013) is the soul of public relations practice which corporate organisations must exploit to excel or ignore to their peril. In view of this, Asemah and Edegoh (2014) asserted that corporate social responsibility is a concept whereby companies integrate social and environmental concerns in their business operations and in their interaction with their stakeholders on a voluntary basis.
Jastrz?bska in Okorie and Ikwuegbu (2019) observed that that CSR is concerned with commitment to issues
of social and environmental relationship that exist between business and the business internal stakeholders (the
owners, shareholders and employees) and external stakeholders (customers, suppliers, competitors, creditors,
local and central authorities, foreign governments, nongovernmental organizations (NGOs). This is in support of
Mohammad in Okorie and Ikwuegbu (2019) explanation that CSR of companies include:

1. Internal responsibility, or the actions a company takes in relation to its employees, suppliers, and product quality; 2. External responsibility, which refers to a company's relationships with community stakeholders and support for community-related development goals; and 3. Environmental responsibility, or a company's efforts to pro-actively manage its impact on the natural environment.

However, CSR has the potential of ensuring that multinational corporation adopts community relations needed 112 to enhance social and developmental programmes to the host community. Kotler and Lee in Alfred (2013) defined 113 corporate social responsibility, as a commitment to improve community well-being through discretionary business 114 practices and contributions of corporate resources. Accordingly, Alfred (2013) observed that the projects and 115 programmes provided by the indigenous and multinational firms operating in the region through corporate social 116 Initiatives are mostly tailored to address specific or sporadic needs or events of and in the communities. Christian 117 Aid in Imomotimi and Collins (2014) identified six factors behind the corporate enthusiasm for corporate social 118 responsibility which includes: 119

120 1. Defending public image. According to the study, the first corporate social responsibility initiatives were a response to public pressure and media expose or poor company behavior; 2. Attracting investors as well as 121 burgeoning ethical investment sector are increasingly paying attention to environmental and social practices of 122 corporations as a basis for investing their stocks; 3. Making good public relations. That is, CSR has the capacity 123 to deflect people's attention away from bad to good corporate behavior; 4. The issue of engaging campaigners. 124 The interpretation is that, a company may engage in CSR as a response to nongovernmental organizations (NGO) 125 campaigns against its practices; 5. Permit to operate in conflict prone areas like the Niger Delta, a corporation 126 good work may earn it the social license to operate; and 6. Calls for the imperatives for regulating the conduct 127 of corporations, voluntary good conduct by a corporation is used to obviate the need for regulation. 128

To Tharp and Chadhury (2008) corporate social responsibility is the processes in which organisations take responsibility for the impact of their activities on customers, employees, shareholders, communities and the environment in all aspects of operations and it is also called corporate citizenship which according to Catalyst Corporation in Tharp and Chadhury (2008) implies that company should be a good neighbor to the communities that are affected by its presence. In view of this Tharp and Chadhury (2008) pointed out that the compelling reasons why companies should engage in CSR is based on four arguments which are moral obligation, sustainability, license to operate, and reputation.

¹³⁶ 6 c) Multinational Corporations and Corporate Social

Responsibility in Rivers and Bayelsa States Shell Petroleum Development Company (SPDC) and Nigerian Agip 137 Oil Company (NAOC) Limited carry out their oil and gas operational activities in the land and swamp areas 138 of Rivers and Bayelsa States. These multinational companies through their operation degrade the environment 139 of their host communities; in some cases dispossess them of their means of their mean of livelihood through oil 140 related spillages. In other to ameliorate the untold hardships been suffered by the host communities, they enter 141 into agreements with their host communities, this community-friendly model f relationship is called Global 142 Memoranda of Understanding (GMoU). Through GMoU community support programmes and projects are 143 provided as corporations CSR packages. The CSR programmes and projects cover the following areas: The 144 corporations use CSR as a means of paying back to the community and also sustaining their business. This is in 145 support of Ojo, (2012) assertion that a company survival and the level of business success significantly depends 146 on the improvement of the host communities. 147

¹⁴⁸ 7 III. Methodology

This study is a qualitative research and the research design adopted for this study is case study. This type of 149 design involve the analysis of real world problems of which one has experience or is able to observe. CSR is an 150 observable real life phenomenon. The population is 100 respondents' which consist of 20 staffs of 2 multinational 151 corporations in Niger Delta region of Nigeria, 30 leaders of men Community Based Organisations (CBOs), 152 153 26 members of women community based organisations and 24 members of registered youth community based 154 organisations purposively drawn from two states of Niger Delta, (Rivers and Bayelsa States). An interview schedule which was face and content validated by three validates was the instrument used for data collection. 155 The instrument had a reliability coefficient of 0.68, which was achieved using Cronbach Alpha statistical tool. 156 The researcher carried out two methods of interview which are In-depth interview (one-to-one) and focused group 157 discuss into gather information for the study. In-depth interview was used to solicit information from the SPDC 158 and NAOC staffs while focus group discussion was adapted to interview community members. 159

¹⁶⁰ 8 IV. Result and Findings a) Presentation of Result

The recorded interview reports were analysed with content analysis transcription. Content analysis enables a 161 systematic coding of data by organising the information into theme and then analysing the recorded interview 162 under coded themes. The interview was categorized into four distinct themes, which are; The results and findings 163 were summarized as follows Majority of the respondents interviewed in both SPDC in NAOC were of the view 164 that they enter into agreement with their host communities based on the content of Global Memorandum of 165 Understandings (GMoUs). That they adopted social responsibility codes as part of their business engagements 166 and expanded their corporate-community arrangements, from the provision of social amenities alone to that 167 of community empowerment. Respondents from SPDC said that in any of the community where SPDC have 168 location, the company provides succor the community members as CSR package to the communities. The 169 packages include provision of scholarship to community children at various levels, provision of adequate health-170 care facility, comprehensive youth empowerment scheme, other skill training programmes, and so on. 171

This corroborates with the response of respondents in NAOC that based on the provision of the Land Use Act that compensation must be paid for any land acquired for the purpose of mining and laying of pipelines and the Petroleum Drilling and Production Regulation Decree No 57 specification that the payment of compensation for damage to buildings, economic trees or crops during oil production activities. That NAOC do enter into agreement with their host communities on specific areas of interest to the community.

Respondents from communities also agreed that SPDC and NAOC enter into agreements with them on CSR 177 packages by signing memorandum of understanding on specific areas of interest to the host communities. That 178 through the signed agreement SPDC Respondents from SPDC and NAOC attested that the processes of their 179 companies CSR include the provision of widening range of services covering education, agriculture, health and 180 water supply. Educational initiatives include the provision of teachers paid directly by the companies and the 181 building of classrooms, payment special rates to teachers to encourage them to go and teach in rural areas 182 where the governments are inactive, payment compensation to fishermen and farmers for the disruption of their 183 184 livelihood, provision of potable water and electricity to every home on the island and so on. While respondents 185 from the communities were of the view that the wealth being generated should also be used for community 186 development but that SPDC and NAOC operating in their community see the CSR package they provide as burden; that we are demanding more than we supposed to. They impose CSR programmes and packages to 187 our communities that sometimes do not have any positive community development impacts. This has been the 188 reasons why disagreement arises often between host community and SPDC and NAOC. 189

Respondents from SPDC and NAOC asserted that since they cannot deal directly with everybody in the 190 community, they only interface and dialogue with chosen leaders from the communities, that their corporations 191 community liaison officers meet the community chosen leaders to dialogue on community needs based on the 192 agreement on the MOU. That whatever things the leaders consent to that is embedded in the MOU is what 193 they carry out as CSR in the host community. The corporations community liaison officers also said that 194 the distributions of their CSR educational, employment, skills training, agricultural packages are usually been 195 determined by the community chosen leaders who stands in gap between the corporations and host communities. 196 Respondents from the communities asserted that the main problem they encounter is issues of conflicts of 197 interest that cut across the youths, women and chiefs in the communities. That these different groups within the 198 communities want their own needs to be prioritise at the expense of collective community interest and since any 199 CSR coming from the multinational corporations pass through the leaders (youths, women and chiefs), they make 200 decision on the sharing formula not minding if it is equitably distributed or not. That in most cases, the chiefs 201 connive with the paramount ruler to take what rightly belong to the community as CSR from the corporations 202 they play host as their personal achievement and then give to their loyalist even when they are not qualified for 203 such. 204

Respondents from the corporations asserted that due to conflicts of interest, selfishness on the part of the leaders, the communities are not actually experiencing much the impacts of their CSR and in most cases, the youths who are sometimes been used by the community chiefs block and stop their operational activities. Thereby making the corporations to incur lost due to stoppage of operations. They also said that sometimes, their workers are been held hostage by the community youths in their quest for demand "fait" treatment from the corporations'. While respondents from the host communities were of the view that the conflict and disagreement between communities and the corporations is rooted to the unpatriotic nature of their community leaders.

²¹² 9 b) Discussion of Findings

The findings reveals that SPDC and NAOC corporate social responsibility packages are based on MOUs between 213 214 the corporations and host communities and that he packages include provision of scholarship to community 215 children at various levels, provision of adequate health-care facility, comprehensive youth empowerment scheme, 216 other skill training programmes, and so on. This is in support of Imomotimi and Collins (2014) observation that With the growing popularity of CSR demands by host communities and the hostile relationship between 217 oil multinationals and local communities, oil companies have adopted the practice of signing Memorandum 218 of Understandings (MOUs) to guide their engagements with oil producing host communities. The MOUs 219 basically refers to a document showing agreement between the multinational oil companies and oil producing 220 host communities, as regards community development projects that will be executed by the oil multinationals in 221

the host communities. **??**Imomotimi and Collins, 2014, p.15-16) This is also in line with Alfred (2013) assertion that at present CSR projects and programmes are done through a rather community-friendly model called, Global Memoranda of Understanding (GMoU) in SPDC, Chevron, and other transnational firms like Statoil operating in the region., and that the community development programmes and projects through the GMoUs models. In view of this, it will be established that community-friendly MOU models have actually influenced relationships between corporations and host communities.

SPDC and NAOC through their CSR provide wide range of services covering education, agriculture, health and 228 water supply. But they impose these CSR programmes and packages to communities; that sometimes these CSR 229 packages do not have sufficient positive impact on host communities; and contribute to disagreement between 230 host communities and the multinational corporations. Alfred (2013) disagree that insufficient CSR contribute to 231 disagreement between host communities and the multinational corporations, rather that because of the present 232 comprehensive backward nature of almost all the crude oil producing communities, raw and formalistic CSR 233 generated projects and programmes alone cannot help to create the trust and social acceptance the oil firms need 234 to operate freely in these communities. Despite this bottleneck, Alfred (2013) observed that: NAOC through 235 the provision of environmentally friendly and easily sustainable and absolutely free 24 hours electricity; adequate 236 and yearly employment of youths; (mostly children of major stakeholders such as kings and head chiefs); labour 237 238 and supply contracts for chiefs and elders and other opinion leaders; a good number of scholarships opportunities 239 annually for primary schools pupils, secondary schools students and for undergraduates and post-graduates; 240 maintenance and building of new and old roads; the provision of clean drinking water for most of the major communities; renovations of schools; re-planting of deforested lands; support for the local health facilities; training 241 of local manpower base and others; Agip has endeared itself to the people of the Island. ??Alfred, 2013, p.127). 242 The finding also reveals that due presence of multiplicity of interest and demands, that they choose to deal 243 directly with chiefs rulers, who according to respondents from the community leaders connive with themselves 244 take what rightly belong to the community as CSR from the corporations they play host as their personal 245 achievement and then give to their loyalist even when they are not qualified for such. This finding supports 246 Imomotimi and Collins (2014) observation that oil companies take advantage of the naivety, lack of political will 247 and corruption of the Nigerian state to breach with impunity most Memoranda of Understanding signed with 248 oil bearing communities, resulting to Conflicts between communities. Conflicts between oil companies and host 249 communities are attributed to failures on the part of oil companies to adhere to MOUs signed with their host 250 communities. Thus host communities and corporations conflicts do affect corporation profit and corporation 251 image and sustainability as well. 252

²⁵³ 10 V. Conclusion and Recommendations a) Conclusion

Conclusively, community leaders involvement in CSR of multinational corporations have contributed to improper
 implementations of CSR packages in Niger Delta region most especially, the proper implementation of SPDC
 and NAOC memorandum of Understanding with host communities in Rivers and Bayelsa States.

²⁵⁷ 11 b) Recommendations

258 Based on the findings and conclusion, the following were recommended:

1. Since the MOU through which CSR are been carried out are duration based, the agreeing parties should also

include the clause of accountability; 2. Any CSR package should be community driven initiative not imposition by

providers; 3. SPDC and NAOC should carry out their CSR in a more equitable ways t reflect development in the community by avoiding favoritism strategy; and 4. For smooth business operations that will promote profitability

and sustainability, corporations should avoid conflict with host communities by respecting agreements.¹

 $^{^{1}}$ © 2019 Global Journals

- [Alam ()], Anwar Alam. Sociology & Social Anthropology Department 2004. Saif Printing Press Peshawar Cantt.
 (Rural Sociology)
- ²⁶⁶ [Asemah and Edegoh ()], E S Asemah, L O N Edegoh. 2014.
- [Ekanem ()] 'A critique of media and political marketing in Nigeria'. I Ekanem . *Politics, culture and the media in*, P Umaru, C Nwabueze, I Nsikak (ed.) (Nigeria. Ibadan) 2013. Sterling-Horden Publishers Ltd.
- [Mohammad ()] Analyzing the concept of corporate social responsibility with the monetary and ethical approach.
 A bachelor degree thesis submitted to Department of Business Administration, S Mohammad . 2009. Uppsala
 University.
- [Zanbar and Itzhaky ()] 'Community activists' competence: the contributing factors'. L Zanbar , H Itzhaky .
 Journal of Community Psychology 2013. 41 p. .
- 274 [Bénit-Gbaffou and Katsaura ()] 'Community Leadership and the Construction of Political Legitimacy: Un-
- packing Bourdieu's 'Political Capital' in Post-Apartheid Johannesburg'. C Bénit-Gbaffou , O Katsaura .
 International Journal of Urban and Regional Research 2014. 38 p. .
- [Imomotimi and Collins ()] 'Corporate social responsibility and community development in the Niger Delta'. B
 K Imomotimi , A E Collins . Africanology 2014. 1 (1) p. .
- [Corporate social responsibility and Nigeria's vision 2020: The views of public relations practitioners in Plateau State Nigeria. Jo
 'Corporate social responsibility and Nigeria's vision 2020: The views of public relations practitioners in
 Plateau State'. Nigeria. Journal of Communication and Media Research 6 (1) p. .
- [Tharp and Chadhury ()] Corporate social responsibility: what it means for the project manager, J Tharp , P D
 Chadhury . 2008. St. Julian's, Malta. Newtown Square, PA: Project Management Institute. (Paper presented
 at PMI® Global Congress 2008-EMEA)
- [Vargas-Hernandez and Cruz ()] 'Critical Analysis of Corporate Social Responsibility Concept'. J Vargas Hernandez , C G Cruz . Journal of Socialomics 2016. 5 (4) p. .
- [Famakinwa et al. ()] 'Factors influencing role performance of community leaders in rural development activities
 in Southwestern Nigeria'. M Famakinwa, B O Adisa, D Alabi. Acta Universitatis Agriculturae et Silviculturae
 Mendelianae Brunensis 2019. 67 (2) p.
- [Sullivan ()] 'Interpreting 'community leadership' in English local government'. H Sullivan . Policy and Politics
 2007. 35 p. .
- [Alfred ()] 'Nigerian AGIP oil company's cooperate social responsibility (CSR) in the Niger Delta of Nigeria: An
 assessment of an unusual CSR paradigm in Brass Island'. C Alfred . Journal of Sustainable Development in
 Africa 2013. 15 (8) p. .
- [Alam ()] 'Role of local elected leaders in community development'. A Alam . International Journal of Academic
 Research in Business and Social Sciences 2012. 2 (1) p. .
- [Mgbada and Agumagu ()] 'Role of local leaders in sustainable agricultural production in Imo State implication
 for youth in agriculture'. I U Mgbada , A C Agumagu . Journal Economic Theory 2007. 1 (4) p. .
- [Bukoski et al. ()] 'The complexities of realizing community: Assistant principals as community leaders in
 persistently low-achieving schools'. B E Bukoski , T C Lewis , B W Carpenter , M S Berry , K N Sanders .
- Leadership and Policy in Schools 2015. 14 p. .
- [Pegg (199)] The Cost of Doing Business: Transnational Corporations and Violence in Nigeria' (1999) Security
 Dialogue, S Pegg . 199. 30 p. .
- [Akwa and Kpalo ()] 'The role of community leaders in community development programmes in kokona local
 government area of Nasarawa State: Implication for rural development'. L Akwa , S Y Kpalo . International
- 306 Research Journal of Arts Social Science 2013. 2 (7) p. .