

Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

The Siren Song of Populism

José Pinto

Received: 13 December 2018 Accepted: 31 December 2018 Published: 15 January 2019

5 Abstract

1

2

3

⁶ Populism is not a fad or an epiphenomenon. As the election results prove, populism is

⁷ increasing almost all over the world, and populists rule the four most crowed democracies.

8 Populist parties are gaining ground in the majority of the EU countries and in the two latest

9 American presidential elections two populists â??" Donald Trump and Bolsonaro â??"

¹⁰ achieved the power stage of the USA and Brazil. In the European Union, after a long period,

¹¹ while populist parties assumed an anti-system position, most of the populists changed their

¹² strategy trying to reach the power, and they are already the third political force. This

¹³ increase has been constant. However, the economic recession and the large flow of refugees

¹⁴ and immigrants were at the roof of the most recent rise. The essay analyses the populist

¹⁵ parties? strategic change and the reaction of the mainstream parties. It also explains that

right-wing populism is using the nationalist rhetoric and some policies of social democracy
 into the service of nationalism, and it is increasing faster than the left-wing one. Moreover,

the essay shows that populist governments stay in power longer than non-populists do.

¹⁹ Finally, it proves that the populist discourse works as a new siren song because populist

²⁰ leaders say what the citizens are keen to hear.

21

22 Index terms— populism, nationalism, populist strategy, and democracy backlash.

²³ 1 I. Introduction

ccording to Martinelli (2018, p. 13), populism is "a catch-all word that is applied to different empirical realities". 24 25 The wide variety of meanings confuses, but even those scholars who see populism as a political strategy, an 26 ideology or a style, consider that is necessary to pay attention to the discourse of the leaders to identify the marks that one can see as populism. However, this analysis requires a previous task: building a dictionary 27 of populism with the words connected with it. That was the mission of Adela Danaj, Kornélia Lazányi, and 28 29 Svitlana Bilan (2018) before analyzing Orbán's discourses 1 However, this proposes it was not born in Hungary. In fact, the code-book of populism had already been Teun Pauwels and Rooduijn's idea, in 2011. Later on, 30 this code-book, as well as the codebook for sub-state nationalism, was "amended by . 1 According to Populism 31 -indicators of people-centric and elitism expression, and indicators of exclusionism and anti-immigration policy; 32 Conservatism - indicators of Christian and Nationalistic values, and indicators of protectionism as economic policy; 33 and Euro scepticism -indicators which are used to identify the occurrence of the European Union topic as such, 34 and indicators which measures the existence of negative aspects and expression about The European Union and 35 36 the European Integration process. researchers based on their reading of the corpus: some words were added, while 37 others were suppressed" (Pauwels, van Haute, and Sinardet, 2018) 2 During many years, the populist parties 38 presented themselves as anti-system. Other scholars have followed the model, and, for example, Przy??cki (2012) offered a "long list of prototypical indicators of contemporary Polish populism", including "Poland's political and 39 economic sovereignty, Euroskepticism, a negative attitude toward Germany, anti-communism, anti-elitism, anti-40 intellectualism, and a positive image of «the people»" (St?pi?ska et al, 2016). 41

Moreover, populism code-book is present in many studies about the true extent of the phenomenon in a specific
country. It was the case of the research conducted by Nikos Nikisianis, Thomas Siomos, Yannis Stavrakakis, Titika
Dimitroulia, and Grigoris Markou in Greece. However, Nikisianis et all (2019, p. 269) accept Laclau's original

2 II. THE EVOLUTION OF POPULISM IN EUROPE

thought, as they consider that the populist discourse should include two elements. The first one consists of prominent references to the «the people» (or equivalent signifiers, e.g., the «underdog») and the «popular will», and to the need to truly represent it". The second element is connected with an antagonist perception of the socio-political ground, divided "between «the people»/the underdog and «the elites»/the establishment". Both right and left-populist leaders "build themselves up as an embodiment of the true people" (Kyle & Gultchin, 2018).

Quite apart from the meaning, we must recognize that populism is increasing almost all over the world, and it sets the current political agenda.

3 2 The code-book of populism included: anti-democratic, aristocra*, autocra*, buddies, capitalis*, cartel*, 53 connection*, common sense, coopted, corrupt*, elit*, enslave, slave*, establishment, eurocra*, exploit*, fed up 54 with, greed, guardianship, imperialis*, impose, loot, mainstream parties, governing parties, monopoly, oligarch*, 55 plutocrat*, political class, political games, electoral games, power hungry, power cenacles, power grip, propaganda, 56 sold to, technocrat*, unelected, us (designating: the people), and them (designating: elite, establishment, 57 mainstream parties). 3 Kyle & Guldchin (2018) identify three types of populism, "distinguished by how populist 58 leaders frame the conflict between the 'true people' and outsiders": cultural populism, socio-economic populism, 59 and anti-establishment populism. Some years ago, Jagers & Walgrave (2005) identified four types of populism: 60 61 empty populism, exclusionary populism, anti-elitism populism, and complete populism. Their criteria were the 62 construction of the people, the anti-elitism, and the exclusion of outside groups. 63 because they considered that the existing system was unfair, and they wanted to destroy it. Then, the

mainstream parties looked at the populists as a threat for democracy, and they refused any government coalition with them. In A Author: Professor José Pinto (CIPES, ULHT). e-mail: jose.pinto@ulusofona.pt that held executive office across 33 democratic countries between 1990 and 2018". It was a paper prepared to measure "the impact that past populist governments have had on democracy". This paper is also useful to find out the differences between right and left populism, despite the presence of a populism typology beyond that dichotomy. However, it also matters to identify the reasons explaining the change of populist parties' strategy and the

 $_{\rm 70}$ $\,$ reaction of mainstream parties to this alteration.

Cas Mudde (2016, p. 3) affirms that we "can differentiate between three academically distinct waves of scholarship of far-right parties since 1945", and he recognizes that scholars need to move towards a fourth wave.

Mudde only mentions far-right parties, but the change can relate to populism as a whole.

⁷⁴ 2 II. The Evolution of Populism in Europe

As Margareth Canovan (1999, p. 16) says that populism "accompanies democracy like a shadow", this common road has experienced peaks and troughs, even accepting that "until the beginning of the 21 st century [...] there were only a handful of successful populist radical right parties in Europe" (Mudde, 2016, p. 14).

The actual phase is undoubtedly a peak. Timbro's Index presents the evolution of populism in 33 European Countries -all the members of the European Union and five other countries: Switzerland, Norway, Montenegro, Iceland, and Serbia -comparing 2008 and 2018. The data show a stable increase in the majority of the states, mainly in Italy -from 15.3 to 56.7, in Hungary -from 45 to 68.9, in Latvian -from 8.4 to 25.5, in Sweden -from 8.9 to 25.8, in Spain -from 3.9 to 21.4, in France -from 13.1 to 28.1, in Finland -from 5.1 to 18.2, and in Denmark -from 16.1 to 28.9. Besides, in some countries, the populism average was already very high, and it still increased, as it was the case of Poland where populism rose from 35.9 to 46.4.

The data also show thirteen countries where populism came down, but it was a very little fall, except in Serbia -from 29.5 to 13.1, and in the Netherlandsfrom 33.6 to 26.

Concerning the relationship between right and left populism, during the 80s, in the initial year, left populism represented 9.6%, and it was higher than right-wing populism because the latter one only reached 1.1%. However, during the 90s, the situation has changed. After a period of slight advantage of left-wing populism, the average of right-wing populism became superior since 1998 until now.

Common sense usually states that the economic crisis and the arrival of immigrants and refugees are responsible for the rise of populism. However, it should be noted that after the financial crisis of 2011, left-wing populism increased but right-wing populist parties kept the dominant position, and, during the arrival of immigrants and refugees, they reached their uppermost position with an average of 15.1% while left-wing populism only represented 6.4%.

At the first moment, left-wing populism reached the power in Greece, through Syriza, and in the South of 96 Europe, Podemos in Spain, and Left Block in Portugal got an increasing political influence. It was the phase of 97 98 a socio-economic populism in which "big business, capital owners and actors [were] perceived as propping up an 99 international capitalist system" (Kyle & Gultchin, 2018). In the second phase, right-wing populism enhanced its 100 position appealing to nationalism, and it increased both in the North and in the East of Europe, but also in the 101 South M5S and the League got a clear election victory in Italy thanks to the hostility towards immigrants. This reality explains that Paolo Magri (2018, p. 7) mentions the "national-populist parties". Although it should be 102 said that populism is not an ideology 5 Presently, in Europe, the populist parties can be placed in four levels. In 103 the first one, they govern alone -Law and Justice (PiS) in Poland, and Fidesz in Hungary -or in coalition with 104 another populist party -M5S and League, in Italy, and Syriza and ANEL in Greece. In the second level, populist 105 parties are part of the ruling coalition, but they do not run it -Freedom Party in Austria, Swiss People's Party in 106

Switzerland, Progress Party in Norway, Blue Reform in Finland , populist parties use the ideology of nationalism
 when they consider that it can serve their interests. It is a strategy suitable for two moments: in opposition, and
 power.

In the first phase, it helps to ruin the public image of the mainstream parties, blaming the elite and its aliens for all the problems. Subsequently, this strategy is useful to gain support from the citizens when populist governments decide to take political and social measures against ethnic minorities. This situation is already occurring in the European countries ruled by populist parties and in some countries where populists are members of the governing coalition, but as a junior party.

6 Given this, we can conclude that mainstream parties' option is rather an accommodation or cooptation than isolation of the populist parties. This, Bulgarian National Movement and National Front for the Salvation of Bulgaria in Bulgaria, National Alliance in Latvia, and Slovak National Party in Slovakia. The third level includes four countries -Portugal, United Kingdom, Denmark, and the Czech Republic -in which, at least, a populist party supports the government, but does not integrate it. The last level is composed of the countries in which exists at least a populist party in opposition.

There are still two situations requiring special attention. The first happens in Hungary because both the party 121 in office, Fidesz, as the party leading the opposition, Jobbik, are populists. Hungary is a Frankenstate in point, 122 123 according to Kim Scheppele (2013), despite the non-populist opposition attempt to organize itself as a whole. 124 The second occurs in Spain because as Podemos, a left-wing populist party, falls, Vox, a new right-wing populist 125 party, rises. Vox affirms that its goal is recovering Spain, keeping the national unit and the social values, and its program is a melting pot of patriotism, nationalism, and conservatism. In contrast to Germany where the 126 populist AfD is increasing, but it does not participate in the Government, Vox is already part of the coalition 127 ruling over Andalusia. option shows that they do not consider populist parties as an acute danger to democracy, 128 as anti-establishment populism that "was once most prevalent" has been replaced by "cultural populism [...] 129 the commonest form of populism across the globe" (Kyle & Gultchin, 2018). The following points will prove if 130 mainstream parties are right. 131

¹³² 3 III. Populists in Power: Leading the Office

In Hungary, three years under Orbán were enough to approve a new Constitution, and "more than 400 new laws" 133 (Scheppele, 2013b, p. 5). During his government, Orbán has taken a lot of populist and nationalist measures, 134 and he is already preparing the road to capture the power because if the non-populist opposition wins the election 135 "Orbán's people will be dug into every office that must approve what a new government does next" (Scheppele, 136 2013b, p. 8). In an APSA conference, in 2013, Scheppele pointed an undoubted example, as Orbán created a 137 138 budget council, "filled entirely with party loyalists", and having the power "to veto any budget passed by the 139 Parliament if that budget adds to the debt". Besides, the Constitution specifies that "if the Parliament cannot 140 reach agreement on a budget, the national President [...] can dissolve the Parliament and call new elections".

As a way of controlling the mass media, Orbán signed a decree to shield the foundation from Hungary's media and competition watchdogs, after the owners of 480 newspapers, magazines, broadcasters, and websites have announced they "donate" them to the Central European Press and Media Foundation, run by Gabor Liszkay who is an oligarch, and, obviously, Orbán's ally. This media empire will allow him to broadcast inside and outside a gilded image of his political and social measures, and this strategy will be dangerous for press freedom. It is an ongoing process since many critical media outlets had to close or lost their editorial independence because they depend on the funds provided by the oligarchs who support the Government.

Hungary ranks 73 rd out of 180 countries on the World Press Freedom Index, with a very weak global score
-29.11. In 2010, when Orbán returned to power, after the electoral defeat in 2002, following which he said that
"we, here in this square, cannot and will not be in opposition, because the nation cannot be in opposition"
(Bozóki, 2015, p. 19), Hungary ranked 23 rd position, and this tumble also represents a democracy backlash.

In fact, in the Democracy Index by country 2018, Hungary ranks 57 th out of 167 countries with a 6.63 score, and the political participation is its worst parameter -5.00. The quality of democracy is constantly decreasing, as it was 7.04 in 2011 and 6.84 in 2015.

Orbán said that Salvini was a hero for his antiimmigration stance and that the Warsaw-Rome axis will be 155 one of the most wonderful developments. These two statements prove that a project linking the main European 156 right-wing populist parties is already ongoing. At last, it must be recognized that Orbán takes some policies to 157 please the people. For example, "both parents have the right to claim extra paid leave based on the number of 158 children they have, which amounts to two days for one child, four days for two children, and six days for three or 159 more children" 7 Poland, under the government of the populist PiS, ranks 54 th in 2018 with a 6.67 score, and the 160 161 political culture is negative -4.38. Like Hungary, lives a democracy backlash, as the index 7.12 in 2011 and 7.09 in 162 2015. evaluation made both by the Human Rights Watch report. In Poland, St?pi?ska et al. (2016) questioned if the country was facing a fourth wave of populism, as populist actors became communicators. Three years 163 later, the answer is positive. Besides, nobody must forget that "populism's roots in Poland are embedded in the 164 country's history, culture, and economic and social structures", and that the "moralizing discourse is bolstered 165 by the strong institutional position of the Catholic Church and media organizations like Radio Maryja". It is 166 a conservative vision because "the Church and right-wing populists share a defense of the patriarchal family, a 167

rigid moral order, and an ethnocentric concentration on the nation, including the roles that the people and their traditions play within it" (p. 4).

The prevalence of such model explains the social rejection of immigrants, mainly if they come from 170 Africa. In Warsaw, during the demonstrations, people chanted a racist slogan: «Pure Poland. White 171 That slogan shows the ambition of the right-wing populism: "it is crystal-clear who is «one 172 Poland». of us» and who is not, there is no muddle and no cause for confusion" (Bauman, 2001, p. 173 12).8 and by Freedom House are damning. In the first, PiS is charged of eroding checks and balances due to 174 its interference "with the independence of the judiciary and the administration of justice". Moreover, the 175 report accuses PiS of undermining freedom of expression, as it controls "public media". The title of the 176 second report ?? 7 Available in is «Hostile Takeover. How Law and Justice Captured Poland's Courts», 177 and it proves the politicization of justice, as PiS "enjoys direct control over the Constitutional Tribunal 178 and the National Council of the Judiciary (the body that https://wtsklient.hu/en/2019/03/05/familybenefits/. 179 8 Available in https://www.hrw.org/report/2017/10/24/eroding-checksand-balances/rule-law-and-human-rights-180 under-attack-poland. 9 Available in https://freedomhouse.org/report/special-reports/hostiletakeover-how-law-181

182 and-justice-captured-poland-s-courts.

appoints Polish judges) and is set to take control of the Supreme Court".

The name of the party is Law and Justice, but under PiS, Poland threats no longer be a state of law, and the justice is less and less independent of the executive.

In short: Poland and Hungary, PiS and Fidesz are reshaping state institutions in their own and particular interest.

In Greece, Tsipras leads the first ever governing coalition of a left-wing, Syriza, and a right-wing, Anel, populist parties in Europe. After an initial period, while the alliance seemed to challenge the supranational institutions and the international creditors, through a rhetoric discourse, the conjuncture tamed the left political verbosity. Syriza moderated its discourse, and this change has ruffled some of its ancient foreign allies, namely the Portuguese Left Block, and the Spanish Podemos.

Mavrozacharakis, Kotroyannos, and Tzagkarakis (2017, p. 40) consider that Syriza "failed both ideologically and practically".

In Italy, it is worth noting that the 2018 general election counted on four populist leaders: Berlusconi, Di Maio, Meloni, and Salvini, whose posts were analyzed by Bobba & Roncarolo (2018, p. 56). The findings proved that the two winners of the election took advantage of social media, as Salvini "published more posts (around 15 per day), and Di Maio received more likes (9.446)".

After the election, and once in office, it is crucial to watch the unity and strength of the populist 199 coalition because the League "can be interpreted as one of the first political entrepreneurs of xenophobia and 200 antiimmigration sentiment in the Italian arena", while its partner, the M5S, "represents a perfect example of 201 strategic investment in the topic of immigration, with its fluctuating position" (Bulli & Soare, 2018, p. 129). 202 As Salvini became the interior minister, it did not take long to prepare a decree about the issue. He presented 203 that document to the parliament, and this political body approved it with 396 votes in favor and 99 against, 204 proving that a great majority of the deputies agreed with Salvini's idea of abolishing humanitarian protection 205 for immigrants who were not eligible for refugee status. Moreover, as it will easier to strip migrants of Italian 206 citizenship, Italian Refugee Council is seriously concerned by the consequences of the new law. 207

These consequences are already responsible for a democracy backlash. The index was 7.85 in 2014, and 7.98 in 2015, and 2016. Now, according to the Index of Democracy 2018, Italy is a flawed democracy, as it ranks 33 rd , with a 7.71 score, and the worst category is the functioning of government -6.07.

These data give cause to believe that joining two populist parties as incumbents seems rather a problem than a solution, but this is not the Italians' opinion, as the more recent pools for the next EP election suggest. In fact, Bonvicini (2019, p. 3) says that "Salvini's chances of becoming the leader of the European right" are strong, and remembers that Luigi Di Maio "gathered [...] representatives of four small populist parties [from Croatia (Zivi Zid), Poland (Kukiz'15), Finland (Liike Nyt) and Greece (Akkel)] in Rome", on 15 th February, "in an effort to forge a more homogeneous parliamentary group in the next EP".

²¹⁷ 4 IV. Populist Capture of the Power

In a report conducted by Kyle & Gultchin (2018), one of the findings points that "between 1990 and 2018, 218 the number of populists in power around the world has increased a remarkable fivefold, from four to 20". This 219 phenomenon included "countries not only in Latin America and in Eastern and Central Europe -where populism 220 221 has traditionally been most prevalent -but also in Asia and Western Europe". Some decades ago when populism 222 assumed power over the governments across Latin America, the explanations emphasized the government system. 223 According to some scholars, the presidential system explained the success of a charismatic leader when he was able to forge "direct connections with the people" (Kyle & Gultchin, 2018), and the economy led redistributive 224 policies. Nowadays this explanation sounds flawed. In fact, in some countries of the European Union, despite 225 their parliamentary systems, populist parties raised the power. Moreover, in several cases, even when they do 226 not hold governing responsibilities, populist parties set the political agenda and the social life, as it happens in 227 France where the electoral system does not allow the populist National Front to occupy the parliamentary seats 228 corresponding to its increasing number of votes. 229

When populism started rising, Niall Ferguson said that populist governments are usually so incompetent that they prove short-lived. However, the second part of the statement is far from consensual because, some populist leaders seem(ed) glued to the chair of power, and they are -or were -resilient in holding governing responsibility. In Argentina, Menen ruled for ten years, and Cristina Kirchner held the presidency for eight years. In Bolivia, Evo Moral arrived at the power eleven years ago, Rafael Correa was Ecuador President for ten years, and we

235 could point other examples: Ortega, Fujimori, Putin, and Berlusconi.

These data prove that when populist leaders are in power they capture the state apparatus, and they organize 236 it according to their interests. When their popularity falls, they use their efficiency. The opposition can consider 237 populist incumbent parties incompetent to solve the problems of the people, but they prove that they are skilled 238 at staying in power. We can say that their strategy is very similar to the model created by the communist parties 239 during the cold war. Then, the communist parties were totalitarian and populist because, despite being organic, 240 they considered themselves as the vanguard of the people, and they transformed the intermediate social bodies 241 aiming at controlling not only the state apparatus but also the civil society. That was why under a communist 242 party government press freedom was replaced by the «freedom» to say what the government enjoyed hearing. 243

Many populist parties set aside their anti-system soul when they understood that they could reach power. They bet on topics like corruption to jeopardize the reputation of the political elite before posing as the saviors

246 and defenders of the people.

Once in office, they transform the model they have found. They explain all their changes as a result of meeting people' will. This fallacy becomes a threat for the democratic institutions and the state of law. In 2015, Larry Diamond and Marc Plattner asked if democracy was in decline. Four years later the answer is worrying.

The scholar's approach to populism requires a change of strategy. The research needs "a paradigmatic shift, in which populist radical right [and left] parties are no longer seen as new outsider-challenger parties, but also as institutionalized and integrated members of the political system" (Mudde, 2016, p. 16).

²⁵³ 5 V. Conclusion

Populism is increasing around the world, and this evolution cannot be explained only by conjuncture factors. The economic crisis and the arrival of immigrants and refugees played a paramount role in the phenomenon, but we need to take into account other reasons. The mainstream parties forgot that democracy must be representative, and they changed it into a partitocracy. As Mounk (2018, p. 2) affirms, "party systems have long seemed frozen" because "it seemed, the future would not be much different from the past". This perspective proved to be an enormous mistake.

The populist parties took advantage of the social discontent. They were able to delegitimize the political elite, and their proposals sounded like the siren song around the world. That's why, according to Timbro, "the average voter support for authoritarian populists in the 33 countries included in TAP is 22 percent". It is a high average, but "since populist parties are more successful in populous countries the total voter support is 26 percent".

The data prove that mainstream parties failed to prevent the growth of populism. Moreover, democracy backlash in all the countries ruled by populist parties highlights a severe threat to the democratic system. Some decades ago, there were parties which entered into the system committed with intent to transform or to destroy it. There was no need for watching the long-term effects mainly of populism on domestic affairs. Populist parties pay attention to the advice given by Machiavelli to Lorenzo de Medici. When they rule a country, their strategy needs domestic and outside enemies to keep people's support. Meanwhile, they take measures in the name -but not always in the interest -of the people.

Mudde (2014, p. 217) affirmed that "populist radical right parties have not fundamentally changed party systems in Western Europe". Five years later, this statement requires reflection and particular concerns, mainly in the European countries where populist parties are already in office.

To sum up, populist discourse is the new version of the siren song. Now as before, the results are worrying., some social scientists believed that populism could represent a chance to improve the political system. Nowadays, the data prove that both right and left populism is a real threat to democracy.¹

¹For example, Bonvicini (2019, p.2) says that M5S "is supported by a mixed left -and right-leaning electorate".6 Finland's Government resigned in March 2019, over failed healthcare reforms.

5 V. CONCLUSION

- [Populist Political Communication in Europe. London: Routledge] , Populist Political Communication in Europe. London: Routledge
- [Bauman ()] Community-Seeking Safety in an insecure world, Z Bauman . 2001. Cambridge: Polity.
- [Aslanidis and Kaltwasser ()] Dealing with populists in government: the Syriza Anel coalition in Greece,
 Democratization, P Aslanidis, C Kaltwasser . 2016. 23 p. .
- [Diamond and Plattner ()] Democracy in decline, L Diamond , M Plattner . 2015. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins
 University Press.
- [Danaj et al. ()] 'Euro scepticism and populism in Hungary: The analysis of the prime minister's discourse'. A
 Danaj , K Lazányi , S Bilan . Journal of International Studies 2018. 11 (1) p. .
- [Bulli and Soare ()] 'Immigration and the refugee crisis in a new immigration country: the case of Italy'. G Bulli
 , S Soare . *HKJU-CCPA* 2018. 18 (1) p. .
- [Magri ()] 'Introduction'. P Magri . Milano: ISPI/Ledizioni Ledi Publishing, A Martinelli (ed.) 2018. p. . (When
 populism meets nationalism)
- [Bonvicini ()] Italian populists scramble in search of allies for the European election, G Bonvicini . 2019. (Istituto
 Affari Internazionali commentaries 19/20)
- 292 [Laclau ()] La razón populista. México/ Argentina/Brasil/Chile/Colômbia//Espanha/EUA/Gua
 293 temala/Perú/Venezuela: Fondo de Cultura Económica, E Laclau . 2005.
- [Martinelli et al. ()] 'Mediterranean left-wing populism: the case of Syriza'. A ; E Martinelli , D Kotroyannos
- , S Tzagkarakis . Milano: ISPI/Ledizioni Ledi Publishing. 15. Mavrozacharakis, 2018. 2017. 6 p. . (When
 populism meets nationalism)
- [Mudde ()] C Mudde . Fighting the system? Populist radical right parties and party system change. Party Politics,
 2014. 20 p. .
- [Scheppele ()] 'Not your father's authoritarianism: the creation of the Franken state'. K Scheppele . Newsletter
 of the European Politics and Society Section of the American Political Science Association, 2013b. p. .
- [St?pi?ska et al. (ed.) ()] Poland. A Fourth Wave of Populism, A St?pi?ska , A Lipi?ski , A Hess , D Piontek .
 Toril Aalberg, Frank Esser, Carsten Reinemann, Jesper Ström bäck, and Claes H. de Vreese (ed.) 2016.
- Jagers and Walgrave ()] 'Populism as political communication style. An empirical study of political parties'
 discourse in Belgium'. J Jagers , S Walgrave . European Journal of Political Science 2005. 46 (3) p. .

[Nikisianis et al. ()] 'Populism Versus Anti-populism in the Greek Press: Post-Structuralist Discourse Theory
 Meets Corpus Linguistics'. N Nikisianis, T Siomos, Y Stavrakakis, T Dimitroulia, G Markou. Discourse,

- *Culture and Organization*, T Marttila (ed.) 2019. p. . (Post disciplinary Studies in Discourse)
- 308 [Pinto ()] Populismo e Democracia. Dinâmicas populistas na União Europeia, J Pinto . 2017. Lisboa: Sílabo.
- 309 [St?pi?ska et al. (ed.) ()] Populist Political Communication in Europe, A St?pi?ska, A Lipi?ski, A Hess, D
- Piontek . Toril Aalberg, Frank Esser, Carsten Reinemann, Jesper Strömbäck, and Claes H. de Vreese (ed.)
 2016. London: Routledge. (Poland. A Fourth Wave of Populism)
- [Kyle and Gultchin ()] Populists in power around the world. Tony Blair Institute for Global Change, J Kyle , L
 Gultchin . 2018.
- Widfeldt ()] 'The growth of the radical right in Nordic countries. Observations from the past twenty years'. A
 Widfeldt . Migration Policy Institute. Section of the American Political Science Association, (Washington)
- 315 Widfeldt . *Migration Policy Institute. Section of the American Political Science Association*, (Washington 316 DC) 2018. p. .
- [Widfeldt ()] The growth of the radical right in Nordic countries. Observations from the past twenty years, A
 Widfeldt . 2018. Washington DC: Migration Policy Institute.
- [Bobba and Roncarolo ()] 'The likeability of populism on social media in the 2018 Italian general election'. G
 Bobba , F Roncarolo . *Italian Political Science* 2018. 13 p. .
- [Mounk ()] The people vs. democracy. Why our freedom is in danger and how to save it, Y Mounk . 2018.
 Cambridge/ Massachusetts: Harvard University Press.
- Scheppele ()] The rule of law and the Franken state: why governance checklists do not work. Governance: an
 international journal of policy, administration, and institutions, K Scheppele . 2013a. 26 p. .
- [Mudde ()] The study of populist radical parties: towards a fourth wave, C Mudde . 2016. University of Oslo:
 Center for Research on Extremism, the Extreme Right, Hate Crime and Political Violence
- Bozóki (ed.) ()] Thinking through transition. Liberal democracy, authoritarian pasts and intellectual history in
 East Central Europe after 1989, A Bozóki . M. Kopecek & P. Wcislik (ed.) 2015. Budapest/New York: Central
- European University Press. p. . (The illusion of inclusion: configurations of populism in Hungary)
- [Canovan ()] 'Trust the People! Populism and the two faces of Democracy'. M Canovan . *Political Studies* 1999.
 XLVII p. .