

GLOBAL JOURNAL OF HUMAN-SOCIAL SCIENCE: B GEOGRAPHY, GEO-SCIENCES, ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE & DISASTER MANAGEMENT Volume 19 Issue 1 Version 1.0 Year 2019 Type: Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal Publisher: Global Journals Online ISSN: 2249-460X & Print ISSN: 0975-587X

## Heavy Metal Content of Agricultural Soils in a Tropical Sudan Savannah Area: Katsina State, North-Western Nigeria

By Yaradua AI, Alhassan AJ, Nasir A, Hamisu I, Usman A, Idi A, Muhammad I, Muhammad IU & Saulawa IA

Umaru Musa Yaradua University

*Abstract-* This work contributes to the monitoring of Agricultural soil pollution in Katsina State, North western Nigeria by assessing the degree of heavy metal pollution in Agricultural soil samples. The study was conducted in the year 2017 within some catchment areas located within the 3 senatorial zones that constitute to make up the state (Katsina senatorial zone: Birchi, Dutsinma and Katsina; Daura senatorial zone: Daura, Ingawa and Zango; Funtua senatorial zone: Dabai, Funtua, Kafur, Malunfashi and Matazu). Analysis for the concentration of these heavy metals; Cr, Cd, Fe, Ni, Mn, Pb and Zn was conducted by the use of AAS (by Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometry) method. Several indices were used to assess the metal contamination levels in the Agricultural soil samples, namely; Geo-accumulation Index (Igeo), Enrichment Factor (EF), Contamination Factor (CF), Degree of Contamination (Cd) and Pollution Load Index (PLI).

Keywords: agricultural soils, heavy metals, katsina state, pollution load index, contamination factor. GJHSS-B Classification: FOR Code: 040699

# HEAVYMETALCONTENTOFAGRICULTURALSOILSINATROPICALSUDANSAVANNAHAREAKATSINA STATENORTHWESTERNNIGERIA

Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of:



© 2019. Yaradua Al, Alhassan AJ, Nasir A, Hamisu I, Usman A, Idi A, Muhammad I, Muhammad IU & Saulawa IA. This is a research/review paper, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 3.0 Unported License http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/), permitting all non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

# Heavy Metal Content of Agricultural Soils in a Tropical Sudan Savannah Area: Katsina State, North-Western Nigeria

### Yaradua AI,<sup>°</sup> Alhassan AJ,<sup>°</sup> Nasir A,<sup>°</sup> Hamisu I,<sup>©</sup> Usman A<sup>¥</sup>, Idi A,<sup>§</sup> Muhammad I,<sup>x</sup> Muhammad IU<sup>v</sup> & Saulawa IA<sup>9</sup>

Abstract- This work contributes to the monitoring of Agricultural soil pollution in Katsina State, North western Nigeria by assessing the degree of heavy metal pollution in Agricultural soil samples. The study was conducted in the year 2017 within some catchment areas located within the 3 senatorial zones that constitute to make up the state (Katsina senatorial zone: Birchi, Dutsinma and Katsina; Daura senatorial zone: Daura, Ingawa and Zango; Funtua senatorial zone: Dabai, Funtua, Kafur, Malunfashi and Matazu). Analysis for the concentration of these heavy metals; Cr, Cd, Fe, Ni, Mn, Pb and Zn was conducted by the use of AAS (by Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometry) method. . Several indices were used to assess the metal contamination levels in the Agricultural soil samples, namely; Geo-accumulation Index (Igeo), Enrichment Factor (EF), Contamination Factor (CF), Degree of Contamination (Cd) and Pollution Load Index (PLI). The result of this study has shown that generally among the heavy metals evaluated, the highest concentration was observed for Fe (range: 20.195-38.347 ppm), followed by Zn (range: 0.528-1.134 ppm), Pb (range: 0.256-0.627 ppm), Mn (range: 0.261-0.572 ppm) and Cr (range: 0.093-0.344 ppm). While Cd has the lowest concentration (range: 0.022-0.043 ppm). For all the site sampled the heavy metal Ni was below detection level (BDL). From the results of heavy metals I-geo values, according to Muller's classification, soil samples from Birchi, Daura, Dutsinma, Kafur and Zango were unpolluted (class 0) while soil samples from Dabai, Funtua, Ingawa, Katsina, Malunfashi and Matazu are moderately polluted (class 1). The result for the enrichment factor has shown that with the exception of the heavy metal Fe, which shows significant enrichment for all the sites sampled all the other heavy metals show deficiency to minimal enrichment. Also based on the contamination factors for all soil samples the heavy metal Fe has a CF values range of 1.2861-2.3240, indicating that the Agricultural soil samples are moderately contaminated with Fe. In contrast, the rest of the heavy metals exhibit low contamination in general. The value of PLI ranges from 0.2408 to 0.4935, indicating unpolluted to moderate pollution, with the sampling site for Katsina displaying the highest PLI value while

the sampling site of Ingawa has the lowest PLI. The Eri values for all samples are all < 40, presenting low ecological risk. The results suggest that the Agricultural soils samples from Katsina state has low contamination by the heavy metals evaluated.

Keywords: agricultural soils, heavy metals, katsina state, pollution load index, contamination factor.

#### Introduction

Ι.

oil is not only a medium for plant growth or pool to dispose of undesirable materials, but also a transmitter of many pollutants to surface water, groundwater, atmosphere and food. It is a key part of the Earth system as it control the hydrological, erosional. biological, and geochemical cycles (Chen et al., 1997). The soil system also offers goods, services, and resources to humankind (Berendse et al., 2015; Brevik et al., 2015; Decock et al., 2015; Smith et al., 2015). Soils have been used to detect the deposition, accumulation, and distribution of heavy metals in different locations (Alirzayevaet al., 2006; Onder et al., 2007), this is why it is necessary to research how soils are affected by societies. Pollution is one of these damaging human activities, and we need more information and assessment of soil pollution (Mahmoud and El-Kader, 2015; Riding et al., 2015; Roy and Mcdonald, 2015; Wang et al., 2015). Heavy metal pollution of agricultural soil can result not only in decreased crop output and quality and hurt human health through the food chain, but also further deterioration of air and water environmental quality (Turkdogan et al., 2002; Su and Wong, 2003; Xia et al., Excessive accumulation of heavy metals in 2004). agricultural soils can affect the quality and safety of food and further increase the risk of serious diseases (cancer, kidney, liver damage, etc.), as well as impact ecosystems, thus combining environmental chemistry with biological toxicology and ecology (Suresh et al., 2012).Literature indicates that studies have been conducted on pollution by heavy metals of some areas in Nigeria (Ahaneku and Sadiq, 2014; Opaluwa et al., 2012; Abdullateef et al., 2014; Orisakwe et al., 2012), but nothing of such has been monitored on the heavy metal levels emanating from Agricultural soils in Katsina state

Author  $\alpha \neq \chi$ : Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Natural and Applied Sciences, Umaru Musa Yaradua University, P.M.B. 2218, Katsina, Nigeria. e-mail: aliyuyaradua5@gmail.com

Author o §: Department of Geography, Faculty of Basic Medical Sciences, Bayero University Kano, P.M.B. 3011, Kano, Nigeria.

Author G: Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Basic Medical Sciences, Yusuf Maitama Sule University, P.M.B. 3220, Kano, Nigeria Author O: Department of Animal Production and Health, Federal University, P.M.B. 5007, Dutsinma, Katsina State, Nigeria.

Northwestern Nigeria and their possible effects on the quality of soil and human health. Therefore, it is important to investigate the level of heavy metals in Katsina agricultural soil to ascertain pollution levels.

#### II. MATERIAL AND METHODS

#### a) Study Area

The study was carried out during 2017 in Katsina State, Nigeria located between latitude 12015'N and longitude of 7030'E in the North West Zone of Nigeria, with an area of 24,192km2 (9,341 sq meters). The study was conducted within some catchment areas located within the 3 senatorial zones that constitute to make up the state (Katsina senatorial zone: Birchi, Dutsinma and Katsina; Daura senatorial zone: Daura, Ingawa and Zango; Funtua senatorial zone: Dabai, Funtua, Kafur, Malunfashi and Matazu). Katsina State has two distinct seasons: rainy and dry. The rainy season begins in April and ends in October, while the dry season starts in November and ends in March. This study was undertaken during the dry season. The average annual rainfall, temperature, and relative humidity of Katsina State are 1,312 mm, 27.3°C and 50.2%, respectively. Like most alluvial soils, the soil in Katsina state is the flood plain type and is characterized by considerable variations. The soil has two main types, which are soils with little hazards and soils with good water holding capacity.

#### b) Soil Sampling

Fifty-five soil samples were collected from 0-20 cm depths (plough layer) of cultivated farmland with a hand auger from the designated sampling areas. Five samples were collected randomly from each location. The distance from one sampling point to another was approximately 50 m at each location. The collected five samples from each location were mixed and about 250-300 g of the soil was sampled and put into a polyethylene container in accordance with the method adopted by (Syed et al., 2012). The samples were properly labeled and were taken to the laboratory for analysis.

#### c) Chemical Analysis of Soil Samples

Soil samples were dried at room temperature and pebbles, stones, and large debris were removed from the soils before it was passed through a 2 mm polyethylene sieve. All glassware and plastic ware were soaked in 10% nitric acid for 24 hrs and rinsed thoroughly with deionized water. The soil samples were digested by mixed acid (HCI-HNO3) for Mn, Zn, Pb, Cd, Ni, Fe and Cr analyses. The concentrations of the heavy metals were measured by an atomic absorption spectrometer (AA210RAP BUCK Atomic Absorption Spectrometer flame emission spectrometer filter GLA-4B Graphite furnace, East Norwalk USA) according to standard methods (AOAC, 1995) and the results were given in part per million (ppm).

#### III. Results and Discussion

Soil samples from 11 locations within the 3 senatorial zones of Katsina State were analyzed in this study. As shown in Table 1, among the heavy metals evaluated, the highest concentration was observed for Fe (range: 20.195-38.347 ppm), followed by Zn (range: 0.528-1.134 ppm), Pb (range: 0.256-0.627 ppm), Mn (range: 0.261-0.572 ppm) and Cr (range: 0.093-0.344 ppm). While Cd has the lowest concentration (range: 0.022-0.043 ppm) and the concentration range for the heavy metal Ni was BDL in all the soil samples.

The Pb concentration range for the agricultural soil samples in this study is similar to that reported for soils from post office area, Bulunkutu and Bama station Maiduguri metropolis, Borno state Nigeria in (Abdullateef et al., 2014) and that reported for soil samples from Lafia metropolis, Nasarawa state, Nigeria with a Pb concentration range of 0.100- 0.530 ppm (Opaluwa et al., 2012). But the values are lower than those reported for the Pb concentration in soils in Bosso, Chanchaga, Gidan Kwano, Ogbomosho, Owerri and Ibeno Akwalbom in Nigeria (Ahaneku and Sadig, 2014; Oladeji et al., 2016; Orisakwe et al., 2012; Udosen et al., 2012 ), and also in Pb levels in soils reported in studies conducted in Tarnaveni city of Romania, Birjand city of Iran, Western Rajastan, Faisalabad, Suxian county south China and Thrace region of Turkey (Mihaileanu et al., 2019; Sayadi et al., 2017; Anjula, 2014; Farid et al., 2015; Daping et al., 2015; Ekmekyapar et al., 2012). Furthermore the result for the Pb concentration in this study is higher than that reported in a study that evaluates heavy metal concentrations of some selected Dams sediment in Katsina state Nigeria (Yaradua et al., 2018).

The Cd concentration range for the soil samples in this study is similar to that reported by Farid et al., (2015) for Cd values for soil samples from Madina town of Faisalabad and that reported for Nanxun county Southeast China (Zhou et al., 2015), Thrace region of Turkey (Ekmekyapar et al., 2012) and the results for studies on heavy metals in soils conducted in the towns of Bosso, Chanchaga, Gidan Kwano, Lafia metropolis, Maiduguri metropolis and the city of Owerri all in Nigeria (Ahaneku and Sadiq, 2014; Opaluwa et al., 2012; Abdullateef et al., 2014; Orisakwe et al., 2012). But the values are lower than that reported in studies for the Cadmium concentration in soils conducted in Suxian county, western Rajastan, Birjand city in Asia (Daping et al., 2015; Anjula, 2014; Sayadi et al., 2017) and in studies conducted in Nigeria (Udosen et al., 2012; Oladeji et al., 2016) and that reported for Cd in sediments from Katsina state Nigeria (Yaradua et al., 2018).

Though an essential heavy metal, Fe has the tendency to become toxic to living organisms, even when exposure is low. In the present study, the mean Fe concentration in both the soil samples was higher than that reported for soil samples from Lafia metropolis Nasarawa state, Nigeria (Opaluwa et al., 2012) and that of a study conducted by Abdullateef et al., (2014) in Maiduguri metropolis Borno state, Nigeria. But the result is lower than the Fe concentration in soil from Ibeno Akwa Ibom state Nigeria (Udosen et al., 2012).

The heavy metal Zn concentration obtained in this study is higher than the report of a study conducted in Lafia, Nasarawa state Nigeria (Opaluwa et al., 2012). But the result is lower than that that reported for Zn in soil from western Rajastan (Anjula, 2014), Zn concentration in soil from Thrace region of Turkey (Ekmekyapar et al., 2012), the result of Oladeji et al., (2016), the result for Zn in soil from Ogbornosho, Nigeria and that reported for Zn in soils from Bosso, Chanchaga and Gidan-Kwano Niger state Nigeria (Ahaneku and Sadiq, 2014).

The present study recorded a concentration range of 0.093-0.344 ppm for the heavy metal Cr, values that are lower to that reported for Cr in soils from western Rajastan and Birjand city of Iran (Anjula et al., 2014), Thrace region of Turkey (Ekmekyapar et al., 2012), Tarnaveni in Romania (Mihaileanu et al., 2019) and the result of Cr in various soil samples from Maiduguri state, Nigeria (Abdullateef et al., 2014). But the values are similar to the results of Ahaneku and Sadiq (2014) of Cr in soils from Bosso, Chanchaga and Gidan Kwano in Nasarawa state, Nigeria.

The heavy metal Mn mean concentration obtained in this study is lower than the Mn concentrations in soil near a former chemical manufacturing facility in Tarnaveni, Romania (Mihaileanu et al., 2019).

| Location   |              |                    | Heavy Metal        |                    |     |                     |                    |
|------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----|---------------------|--------------------|
|            | Mn           | Zn                 | Pb                 | Cd                 | Ni  | Fe                  | Cr                 |
| Birchi     | 0.300        | 0.641              | 0.448              | 0.033              | BDL | 21.212              | 0.344              |
|            | $\pm$ 0.0005 | ± 0.0004           | ± 0.0002           | $\pm 0.0003$       |     | $\pm$ 0.0009        | ± 0.0003           |
| Dabai      | 0.566        | 1.207              | 0.348              | 0.025              | BDL | 24.896              | 0.093              |
|            | ± 0.0015     | ± 0.0002           | ± 0.0003           | ± 0.0001           |     | ± 0.0012            | ± 0.0002           |
| Daura      | 0.287        | 0.968              | 0.529              | 0.043              | BDL | 22.246              | 0.226              |
|            | ± 0.0006     | ± 0.0003           | $\pm$ 0.0008       | ± 0.0003           |     | ± 0.0002            | ± 0.006            |
| Dutsinma   | 0.321        | 0.612              | 0.441              | 0.032              | BDL | 23.342              | 0.342              |
|            | ± 0.0004     | ± 0.0004           | ± 0.0006           | ± 0.0004           |     | ± 0.0006            | ± 0.0006           |
| Funtua     | 0.572        | 1.132              | 0.541              | 0.025              | BDL | 28.264              | 0.268              |
|            | ± 0.0004     | $\pm$ 0.0006       | ± 0.0015           | $\pm$ 0.0006       |     | ± 0.0012            | ± 0.0003           |
| Ingawa     | 0.261        | 1.099              | 0.627              | 0.034              | BDL | 20.195              | 0.143              |
|            | ± 0.0007     | $\pm$ 0.0003       | ± 0.0002           | ± 0.0002           |     | ± 0.0023            | ± 0.0010           |
| Kafur      | 0.511 ±      | 1.083              | 0.462              | 0.031              | BDL | 31.716              | 0.241              |
|            | 0.0006       | ± 0.0015           | ± 0.0013           | ± 0.0004           |     | ± 0.0009            | ± 0.0004           |
| Katsina    | 0.486        | 0.775              | 0.256              | 0.024              | BDL | 38.347              | BDL                |
|            | ± 0.0004     | ± 0.0002           | ± 0.0002           | ± 0.0002           |     | $\pm$ 0.0009        |                    |
| Malunfashi | 0.470        | 1.094              | 0.402              | 0.026              | BDL | 32.985              | 0.285              |
|            | ± 0.0012     | ± 0.0004           | ± 0.0003           | ± 0.0003           |     | ± 0.0017            | ± 0.0002           |
| Matazu     | 0.277        | 1.134              | 0.285              | 0.022              | BDL | 37.442              | 0.099              |
|            | ± 0.0004     | ± 0.0002           | ± 0.0003           | ± 0.0001           |     | ± 0.0009            | $\pm 0.0007$       |
| Zango      | 0.272±0.0015 | $0.528 \pm 0.0006$ | $0.564 \pm 0.0002$ | $0.032 \pm 0.0004$ | BDL | $24.568 \pm 0.0006$ | $0.232 \pm 0.0002$ |

| Toblo | 1. UONA  | motolo   | opportention in  | ogrigultural | ooilo | from | kataina  | ototo | (nnm)  |
|-------|----------|----------|------------------|--------------|-------|------|----------|-------|--------|
| aple  | I. neavy | / metals | concentration in | aunculula    | SOIIS |      | Kalsilla | Slale | ווועטו |
|       |          |          |                  |              |       |      |          |       |        |

#### a) Indices

Several indices were used to assess the metal contamination levels in the Agricultural soil samples, namely; Geo-accumulation index (I-geo), Pollution Load Index (PLI), Enrichment Factors (EF), Contamination Factor (CF) and Degree of Contamination (Cd). World surface rock average data of heavy metals which was used as background values were taken from Martin and Meybeck (1979).

#### b) Geo-Accumulation Index

Geo-accumulation index (I-geo) was employed to evaluate the heavy metals pollution in the Agricultural

soil samples. This method has been used by Müller since the late 1960s (Muller, 1969). I-geo was calculated

using the following equation:

Values are expressed as Mean  $\pm$  Standard deviation

$$I-geo = \log_2 / (C_n / 1.5B_n)$$

Where  $C_n$  is the measured content of the examined metal in the sediment samples and  $B_n$  is the geochemical background content of the same metal. The constant 1.5 is introduced to minimize the effect of possible variations in the background values, which may be recognized to anthropogenic influences The index of geo-accumulation (Igeo) is characterized according to the Muller seven grades or classes profile of the geo-

accumulation index i.e. the value of soil quality is considered as unpolluted (Igeo is  $\leq 0$ , class 0); from unpolluted to moderately polluted (Igeo is 0 - 1, class 1); moderately polluted (Igeo is 1 - 2, class 2); from moderately to strongly polluted (Igeo is 2 - 3, class 3); Strongly polluted (Igeo is 3 - 4, class 4); from strongly to extremely polluted (Igeo is 4 - 5, class 5) and Extremely polluted (Igeo is >6, class 6) (Muller, 1969).) Therefore, from the results of heavy metals I-geo values on table 2, according to Muller's classification, soil samples from Birchi, Daura, Dutsinma, Kafur and Zango were unpolluted (class 0) while soil samples from Dabai, Funtua, Ingawa, Katsina, Malunfashi and Matazu are from unpolluted to moderately polluted (class 1). The Igeo values seen in the present study similar to the values.

|          |         |         | I-geo   |         |         |         |
|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Site     | Mn      | Zn      | Pb      | Cd      | Fe      | Cr      |
| Birchi   | -3.1549 | -2.4685 | -1.7282 | -0.9586 | -0.0680 | -2.4949 |
| Dabai    | -2.9208 | -2.2007 | -1.8386 | -0.0794 | 0.0026  | -3.0969 |
| Daura    | -3.2219 | -2.2924 | -1.6556 | -0.8438 | -0.0463 | -2.6778 |
| Dutsinma | -3.1549 | -2.4949 | -1.7352 | -0.9718 | -0.0254 | -2.4949 |
| Funtua   | -2.9208 | -2.2292 | -1.6478 | -1.0793 | 0.0577  | -2.6021 |
| Ingawa   | -3.2219 | -2.2366 | -1.5834 | -0.9457 | 0.1077  | -2.8861 |
| Kafur    | -2.9586 | -2.2441 | -1.7144 | -0.9859 | -0.0883 | -2.6383 |
| Katsina  | -2.9586 | -2.4202 | -1.9706 | -1.0969 | 0.1902  | BDL     |
| M/Fashi  | -3.0000 | -2.2441 | -1.7747 | -1.0620 | 0.1247  | -2.5686 |
| Matazu   | -3.2219 | -2.2219 | -1.9245 | -1.1350 | 0.1798  | -3.0458 |

Table 2: Heavy Metals Geo-accumulation Values for Agricultural Soils from Katsina State

#### c) Enrichment Factor

Enrichment Factors (EF) were considered to estimate the abundance of metals in the Agricultural soil samples. EF was calculated by a comparison of each tested metal concentration with that of a reference metal (Muller, 1981). The normally used reference metals are Mn, Al and Fe (Liu et al., 2005). In this study Fe was used as a conservative tracer to differentiate natural from anthropogenic components, following the hypothesis that its content in the earth crust has not been troubled by anthropogenic activity and it has been chosen as the element of normalization because natural sources (98%) greatly dominate its contribution (Tippie, 1984). According to Rubio et al. (2000), the EF is defined as follows:

#### EF= (M/Fe)<sub>sample</sub>/(M/Fe)<sub>Background</sub>

Where EF is the enrichment factor,  $(M/Fe)_{sample}$  is the ratio of metal and Fe concentration of the sample and  $(M/Fe)_{background}$  is the ratio of metals and Fe concentration of a background. Five contamination categories are reported on the basis of the enrichment factor (Sutherland, 2000). EF <2 deficiency to minimal enrichment, EF = 2-5 moderate enrichment, EF = 5-20 significant enrichment, EF = 20-40 very high enrichment, EF>40 extremely high enrichment. As shown in Table 3, with the exception of the heavy metal Fe, which shows significant enrichment for all the sites sampled all the other heavy metals show deficiency to minimal enrichment.

|          |        |        | Enrichment | Factor (EF) |         |        |
|----------|--------|--------|------------|-------------|---------|--------|
| Site     | Mn     | Zn     | Pb         | Cd          | Fe      | Cr     |
| Birchi   | 0.2007 | 0.4288 | 0.2828     | 0.0221      | 14.1949 | 0.2301 |
| Dabai    | 0.3403 | 0.7257 | 0.2092     | 0.0150      | 14.6681 | 0.0559 |
| Daura    | 0.1784 | 0.6017 | 0.3288     | 0.0267      | 13.8280 | 0.1405 |
| Dutsinma | 0.1989 | 0.3793 | 0.2733     | 0.0205      | 14.4649 | 0.2119 |
| Funtua   | 0.2610 | 0.5166 | 0.2469     | 0.0114      | 12.8989 | 0.1223 |
| Ingawa   | 0.0181 | 0.0761 | 0.0433     | 0.6024      | 13.9750 | 0.0099 |
| Kafur    | 0.2410 | 0.5108 | 0.2179     | 0.0146      | 14.9586 | 0.1137 |
| Katsina  | 0.1969 | 0.3140 | 0.1037     | 0.0097      | 15.535  | BDL    |
| M/Fashi  | 0.2168 | 0.5046 | 0.1854     | 0.0120      | 15.2138 | 0.1315 |
| Matazu   | 0.1150 | 0.5054 | 0.1270     | 0.0098      | 16.6854 | 0.0441 |
| Zango    | 0.1607 | 0.3119 | 0.33320.   | 0.0189      | 14.5124 | 0.1370 |

d) Contamination Factor

Contamination Factor (CF) was used to determine the contamination status of the Agricultural

soils in the current study. CF was calculated according to the equation described below (Pekey et al., 2004):

$$C = M_c/B_c$$

Where M<sub>c</sub> Measured concentration of the metal and B<sub>c</sub> is the background concentration of the same metal. Four contamination categories are documented on the basis of the contamination factor (Hakanson, 2000). CF<1 low contamination; 1≤CF≥3 moderate contamination;  $3 \le CF < 6$  considerable contamination; CF>6 very high contamination, while the degree of contamination (Cd) was defined as the sum of all contamination factors. The following terms is adopted to illustrate the degree of contamination: Cd<6: low degree of contamination; 6≤Cd<12: moderate degree of contamination; 12≤Cd<24: considerable degree of contamination; Cd>24: very high degree of contamination indicating anthropogenic serious pollution. The result of the contamination factors for the evaluated heavy metals is shown on table 3. From the table, the relative distributions of the contamination factor among the samples are: Fe > Cd > Pb > Zn > Cr > Mn. Soils have been used as environmental indicators, and this ability to identify heavy metal contamination sources and monitor contaminants is also well documented. Thus, the accumulation of metals in the soils is strongly controlled by the nature of the substrate as well as the physicochemical conditions controlling dissolution and precipitation (Venkatramanan et al., 2012). For all soil samples the heavy metal Fe has a CF values range of 1.2861-2.3240, indicating that the Agricultural soil samples are moderately contaminated with Fe. In contrast, the rest of the heavy metals exhibit low contamination in general.

Table 4: Contamination Factor for Agricultural Soil Samples from Katsina State

|          |        | Contamination | Factor | (CF)   |        |        |
|----------|--------|---------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Site     | Mn     | Zn            | Pb     | Cd     | Fe     | Cr     |
| Birchi   | 0.0010 | 0.0051        | 0.0280 | 0.1690 | 1.2861 | 0.0049 |
| Dabai    | 0.0018 | 0.0095        | 0.0218 | 0.1250 | 1.5089 | 0.0013 |
| Daura    | 0.0009 | 0.0076        | 0.0331 | 0.2150 | 1.3482 | 0.0032 |
| Dutsinma | 0.0010 | 0.0048        | 0.0276 | 0.1600 | 1.4147 | 0.0048 |
| Funtua   | 0.0019 | 0.0089        | 0.3380 | 0.1250 | 1.7130 | 0.0038 |
| Ingawa   | 0.0008 | 0.0086        | 0.0392 | 0.1700 | 1.2239 | 0.0020 |
| Kafur    | 0.0017 | 0.0085        | 0.0289 | 0.1550 | 1.9220 | 0.0034 |
| Katsina  | 0.0016 | 0.0061        | 0.0160 | 0.1200 | 2.3240 | BDL    |
| M/Fashi  | 0.0015 | 0.0086        | 0.0251 | 0.1300 | 1.9990 | 0.0040 |
| Matazu   | 0.0009 | 0.0089        | 0.0178 | 0.1100 | 2.2692 | 0.0014 |
| Zango    | 0.0009 | 0.0042        | 0.0353 | 0.1600 | 1.4890 | 0.0033 |

e) Degree of Contamination and Pollution Load Index

The degree of contamination (Cd) was defined as the sum of all contamination factors. The following terms is adopted to illustrate the degree of contamination: Cd<6: low degree of contamination;  $6 \le Cd < 12$ : moderate degree of contamination;  $12 \le Cd < 24$ : considerable degree of contamination; Cd>24: very high degree of contamination indicating serious anthropogenic pollution. Pollution Load Index (PLI) was used to evaluate the extent of pollution by heavy metals in the environment. The range and class are same as Igeo. PLI for each sampling site has been calculated following the method planned by Tomlinson et al. (1980) as follows:

$$PLI = [(CF_1 + CF_2 + CF_3 \dots CF_n)]^{(n)}$$

Where n is the number of metals and CF is the contamination factor.

The value of PLI ranges from 0.2408 to 0.4935 (Table 5), indicating unpolluted to moderate pollution. However, the sampling site for Katsina displayed the highest PLI value while the sampling site of Ingawa has the lowest PLI.

| Tuble 0. Degree of containination and policiton load index of agreentaria solis normation active | Table 5: | Degree of | contamination | and pollution | load index of | f agricultural | soils from katsina state |
|--|----------|-----------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|--------------------------|
|--|----------|-----------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|--------------------------|

| Site     | Degree of Contamination | Pollution Load Index |
|----------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| Birchi   | 1.4941                  | 0.2490               |
| Dabai    | 1.6633                  | 0.2772               |
| Daura    | 1.6080                  | 0.2680               |
| Dutsinma | 1.6129                  | 0.2688               |
| Funtua   | 2.1906                  | 0.3651               |
| Ingawa   | 1.4445                  | 0.2408               |
| Kafur    | 2.1195                  | 0.3533               |
| Katsina  | 2.4677                  | 0.4935               |
| M/Fashi  | 2.1682                  | 0.3614               |
| Matazu   | 2.4082                  | 0.4014               |
| Zango    | 1.6927                  | 0.2821               |

#### Potential Ecological Risk Index f)

This research employed the Potential Ecological Risk Index (PERI) proposed by Hakanson (1980) to evaluate the potential ecological risk of heavy metals. This method comprehensively considers the synergy, toxic level, concentration of the heavy metals and ecological sensitivity of heavy metals (Nabholz, 1991; Singh et al., 2010; Douay et al., 2013). PERI is formed by three basic modules: degree of contamination (CD), toxic-response factor (TR) and potential ecological risk factor (ER). The ecological risk index (Eri) evaluates the toxicity of trace elements in sediments and has been extensively applied to soils (Liang et al., 2015). Soils contaminated by heavy metals can cause serious ecological risks and negatively impact human health due to various forms of interaction (agriculture, livestock, etc.) where highly toxic heavy metals can enter the food chain. To calculate the Eri for individual metals, the following Equation was used;

#### Eri = Tri x Cfi

Where, Tri is the toxicity coefficient of each metal whose standard values are Cd = 30, Ni = 5, Pb =5, Cr = 2, and Zn = 1, Mn = 1 (Hakanson, 1980; Xu, 2008) and Cfi is the contamination factor. To describe the ecological risk index the following terminology was used: Er < 40, low;  $40 \le$  Er < 80, moderate;  $80 \le$  Er < 160, considerable;  $160 \le \text{Er} < 320$ , high; and  $\text{Er} \ge 320$ , very high. The risk factor was used as a diagnostic tool for water pollution control, but it was also successfully used for assessing the contamination of soils in the environment by heavy metals (Mugosa et al., 2016). The Eri values for all samples are all < 40 (Table 6), presenting low ecological risk.

|        | Ecological | Risk Index (Eri) |        |        |        |
|--------|------------|------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Site   | Mn         | Zn               | Pb     | Cd     | Cr     |
| Birchi | 0.0010     | 0.0051           | 0.1400 | 5.0700 | 0.0098 |

Table 6: Ecological risk index of agricultural soils from katsina state

|          | Ecological | RISK INDEX (Eri) |        |        |        |
|----------|------------|------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Site     | Mn         | Zn               | Pb     | Cd     | Cr     |
| Birchi   | 0.0010     | 0.0051           | 0.1400 | 5.0700 | 0.0098 |
| Dabai    | 0.0018     | 0.0095           | 0.1090 | 3.7500 | 0.0026 |
| Daura    | 0.0009     | 0.0076           | 0.1655 | 6.4500 | 0.0064 |
| Dutsinma | 0.0010     | 0.0048           | 0.1380 | 4.8000 | 0.0096 |
| Funtua   | 0.0019     | 0.0089           | 0.1690 | 3.7500 | 0.0076 |
| Ingawa   | 0.0008     | 0.0086           | 0.1960 | 5.1000 | 0.0040 |
| Kafur    | 0.0017     | 0.0085           | 0.1445 | 4.6500 | 0.0068 |
| Katsina  | 0.0016     | 0.0061           | 0.0800 | 3.6000 | BDL    |
| M/Fashi  | 0.0015     | 0.0086           | 0.1255 | 3.9000 | 0.0080 |
| Matazu   | 0.0009     | 0.0089           | 0.0890 | 3.3000 | 0.0028 |
| Zango    | 0.0009     | 0.0042           | 0.1765 | 4.8000 | 0.0066 |

#### IV. Conclusion

The main goal of this research is to assess the levels of some heavy metals in Agricultural soils of Katsina state, north western Nigeria, in order to determine the impact of anthropogenic heavy metal pollution arising from Agricultural activities. Several indices were used to assess the metal contamination levels in the Agricultural soil samples, namely Geoaccumulation index (I-geo), Pollution Load Index (PLI), Enrichment Factors (EF), Contamination Factor (CF) and Degree of Contamination (Cd). The result of this study reveals that generally among the heavy metals evaluated, the highest concentration was observed for Fe (range: 20.195-38.347 ppm), followed by Zn (range: 0.528-1.134 ppm), Pb (range: 0.256-0.627 ppm), Mn (range: 0.261-0.572 ppm) and Cr (range: 0.093-0.344 ppm). While Cd has the lowest concentration (range: 0.022-0.043 ppm) and the heavy metal Ni BDL in all the soil samples. From the results of heavy metals I-geo values, according to Muller's classification, soil samples from Birchi, Daura, Dutsinma, Kafur and Zango were unpolluted (class 0) while soil samples from Dabai, Funtua, Ingawa, Katsina, Malunfashi and Matazu are from unpolluted to moderately polluted (class 1). The result for the enrichment factor has shown that with the exception of the heavy metal Fe, which shows significant enrichment for all the sites sampled all the other heavy metals show deficiency to minimal enrichment. Based on the contamination factors for all soil samples the heavy metal Fe has a CF values range of 1.2861-2.3240, indicating that the Agricultural soil samples are moderately contaminated with Fe. In contrast, the rest of the heavy metals exhibit low contamination in general. The value of PLI ranges from 0.2408 to 0.4935, indicating unpolluted to moderate pollution. However, the sampling site for Katsina displayed the highest PLI value while the sampling site of Ingawa has the lowest PLI. The Eri values of heavy metals for all samples are all < 40, presenting low ecological risk.

#### Competing Interests

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## References Références Referencias

Abdullateef B, Kolo BG, Waziri I, Idris MA. Levels of 1. Heavy Metals in Soil as Indicator Of Environmental Pollution in Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria. Bull. Env. Pharmacol. Life Sci., 2014; Vol 3 (11): 133-136

- Ahaneku IE, Sadiq BO. Assessment of Heavy Metals in Nigerian Agricultural Soils. Pol. J. Environ. Stud., 2014; Vol. 23, No. 4, 1091-1100
- Alirzayeva EG, Shirvani TS, Yazici MA, Alverdiyeva SM, Shukurov ES, Ozturk L, Ali-Zade VM, Cakmak I. Heavy Metal accumulation in Artemisia and Foliaceous Lichen species from the Azerbaijan flora, Forest Snow and Landscape. Research, 2006; 80, 339–348.
- Anjula A. Toxic Metal Contamination of Staple Crops (Wheat and Millet) in Periurban Area of Western Rajasthan. International Refereed Journal of Engineering and Science (IRJES) ISSN (Online) 2319-183X, (Print) 2319-1821, 2014; Volume 3, Issue 4, PP.08-18
- Berendse F, van Ruijven J, Jongejans E, Keesstra S. Loss of plant species diversity reduces soil erosion resistance, Ecosystems, 2015; 18, 881–888
- Brevik EC, Cerdà A, Mataix-Solera J, Pereg L, Quinton JN, Six J, Van Oost K. The interdisciplinary nature of soil, SOIL, 2015; 1, 117–129, doi: 10.5194/soil-1-117.
- Chen TB, Wong JW, Zhou HY, Wong MH. Assessment of trace metal distribution and contamination in surface soils in Hong Kong. Environmental Pollution, 1997; 96 (1): 61-68.
- Decock C, Lee J, Necpalova M, Pereira EIP, Tendall DM, Six J. Mitigating N2O emissions from soil: from patching leaks to transformative action, Soil, 2015; 1, 687–694.
- Douay F, Pelfrêne A, Planque J, Fourrier H, Richard A, Roussel H, Girondelot B. Assessment of potential health risk for inhabitants living near a former lead smelter, Part 1: metal concentrations in soils, agricultural crops, and homegrown vegetables, Environ. Monit. Assess., 2013; 185, 3665–3680.
- Ekmekyapar TF, Şabudak GŞ. Assessment of heavy metal contamination in soil and wheat (triticum aestivum I.) plant around the Çorlu– Çerkezkoy highway in Thrace region. Global NEST Journal, 2012; Vol 14, No 4, pp 496-504.
- Farid G, Sarwar N, Saifullah, Ahmad A, Ghafoor A. Heavy Metals (Cd, Ni and Pb) Contamination of Soils, Plants and Waters in Madina Town of Faisalabad Metropolitan and Preparation of Gis Based Maps. Adv Crop Sci Tech 2015; 4: 199. doi:10.4172/2329-8863.1000199
- Hakanson L. An Ecological Risk Index for Aquatic Pollution Control a Sedimentological Approaches, Water Research, 1980; 14(8), 975-1001
- Liang J, Liu JY, Yuan XZ, Zeng GM, Lai X, Li XD, Wu HP, Yuan YJ Li F. Spatial and temporal variation of heavy metal risk and source in sediments of

Dongting Lake wetland, mid-south China. J. Environ. Sci. Health, 2015; 50, 100–108.

- 14. Liu WH, Zhao JZ, Ouyang ZY, Söderlund L, Liu, GH. Impacts of Sewage Irrigation on Heavy Metal Distribution and Contamination in Beijing, China, Environmental International, 2005; 31(6), 805-812.
- 15. Mahmoud E, Abd El-Kader N. Heavy metal immobilization in contaminated soils using phosphogypsum and rice straw compost, Land Degrad. Dev., 2015; 26, 819–824.
- Martin J, Meybeck M. Elemental Mass-Balance of Material Carried by Major World Rivers. Marine Chemistry, 1979 7(3), 173-206.
- Mihaileanu RG, Neamtiu IA, Fleming M, Pop C, Bloom MS, Roba C, Surcel M, Stamatian F, Gurzau E. Assessment of heavy metals (total chromium, lead, and manganese) contamination of residential soil and homegrown vegetables near a former chemical manufacturing facility in Tarnaveni, Romania. Environmental Monitoring Assessment, 2019; 191:8 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-018-7142-0
- Mugoša B, Đurović D, Nedović-Vuković M, Barjaktarović-Labović S, Vrvić M. Assessment of Ecological Risk of Heavy Metal Contamination in Coastal Municipalities of Montenegro. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2016; 13 (4): 393
- 19. Müller G. Index of Geoaccumulation in Sediments of the Rhine River, Geojournal 1969; 2(3), 108-118.
- 20. Muller, G. The Heavy Metal Pollution of the Sediments of Neckars and Its Tributary, A Stocktaking Chemische Zeit, 1981; 150, 157-164.
- 21. Nabholz JV. Environmental hazard and risk assessment under the United States Toxic Substances Control Act, Sci. Total Environ., 1991; 109, 649–665, doi:10.1016/0048-9697(91)90218-4, 1991.
- 22. Oladeji JT, Adetola SO, Ogunsola AD. Heavy metal concentrations of soil in Ogbomosho and its environs. Merit Research Journal of Environmental Science and Toxicology, 2016; Vol. 4(1) pp 001-005.
- Onder S, Dursun S, Gezgin S, Demirbas A. Determination of heavy metal pollution in grass and soil of City Centre Green areas (Konya, Turkey) Polish J. Environmental Studies, 2007; 16 (1): 145 – 154
- 24. Opaluwa OD, Aremu MO, Ogbo L, O, Abiola KA, Odiba IE, Abubakar MM, Nweze NO. Heavy metal concentrations in soils, plant leaves and crops grown around dump sites in Lafia Metropolis, Nasarawa State, Nigeria. Advances in Applied Science Research, 2012; 3 (2):780-784.
- 25. Orisakwe OE, Nduka JO, Amadi CN, Dike DO Bede O. Heavy metals health risk assessment for population via consumption of food crops and fruits

in Owerri, South Eastern, Nigeria. Chemistry Central Journal, 2012; 6:77 DOI: 10.1186/1752-153X-6-77.

- Pekey H, Karakas D, Ayberk S, Tolun L, Bakoglu M. Ecological Risk Assessment using Trace Elements from Surface Sediments of Izmit Bay (Northeastern Marmara Sea) Turkey, Marine Pollution Bulletin, 2004; 48(9-10), 946-953.
- 27. Riding MJ, Martin FL, Jones KC, Semple KT Carbon nano materials in clean and contaminated soils: environmental implications and applications, SOIL, 2015; 1, 1–21, doi:10.5194/soil-1-1.
- 28. Roy M, Mcdonald LM. Metal uptake in plants and health risk assessments in metal-contaminated smelter soils. Land Degrad. Dev., 2015; 26, 785–796
- 29. Rubio R, Vilas F. Geochemistry of Major and Trace Elements in Sediments of the Ria de Vigo (NW Spain) an Assessment of Metal Pollution, Marine Pollution Bulletin, 2000; 40(11), 968-980
- 30. Saleem MS, Haq MU, Memon KS. Heavy metals contamination through industrial effluent to irrigation water and soil in Korangi area of Karachi (Pakistan) Int J Agri and Biol., 2005; 7: 646-648
- Sayadi MH, Rezae A, Sayyed MRG. Grain size fraction of heavy metals in soil and their relationship with land use. Proceedings of the International Academy of Ecology and Environmental Sciences, 2017; 7(1): 1-11
- 32. Singh A, Sharma RK, Agrawal M, Marshall FM. Health risk assessment of heavy metals via dietary intake of foodstuffs from the wastewater irrigated site of a dry tropical area of India, Food Chem. Toxicol., 2010; 48, 611–619, doi:10.1016/ j.fct.2009. 11.041.
- 33. Smith P, Cotrufo MF, Rumpel C, Paustian K, Kuikman PJ, Elliott JA, McDowell R, Griffiths RI, Asakawa S, Bustamante M, House JI., Sobocká J, Harper R, Pan G, West PC, Gerber JS, Clark JM, Adhya T, Scholes RJ, Scholes MC. Biogeochemical cycles and biodiversity as key drivers of ecosystem services provided by soils, SOIL, 2015; 1, 665–685, doi:10.5194/soil-1-665-2015.
- 34. Su DC, Wong YS. Chemical speciation and Phyto availability of Zn, Cu, Ni and Cd in soils amended with fly ash stabilized sewage sludge [J]. Environ. Int., 2003; 1060, 1.
- 35. Suresh G, Sutharsan P, Ramasamy V, Venkata chalapathy R. Assessment of spatial distribution and potential ecological risk of the heavy metals in relation to granulometric contents of Veranam lake sediments, India. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf., 2012; 84, 117–124
- 36. Sutherland RA. Bed Sediment Associated Trace Metals in an Urban Stream, Oahu, Hawaii, Environmental Geology, 2000; 39(6), 611-627.
- 37. Syed HR, Dilara K, Tanveer MA, Mohammad SI, Mohammad AA, Mohammad AA. Assessment of

heavy metal contamination of agricultural soils around Dhaka Export processing zone (DEPZ), Bangladesh: Implication of seasonal variation and Indices. Applied Science, 2012; 2, 583.

- Tippie VK. An Environmental Characterization of Chesapeake Bay and a Frame Work for Action, In: V. Kennedy, Ed., The Estuary as a Filter, Academic Press, New York 1984.
- 39. Tomlinson DL, Wilson JG, Harris CR, Jeffney DW. Problems in the Assessment of Heavy-Metal Levels in Estuaries and the Formation of a Pollution Index. Helgoland Marine Research, 1980; 33(1-4): 566-72
- Turkdogan MK, Kilicel F, Kara K. Heavy metals in soil, vegetables and fruits in the endemic upper gastrointestinal cancer region of Turkey. Environmental toxicity and pharmacology, 2002; 13, 175
- Udosen ED, Ukpong ME, Etim EE. Concentrations of Heavy Metals in Soil Samples within Mkpanak in Ibeno Coastal Area of Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. International Journal of Modern Chemistry, 2012; 3(2): 74-81
- 42. Venkatramanan S, Ramkumar T, Anithamary I, Vasudevan S. Heavy Metal Distribution in Surface Sediments of the Tirumalairajan River Estuary and the Surrounding Coastal Area, East Coast of India, Arabian Journal of Geosciences, 2012; 7(1), 123-130
- Wang HQ, Zhao Q, Zeng DH, Hu YL, Yu, ZY. Remediation of a magnesium contaminated soil by chemical amendments and leaching. Land Degrad. Dev., 2015; 26, 613–619
- Xia Y, Li F, Wan H, Ma J, Yan G, Zhang T, Luo W. Spatial distribution of heavy metals of agricultural soils in Dongguan, China. J. Environ. Sci., 2004; 16, (6), 912
- 45. Xu Z, Ni S, Tuo X, Zhang C. Calculation of Heavy Metals Toxicity Coefficient in the Evaluation of Potential Ecological Risk Index. Environ. Sci. Technol., 2008; 31, 112–115
- 46. Yaradua AI, Alhassan AJ, Nasir A, Matazu KI, Muhammad I, Idi A, Muhammad IU, Aliyu SM. Evaluation of heavy metals in sediment of some selected Dams from Katsina state Nigeria. International Journal of Scientific and Technical Research in Engineering (IJSTRE), 2018; Volume 3 Issue 2, 13-19
- Zhao K, Fu W, Ye Z, Zhang C. Contamination and Spatial Variation of Heavy Metals in the Soil-Rice System in Nanxun County, Southeastern China. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health, 2015; 12, 1577-1594; doi: 10.3390/ijerph120201577.

© 2019 Global Journals