Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

Is there a Future? Some Answers from Indian Philosophical and Narrative Literature

Phyllis Granoff

Received: 13 December 2018 Accepted: 4 January 2019 Published: 15 January 2019

6 Abstract

3

4

past, present and future. The Buddhist philosopher N?g?rjuna in his Madhyama ka??stra, 7 chapter 19, has a brief refutation of Time that serves as a useful starting point for discussion. 8 1 One of the most radical Buddhist doctrines dealing with past and future that eradicates the 9 distinction between them develops several centuries after N?g?rjuna, with the philosopher 10 Prajñ?karagupta in the 9 th c. CE.Nagarjuna has three basic points in this chapter but his 11 main argument is fairly simple. Past, present, and future are relative concepts and are defined 12 with reference to each other. For example, the past and future are only understood with 13 reference to the present time. Now for the past and future to depend on the present, they 14 must exist in the present time. Something that does not exist cannot depend on something 15 else. Or, another way of saying the same thing, if the past depends on the present then the 16 present must exist in the past. What we get in the end is that past, present and future must 17 all exist simultaneously. This is, I hope to show, exactly the impression we get from certain 18 narratives. 2 1 19

20

Index terms— Prajn?karagupta argues that what is in the future can serve as a cause of something that preceded it. The 21 22 normal construction of causality, which met with pretty much universal approval from all the schools of philosophy, 23 is that a cause immediately precedes its product. For Prajñ?karagupta this understanding of causality which 24 had imbedded in it a strict temporal relationship between prior cause and posterior effect was too limited. 25 26 Prajñ?karagupta uses omens as a case in point. It is the future good fortune or misfortune, he argues, that 27 causes an omen to appear. There are other cases in which a theory of future causes is called upon. Buddhists have a distinctive theory of inference, arguing that there are only two possible relationships between the terms 28 in a valid inference and one of these is causality. One can infer a cause from its product because in the absence 29 of a cause either a product would not exist or if it did, it would be eternal. But there is another feature of these 30 inferences: it is not possible to infer a product from a cause, since causes do not always produce their products. 31 Many things may intervene to stop a cause from functioning. This Buddhist theory ran up against several widely 32 accepted I. Introduction: Philosophers Grapple with the Mystery of Time 33

he assumption in a conference talking about the future, is, I think, that the future is something we can in fact 34 talk about as distinct from the present and the past by definition, in function and in ontological status. Alas, as I 35 began to think more closely about these assumptions I was ready to call off the show. Many Indian philosophers 36 37 in fact argued that it is impossible to define the three times, past, present and future as distinct from each other; 38 some even went so far as to assert that no difference can be seen in the function of something that is past and 39 something that is future. Both past and future can be objects of knowledge, and this is trickier, both can act as causes giving rise to products. This ability to cause something was seen by Buddhists and following them, 40 by Jains too as the very definition of existence; an imaginary flower doesn't emit fragrance but a real flower 41 does. If past, present and future things all can act as causes, then they are all equally existent. Debates over 42 the ontological status of the past and future and the very nature of Time are many in Indian philosophy and 43 have a complex history. More often than not such rarefied philosophical arguments existed in an intellectual 44 world that was very different from the extensive space occupied by narrative literature in all of India's three 45

Is there a Future? Some Answers from Indian Philosophical and Narrative Literature

classical religions, Buddhism, Jainism, and Hinduism. In some cases stories may even appear to be at odds with fundamental doctrines. An obvious example of such a disconnect between doctrine and story literature is the entire genre of J?takas or stories of the Buddha's past births, in which the Buddha explains that he was the character in the past about whom the story was told, despite the pan-Buddhist denial of an enduring self. Stories from all three traditions have complex ways of dealing with the three times, past, present and future, and I will argue here that their treatment of time is one case in which narratives mirror the philosopher's concerns.

Debates about the nature of the past, present and future in Indian philosophy are debates about Time itself, 52 K?la, as a substantial entity that can be clearly defined. The challenge for the philosopher who accepts the 53 reality of Time is to explain how Time can be one entity and yet be experienced in three different ways, as T 54 inferences; among them is the inference that a constellation x will rise soon because we now see constellation y, 55 which we observe always precedes it. This looks like an inference of a future product, constellation x, from its 56 cause, constellation y. There were ways around this, but Prajñ?karagupta's theory of future causality provided 57 a new one. He said that this inference constellation x will rise, because constellation y is present, is in fact an 58 inference of a cause, the future constellation x, from its product, the present constellation y. ?? This theory of 59 backward causation radically undermines efforts to separate the three times; it implies that there is no difference 60 in functioning between a cause that is past (the normal theory) and a cause that is future(the new theory) and 61 62 makes future, past and present functionally equivalent. ?? Given that the definition of existence in Buddhism 63 is causal efficiency, in this theory past, present and future are not only equally existent; they cannot be defined 64 as different from each other on the basis of whether or not they have causal efficiency. This is a radical theory. Well before Prajn?karagupta Buddhist philosophers of the Sarv?sviv?da school had argued for the necessity of 65 granting existence to past and future factors, and even some causal function, but they then endeavored to explain 66 what differentiates past and future from present factors. They distinguished the present from the past and future 67 by arguing that while past and future have capability, only present factors have activity. ?? Prajñ?karagupta's 68 ideas were rejected by nonbuddhists, but N?g?rjuna's arguments about Time find a close parallel in the celebrated 69 work of the Ved?nta philosopher ?r?Har?a, the Kha??ana kha??akh?dya. The Kha??ana has a more extensive 70 refutation of the three times, past, present and future. 71

72 Prajñ?karagupta does not make any such distinction when he makes the case for future causality.

The opponent here, a representative of a realist school like the Ny?ya or Vai?e?ika, holds that time is a 73 substance and that it is one, all-pervasive, and eternal. ?r?Har?a replies that in that case the present time would 74 75 never be perceived as past or future, since by definition if it is one and unchanging it would always have to be perceived as present. The opponent is allowed to refine his doctrine somewhat and say that time is a single 76 substance but that it is also three-fold by nature. In that case, ?r?Har?a replies, when something is perceived 77 as present it should also be perceived as past and future, since all time by its very nature is three-fold, past, 78 present and future. The next suggestion is closer to what realist philosophers actually do say, and that is that 79 time is one but it is differentiated into past and present by its association with something external to it, namely 80 the activity of the sun. This is not going to solve the problem, since the past and the future and the present will 81 all share this characteristic of being delimited by the movement of the sun. If it is the same solar activity, we are 82 back where we started from-that it is impossible to differentiate the past and future from the present. Next the 83 opponent tries to improve his position by saying that the present time is characterized by the movement of the 84 sun that is currently taking place, while the past time is characterized by a movement of the sun that no longer 85 exists and the future by a movement of the sun that is yet to come into being. It is not difficult to see what the 86 problem is with this formulation: the definition of the present requires that we already know what the present 87 is, since it requires that we are able to distinguish the activity of the sun as present, past and future. You thus 88 need to know the present to know the present. And one can also ask what activity determines that the present 89 activity of the sun is present? Again, it is not hard to see that this eventually results in an infinite regress of 90 activities to demarcate an infinite series of present activities. ?r?Har?a continues, but the general trend of the 91 argument is clear. The past, present and future are inextricably intertwined and every effort to define them as 92 separate from each other must end in failure. In fact whatever definition the opponent can give for one of the 93 three times applies equally to the other two times 7 94

In their debates with other philosophers Jains stand somewhere in between N?g?rjuna and ?r?Har?a on the one hand and their realist opponents on the other.

97 . ?r?Har?a ends up in the same place as N?g?rjuna: past, present and future would all be one and the same 98 time.

8 They repeat several arguments shared by N?g?rjuna and ?r?Har?aagainst the Ny?ya/Vai?e?ika contention 99 that Time is a substance that is one, all pervasive, and eternal. 9 7 See also Jonathan Duquette and Krishnamurti 100 Ramasubramanian, "?r?har?a on the Indefinability of Time", in Space, Time and the Limits of Understanding, 101 eds. S. ??uppulari & G. Ghirardi, Springer: The Frontiers Collection, 2017, pp. 2-16. 8 I make this qualification 102 since much of the Jain concept of time is specifically Jain and never enters into mainstream philosophical 103 literature. See for example the Dravyasamgraha of Nemicandra with English Translation of Vijay, K. Jain, 104 Dehdradun: Vikalp Printers, N.D. II. The Lives of the Buddhas: Past, Present and Future Jain thinking it seems 105 did not entirely escape the conundrum of making sense of Time on the one hand and the three times on the 106 other. 107

108 It is often difficult to move from the abstract arguments of the philosopher to other forms of writing and to

know if the rarified philosophical speculations had any bearing on life closer to the ground: on literature or on religious practice. I hope to show that in fact we can see in narratives and poems from all the three religious traditions the same kinds of slippage between past, present and future that the philosophers highlight and in the Jain case we may even find a clear distinction between remote and near past. I begin with selected Buddhist literature.

The three times glide into each other in many ways in the narratives of the lives of the Buddhas. It has been 114 noted that generally the past and the future are described in Buddhist literature with the same phrases, "many 115 aeons from now in the past" or "many aeons from now in the future": an?gatea dhvaneasam khyeyekalpe or at?te 116 'dhvaniasam khyeyekalpe. It is possible to substitute past for future and future for past 10 without changing 117 anything else in the phrase. ??? In a way this could serve as a metaphor for the treatment of the past and future 118 in the literature that treats the lives of the Buddhas, in which the past, present and future seem virtually identical 119 and are always intertwined. For Buddhists, ??kyamuni, called by scholars the historical Buddha to distinguish 120 him from the mythical Buddhas of the past and future, was only one of many Buddhas. There were Buddhas 121 in the past and will be Buddhas in the future. The Pali Buddhavamsa is probably the best known text on the 122 Buddhas of the past and tells the lives of 25 past Buddhas. ??3 Descriptions of the events in the lives of the 123 Buddhas of the past and future exist in the Sanskrit Buddhist traditions as well. The Mah?vastu includes two 124 recensions of a Many Buddhas Sutra, Bahubuddhaka sutra; the speaker is the Buddha of the present ??kyamuni, 125 126 and he tells of both the past Buddhas who came before him and Maitreya, the Buddha who will come after 127 him. A version of the Many Buddhas Sutra or Bahubuddhaka sutra has been discovered among the very earliest Buddhist manuscripts from Gilgit, bringing the date of this genre of texts down to the 1 st c CE. 128

An earlier Pali sutta the Mah?pad?na sutta, had told the lives of the seven Buddhas of the past. There is also in Palian An?gatavamsa, "The Future Lineage", that describes the coming of the future Buddha Maitreya, after a brief account of some of the Buddhas of the past. It is not uncommon for texts to include accounts of both the Buddhas of the past and the future.

14 Another text, the Bhadrakalpika Sutra, gives information about the usual Buddhas of the immediate past 133 and the future Buddha Maitreya, but then talks about some further 999 Buddhas of the future. ??5 The lives of 134 past Buddhas and future Buddha(s) in all these texts are formulaic and remarkably similar to each other. The 135 speaker is the present Buddha, ??kyamuni, and being Omniscient he knows equally both past and future. The 136 past and the future are both objects of perceptual knowledge for the Buddha. Richard Salomon in discussing 137 these texts that combine accounts of future and past Buddhas remarks that in Buddhist sources there is no 138 difference between history and prophecy. ??6 The sense that the three times are not distinct from each other is 139 conveyed by the fact that the lives of the Buddhas are so formulaic; as the present Buddha describes the lives 140 of other Buddhas it is clear there is indeed very little if anything at all that differentiates a past Buddha from a 141 future or the present Buddha. Indeed, in the Mah?vastu accounts of the many Buddhas, the past merges almost 142 entirely into the future, that is, the present, the time of the narrator, as ??kyamuni, the present Buddha, recounts 143 how in the past he was a merchant and made a vow to become a Buddha under a past Buddha who was also 144 named ??kyamuni and lived in the city of Kapilavastu. 145

In fact, this is clear from the title of the texts: in Pali accounts of the past Buddhas and of the future Buddha
are both called va?sas, a term we usually translate as history, but which is more properly an account of a lineage.
I return to this use of the term va?sa below.

These texts in fact provide a narrative parallel to the Buddhist philosopher's denial that there is anything 149 unique about the past or the future or that it is possible to define one to the exclusion of the other. For the 150 philosopher, given the dependence of the three times on each other, the conclusion was clear: since something 151 can only depend on another thing that exists at the same time as itself, it must be admitted that all three times, 152 dependent as they are on each other, would have to exist at the same time, meaning that they all would have 153 to be either past, present or future. This makes it utter nonsense to speak of three distinct times, past, present 154 and future. Again, for the philosopher this absurd situation was meant to lead any thoughtful person to reject 155 entirely the very notion of time. But for those who wrote the life stories of the past, present and future Buddhas, 156 this kind of entanglement of past, present and future was a boon. It became a means to express the eternal 157 nature of the Buddhist teaching and ensure that the object of Buddhist practice, Liberation or the achievement 158 of Buddhahood, was open to the future. ??? The present Buddha ??kyamuni also comes from Kapilavastu. The 159 past is a double for the future, which in the time of the narrative is the present. That the present ??kyamuni is 160 exactly like the past Buddha ??kyamuni is clear from the content of the vow he makes at the very beginning of 161 the Mah?vastu, "In the future may I be a Buddha exactly like this one; may I also be named ??kyamuni and 162 have a city called Kapilavastu." 18 particular past ??kyamuni was not the only past Buddha with that name; in 163 fact our ??kvamuni had worshipped a vast number of ??kvamuni Buddhas. ??9 Scholars familiar with Buddhist 164 literature could easily add other examples of narratives in which past, present and future entwine. The entire 165 genre of Jataka stories, stories of the past births of the Buddha, would be an obvious place to start. In the 166 jatakas the Buddha tells a story of the past that is meant to explain the present. The texts use a telling simile; 167 revealing the past, concealed to his audience, is like drawing out the moon that was behind a cloud. The moon 168 and the past are there, but are temporarily invisible. 169

The Buddhas of the past are indistinguishable from each other and from the Buddha of the future/present not only in their actions but even in name.

Is there a Future? Some Answers from Indian Philosophical and Narrative Literature

Lives of the Buddhas, whether they extend back into the past or move ahead to the future, in these accounts 172 also remain deeply rooted in the present by the central presence of the historical Buddha ??kyamuni. Even where 173 the past Buddha is not given the same name as the present Buddha as is the case in the Mah?vastu, nonetheless 174 in a text like the Buddhavamsa the present Buddha ??kyamuni is the narrator and as he relates the lives of 175 the past Buddhas he emphasizes who he was at that time and what meritorious deeds he did. In some cases 176 he makes a resolve to become a Buddha in the future and attains a prediction that his desire will be fulfilled. 177 The text is really an account of the past lives and deeds of ??kyamuni that resulted in his becoming the Buddha 178 of the present age. In all these texts, whether the emphasis is on ??kyamuni's pious deeds or on predictions of 179 future Buddhahood, whatever the names of the past Buddhas, the focus on the present Buddha brings together 180 in his person the past, present and future. The past is significant because it is implies the future, which in the 181 narrative is the present time. It is as almost as if the composer of these texts had something like N?g?rjuna's 182 first verse in mind, that the present and the future are intimately tied to and dependent upon the past. The 183 awareness of the inseparability of past, present and future, which led the philosopher to deny the very possibility 184 of something called "time", is for these narratives part of their core structure and essential message. Like the 185 Buddhists, Jains believe in a series of past and future Jinas. There are twenty-four Jinas of our present world age, 186 which constitute the Jinas of an extended present. I use the phrase extended present since many of these Jinas 187 are said to have existed in a time remote from ours, although still in the present very long time cycle. Scholars 188 believe that the last two in the traditional list of twenty-four, P?r?van?tha and Mah?v?ra, were historical figures. 189 190 Jains also composed texts which told the life stories of these 24Jinas. Unlike the Buddhist narratives which are held together by the central figure of ??kyamuni, who narrates the stories of the other Buddhas and tells us how 191 he worshipped the past Buddhas, resolved to become a Buddha under them and received a prediction from one 192 or more of them that he would become a Buddha, there is no one Jina whose life is the central focus of all the 193 narratives and around whom stories of the other Jinas cluster. ??1 This no doubt reflects the fact that many 194 of the Jinas in the list were full-fledged objects of worship in their own right, which was less the case with the 195 individual Buddhas of the past. That the lives of the Jina are different from the lives of the Buddhas is reflected 196 in the very different words Jains and Buddhists used to describe their texts. The lives of the Buddhas were often 197 calledva?sas. A va?sa is a lineage history; royal va?sas give the history of a dynastic succession. Monastic va?sas 198 detail the succession of monks in the position of chief monk or abbot. A vamsa thus implies a direct connection 199 between the individuals whose stories are told, either through biology or discipleship. Even where the accounts 200 are not given the title va?sa, the parallel between the account of the successive rebirths of ??kyamuni at the time 201 of the past Buddhas and a royal genealogy is clear from the language of the texts. Thus the Mah?vastu describes 202 the prediction for Buddhahood given ??kyamuni by the previous Buddha K??yapa as his "being concentrated 203 to the position of crown prince", yuvar?jye 'bhi?ikta?. ??2 Even when the lives of the twenty-four Jinas were 204 put together as a collection, there was still minimal or no continuity from one life to another. In fact there are 205 By contrast the lives of the Jinasare most often called caritas, something we might translate as "Account of the 206 Deeds". Caritas of different individuals were often collected into a single text, but there was no expectation of 207 any connection between the subjects of the different caritas. 208

only two occasions in the lives of the Jinas in which a later Jina is said to be a rebirth of someone who had
appeared in the life of a previous Jina. This is a stark contrast to the Buddhist texts like the Buddhava?sa or
the Mah?vastuin which as we have seen the historical Buddha ??kyamuni appears as the main character in the
life of the past Buddhas. Perhaps the best-known collection of the lives of the Jinas is the 12 th c. Tri?a??i?al?
k?puru?acarita of the ?vet?mbara monk Hemacandra. It begins with the first Jina of our world age, ??abhan?tha,
and ends with the last Jina, Mah?v?ra.

The life of Mah?v?ra is somewhat atypical in the number of unfortunate prior rebirths for Mah?v?ra that it 215 recounts. It is also unusual that two of these rebirths appear in the stories of earlier Jinas, creating a tenuous 216 connection between the lives of different Jinas. In the account of ??abhan?tha we meet the Jina's grandson, 217 Mar?ci. Mar?ci attends the preaching of his grandfather ??abhan?tha, who predicts that he will one day become 218 a V?sudeva, a World-emperor or Cakravartin, and a Jina. The Jains single out a number of special individuals 219 in theiruniversal history; V?sudevasare wicked people who are defeated by their antagonists, the Prativ?sudevas. 220 ??3 Mar?ci has a surprising career for a future Jina; he becomes a false ascetic and is subsequently reborn in low 221 rebirths, in which he commits many violent acts. He turns up in his rebirth as a V?sudeva named Trip???ha at the 222 preaching assembly of the eleventh Jina?rey??sa, where he finally gains solid faith in the Jain teachings. This does 223 not stop him, however, from living a dissolute life and falling prey to violent anger. From that birth he is reborn 224 in hell more than once; he endures several rebirths as animals and finally as a human begins to acquire good 225 karma. ??4 He will eventually become the last JinaMah?v?ra. Trip???ha is mentioned again in the biography of 226 the sixteenth Jina, ??ntin?tha, one of whose previous rebirths is as a son of Trip????a's brother-in-law. 25 227

23 John E Cort, "Genres of Jain History", Journal of Indian ??hilosophy, 23: 469-506, 1995. 24 The deeds of
Trip???ha are told in the two Jina biographies, that of Mah?v?ra and ?rey??sa, Tri?a??i?al? k?caritavols 3: 9-59
and 6: 10-17. References are to the translation by Helen M. Johnson, Baroda: Oriental Institute, 1931-1962 25
Tri?a??i?al? k?puru?acarita, vol. III, Baroda: Oriental Institute, 1949, p 208.

Even from this brief account it is clear that although the lives of the three Jinas ??abhan?tha, ?rey??sa and Mah?v?ra and perhaps ??ntin?thahave this minimal point of contact through ??abhan?tha's grandson Mar?ci and his subsequent rebirth as the V?sudeva Trip???ha, this association in no way serves to construct linear account of the virtuous deeds that the previous rebirths of the Jina Mah?v?ra performed under past Jinas and that led to his becoming a Jina. Many of Mar?ci's and Trip??tha's deeds, as we have just noted, are in fact quite heinous and lead to bad rebirths, in low caste families, or even

²³⁸ 1 Volume XIX Issue VII Version I

$_{239}$ 2 (A)

worse in hell or as animals. ??6 Jinas gain the karma that determines that they will become Jinas in their 240 second to last rebirth, after which they are reborn in heaven. From heaven they are reborn on earth to become 241 Jinas. ??7 Mah?v?ra gained his so-called T?rthankarak?t karma after being an ideal ruler who renounced 242 and lived the life of an exemplary Jain monk. ??8 The account of his deeds in that birth is brief indeed, so 243 brief as to make us wonder if the author suspected that virtuous deeds make less exciting reading than wicked 244 ones. We are told simply that as prince Nandana he ruled righteously and then renounced; as a monk he 245 246 engaged in rigorous asceticism. Instead of deeds we are given a long list of his virtues, redolent of monastic 247 scholasticism, rejecting five of this and four of that, knowing the 11 canonical scriptures and practicing twelve-248 fold penance, etc. ??9 In fact this long list of his virtues in his second to last rebirth comes as something of a surprise after the wickedness of Trip???ha, recounted in some detail. Also significant is that Prince 249 250 Nandana renounces the world to become a monk under the tutelage of another monk and not under a past 251 Jina. 30 ??6 The Buddha could also have unfortunate past births; in the Temiya or M?gapakkjaj?taka, 538, we learn that the Bodhisattva, having been king in Banaras for twenty years was born in hell, where 252 he spent 80 years. After that he was born in heaven. https://www.tipitaka.org/romn/ accessed December 253 Bodhisattopitad?v?sativass ?nib?r??asiya?rajja?k?retv?tatocutoussadanirayenibbattitv?as?tivassassattopitad?v?sativassassattopitad?v?sativassassattopitad?v?sativassassattopitad?v?sativassassattopitad?v?sativassattopitad?vsati30. 2018. 254 ahass?nitatthapaccitv?tatocavitv?t?vati?sabhavanenibbatti. ??7 The second to last rebirth is also important 255 in Buddhism; for the Therav? dins it is the birth as Vessantara, but for other groups it is under the Buddha 256 257 K??yapa. On this see Tournier 236-239. ??8 There is a standard list of the deeds that lead to binding the karma that will result in being a Jina. It begins with worshipping the Jinas and their images and includes looking after 258 your gurus and fellow monks, mastering the scriptures, avoiding breaking the rules of proper conduct, meditating 259 260 and practicing austerities. They are detailed in the biography of the first Jina, Johnson vol. 1, Baroda: Oriental Institute 1931, pp.80-85. The list of Nandana's virtues does not correspond to this standard list of actions leading 261 to becoming a Tirthankara. There is no effort, even in this one Jina biography that has connections to the lives 262 of other Jinas, to establish anything like a lineage of Jinas in which there is continuity between the Jinas of the 263 distant past and the present. There is also a sharp disjuncture over the long term between the past and future 264 rebirths within this single biography. The rebirths of Mah?v?ra in the distant past, in hell, as animals, are in 265 stark contrast to his birth as a righteous prince and then a god and finally as the prince who will become the 266 267 Jina. If we look at the individual rebirths, however, proximate rebirths are closely connected. Thus the wicked Trip??ha goes to hell for his violent deeds, and the imperfect ascetic Mar?cikeeps turning up in low caste families. 268 The distinction between remote and proximate past, so important to the Jain philosopher Prabh?candra, I would 269 argue, is essential to understanding the trajectory of the rebirths in this biography. Even in the lives of the other 270 Jinas, where there is more consistency over the many rebirths, the belief that the karma to become a Jina is 271 bound in the penultimate human birth implies a special status for the proximate past. ??1 The past lives of a 272 Jina, proximate and remote, were all important to the Jina's life story, so fundamental that they even came to 273 be listed in short hymns of praise to the Jinas. The 13 th century monk Dharmaghosa composed a number of 274 hymns to praise the Jinas that list the Jina's rebirths. 275

276 The life of Mah?v?ra differs in another way from the vast numbers of didactic stories that Jains loved to tell. In the bulk of stories, there is no disjunction between the present and the rebirths of the proximate and distant past 277 The world of Jain didactic stories verges on the claustrophobic, with souls transmigrating together over countless 278 rebirths. Past enmities and loves continually resurface and explain otherwise seemingly random attachments and 279 hatreds. In these stories, moreover, past, present and future as emotional experiences are indistinguishable, as 280 souls repeat their past entanglements and head for more of the same in the future. These stories, and to a lesser 281 extent the lives of the other Jinas, are consistent with the reticence of the Jain philosopher on the question of 282 how past, present and future can be distinguished from each other. 32 ??1 At times Buddhists will also make 283 a distinction between remote and proximate past, as in the d?renid?na and avid?renid?na in the biography of 284 the Buddha in the j?taka??hakath?. The distinction between remote and proximate past is well known to the 285 Sanskrit grammarians; thus the perfect tense is enjoined for the remote past, while the aorist is intended to 286 287 denote recent past. ??armutScharfe, Grammatical Literature, Wiesbaden: Otto Harrassowitz, 1977 ?? p. 96. 32 288 Jainastotrasandoha, vol.1, ed Caturavijaya Muni, Ahmedabad: Sarabhai Manilal Nabab, 1932, pp. 106-112. He 289 has a series of short Prakrit poems in praise of each of the Jinas of the present world cycle, and he begins each 290 poem by saying that he praises the Jina by reciting his past births. The hymn to the first Jina ??abhan?tha begins in this way: I praise Rsabha, the son of N?bhi and Marudevi, who is radiant like gold and has as his sign 291 the bull, who is five bows tall. I praise him by telling of his thirteen past births. O Lord! You were the merchant 292 Dha?a in the city Khiipai??ha, and in the second birth you were born in the land of the Uttarakurus, and a god 293 in the third." For the last birth in which he is the Jina, Dharmaghosa provides more than just the place of birth; 294 he gives the dates of the Jina's descent from heaven, birth, renunciation, achievement of Omniscience and Final 295

Nirvana. He closes with a prayer that the Jina, praised in this way, will grant him wisdom, joy, and glory in the Dharma. Dharmagho?a's praise hymns of the other Jinas of the present world age are similar, although the number of past births he names for each Jina varies.

In another hymn in Sanskrit Dharmaghosa praises the twenty-four Jinas of the future world age. ??3 While 299 full-fledged biographies of these future Jinas do not seem to have been written, Dharmagho?a names one past 300 incarnation for each of them, suggesting that there was a tradition of at least one past rebirth of each Jina. 301 Dharmagho?a's list is close to the one given by Hemacandra in the 12 th c. ??4 These past incarnations belong in 302 fact to the present, by which I mean the present world age. The list of previous incarnations tells us something 303 else about what this linking of future with a past rebirth can accomplish. Among the names of the previous 304 rebirths are virtuous characters who appear in Jain story literature. Several are Jain lay women. Revat?, for 305 example, is the past rebirth named for the Jina Citragupta. Her story is told in a number of didactic story 306 collections. Although just a lay woman, Revat? was said to have been praised above all the Jain ascetics. She 307 triumphs over tests put to her by someone who doubts that a mere laywoman can be so distinguished. ??5 By 308 celebrating the future Jinas along with a present rebirth the hymn has created a space for bringing into the world 309 of the Jinas, those most honored individuals, a new group of exemplary men and women. 36 310

311 IV. What Time is it? Time in the R?m?ya?a

These two sets of hymns, of the twenty-four Jinas of our world age and of the future Jinas also make use of 312 313 different types of the past; the rebirths of the twenty-four Jinas of our world age begin as the biographies do with 314 the distant past, working their way to the near past, while the hymns to the future Jinas look to the recent past. My final example is from the first book of the R?m?ya?a. 37 33 Jainastotrasandoha, p. 241. Lists of 315 the future Jinas with brief details figure as predictions in some of the Jina biographies, for example in the 316 biographies of ??abhan?tha and Mah?v?ra in the Tri?a??i?al?k?puru?acarita, vol.1 pp 347-350; vol.6 p. 347. 317 34 Tri?a??i?al?k?carita, vol 6 p. 347. The differences are for the former birth of the 18 th Jina, G?rgali in 318 Hemacandra, M?rgali in Dharmagho?a and for the twenty-third Jina, Dv?ramada in Hemacandra and Amara 319 in Dharmgagho?a. ??5 B?hatkath?ko?a, tr. Phyllis Granoff, The Forest of ??hieves and the Magic Garden, 320 Penguin:Delhi 1998, 256-264. 36 See also Appleton, p. 122, for similar comments about King ?re?ika, who 321 will be the first Jina of the future. ??7 R?m?ya?a 1.8-1.10.GRETIL http://gretil.sub.uni-goettingen.de/gretil/ 322 1_sanskr/2_epic/ramayana/ram_01_u.htm accessed July 4, 2018. 323

It is a remarkably complex treatment of time and verb tenses and I would suggest leaves the reader with the 324 sense that it is hard to know what is past, what is future, what is present when they all so seamlessly turn into 325 each other. King Da?aratha, R?ma's father, laments the fact that he has no son and wants to perform a sacrifice 326 327 to get an heir. He asks for guidance and his charioteer Sumantra tells him what he must do. What he relates is of something that had been told in the past, that embodied a prediction for the future, and that is going to come 328 to fruition in the present. Sumantra quotes the sage's words directly, retaining the original future tense. The 329 sage Sanatkum?ra predicts that a child will be born to the ascetic Vibh???aka. Named ??ya???ga, this child will 330 also be an ascetic, living in the forest. Romap?da, king of the Angas, will by his sins cause a terrible draught 331 to afflict his kingdom. His counselors will tell him to fetch ??ya???ga and marry him to his daughter??nt?. The 332 king mustentice ??ya???gato come out of the forest by having prostitutes lure him from his hermitage. Thus so 333 far the quote what the sage Sanatkum?ra had said, describing what will happen in the future. The account then 334 turns in one verse to the past, as the narrator intervenes, making sure that King Da?aratha and we know that 335 what was described as taking place in the future is already in the past. Sumantra tells King Da?aratha, in this 336 way the king of the Angas had the ascetic's son ??ya???ga brought to the kingdom, it rained, and ??ya???ga 337 was married to ??nt? (8.21). The narrator then returns to the prediction, "??ya???ga will bring you sons. Just 338 so much have I told you of what the sage Sanatkum?ra said." Da?aratha is delighted and wants to know more 339 about how ??ya???ga was made to come out of his hermitage.Sumantra obliges,but now places in the past the 340 events that had been described in the future inSanatkum?ra's prediction. He then returns to the prediction of 341 the future that Sanatkum?ra gave and the tense switches to the future. Sanatkum?ra predicted, There will be 342 a king named Da?aratha and this Da?aratha, desiring a son will ask for Romap?da to send ??ya???gato him to 343 make a sacrifice so that he can get a son. ??ya???ga will come, perform the sacrifice, and thereby ensure that 344 Da?aratha has a successor. 345

Reading this story for the first time, it can be difficult to keep track of what is happening when. Like the 346 Buddhist stories, the account is anchored in the present by a narrator, in this case the charioteer Sumantra, who 347 is prompted to tell the story by the king Da?aratha, also in the present. Sumantra dips into the past to relate 348 what a seer had once predicted; the prediction is of the future and told in the future tense, but it turns out that 349 some of the future it predicted has already happened and other events are taking place in the here and now. The 350 prediction says that there will be a king Da?aratha; in fact there is a king Da?aratha and he is listening to the 351 story. The seer in the past also described how ??ya???ga would be brought to the kingdom of Romap?da to stop 352 the drought, future tense; when Da?aratha asks how this was done, the narrator in the present tells him, but this 353 time he uses the past tense. Some of what in the past was the future is now the past from the vantage point of 354 the present; some events that were in the future are now the present. It is, I think, clear that if we are confused 355 about what is happening when it is because these three times, past, present and future, are as N?g?rjuna and 356 ?r?Har?a had insisted, relative concepts, slippery concepts that slide one into the other and cannot be defined 357 358 except with reference to each other. The impression that the tenses are unstable is heightened in the original by the fact that Sanskrit has no indirect discourse. Thus a speaker from the past uses the future tense, and a present narrator retells the same events using the past tense. The same events are both future and past as the story is told.

The entanglement of past, present and future, is in some ways one of the central themes of the first book of 362 the R?m?ya?a. The opening chapters of the epic offer two strikingly different summaries of the epic. As the 363 first chapter begins the epic's traditional author V?lm?ki asks the sage N?rada who was the most virtuous and 364 heroic man in the world. N?rada replies that it was R?ma and he proceeds to tell in brief all that R?ma has 365 done. N?rada uses the past tense throughout; he begins with a recitation of all R?ma's glorious qualities and 366 then gets right into the heart of the epic story. R?ma's father wanted to crown him king, but instead in keeping 367 with a promise he made to one of his wives, he is forced to banish R?ma to the forest and crown her son instead. 368 R?ma's wife S?t? is abducted by the demon R?va?a whom R?ma defeats. N?rada's account ends with R?ma's 369 recovery of S?t?, his return to Ayodhy? and his taking over the kingship. All of this has already happened. 370 N?rada then switches to the future with a prediction of the greatness of R?ma's rule, when everyone will prosper 371 and righteousness will prevail. This seems straightforward; V?lm?ki will compose a poem about something that 372 has happened in the past. But it is not quite so simple. In the next chapter the god Brahm? comes to V?lm?ki 373 and he tells V?lm?ki again that he should compose a poem about R?ma that includes things both known and 374 hidden. V?lm?ki thus composes his poem about what has happened to R?ma in the past (2.31) but also about 375 376 what will happen to him in the future (3.29). What was missing in N?rada's account of R?ma's deeds is here specifically named: the abandonment of S?t? (3.28). V?lm?ki acquires the knowledge of the future through the 377 378 god Brahm?'s aid and composes an account of the deeds of R?ma, a carita that includes an account of the future, sabhavi?ya?sahottaram (4.2). The R?m?ya?a, then, in its entirety is to be about the three times, to mingle past 379 and future, and it is not surprising that its first major event, the birth of R?ma, examined above, does just that, 380 when it uses a present narrator to describe a future prediction made in the past and realized partially in the 381 present and partially in a time that was future from the perspective of the speaker who made the prediction, but 382 past from the perspective of the King who is now learning about it. 383

Throughout the first book of the R?m?ya?a the past, present and future are inextricably linked to each other. 384 R?ma's education is accomplished through a journey that he makes with the sage Vi?v?mitra. Stopping at 385 various points along the way R?ma learns of his lineage and the great deeds of his ancestors. Many of the stories 386 he is told involve the past, predictions of the future or curses made in the past, and present resolutions. Here 387 is a typical episode. R?ma and Vi?v?mitra have come to the city Mithil?. Just outside the city is a deserted 388 hermitage, and R?ma asks Vi?v?mitra to tell him about the place. Vi?v?mitra begins with an account of the 389 past. This was once the hermitage of the sage Gautama, who with his wife Ahaly? practiced austerities there. 390 The god Indra lusted after Ahaly? and taking on the outward form of her husband slept with her. She was not 391 fooled by his disguise, but she was curious to know what it would be like to sleep with the god. Gautama is also 392 not fooled and he curses Indra to lose his testicles and Ahaly? to remain in the hermitage invisible to all for one 393 thousand years, living only on air, fasting, sleeping on ashes (1.47.28-30). His curse is also a statement of what 394 will happen in the future; "You will remain here, he tells her, living on wind". She will be released from the curse 395 when R?ma enters the forest and she offers him hospitality. We are familiar with the pattern: a story of what 396 happens in the past includes a prediction of the future. We return to the present when Vi?v?mitra tells R?ma 397 that he should now rescue Ahaly?, and this he does. Past, future, present; there is a synchrony to these events 398 as the future becomes the present, a present that is driven by the past future prediction. 399

400 **3** V. Concluding Remarks

All the texts I selected for study in this essay are lives: lives of the Buddhas, lives of the Jinas, and the life 401 of R?ma. They all deal with the past, present and future, albeit in different ways. The treatment of time in 402 403 these texts is distinctive, and I attempted to show that in each group of texts it has strong resonances to what philosophers were arguing about the nature of time. In the Buddhist lives of the Buddhas, it is indeed difficult, 404 as N?g?rjuna argued, to distinguish past, present and future, so dependent are they on each other. And as 405 ??kyamuni in the present tells how he worshipped ??kyamuni in the past, and made a vow to be exactly like 406 him in the future, past and present and future do seem to be happening at the same time. The same melting of 407 past, present and future into each other, I argued, is evident in the R?m?ya?a. The Jain philosopher I studied 408 409 here had concerns that were not apparent in N?g?rjuna, nor in the Ved?nta philosopher ?r?Har?a, who was his 410 contemporary. Prabh?candra was more concerned about distinguishing the remote past from the immediate past 411 than from distinguishing past from present or future. Reading the biography of the Jina Mah?v?ra I focused on 412 the sharp a distinction between how remote rebirths and proximate rebirths functioned, mirroring the importance that this difference had for the philosopher. I suspect that it was to a great extent the future that troubled the 413 philosophers most, in particular, what determined the future and if it was possible or even desirable to escape the 414 pull of the past. I would further argue that what made for somewhat muddled philosophy made for compelling 415 stories; after all, the relationship of the future and present to the past, both remote past and proximate past, 416 continues to engage us, as readers of these stories and authors of our own personal narratives. 417

3 V. CONCLUDING REMARKS

[Note: 14 For a discussion of the Mah?vastu sections on the Buddhas of the past see Vincent Tournier La formation du Mah?vastu, Paris: École Francaised'Extreme-Orient, 2017, ch 2, pp. 125-194. 15 It seems that the texts listing Buddhas other than ??kyamuni were initially about the past Buddhas, which is what we see in the PaliBuddhava?sa.Continuing into the future with Maitreya occurs in the Mah?vastu.Maitreya is also mentioned in the M?lasarv?stiv?daBhai?ajyavastu. See Tournier 156-169.]

Figure 1:

Figure 2: 20

418 Volume XIX Issue VII Version I 29 (A) $^{1\ 2\ 3\ 4\ 5\ 6}$

¹3 Anne Clavel, "Can the Rise of Rohi?? be Inferred from the Rise of K?ttik?? A Buddhist-Jaina Controversy", Buddhist and Jaina Studies, ed. J.Soni, M.Pahlke and C. Cüppers, Lumbini: Lumbini International Research Institute, 2014, pp.342-367. 4 On backward causation see Eli Franco, Jit?ri on Backward Causaiton (bh?vik?ra?av?da) in KL Dhammajoti, ed Buddhist Meditative Praxis Traditiional Teachings &Modern Applications, Hong Kong Centre of Buddhist Studies The University of Hong Kong, 2015, 81-117. I thank Eli Franco for sharing with me his edition of Jit?ri's text. 5 On the Sarv?stiv?da theory see Collett Cox, Disputed Dharmas: Early Buddhist Theories on Existence, Tokyo: The International Institute for Buddhist Studies, 1995, 141-145. 6 Kha??anakha??akh?dya ed. Pandit Lakshmana Sastri Dravida, Benaras: Chowkhamba Sanskrit Series,1914. pp. 1238-1248.

²For example, see the discussion in Pt. Sukhlalji'sTattv?rthaS?tra, L.D Series 44, Ahmedabad: L.D. Institute of Indology,1974. p. 164.

³Richard Salomon, Buddhist Literature of Ancient Gandhara: An Introduction with Selected Translations (Classics of Indian Buddhism) Somerville MA: Wisdom Publications 2018,chapter 8. 17Mah?vastu, I.47; 3.239;3. 243.GRETIL $http://gretil.sub.unigoettingen.de/gretil/1_sanskr/4_rellit/buddh/mhvastuu.htm accessed July 5,$ 2018.This 18 Mah?vastu 1.1. http://gretil.sub.uni-goettingen.de/gretil/1_sanskr/4_rellit/buddh/mhvastuu.htm, accessed July 5, 2018. On the past

⁴Naomi Appleton, Narrating Karma and Rebirth: Buddhist and Jain Multi-Life Stories, Cambridge University Press 2014, pp. 116-126, contrasts Jain and Buddhist treatments of the lives of the Jinas and Buddhas with a different emphasis. 22 Mah?vastu 1.1; Tournier p. 239.

 $^{^5}$ Year 2019 © 2019 Global Journals
Is there a Future? Some Answers from Indian Philosophical and Narrative Literature

 $^{^{6}}$ $^{\odot}$ 2019 Global JournalsIs there a Future? Some Answers from Indian Philosophical and Narrative Literature