Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

Factors Impacting Outsourcing Decisions in Federal University Teaching Hospitals in Southwestern Nigeria: An Empirical Study

3	$L.O Aluko^1$
4	¹ Obafemi Awolowo University
5	Received: 6 December 2018 Accepted: 31 December 2018 Published: 15 January 2019

7 Abstract

⁷ Abstract
⁸ This study assessed the implementation of outsourcing policy in Federal University Teaching
⁹ Hospitals in Southwestern Nigeria. It investigated the factors influencing outsourcing in the
¹⁰ Teaching Hospitals. Primary data were collected through questionnaire administration. The
¹¹ three Federal University Teaching Hospitals in Southwestern Nigeria used for this study are:
¹² Lagos University Teaching Hospital (LUTH), Lagos; Obafemi Awolowo University Teaching
¹³ Hospitals Complex (OAUTHC), Ile-Ife; and University College Hospital (UCH), Ibadan. The
¹⁴ findings revealed that factors influencing outsourcing decision include efficiency and

¹⁵ effectiveness (63.4

16

17 Index terms— outsourcing decisions, factors influencing outsourcing, effectiveness, and efficiency.

¹⁸ 1 I. Introduction

ublic institutions in Nigeria have witnessed various forms of policy reforms in recent times due to inefficiencies 19 that are inherent in the operations of this sector. Some of these reforms which are conceived in the 1990s 20 have been implemented while some are yet to be implemented. As part of its economic liberalization agenda, the 21 government of Nigeria embarked on privatization and commercialization of public institutions aimed at improving 22 the service delivery and overall operational standards of these institutions. For those that could not be privatized 23 24 or commercialized, the policy of outsourcing or using independent contractors was allowed to some level. It is 25 obvious that global competitiveness is not limited to the private sector, but is also becoming more pronounced in the public sector. 26

From all indications, it appears that the perceived benefits of outsourcing lured the Federal Government of 27 Nigeria (FGN) into formulating the National Outsourcing Policy in 2007. The policy is premised in part on 28 the need to explore and exploit alternative sources of national income generation base on the realization of the 29 implications of Nigeria's finite oil reserves ??FGN, 2007). However, the overall policy objective is the promotion 30 of an enabling institutional, legal, regulatory, technological, and infrastructural environment for the sustainable 31 development of outsourcing business in Nigeria. Desirous of achieving these goals, the Government "jump-started" 32 the process by outsourcing some of its non-critical functions and services in line with section 7 (2) of the policy 33 document. The Ministries, Departments, and Agencies (MDAs) as well as certain institutions were directed to 34 35 follow suit. In line with the directives, certain functions, which hitherto, were performed by Federal Teaching 36 Hospitals, are now outsourced. Although these job categories are not as specialized as one would expect, but 37 they have been contracted out as government is no longer willing to fund them directly. 38 Hospitals outsource their peripheral and noncore activities such as catering, laundry and security to specialized

non-hospital organizations in order to ensure better focus of management over the core activities. Outsourcing also
ensure increase in operational efficiency, access to skilled expertise, better risk management, and cost effectiveness,
flexible staffing as basic advantage (Velma, 2001). In Nigeria, the Federal University Teaching Hospitals have
embraced outsourcing in order to gain access to some of its perceived benefits as a strategy for improving service
delivery. Aluko (2017) identified the services outsourced in public hospitals in Nigeria as non-core services. These

3 III. OUTSOURCING IN PUBLIC HOSPITALS

services according to her include catering, cleaning, laundry security and environmental unit. It is against this
 background that this study investigates the factors that influenced outsourcing decision in the study area.

46 The next section is devoted to review of literature on the subject matter where the origin and development

47 of outsourcing, outsourcing in public hospitals, potential factors impacting outsourcing decisions, as well as 48 theoretical review are covered. Following this are sections on methodology, Data presentation and analysis and

49 finally the concluding remarks.

⁵⁰ 2 II. The Literature Origin and Development of Outsourcing

51 Outsourcing is a particular form of externalization of employment involving an outside contractor taking over 52 an in-house function including the management of staff (Taplin, 2008). The concept of outsourcing is traceable 53 to Adams Smith's theory of comparative advantage. It was argued that if a country can supply a commodity cheaper than others, it is better to buy it off her. This intellectual proposition by classical economists though 54 made some two centuries ago, can be said to have laid the foundation for what has today become a management 55 reality that is outsourcing. Originally, this practice was associated with manufacturing firms such as Coca-56 Cola that outsourced large segments of its supply chain (Tas & Sunder, 2004). As technology continued to 57 advance so did new outsourcing ideas. However, it was the 1960s that saw the rise of specialized companies that 58 promoted their identities as being able to take on and run processes for other organizations (Bendor-Samuel, 59 2000). The broader use of outsourcing in the industrial market results from the build-up of competitive pressures 60 and progressing globalization (Lewin & Johnson, 1996). They went further by saying that, as the environment 61 becomes increasingly complex, faster advancement of technology, with the consequent changes in the conditions 62 in which any given enterprise functions, becomes necessary and inevitable. 63

Over the years, as organizations became more complex, their resources also became increasingly specialized 64 65 and directed towards specific elements of their operations such as project design, engineering, manufacturing, human resources, information technology, sales, and logistics. This specialization encouraged the outsourcing of 66 non-core activities, challenging management to re-evaluate the desirability of traditional vertical integration and 67 the meeting of all organizational needs with in-house support ??Boyson, Corsi, Dresner & Harrigton, 1999). In 68 recent years, many other functions in all industries have been actively outsourced, including: payroll, pension 69 administration, information systems and technology, telecommunications, document processing facilities and 70 services, inventory stocking and distribution, facilities management and maintenance, food services, janitorial 71 services and management services (Greaver, 1999). Lonsdale and Cox (2000) argued that the effect of these 72

73 public-sector reforms and outsourcing was twofold: Firstly, it reinforced the idea that third party contractors could 74 provide goods and services more efficiently and effectively than internal departments. Secondly, it contributed

⁷⁵ to the development of supply markets for services that were increasingly being outsourced by both public and

76 private sector organizations. The 1980s thus saw a change in direction both in terms of the dominant way of 77 thinking about business strategy, and in terms of what strategies businesses were pursuing. The idea of core was

dominant as consultants were growing in influence and encouraging companies to follow this line, and managers

⁷⁹ were re-evaluating the idea that they needed to be vertically integrated and self-sufficient (Lonsdale & Cox, 2000).

3 III. Outsourcing in Public Hospitals

Hospitals in most countries remain an essential source of critical healthcare services providing both basic and the most advanced form of healthcare to the people, and by virtue of their activities constitute an industry with the largest share of total health expenditure (Adu, Amponsah & Poku, 2014). Since the 1990s, health sector reforms are changing the ways in which services are delivered and financed in public sector hospitals, which is also altering the workforce organization (Roy, 2010).

In the provision of healthcare services, it is believed that the use of technology alone cannot ensure the execution of any work, as technology itself requires service providers with skills. They comprise a wide range of medical, paramedical and non-medical personnel with varied skills and functions, including those who directly oversee the clinical care of patients to those who deal with the supportive aspects concerning the patients and the administration (Roy, 2010).

Continuing, Roy (ibid) stated that the support staff workers constitute the "invisible backbone" of the hospitals. 91 These workers, who are also referred to as blue-collar workers and ancillary staff, deliver a range of services that are 92 essential for ensuring high quality of clinical treatment administered to the patients including caring and healing. 93 He stated further that without the support and proper functioning of support staff members, no hospital can 94 95 deliver efficient, effective, and quality care to the patients. In public sector hospitals, support staff members form 96 the bulk of manpower, and occupying the lowest rank in the hospital's manpower hierarchy. They feed patients, 97 maintain patients' records, maintain equipments, and provide security among other critical functions, which 98 confirms that hospitals do much more than delivering medical and surgical services. ??Adu, et.al 2014). Now that outsourcing of non-core services has been implemented in these teaching hospitals, the common explanation 99 for it is that it would bring down operational costs, and lead to better management of services, without having 100 any adverse impact on the patients, using the words of Dancer (1999). ??oxx, Bunnard and McCay (2009) added 101 that, a key motivation for interest in outsourcing in the health field is the intense competitive pressure which 102 healthcare institutions face with respect to improving quality and 2 (F) efficiency, although curtailing cost at 103

the same time. By this, the policy was said to have had a positive effect on accessing to healthcare and efficiency, equality and quality of health services provision (Foxx, et al., 2009).

¹⁰⁶ 4 IV. Potential Factors Affecting Outsourcing Decisions

Several studies have been conducted on outsourcing and factors impacting decisions to outsource by organizations. 107 Kakabadse & Kakabadse (2001) in their survey identified four main factors for outsourcing in public service 108 organizations: to achieve best practice, to improve the cost discipline skills of managers, to improve the quality 109 of the service and to help senior managers focus more clearly on the core competencies of the organization. 110 The factors were grouped into four categories by Kremic, Oya & Walter (2006) and these are strategy, cost, 111 function characteristics and environment. Seth & Sethi (2011) argued that, typical reasons for outsourcing 112 include seeking specialist services and expertise, cost reduction, and enabling human resource specialists to take 113 on a more strategic role. For the purpose of this study, we shall concentrate on strategy and cost functions as 114 the main factors influencing outsourcing decision. 115

¹¹⁶ 5 a) Strategic Factor

The first explanation and one that is often found in literature is that an organization will outsource the activities that are not strategic (non-core), and keep inhouse those that are closely linked with their core business (core competencies). Core competencies are what an organization uses to sustain a competitive advantage, it is therefore a strategic factor that has attracted much attention and is often linked to outsourcing decision (Kremic et al, 2006;Sislian & Satir, 2000). They provide value to customers and are difficult for competitors to replicate (Mohiuddin & Su 2013).

Researchers have argued about services to be outsourced and those ones that should remain inhouse. 123 Mohiuddin & Su (2013) stated that any function or sub-function that is strategic and an essential part of 124 the core competency of an organization should not be outsourced but anything that is not core competency 125 can be outsourced. They went further by saying that outsourcing non-core activities and concentrating on core 126 activities organizations may increase their performance by becoming more flexible and innovative. Aluko (2017) 127 opined that outsourcing of non-core services relieves the management of a lot of burden thereby concentrating 128 on organization's core competencies. Ichoho (2013) concluded that the outsourcing of non-core activities allows 129 an organization to increase managerial attention and resource allocation to those tasks that it does best and rely 130 on management teams in other firms to oversee task at which the organization is at a relative advantage. 131

Service quality refers to the improvements in service and quality which outsourcing can deliver (Mclvor, 2013). 132 Outsourcing provider can access more advanced technologies and count on more motivated staff and better 133 management systems in order to be able to achieve a better service coordination or control, or, simply, is more 134 strongly committed than the internal staff to make the alliance with the client work properly ??Clark, Zmud 135 136 & Mc Cray, 1995). Mclvor (2013) concluded that specialist vendors can often provide higher levels of service 137 quality than those of internal functions within the client. Elmuti (2003) demonstrated that outsourcing benefits 138 an organization's performance by improving its expertise and service quality, minimizing the number of employees it needs, optimizing its processes, and reducing costs and administrative burden. 139

Outsourcing additionally provides a large degree of flexibility in the utilization of resources and makes it easier 140 to face business level volatility, as the provider is left to deal with fluctuations in outsourced activity workloads 141 ??Jurison, 1995). Outsourcing flexibility include demand flexibility, operational flexibility, resource flexibility 142 or the flexibility of a number of other strategic elements. Long contracts outsourced into a limited market 143 have sometimes resulted in a loss of flexibility (Bryce & Useem, 1998), According to Kremic, et al., (2006), 144 organizations sometimes consider outsourcing in an effort to increase flexibility. Another factor for outsourcing 145 may be better accountability. Managers in public organizations generally realize an accountability improvement 146 in the particular function being outsourced (Deakin & Walsh, 1996). This assertion is in line with the argument 147 of Industry Commission (1996) that, competitive tendering and contracting can increase the accountability of 148 government agencies by forcing them to specify clearly the objectives of the service and the responsibilities of 149 the service providers, making it easier to identify who is responsible for different aspects of the service. 150

¹⁵¹ 6 b) Cost Factor

A prominent theme in the literature is that most outsourcing is primarily motivated by the organization's efforts 152 to reduce costs (Meckbach, 1998;Hendry, 1995;Welch & Nayak, 1992). According to Fill (2000), cost efficiency 153 154 remains the primary explanation for the development of outsourcing. If a function is to be outsourced for cost 155 reasons, then it is assumed that the current in-house costs are higher than the expected costs for purchasing 156 the service (Hansen, 2009). However, there is a significant uncertainty about the expected savings generated by outsourcing as cost savings may not be as high as sometimes reported (Alexander & Young, 1996). The basic fact 157 however is that, despite the uncertainty, many organizations outsource to reduce costs and therefore the higher 158 the internal cost to perform the function relative to the expected cost of purchasing the service the more likely 159 the function is to be outsourced (Kremic, et al., 2006). 160

¹⁶¹ 7 V. Theoretical Review: Resource-Based View

Resource-based view was first propounded by Penrose in 1959: then rediscovered by Warnerfelt in 1984 and 162 finally developed into a more robust theory by Barney in 1991. This theory has been employed for outsourcing 163 decisions, shifting the attention from transaction costs and opportunism to competitive advantage (Gottschalk 164 & Solli-Saether, 2006). The resource-based view of an organization rests on an assumption labeled as the 165 inside-out perspective. The inside-out perspective is described as a perspective that builds on an assumption 166 that organizations should clarify the availability of internal resources before they seek after resources outside 167 the organization (Prahalad & Hamel, 1990). The resource-based view provides an approach that regards the 168 organization as a set of resources and capabilities that are treated as the strengths that must be supported and 169 that should guide the organization's strategy (Grant, 1991). The core issue in resource-based theory is how 170 to identify and exploit existing resources more effectively in the organizations (Hedman & Kalling, 2002). A 171 conclusion made from this is that if a resource is seen as necessary for the organization's competitive advantage 172 it should be handled internally. However, as Mata, Fuerst & Barney (1995) describe it, whether an organization 173 gains competitive advantage from a resource depends on how the organization manages the resource. 174

A resource must be considered valuable for the organizations in order to be called resource in the resource-175 based theory. Valuable in this setting means that the resource enables the organizations to conceive or implement 176 strategies that improve efficiency and effectiveness in the organizations (Barney, 1991). For resources to be 177 valuable and become a competitive advantage resource, a number of criteria must be satisfied. According 178 to Cheon, Grover and Teng (1995), first, the resource must have a value, expressed as being valuable for 179 the organization; second, the resource must be rare, which means that it must be unique or rare among the 180 organization's competitors; third, the resources must be imperfectly imitable, which means that it is not possible 181 for the competitor to imitate the resource; and finally, the resource must be impossible to substitute, which 182 means that the organization's competitors cannot substitute the resource with another resource. 183

Those theorists who support the resourcebased theory consider that resources can be exploited by means 184 of contracts (Barney, 1999; Gainey & Klaas, 2003; Grant, 1991), and so this perspective may be a theoretical 185 framework that helps in the decision-making about which activities to outsource and which to perform in-house. 186 Within that perspective, the core competences approach is one of the most powerful frameworks to explain why 187 companies turn to outsourcing (Gilley & Rasheed, 2000; Cheon, et al., 1995). This approach suggests that an 188 189 organization should invest in those activities constituting core competences and outsource the rest (Prahalad & Hamel, 1990; Quinn, 1992; Quinn & Hilmer, 1994), since the former activities are those providing the organization's 190 growth and direction (Peteraf, 1993). 191

In this respect, Prahalad & Hamel (1990) point out that improved performance in an organization can be achieved through focusing on those resources that provide the core competences. What this means is that outsourcing decision requires deep understanding of the core competences upon which the organization builds its future competitive advantage (Bettis, Bradley & Hamel, 1992).

¹⁹⁶ 8 VI. Methodology

This study adopted descriptive research design method. This method elicited opinions from respondents with regard to the subject of the research. The opinions of the study population were collected through administration of questionnaires that asked questions concerning the factors impacting outsourcing decisions in Federal University Teaching Hospitals in Southwestern Nigeria.

The study targeted a population of 1,069 from all the categories of staff that is, Medical, Paramedical, Administration, Engineering/Technical and Non-Regular staff in the three Federal University Hospitals in Southwestern Nigeria. These Teaching Hospitals are: Lagos University Teaching Hospital (LUTH), Idi-Araba, Lagos; Obafemi Awolowo University Teaching Hospitals Complex (OAUTHC), Ile-Ife; and University College Hospital (UCH), Ibadan. This study used Yamane (1967) formula to pick the sample. This was done to take care of risk level as well as the level of sampling error.

Data was collected from primary sources through survey method by the use of questionnaires. The questions were close ended where a number of alternative answers were to be chosen by the respondents using a five point likert scale. The respondents were required to give their independent view on the factors impacting outsourcing decisions in their respective hospitals. The data presented in this study was based on the 982 questionnaires that were filled correctly and returned out of the total number of 1,069 copies administered. Data was presented in form of tables and charts for easy interpretation and recommendations in decision making process. The quantitative data was analyzed through descriptive statistics.

²¹⁴ 9 VII. Data Presentation and Analysis

This study sought to establish the factors impacting outsourcing decision in Federal University Teaching Hospitals in Southwestern Nigeria. In an attempt to determine these factors, the respondents were asked to indicate the extent to which they agreed or disagreed to the listed factors of outsourcing policy in their respective hospitals. From the table below, it is evident that better accountability/management and efficiency/effectiveness were the prime factors (2.45) that influenced outsourcing services in the hospitals under study. Other factors identified by the respondents in order of priority are: enhances service quality/delivery (2.43); enhances performance (2.42); improves flexibility (2.39); acquisition of specialist expertise (2.37); cost reduction (2.32); facilitates risks sharing (2.32); concentrates on core competencies (2.31); and facilitates access to latest technologies **??**2.21).

Furthermore, the grand mean was 2.37. This is an indication that responses tend towards "agreed" option to all the factors influencing outsourcing decision. However, out of the ten (10) factors identified from the literature, only four factors have mean score lower than the grand mean, five factors were higher than the grand mean while only one factor had equal mean with the grand mean. Deductively, factors influencing outsourcing decision from the perspective of the respondents were majorly enhancement of service quality/delivery, efficiency and effectiveness, performance, flexibility, and better accountability/ management. These are as presented in Table 1 below. The mean score shown in Table 1 were used to generate the chart below.

Source: Author's Field Survey, 2016 From the information presented in the analysis, it is clear that the factors 230 impacting outsourcing decision in the hospitals under study are: enhancement of service quality/delivery, increases 231 efficiency and effectiveness, enhances performance, improve flexibility, better accountability/management, 232 acquisition of specialist expertise, cost reduction, facilitate risks sharing, concentrate on core competencies, 233 facilitates access to latest technologies. The findings of this study is supported by Elmuti (2003) who found that 234 outsourcing benefits an organization's performance by improving its expertise and service quality. Mclvor (2013) 235 stated that specialist vendors can often provide higher levels of service quality than those of internal functions 236 237 within the client. Foxx, et al., (2009) concluded that outsourcing in the health sector had a positive effect on 238 accessing to healthcare and efficiency, equality and quality of health services provision.

Furthermore, the findings of this study corroborated the existing literature which says that, hospital outsource their peripheral activities in order to ensure increase in operational effectiveness and efficiency that may result in better quality, greater efficiency, shorter investment cycle and increasing returns (Kakabdse & Kakabadse, 2001;Velma, 2001;Vintar, 2011). Lonsdale & Cox (2000) argued that third party contractors could provide goods and services more efficiently and effectively than internal departments.

The findings also supported the work of Sislian & Satir (2000), Quinn (1999), Lankford & Parsa (1999) 244 and Wright (2001), when they opined that, because of intense competition, organizations are forced to reassess 245 and redirect scarce resources to where they make the greatest positive impact, namely the organization's core 246 functions. Outsourcing of non-core activities allows an organization to increase managerial attention and resource 247 allocation to those tasks that it does best and rely on management teams in other firms to oversee tasks at which 248 the organization is at a relative advantage (Ichoho, 2013;Aluko, 2017). Deakin & Walsh (1996) asserted that 249 managers in public organizations generally realize an accountability improvement in the particular function being 250 251 outsourced.

In addition, the findings of this study is consistent with the works of Jurison, (1995); Kremic, et al., (2006); 252 Vintar, (2011) which demonstrated that, organizations sometimes consider outsourcing in an effort to increase 253 flexibility. On the contrary, Bryce & Useem (1998) opined that long contracts outsourced into a limited market 254 have sometimes resulted in a loss of flexibility. Mui, (2003); Rothman, (2003) asserted that through outsourcing 255 of non-core services, organizations gain access to scholarly assets and access to wider knowledge and experience. 256 According to Brown & Wilson (2005), this situation may come about if a company finds in-house staff knowledge 257 insufficient for a given task. Kremic, et al., (2006) The findings of this study is also in line with the evidence 258 from the literature which revealed that most outsourcing is primarily motivated by the organization's efforts to 259 reduce costs (Meckbach, 1998;Hendry, 1995;Arnold, 2000;Fan, 2000). This is supported by Adegoroye (2006) 260 when he argued that the central thrust of public sector outsourcing in Nigeria is to reduce wastes in public 261 sector governance by giving out certain aspects of services hitherto performed by public institutions to private 262 providers, that is, to be competitively hired and held accountable to deliver preagreed quality standards at a cost 263 considered competitive. However, there is a significant uncertainty about the expected cost reduction occasioned 264 by the implementation of outsourcing policy. There is increasing evidence in the literature that cost savings have 265 been over-estimated and costs are sometimes higher after outsourcing (Bryce & Useem, 1998; Pepper, 1996; Vining 266 & Globerman, 1999). In addition to not realizing the costs that originally drove the outsourcing initiative, there 267 are also some additional indirect and social costs that may be incurred ??Gillet, 1994;Maltz & Ellram, 1997). 268 But the message in the literature is that the desire for cost savings may drive many outsourcing initiatives. 269 From the foregoing discussions, we conclude on the note and thesis that outsourcing in public organizations is 270

inevitable. And as such, outsourcing is a child of necessity and has become part and parcel of life globally.¹



Figure 1: Figure 1 :

Factors Cost reduction En- Neutral Strongly Disagree Agree Strongly Total Mean Volume	;
ables the hospital to con- 172 Dis- $94(9.6)$ 411 Agree 982 2.32 XIX	
centrate on core compe- (17.5) agree 119 (41.9) 184 (100) 2.31 Is-	
tencies Enhances perfor- 157 121 (12.1) 441 (18.7) 982 2.42 sue	
mances Enhances service (16.0) (12.3) 104 (44.9) 147 (100) 2.43 III	
quality/delivery Increases 145 118 (10.6) 468 (15.0) 982 2.45 Ver-	
efficiency and effectiveness (14.8) (12.0) 129 (47.7) 166 (100) sion	
134 99 (13.1) 443 (16.9) 982 I (
(13.6) (10.1) 132 (45.1) 175 (100) F	
127 101 (13.4) 448 (17.8) 982)	
(12.9) (10.3) (45.6) 175 (100)	
100 (17.8)	
(10.2)	
Facilitates access to latest 159 121 188 379 135 982 2.21	
technologies (16.2) (12.3) (19.1) (38.6) (13.7) (100)	
Acquisition of specialist 137 103 158 426 158 982 2.37	
expertise (14.0) (10.5) (16.1) (43.4) (16.1) (100)	
Facilitates risk sharing 142 97 (9.9) 195 401 147 982 2.32	
(14.5) (19.9) (40.8) (15.0) (100)	
Improves flexibility and re- 137 90 (9.2) 172 423 160 982 2.39	
duces the problem with (14.0) (17.5) (43.1) (16.3) (100)	
management	
Facilitates better account- 112 95 (9.7) 191 409 175 982 2.45	
ability/management (11.4) (19.5) (41.6) (17.8) (100)	
Grand mean 2.37	
Source: Fieldwork, 2016	

Figure	0	T 1 1	1	
HIGHTO	• • •	- Inhia		•
riguit	4.	Table	т.	•

2.	45
2.	39
2.	32
2.	37
2.	21
2.	45
2.	43
2.	42
2.	31
2.	32
2	

- [Vining and Globerman ()] 'A conceptual framework for understanding the outsourcing decision'. A Vining , S
 Globerman . European Management Journal 1999. 17 (6) p. .
- [Wernerfelt ()] 'A resource-based view of the Firm'. B Wernerfelt . Strategic Management Journal 1984. 5 p. .
- [Aluko ()] Assessment of outsourcing policy in federal university teaching hospitals in Southwestern Nigeria.
 Unpublished Ph.D. thesis submitted to the Department of Public Administration, L O Aluko . 2017. Nigeria.
 Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife
- [Competitive tendering and contracting by public sector agencies ()] Competitive tendering and contracting by public sector agencies, 1996. p. 48. (Report No)
- [Hendry ()] 'Culture, community and networks: The hidden cost of outsourcing'. J Hendry . European Journal
 of Management 1995. 13 (2) p. .
- [Hansen ()] 'Currents in compensation and benefits'. T Hansen . Compensation and benefits review 2009. 41 (1)
 p. .
- [Adu et al. ()] 'Evaluating the potential impact of support services outsourcing on healthcare at the Korle BU
- teaching hospital of Ghana'. J K Adu , E Amponsah , K Poku . Social and Basic Sciences Research Review
 2014. 1 (2) p. .
- [Vintar ()] 'Evaluation of impact of outsourcing on efficiency of public sector organizations'. M Vintar .
 International Journal of Business and Management Studies 2011. 3 (2) p. .
- [Tas and Sunder ()] Financial services business process outsourcing. Communication of the HCM, J Tas , S
 Sunder . 2004. 47 p. .
- [Barney ()] 'Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage'. J B Barney . Journal of Management 1991.
 17 p. .
- [Barney ()] 'How a firm's capabilities affect boundary decisions'. J B Barney . Sloam Managament Review 1999.
 p. .
- [Seth and Sethi ()] 'Human resource outsourcing: Analysis based on literature review'. S Seth , D Sethi .
 International Journal of Innovation 2011. 2 (2) p. . (Management and Technology)
- Ichoho ()] Implementation of outsourcing Strategy at the Nairobi Hospital, Kenya. Master's thesis, J W Ichoho
 2013. Kenya. University of Nairobi
- [Mata et al. ()] 'Information technology and sustained competitive advantage: A resource-based analysis'. F J
 Mata , W L Fuerst , J B Barney . MIS Quarterly 1995. 19 (4) p. .
- [Quinn ()] Intelligent Enterprise: A Knowledge and Service Based Paradigm for Industry, J B Quinn . 1992.
 New York: free press.
- [Hedman and Kalling ()] IT and business models, concepts and theories, J Hedman , T Kalling . 2002.
 Copenhagen: Copenhagen Business School Press.
- Boyson et al. ()] Logistics and the extended enterprise, S Boyson , T M Corsi , M E Dresner , L Harrington .
 1999. New York: John Whiley and Sons Inc.
- [Gilley and Rasheed ()] 'Making more by doing less: Analysis of outsourcing and its effects on firm performance'.
 K M Gilley , A Rasheed . *Journal of Management* 2000. 26 (4) p. .
- [Velma ()] 'Managing strategic outsourcing in the healthcare industry'. R Velma . Journal of Healthcare
 Management 2001. 46 p. .
- [Wright ()] Market view point: Outsourcing is a no -claim bonus. Insurance Brokers' Monthly and Insurance
 Adviser, L Wright . 2001. 51 p. .
- [Mui ()] Master's thesis, Bleking Institution of Technology, K S A Mui . 2003. Sweden. (Investigation of IT/IS
 Outsourcing in Singapore)
- [Gottschalk and Solli -Saether ()] Maturity model for IT outsourcing relationships. Industrial Management and
 Data Systems, P Gottschalk , H Solli -Saether . 2006. 106 p. .
- [Dancer ()] Mopping up hospital infection, in Steve Davies Hospital contract cleaning and infection control, S J
 Dancer . Retrievedfromwww.cf.uk/socsi/CREST 1999.
- [National Outsourcing Policy and Institutional Framework for Nigeria ()] National Outsourcing Policy and In stitutional Framework for Nigeria, 2007. Abuja, Nigeria. (Federal Government of Nigeria)
- [Arnold ()] 'New dimensions of outsourcing: A combination of transaction cost economics and the core
 competencies concept'. U Arnold . European Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management 2000. 6 (1)
 p. .
- [Mohiuddin and Su ()] 'Offshore outsourcing of core and non-core activities and integrated firmlevel performance: An empirical analysis of Quebec Manufacturing SMEs'. M Mohiuddin, Z Su. *Management* 2013. 16 (4) p. .

9 VII. DATA PRESENTATION AND ANALYSIS

- [Taplin ()] Outsourcing and human resource management: An International Survey, R Taplin . 2008. London:
 Rourtledge.
- Bettis et al. ()] Outsourcing and industrial decline, R A Bettis , S Bradley , G Hamel . 1992. Academy of
 Management Executive. 6 p. .
- [Kremic et al. ()] 'Outsourcing decision support: A survey of benefits, risks, and decision factor. Supply Chain
 Management'. T Kremic, I T Oya, O R Walter. An International Journal 2006. 11 (6) p. .
- [Kakabadse and Kakabadse ()] 'Outsourcing in the public service: A comparative analysis of practice, capability
 and impact'. A Kakabadse , N Kakabadse . *Public Administration and Development* 2001. 21 (8) p. .
- [Roy ()] 'Outsourcing of service provisioning and changing employment relationship in public sector hospitals of
 West Bengal'. B Roy . The Indian Journal of Labour Economics 2010. 53 (2) p. .
- [Foxx et al. ()] 'Outsourcing services in the healthcare sector'. W K Foxx , M D Bunn , V Mccay . Journal of
 Medical Marketing 2009. 9 (1) p. .
- 338 [Lankford and Parsa ()] Outsourcing: A primer. Management decision, W M Lankford , F Parsa . 1999. 37 p. .
- [Jenster and Pedersen ()] 'Outsourcing: Facts and fiction'. P V Jenster , H S Pedersen . Strategic Change 2000.
 9 (3) p. .
- [Meckbach ()] 'Pros not the whole story: Watch out for the cons'. G Meckbach . Computing Canada 1998. 24
 (11) p. .
- 343 [Quinn and Hilmer ()] 'Strategic outsourcing'. J B Quinn, F Hilmer. Sloan Management Review 1994. p. .
- [Alexander and Young ()] Strategic Outsourcing. Long Range Planning, M Alexander, D Young. 1996. 29 p. .
- [Greaver ()] Strategic outsourcing: A structured approach to outsourcing decision and initiatives, M F Greaver .
 1999. New York: Amacon.
- [Fan ()] Strategic outsourcing: Evidence from British companies. Marketing Intelligence and Planning, Y Fan .
 2000. 18 p. .
- [Quinn ()] 'Strategic outsourcing: Leveraging knowledge capabilities'. J B Quinn . Sloan Management Review
 1999. p. .
- [Sislian and Satir ()] 'Strategic sourcing: A framework and a case study'. E Sislian , A Satir . Journal of Supply
 Chain Management 2000. 36 (3) p. .
- Welch and Nayak ()] 'Strategic sourcing: A progressive approach to the make or buy'. H A Welch , P R Nayak
 The Academy of Management Executive 1992. 6 (1) p. .
- [Brown and Wilson ()] The Black book of outsourcing, D Brown , S Wilson . 2005. New Jersey, USA: John Willey
 and Sons.
- ³⁵⁷ [Prahalad and Hamel ()] 'The core competence of the corporation'. C K Prahalad , G Hamel . *Harvard Business* ³⁵⁸ *Review* 1990. 68 p. .
- [Peteraf ()] 'The cornerstone of the competitive advantage: A resource-based view'. M A Peteraf . Strategic
 Management Journal 1993. 14 p. .
- [Lewin and Johnson ()] 'The effects of organizational restructuring on industrial buying behavior: 1990 and
 beyond'. J E Lewin , W J Johnson . Journal of Business and Industrial Marketing 1996. 11 (6) p. .
- [Deakin and Walsh ()] 'The enabling state: The role of markets and contracts'. S Deakin , K Walsh . Public
 Administration 1996. 74 (1) p. .
- [Lonsdale and Cox ()] The historical development of outsourcing: The latest fad? Industrial Management and
 Data System, C Lonsdale, A Cox. 2000. 100 p. .
- ³⁶⁷ [Bryce and Useem ()] 'The impact of corporate outsourcing on company value'. D J Bryce , M Useem . *European* ³⁶⁸ Management Journal 1998. 16 (6) p. .
- [Fill ()] 'The outsourcing dilemma: A composite approach to make or buy decision'. C Fill . Management Decision
 2000. 35 (1) p. .
- [Clark et al. ()] 'The outsourcing of information services: Transforming the nature of business in the Information
 Industry'. T D Clark , R W Zmud , G E Mccray . Journal of Information Technology 1995. 10 p. .
- 373 [Gainey and Klaas ()] 'The outsourcing of training and development: Factors impacting client satisfaction'. T
 374 W Gainey , B S Klaas . Journal of Management 2003. 29 p. .
- [Elmuti ()] 'The perceived impact of outsourcing on organizational performance'. D Elmuti . Mid-American
 Journal of Business 2003. 18 (2) p. .
- 377 [Grant ()] 'The resource -based theory of competitive advantage: Implications for strategy formulation'. R M
- 378 Grant . California Management Review 1991. 33 p. .

- [Jurision ()] 'The role of risk and return in information technology outsourcing decision'. J Jurision . Journal of
 information Technology 1995. 10 (4) p. .
- 381 [Penrose ()] The Theory of the Growth of the Firm, E T Penrose . 1959. Oxford: Basil Blackwell.
- [Cheon et al. ()] 'Theoretical perspectives on the outsourcing of information systems'. M J Cheon , V Grover , J
 T C Teng . Journal of Informatioin Technology 1995. 10 p. .
- [Maltz and Ellram ()] 'Total cost of relationship: An analytical framework for the logistics outsourcing decision'.
 A B Maltz , L M Ellram . Journal of Business Logistics 1997. 18 (1) p. .
- [Pepper ()] 'Trends in outsourcing'. B Pepper . Information Security Technical Report 1996. 1 (3).
- [Bendor-Samuel ()] Turning lead into gold: The demystification of outsourcing, P Bendor-Samuel . 2000. Provo,
 UT: Executive Excellence Publishing.
- [Gillett ()] 'Viewpoint the cost -benefit of outsourcing: Assessing the true cost of your outsourcing strategy'. J
 Gillett . European Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management 1994. 1 (1) p. .
- 391 [Mclvor ()] What do we know about services outsourcing?, R Mclvor . ICAS: Edinburg. 2013.