

1 New Trends in the African Human Rights System Prospects Of 2 African Regional Human Rights Courts

3 Timothy F. Yerima¹

4 ¹ Kogi State University, Anyigba-Nigeria

5 *Received: 14 December 2011 Accepted: 3 January 2012 Published: 15 January 2012*

6

7 **Abstract**

8 One of the impacts of the Second World War (WW II) is the movement from the strict
9 reliance on the principle of state sovereignty or v jurisdiction to the concept of universality. 1
10 The concept gave impetus to and culminated in the adoption of plethora of human rights
11 instruments. Under the United Nations auspices, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights
12 was adopted in 1948; 2 and today it ?represents a major milestone in human progress.? 3 It is
13 also the ?corner stone of contemporary human rights law,? 4 O

14

15 **Index terms**— strict, domestic, universality

16 **1 INTRODUCTION**

17 ne of the impacts of the Second World War (WW II) is the movement from the strict reliance on the principle
18 of state sovereignty or domestic jurisdiction to the concept of universality. ?? The concept gave impetus to
19 and culminated in the adoption of plethora of human rights instruments. Under the United Nations auspices,
20 the Universal Declaration of Human Rights was adopted in 1948; 2 and today it "represents a major milestone
21 in human progress." 3 It is also the "corner stone of contemporary human rights law," ?? because it has given
22 impetus to the adoption of other human rights instruments both at the international, regional and domestic levels.
23 At the regional level the UDHR gave impetus to the adoption of European Convention on Human Rights 5 and
24 the Inter-American Convention on Human Rights. ?? These Conventions guarantee certain rights and freedoms
25 to individuals and also impose certain obligations on state parties to the respective bull dog, ??? because it has
26 no legal stand to give binding decisions and enforce its judgments. These problems, among others, culminated to
27 the adoption of the Protocol to the African Charter on the establishment of African Court of Human and Peoples'
28 Rights in 1998. 13 ??3 Protocol to the African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights on the establishment of an
29 African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights, adopted by the 34 th Ord. See Ten years later, another Protocol
30 was adopted to merge the African Court of Human Rights and African Court of Although, the establishment
31 of an African Human Rights Court is a recent development, the idea of establishing the Court is not a new
32 development. It was mooted in 1961 at the Conference of African Jurists in Lagos, Nigeria. The Conference
33 was convened to discuss enforcement mechanisms for the protection of human rights in the newly independent
34 States of Africa. ??6 The Law of Lagos, which was the outcome of the resolution of the Conference, called for
35 the adoption of African Convention and establishment of African Human Rights Court to enforce the rights in
36 the Convention. ??7 Paragraph 4 of the Law of Lagos specifically declares: Despite this sound declaration, effort
37 to establish Human Rights Court in Africa was an exercise in futility.

38 In 1963, with the formation of the OAU, the Organization rejected the draft Charter that provided for the
39 "establishment of a Court of Mediation, Conciliation and Arbitration" in a separate treaty. African leaders
40 rather created an ad hoc "Commission of Mediation, Conciliation and Arbitration", as a mechanism for the
41 peaceful dispute settlement among Members of the OAU, to accomplish the purposes of the OAU Charter. ??8
42 The Protocol, which defined the duties and powers of the Commission, later became an integral part of the
43 OAU Charter. ??9 Another attempt was made at the Ministerial meeting in Banjul in 1981, when the proposal
44 forwarded by Guinea on the establishment of an African Court to judge crimes against humanity and to protect

2 HISTORICAL SURVEY

45 human rights was turned down. ??0 According to Justice Kebba M'baye, the expert group considered the idea of
46 establishing an African Human Rights Court, but failed to make a recommendation to that effect since it felt that
47 it was untimely to discuss it. ??1 This conclusion was not surprising because the expert group was instructed
48 "not to exceed that which African States were ready to accept in the field of protection of human rights". ??2 It
49 Global Journal of Human Social Science Volume XII Issue II Version I 2 68 II.

50 2 HISTORICAL SURVEY

51 New Trends in the African Human Rights System: Prospects Of African Regional Human Rights Courts was
52 glaring, therefore, that if African Charter had ??6 The Conference, which was organized by the International
53 Commission of Jurists (ICJ), convened almost 194 judges, lawyers and scholars from twenty-three countries for
54 the theme on the Rule of Law, where Dr. Nnamdi Azikwe, the then Prime Minister of Nigeria, in his address
55 first mooted the idea of the establishment of African Human Rights Court. See Kioko B., "The Road to the
56 African Court on Human Rights", Afric. Society of Inter'l & Comp. Law, Proc. 10 th Annual Conf. 1998, at 75.
57 In order to give full effect to the Universal Declaration of Human Rights 1948, this Conference invites African
58 Governments to study the possibility of adopting an African Convention of Human Rights in such a manner that
59 the conclusions of this Conference will be safeguarded by the creation of a Court of appropriate jurisdiction and
60 the recourse there to be made available for all persons under the jurisdiction of the signatory States.

61 contained more than what it contains now or had established a Court, African leaders would have been
62 reluctant to ratify it. But commentators are of the opinion that drafters of the African Charter would have
63 overcome this obstacle in view of the fact that jurisdiction of the Court needed not be automatic but subject to
64 separate declaration as was done in the case of former European system and the present Inter-American comes
65 into existence, the African Human Rights Court will remain the African Continental Human Rights Body and
66 would determine cases of human rights violations in Africa. ??5 The focus of this paper is to appraise the
67 prospects of African Human Rights Court and the merged Court, which will later replace it. But before delving
68 into the crux of the matter, it is crucial to survey the history of the Courts.

69 Justice as African Court of Justice and Human Rights. ??4 But until a year after the Protocol of the merged
70 Court 14 Protocol on the Statute of the African Court of Justice and Human Rights, [http://Single_Legal-
Instrumentpdf](http://Single_Legal-Instrumentpdf) Protocol of the Merged Court]. ??5 Id., Art. 7.Under Art. 5 of Protocol, cases pending before
72 African Human Rights Court that have not been concluded before entry into force of the Protocol shall be
73 transferred to the Human Rights Section of the merged Court on the understanding that such cases shall be
74 determined in accordance with the African Human Rights Court Protocol. That the proposal to establish African
75 Human Rights Court was out rightly and flatly rejected after lengthy discussions depict that the representatives
76 were reluctant "towards an effective enforcement mechanism" in Africa, ??4 and, as a commentator pointed
77 African States were strongly opposed to external meddling in their internal affairs and saw internal pressure
78 concerning human rights protection as unwanted interference. No wonder, therefore, that most African leaders,
79 having only then recently emerged from the yoke of colonial oppression, tend to jealously guard their newly -found
80 Sovereign States against any perceived encroachment, even at the expense of human rights protection. ??6 Article
81 III(2) of the OAU Charter, which stresses full respect for state sovereignty and the principle of non-interference,
82 justifies this point. That is why it took additional 20 years of extensive lobbying and much international pressure
83 after the Lagos Conference before Africa's political leaders were reluctantly willing to accept an African Charter.

84 It is an indisputable fact that the Charter is a unique human rights instrument that embodies both Universal
85 and African norms. However, its lack of provisions on the establishment of Human Rights Court undermines the
86 Charter as effective human rights instrument. The question that continues to agitate the minds of scholars is why
87 did African leaders prefer the establishment of African Commission to the establishment of African Human Rights
88 Court? Or as a commentator asked: "Why African Governments are willing to submit to the jurisdiction of the
89 International Court of Justice while refusing to even contemplate the existence of a judicial body indigenous to
90 the continent?" ??7 If one considers and answers this latter question, one would indeed conclude that the reason
91 for the delay goes beyond the reluctance of African leaders to relinquish their hard -won States to external bodies.

92 One other strong reason pondered by scholars for the choice of establishing a Commission and not a Court is
93 predicated on African norms and values or African societies' predilection towards amicable settlement of disputes
94 in lieu of judicial decree. African leaders favoured negotiations, conciliation and other amicable forms as the
95 appropriate methods for dispute settlement, and opposed the confrontational judicial settlement common to the
96 West. ??8 Kebba M'baye, one of the proponents of this notion had once said:

97 According to African conception of the law, disputes are settled not by contentious procedures, but through
98 reconciliation. Reconciliation generally takes place through discussions, which end in a consensus leaving neither
99 winners nor losers. Trials are always carefully avoided, they create animosity; people go to Court to dispute
100 rather than to resolve a legal difficulty. ??9

101 3 Global

102 4 Journal of Human Social Science Volume XII Issue II Version 103 I 69

104 New Trends in the African Human Rights System: Prospects Of African Regional Human Rights Courts out:
105 "In the 1960 and 1970s, the decolonization process and the protection of regional independence and freedom
106 completely dominated African politics". 25 28 Anne, Pieter V.D.M., *supra* note 25, at 115: Se also Dankofa Y.,
107 "Towards an Effective Safeguard for the Enforcement of Human Rights in Africa -the Need for an African Court",
108 Ahmadu Bello University Law Journal, Vol. 21-22, 2003-2004, at 84; Anthony E.A. *supra* note 26, Udombana N.J.,
109 "An African Human Rights Court and an African Union Court: A Needful Duality or a Needless Duplication?",
110 Brooklyn Journal of International Law, Vol. 28, No. 3, 2003, at 189, where he stated that: "The reason for
111 this anti-Court approach stems partly from the nature of African Customary Law? Traditional African Dispute
112 Settlement places a premium on improving relations between the parties on the basis of equity, good conscience
113 and fair play, rather than on strict legality". Naldi G.J. and Magliveras K., *supra* note 23, at 944, stating that:
114 "African conception of law is averse to third party adjudication, which is considered as confrontational. But
115 alternatively is traditionally based on reconciliation reached through consensus".

116 29 See Anthony A.E., *supra* note 26. ??0 Id. ??1 Anne, Pieter V.D.M., *supra* note 25, at 116. ??2 Id.
117 Commentators have debunked this argument, pointing out that Courts are designed to provide a medium for
118 resolving those agreements after they have defied amicable settlement. According to one of the commentators,
119 "to argue that Courts tend to create animosity rather than promote the resolution of disputes is to flagrantly
120 misrepresent the function and purpose of judicial institutions". ??0 It has been importantly argued that though,
121 amicable settlement of disputes in Africa is very significant, African traditions and norms do not exclude judicial
122 settlement in cases involving human rights violations; "human rights conflicts in Africa of the 20 th Centuries,
123 like elsewhere in the modern world", it is concluded, "are?vertical conflicts between 'strong' States and 'weak'
124 individuals, that cannot be adequately resolved on the basis of dialogue, good faith, or forgiveness". ??1 There is
125 also the imperative and possibility of obtaining a legal condemnation or getting compensation especially where
126 violations of human rights are involved. ??2 Setting aside the reasons pondered by scholars for the choice of
127 a Commission and not a Court, the inescapable fact remains that after the OAU adopted the African Charter,
128 human rights situation in African continent continued to be bleak. This is because African human rights were
129 built on shaky and ramshackle foundations. That is why Mr. Adama Dieng, Secretary-General of the International
130 Commission of Jurists, saw the establishment of Human Rights Court in Africa as "an urgent necessity to curb
131 human rights abuses". ??3 Against this backdrop, human rights Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs), and
132 international bodies spearheaded aggressive campaigns for establishment of Human Rights Court in Africa. The
133 relative success of the European Court and Inter-American Court also gave impetus to the establishment of the
134 Court.

135 In pointing out this vital point, N.J. Udombana succinctly stated:

136 Both the Inter-American and European Courts of Human Rights have gained the grudging respect of political
137 leaders throughout their respective continents. Unlike the regional human rights Commissions State Governments
138 almost universality respect judicial order of the regional human rights Courts. Both Courts have proved to be
139 effective mechanisms for the protection of human rights in their regions. ??4 One of the efforts made by the
140 NGOs was the session convened by the International Commission of Jurists in Dakar, Senegal in January 1993,
141 in collaboration with the OAU General-Secretariat and the African Commission on the theme: "Strengthening
142 the African Human Rights System". Participants unanimously concurred that time had come for the dream
143 of establishing an African Human Rights Court to be transformed into reality. But some of the participants
144 recommended that African Commission should be allowed to strengthen first. ??5 However, the tireless campaigns
145 of the various bodies yielded positive results in 1994, when at the Summit of Heads of State and Government
146 of the OAU, the leaders adopted a resolution requesting the Secretary-General of the OAU to call meeting of
147 Government experts to ponder over the means to enhance the efficiency of the African Commission on considering
148 particularly the establishment of an African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights. ??6 The first Government
149 Legal Experts meeting on the Establishment of African Court was convened by the Secretary -General of the OAU
150 in collaboration with Government of South Africa in Cape Town in September 1995, where the experts produced a
151 draft Protocol on African Human Rights Court. In drafting the Protocol, Government legal experts were guided
152 by several human rights instruments including, *inter alia*, the European Convention on Human Rights, ??7
153 Statutes of the European Court, ??8 Inter-American Convention on Human Rights, ??9 The second meeting of
154 Government Legal Experts was convened in Nouakchott, Mauritania in April 1997, ??4 inviting representatives
155 of all Members of the OAU and all resource persons who participated in the drafting of the Protocol at the
156 Cape Town meeting. The delegates did not only consider the draft Protocol, but also examined comments and
157 observations received 42 OAU/LEG/EXP/AFC/HPR (1), reproduced in 8 Afric. Journal of Inter'l & Comp.
158 Law, 1996, 493. ??3 For example, Mr. A. Hagg and Mr. Dullah Omar, the Assistant Secretary-General of the
159 OAU and the South African Minister of Justice respectively "expressed the hope that the proposed Court would
160 be able to make a contribution towards the economic development of Africa". See Naldi G.J. and Magliveras
161 K., *supra* note 23, at 946. ??4 Udombana N.J., *supra*, note 12, at 139. ??5 The States were Algeria, Burkina

5 III. PROSPECTS OF AFRICAN HUMAN RIGHTS A) STRENGTHENING UNIVERSALITY AND DISCOURAGING STRICT ADHERENCE TO THE DOCTRINE OF STATE SOVEREIGNTY

162 Faso, Burundi, Benin, Cote d'Ivoire, Egypt, Ethiopia, Lesotho, Mauritius, Madagascar, Gambia, Namibia, Niger,
163 Tanzania, South Africa, Swaziland, Senegal, Sierra Leone and Togo.

164 That provision on amicable settlement was not introduced in the initial draft Protocol debunks the argument
165 that the choice of establishing a Commission rather than a Court was predicated on African norms and values,
166 which favoured amicable settlement.

167 But it was argued that the issue should be left pending till when the Court had enough work. ??7 At the 34 th
168 Ordinary Session of Assembly of Heads of State and Government meeting held in Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso, the
169 African leaders finally adopted the Protocol to the African Charter establishing African Court to complement the
170 protective mandate of the African Commission. ??8 Taking into account the stages the Protocol had undergone,
171 it is convincing to agree that "it represents a compromise between different trends in the history of its drafting".
172 ??9 The Protocol establishing African Human Rights Court came into force on 25 January 2004; and exactly
173 two years after (January 2006), the Executive Council of Ministers of the AU in Khartoum, Sudan elected the
174 New Trends in the African Human Rights System: Prospects Of African Regional Human Rights Courts 50
175 Other judges included: Sophia A.B. Akuffo (Ghana), Hamdi Faraj Fanoush (Libya), El Hadji Guisse (Senegal),
176 George W. Kanyeihamba (Uganda), Kecello Justina Mafaso-Guni (Lesotho), Fatsah Ougurgouz (Algeria) and
177 Emile Somda (Burkina Faso). The eleven judges took the oath of office on the 2 ??d The Ministers of Justice
178 and Attorney-General in their Conference held in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, considered the draft Protocol and in
179 particular, the issue of access to the Court (Arts. 5 and 6, which till now are controversial provisions under the
180 African human rights system) and the question whether judges should perform their duties on part-time or on
181 full time basis. eleven judges of the Court. ??0 The establishment of African Human Rights Court fills a gap
182 in the African human rights system by placing it on the same pedestal with the European and Inter-American
183 systems; it provides judicial guarantees at the regional level for the protection of human rights in Africa.

184 However, immediately the African Human Rights Court Protocol entered into force, the Assembly of Heads of
185 State and Government of the AU took a decision to merge the African Human Rights Court and African Court of
186 Justice to create an African Court of Justice and Human Rights. ??1 This dream was fulfilled at ??6 Udombana
187 N.J., supra note 12 at 142. 47 Kioko B., supra note 16, at 81. ??8 See African Human Rights Court Protocol
188 or Protocol, supra note 13. ??9 Udombana N.J., supra note 12, at 143. the 11 th AU Summit held in Sharm
189 El-Sheikh, Egypt when the Assembly of Heads of State and Government adopted the Protocol and Statute of
190 the African Court of Justice and Human Rights. ??2 The Protocol and the Statute annexed to it shall enter into
191 force thirty days after the deposit of the instruments of ratification by 15 Member States of the AU. ??3 The
192 immediate topic is devoted to the prospects of the Courts.

193 5 III. PROSPECTS OF AFRICAN HUMAN RIGHTS a) 194 Strengthening Universality and Discouraging Strict adher- 195 ence to the Doctrine of State Sovereignty

196 The concept that human rights are universal can be traced to the internationalization of human rights in 1945,
197 when the UN Charter was adopted. ??4 The period of 20 th Century witnessed the revival of natural law;
198 and natural rights. ??5 Prior to that period, the doctrine of state ??1 This was following the proposal by
199 the Chairperson of the Assembly of the AU and Head of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, President Olusegun
200 Obasanjo. There was the concern at the tremendous growing of AU institutions, which the Organisation could
201 not afford to support. For stages of the integration, see made on ad hoc basis to prohibit some flagrant violations
202 of human rights. 57 To root the concept of universality of human rights, the General Assembly of the UN did
203 not only adopt the UDHR, but also proclaimed the Declaration "as a common standard of achievements for
204 all peoples' and all nations?" 58 and imposed obligation on all individuals and Governments to nationally and
205 internationally secure the universal and effective recognition and observance of the declared human rights and
206 freedoms. 59 Although, the UDHR was not intended to be a binding document at the time it was adopted, it has
207 given impetus to the adoption of other human rights instruments at both the international, regional and domestic
208 levels. The African Charter, one of the regional human rights instruments that the UDHR influenced, reveals that
209 "having due regard to the Charter of the United Nations and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights" 60 and
210 thereby "recognizing?that fundamental human rights stem from the attributes of human beings, which justified
211 their international protection". 61 This buttresses that, *prima facie*, in terms of substantive norms, African States
212 have concurred to the universality of human rights It is, however, not correct to view universality of human rights
213 only from the angle of the adoption of African Charter and other human rights instruments, but also in terms
214 of establishing mechanisms for enforcement of the rights guaranteed by the Charter. But that African leaders
215 established only African Commission with questionable features and ignored establishing African Court indicates
216 that they were not ready to submit themselves to a thorough human rights scrutiny and universality.

217 It is predicated on this point that the establishment of African Human Rights Court, and indeed the merged
218 Court fills the gap left by the African human rights system; it strengthens the universality of human rights and
219 discourages the strict adherence to the much-vaunted principle of state sovereignty or noninterference; the effect
220 of which the way African leaders treated their citizens were regarded as within the internal sphere of national
221 jurisdiction. 62 The concept had done a great damage to African human rights system. It was considered as one of
222 the OAU centre creeds, which culminated in the reluctance of the OAU Member States to promote human rights

223 aggressively and to criticize one another about human rights violations. ??3 That is why the OAU was vilified
224 and relegated as a "Heads of States Club." because the Organisation protected the interests of African Heads of
225 State without addressing the real problems that plague the continent. 64 56 Shaw M.N., International Law, 5
226 th edn. (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2004) at 252, where he declared that "Virtually all matters
227 that would be classified as human rights were at that stage universally regarded as within the internal sphere of
228 national jurisdiction; See also Harris D.J., Cases and Materials on International Law, 5 th edn. (London: Sweet
229 and Maxwell, 2004), at 654.

230 It cannot be disputed that the principle of absolute state sovereignty or non-interference, was given teeth to
231 bite because neither the OAU Charter nor the African Charter established an effective enforcement mechanism
232 of its provisions. Some provisions of the African Charter, especially those relating to the African Commission,
233 including provisions on confidentiality, none-binding decisions, absence of effective remedies and enormous powers
234 given to the General Assembly over the affairs and decisions of the Commission relegated the Commission to a
235 research centre. ??5 With the establishment of African Human Rights Court and the merged Court with power
236 to give binding decisions against a State that embark on violation of human rights and the power to award
237 effective remedies to victims of human rights violations, there is at least a glimmer of hope that African States
238 have taken the universality concept seriously.

239 On the other hand, the operation of African Human Rights Court, and the merged Court would not be an
240 affront to the sovereignty of African States, most especially that the contentious jurisdiction of the Courts is
241 optional. ??6 Even though, the Special Protocol of the So, the option is for a State to compromise absolute
242 sovereignty by the adoption of the Special Declaration to ensure universal adherence to human rights. Once that
243 is done, African citizens would be afforded access to an institution not affiliated with a particular State or group
244 of States, and the institution would serve to protect African citizens from their own Governments when such
245 protection is in need. There is no doubt, therefore, that with the establishment of African Human Rights Court,
246 and the merged Court, the previous dogmatic approach to preserving State sovereignty may begin to fade in some
247 quarters. ??9 In adopting the African Human Rights Court Protocol, African Heads of State and Government
248 were firmly convinced that the attainment of the objectives of African Charter required the establishment of an
249 African Human Rights Court to complement and reinforce the functions of the African Commission. ??0 Similar
250 convictions were made under the Protocol establishing the merged Court. 71 NGOs, for example Amnesty
251 International, also saw the establishment of African Human Rights Court as "an extremely positive step towards
252 demonstrating African Government's commitments to realize the spirit and letter of African Charter and ensure
253 the protection of human rights in Africa." ??2 Notwithstanding the fact that some provisions of the Statute of
254 African Human Rights Court and Protocol of the merged Court are severely criticized, at least on paper and in
255 theory, African human rights system has been placed on the same pedestal with the European and Inter-American
256 human rights systems. The establishment of the two Courts represents the third instalment in attempts since
257 Second World War to create Human Rights Court at the regional level; ??3

258 6 b) Development of an African Human Rights Jurisprudence

259 One remarkable feature of African Human Rights Court and also the merged Court is that the Court would be
260 able to give decisions on some areas which are distinct features of African Charter. In pointing out the imperative
261 need for the development of African Human Rights Jurisprudence, a commentator stated that "human rights
262 protection in any region requires regional human rights jurisprudence. African human rights system needs it most,
263 due to the restricted formulation of many rights in African Charter and the need to inspire domestic Courts."
264 ??5 The African Commission has applied the civil and political rights provisions to a wide range of situations
265 including detention in communicado without trial of at least eleven journalists by Eritrea, where Eritrea was found
266 to have violated rights such as freedom of expression, the right to liberty and the right to fair trial. 76 76 African
267 Comm., Communic. No. 275/2003 (2007). ??5 Frans V., note 20 at 27.

268 7 supra

269 Apart from guaranteeing the traditional first generation rights-civil and political rights, which all other
270 international, regional and municipal human rights instruments have guaranteed and/or recognized, the African
271 Charter places the civil and political rights on the same pedestal with socio-economic rights; ??7 "and that ??7
272 For detailed discussions on socio-economic rights, see Udombana N." The Role of Courts in making Economic,
273 Social and Cultural Rights Justiciable in Nigeria" Fountain Quaterly Law Journal, Aug. 2004 at160-174; Fon
274 Coomas (ed.), Justiciability of Economic and Social Rights: An Experience from Domestic Systems (Belgium:
275 Enter Sentia Publishers), 2006.

276 civil and political rights cannot be dissociated from economic, social and cultural rights." 78 Although, the
277 interpretation of socio-economic rights would definitely be one of the serious challenges of African Human Rights
278 Court and the merged Court, ultimately, it would aid in the development of African human rights jurisprudence.

279 Another problem and challenges of the African Human Rights Court and the merged Court in the development
280 of African human rights jurisprudence, is the interpretation of peoples' rights in the African Charter; and
281 other international human rights instruments. The pronouncements of these Courts on peoples' rights would

282 be significance in view of the inescapable fact that the problems emanating from these rights are enormous. J.
283 Machoski pointed out the problems in the following words:

284 The crucial question posed both by scholars and law-makers is: who are the subjects and beneficiaries of
285 peoples' rights? By definition, it is suggested that they are the people. But that logical and relatively simple
286 answer immediately raises more questions, namely: who are the people? What is their position in international
287 law? And finally, what are the relationships and borderlines between peoples' rights and human rights, group
288 rights, and also the relationship of states under international law? 79 Another complex problem of definitions
289 is that of the notions such as "peoples", "population", "nation" or "country" and "state". In the absence of
290 explicit and uniform definitions under the African Charter and other international human rights instruments, it
291 is difficult to establish precisely the subjects of peoples' rights. ??0 So, there is dire need to develop African
292 human rights jurisprudence in these controversial areas.

293 Even though the African Commission, like the ICJ, 81 had made some pronouncements on the right to self-
294 determination, the Commission's effort is not seen as anything other than shielding away responsibility. In
295 Katangese Peoples' Congress v. Zaire, 82 the Commission held that under certain exceptional circumstances, a
296 sub-state group (a people) who complains of being encircled by a State Party has the right to secede from that
297 State. Although, this decision was regarded by a writer as: "the Commission's increasingly bold interpretation
298 behavior," 83 another commentator saw it as tactics adopted by the Commission to shield away from making
299 a pronouncement as to whether or not it had the competence to review self-determination claims. 84 It is,
300 therefore, not in doubt that though the right to self- ??0 Id. the Commission declared that the right to a general
301 satisfactory environment imposes clear obligations upon a Government. In the words of the Commission:

302 It requires the State to take reasonable and other measures to prevent pollution and ecological degradation, to
303 promote conservation, and to secure ecological sustainable development of natural resources. The Commission
304 also laid down a very important principle that the right to food is inseparably linked to the dignity of human rights
305 and is crucial for the enjoyment and fulfillment of such other rights as health, education, work and participation.
306 ??8 Similarly, with regard to Article 21 of the African Charter dealing with the right of all peoples to freely dispose
307 of their wealth and natural resources, *inter alia*, the African Commission held that failure of the Government of
308 Nigeria to involve the Ogoni Communities in the decision that affected the development of Ogoni land and the
309 lack of material benefits accruing to the local population constituted a violation of Article 21. 89 87 Comm. No.
310 155/96, available at www.law.wits.ac.za/comcases/155-96.html (Last visited 12/11/2008). humanits/afric
311 ??8 Id. ??9 Id.

312 Although, the principles in the foregoing case refute the argument paddled by many groups, including the UK
313 Government that socio-economic and cultural rights cannot be dealt with by Courts, they cannot be considered
314 as land mark principles in the development of jurisprudence of African human rights system, in view of the fact
315 that the Commission is not a body with binding authority; its decisions are only recommendatory. There is the
316 imperative need for such pronouncements to be made by a judicial body as the African Human Rights Court and
317 later the merged Court. B.O. Nwabueze had evaluated the crucial role of judicial body in this regard, where he
318 postulated:

319 A fact-finding Commission is useful, it needs to be reinforced by a machinery with compulsory jurisdiction to
320 interpret and enforce, by the rendering of binding decisions, the provisions of the Charter when efforts at amicable
321 settlement fails. Such is the position in the European Convention on Human Rights. ??0 In other words, the
322 African Commission has no legal stand to develop the jurisprudence of human rights in Africa because, apart
323 from the fact that it is a factfinding body with only quasi-judicial power, its decisions do not bind the Assembly
324 of Heads of State and Government nor the parties before it. It has even been criticized that what the African
325 Charter established is a mere fact-finding, not enforcement machinery. ??1 On the contrary, the establishment
326 of African Human Rights Court and the merged Court marked a watershed in African human rights system
327 because the Courts would give binding decisions and award effective remedies. ??2 Being judicial bodies, the
328 Courts would be able to analyze issues before them in detailed, reflect full reasoning for both their contentious
329 and advisory decisions. All these would culminate in the development of a human rights case law.

330 However, the significance of African Human Rights Court and the Merged Court would certainly depend on the
331 quality of the case law they generate especially in the area of socio-economic rights, group rights as well as duties
332 of individuals. Courts and legal practitioners in other regions would watch with keen interest the development of
333 jurisprudence in these areas. c) Heralding a New Era of Transparency and Accountability and Attracting more
334 Publicity and Media Exposure

335 It is crucial to reiterate that under the Commission system, measures taken with respect to procedures of
336 the Commission remain confidential until such time as the Assembly of Heads of State and Government decides
337 otherwise. ??3 Consequently, the 93 African Charter, Art. 59(1). ??4 Saffari A., "The Enforcement of Human
338 Rights", The Afric. Society of Inter'l & Comp. Law, Proc., 10 th Annual Conf., 1998, 299. ??5 African Human
339 Rights Court Protocol, Art.10 (1) Statute of the merged, Art. 39. ??6 Id., Arts.10 (1) & 39 respectively. ??7
340 Id., Arts. 28(5)(6) & 43(4) respectively. ??8 Id., Arts. 28 (7) & 44 respectively.

341 Commission could not publish vital information such as the names of States against which complaints of
342 human rights violation have been leveled. Thus, the confidentiality clause did protect (as it was intended) States
343 Parties from being exposed of flagrant violations of human rights which African States have been known for. A
344 scholar pictured the record of human rights violations in Africa where he said:

345 The record of human rights violations in Africa has been appalling considering the previous murderous regimes
346 of Mathius of Equatorial Guinea, Idi-Amin of Uganda and Jean Bedel Bokassa of Central African Republic?Ethnic
347 wars in Rwanda, and Burundi, the civil wars in Liberia, Somalia, political unrest in Egypt and Mauritania do
348 not add up any credit to the record. ??4 It is predicated on the foregoing fact that the establishment of African
349 Human Rights Court and the merged Court, heralds a new era of transparency and accountability in human
350 rights cases. The Courts would conduct proceedings in open Court, ??5 though secret proceedings could be held
351 in exceptional cases; 96 judgments of the Courts and reasons for the judgments ??0 must be read in an open
352 Court 97 ; and there is room for dissenting opinion. ??8 The Court themselves are required to submit report of
353 their work during the previous year specifying cases in which a State fails to comply with their Court's judgment.
354 ??9 This procedure, no doubt, will attract more publicity; it will give room to assess the role of African Human
355 Rights Court, and later the Merged Court in the development of the jurisprudence of human and peoples' rights,
356 which under the Commission system, is considered, "a Herculean task". ??00 The activities of the Court being
357 in secret would definitely attract media attention to expose States that embark on flagrant violation of human
358 rights. ??01 The significance of such publication cannot be underscored: it is "a particularly effective means of
359 putting pressure on government" ??06 But the proposal was flatly rejected. It was, therefore, not surprising that
360 twenty years after the Conference, when the African Charter was adopted, the idea to create African Human
361 Rights Court had been sunk into oblivion, despite the fact that human rights abuses in Africa had been and has
362 reached its peak. ??05 Statute of the merged Court, Art. 46(5).

363 106 Inter'l. Comm. of Jurists, Afric. Conf. on the Rule of Law, Lagos (Nig.), 3-7 Jan. 1961 -Report on the
364 Proceedings of the Conference, 1961, at 9. See also Kioko B., supra note 16; Anthony A.E., supra note 26.

365 107 Kayode E., supra note 65 at 175. 108 Naldi G.J. and Maghiveras K., supra note 23, at 944; Philip A.,
366 "The African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights -An Effective Weapon for Human Rights", 4 Afric. Journal
367 of Inter'l & Comp. Law, 1992, at 237; Anne Pieter V.D.M., supra note 25, at 115.

368 109 Anthony E.A. supra note 26.

369 110 Anne Pieter V.D.M. supra note ??5, at 115-116. The strongest reason often given by scholars is that
370 the preference of a Commission to a Court was predicated on "the nature of African customary law and long-
371 time dispute settlement practice." 107 It has been argued that African norms and values favoured negotiation,
372 conciliations and other amicable forms as the appropriate methods for dispute settlement and would oppose the
373 confrontational judicial settlement; common in the west. ??08 The choice of a Commission was justified on the
374 basis that it functions in a way similar to the OAU Commission of Mediation, Conciliation and Arbitration,
375 which conforms to the African approach to dispute resolution. ??09 But it is doubtful, whether this reason
376 is genuine. Scholars have argued that, though in Africa the significance of amicable dispute settlement may be
377 stressed more than elsewhere, African traditions and norms do not, especially in cases involving human rights
378 violations, exclude judicial settlement. ??10 The assumption that litigation was avoided in the pre-colonial Africa
379 is a myth or a fallacy, when one took a cursory glance at the political traditions of societies in that period. In
380 the demonstration of A.E. Anthony:

381 The Amhara of Ethiopia?historically thrived on litigation and the vigorous examination and crossexamination
382 of witnesses. In a similar vein, in present-day Congo, the Tio people had a strong tradition of jurisprudence?with
383 specific rulings for penalties? Likewise, among the Akomba of present -day Ghana, the Council of Elders existing
384 in each separate community was responsible for rendering judgment on matters insoluble by reconciliation. Each
385 party to a dispute was charged with presenting its case and thereafter was required to abide by any decision that
386 was reached by the Council of Elders. Moreover, a series of sanctions was imposed by the Court based on the
387 extent to which an accused deviated from Akomba customary law? 111

388 The foregoing statements are pointer to the tacit fact that reference to typical African norms and values or
389 customs could have motivated the choice for a human right Court. ??12 It is, therefore, not surprising that,
390 though the African leaders did not give reason for the choice not to establish a Court, Judge Keba M'beye
391 revealed the reality at the 1985 Conference on the African Charter to the effect that the establishment of such a
392 Court would be "premature". ??13 The reason for the choice not to establish a Human Rights Court, therefore,
393 was to protect the sovereignty of the newly independent African States against any perceived intervention even
394 at the expense of human rights promotion and protection. ??14 Two decades after most African States had
395 regained their independence, African leaders were still simply reluctant to subject themselves to a supra-111
396 Anthony E.A. supra note 26. ??12 Id. ??13 Id. ??14 Udombana N.J., "An African Human Rights Court and
397 an African Union Court: A Needful Duality or a Needless Duplication?", Brooklyn Journal of Inter'l Law, ??ol.
398 28, No. 3, 2003, at 818. 115 Kunig, "The protection of Human Rights by International Law in Africa", German
399 Year Book of Inter'l Law, 1982 at 38-39. national Court. ??15 Even of more recent, some scholars still held firm
400 that "the creation of a Court will mainly be of symbolic value". In the public perception, also in modernized
401 Africa, a meaningful rule of law has come to be associated with the existence of impartial Courts. Without the
402 existence of a Court, a system of human rights protection is seen as toothless. The establishment of a Court
403 that gives binding judgments will foster the perception that the rights under the Charter are enforceable, and
404 that the system should be taken seriously. Such perceptions are prerequisites for the development and sustained
405 legitimacy of the State. 117 117 Frans V., supra note 20. 120 Gemera; Sani Abacha & 3 Ors. v. Chief Gani
406 Fawehinmi ??2000) 2 SCNQR 489 at 496 per M.E. Ogundore JSC at 514. e) Setting Precedents for Sub-Regional
407 Institutions and Domestic Courts Developing African human rights jurisprudence is not only relevant for African

8 CONCLUDING REMARKS

408 Human Rights Court and the Merged Court but also African sub-regional and domestic Courts. The power to
409 interpret the African Charter is not the monopoly of African Human Rights Court (later the Merged Court) and
410 African Commission; there also exist in Africa proliferation of sub-regional institutions with direct or indirect
411 mandate to interpret the African Charter. ??18 The decisions of African Human Rights Court and the Merged
412 Court would serve as precedents to these Courts.

413 In the same advantage, domestic African Courts would make frequent use of the jurisprudence of African
414 Human Rights Court and later the Merged Court. This is important because the provisions of African Charter
415 have been incorporated in the municipal laws of some African countries that practiced dualism system; 119 and
416 having been incorporated, the provisions of the Charter become part of domestic law with international flavour
417 that "possesses 'greater vigour and strength' than any domestic Statute. 120 Some provisions of the African
418 Charter upon which the decisions of the African Human Rights Court and later the decisions of the merged
419 Court will serve as precedents to municipal Courts include provisions on claw-back clauses, individual duties,
420 socio-economic rights et cetera. ??21 Madhuku L., "The Impact of the European Court of Human Rights in
421 Africa: The Zimbabwe Experience", 8 Afric. Journal of Inter'l & Comp. Law, Vol. 8, Pt. 4, Dec. 1996 at 934.
422 Moreover, there are certain areas which are not covered by the African Charter or other international human
423 rights instruments that are applicable in Africa but which a case might arise begging for urgent attention. A
424 judge of a Municipal Court might look up to the cases decided by the African Human Rights Court and the
425 merged Court to tackle the problem at hand. By so doing, the hands of domestic judges would not only be
426 strengthened; but might also justify decisions that could embarrass States. In addition, decisions of African
427 Continental Courts would not only encourage African domestic Courts to rule to the same end, 121 but also
428 African domestic human rights jurisdiction would be enriched. ??22 Although, the African Commission was
429 constituted over two-decades now, its decisions cannot and would never serve as precedents for African domestic
430 Courts for the simple reason that the Commission is not a judicial body with power to give binding decisions.
431 That African domestic Court will make use of the decisions of African Human Rights Court and the merged
432 Court as precedents can be evidenced from the fact that "domestic African Courts have made frequent use of
433 the jurisprudence of the European Court? case-law as a guide to constitutional interpretation." 123 It is our
434 prediction that African domestic Courts will make use of the decisions of African Human Rights Court and later
435 the merged Court more than they have made use of the decisions of the European Courts because, while the
436 decisions the former Courts are binding, those of the later are only persuasive.

437 For example, it has been fished out that in many cases decided by the Zimbabwean Supreme Court on the
438 Bill of Rights; the Court has not only referred to many 122 State v. A. Juvenile (1987) 2, 246 per Dumbutshena
439 CJ. ??23 Frans V., "The Relevance of the Inter-American Human Rights System for Africa", 11 Afric. Journal
440 of Inter'l & Comp. Law, 1999, at 660. ??24 These cases include: Tyre v. United Kingdom (1978) 2 ECHRI
441 (corporal punishment); Echle v. Germany (Federal Republic) ??1983) 5 EHRR & Foti v. Italy ??1983) 5 EHRR
442 313 (right to a speedy trial); Soering v. United Kingdom ??1989)

443 8 CONCLUDING REMARKS

444 It is not in doubt from the foregoing discussions that the establishment of African continental Human Rights
445 Courts is a welcomed development in the African human rights system. However, "the mere establishment of a
446 Court empowered legally to condemn state parties for human rights violations is no guarantee of success. An
447 effective human rights mechanism requires more." ??27 The success of the Courts, therefore, depends on the extent
448 which African leaders will be willing and able to tackle some impediments which render the African Commission
449 a paper tiger. These include substantial amendment of the provisions of African Charter, the willingness of the
450 State parties to meet their financial obligations, compliance with the rulings, order and judgments of the Courts,
451 et cetera. If only these can be done, Africa, which is laughing last for the establishment of the Court, will laugh
452 better. ??25 Madhuku L., *supra* note 121. ??26 Id 127 Anne Pieter V. D. M., *supra* note 25, at 114. a scholar



Figure 1:

Govt. of the AU on June 1998 (OAU Doc.

[Note: OAU/LEG/MIN/AFCHPR/PROT./rev.2) (entered into force 25 Jan. 2004) [hereinafter African Human Rights Court Protocol or Protocol].]

Figure 2:

available at www.hurisaorg.za/advocacy/AfricanCourt/
(last visited 12/03/2008) [hereinafter
systems. 23

Figure 3:

*[Note: 35 Kioko B., *supra* note 16, at 76.36 44 See Second Government Legal Experts Meeting on the Establishment of an African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights, April 11-14, Nouakchott, Mauritania, OAU/LEG/EXP/AFR/HPR.RPT (2), reprinted in 9 *Journal of Inter'l & Comp. Law*, 1997, 423.]*

Figure 4:

African Court Coalition Organization: "New President and Vice-President for the African Court available http://www.africancourtcoalition.org/editorial.asp?page_id=167. (last visited 04/03/2006).

Figure 5:

8 CONCLUDING REMARKS

(iii) NGOs access was to be strictly limited to exceptional cases involving a series of "serious" and "massive" violations of human rights. 46
2 72
Press, 2001), at 36)
Rights, available [http://www.hurisa.org.za/Advocacy/AfricanCourt/Single_Legal_Instrument.\(hereinafter Protocol of the merged Court \)](http://www.hurisa.org.za/Advocacy/AfricanCourt/Single_Legal_Instrument.(hereinafter Protocol of the merged Court)), Arts. 1 & 2.
53 Id., Art. 3 (3).

[Note: http://www.africancourtcoalition.org/editorial.asp?page_id=46.52 54 55 Adaramola, *Basic Jurisprudence*, 3rd edn. (Lagos: Raymond Kunz Comms., 2004), at 40. Stating that: "A vigorous resurgence of natural law philosophy occurred in the twentieth century despite the unabated opposition of jurists such as Han Kelsen and the Scandinavian Realists". sovereignty]

Figure 6:

Gaborone, Botswana Dec. 2003, available http://www.unisa.ac.za/contents/about/principle/docs/municipal_law.doc (last visited 24/11/2008).

[Note: 68 Anthony A.E., *supra* note 26.69 Sceats S., "Africa's New Human Rights Court: Whistling in the Wind?", *International Law*, March 2009/IL BP 09/01, available at <http://www.chathamhouse.org.uk/files/13587bp0309S.ceats.pdf> (Last visited 03/12/ 2009).]

Figure 7:

d) Fulfilling Past Dreams and Changing African Outmoded Perception on the Establishment of an African Human Rights Court
It is apposite to reiterate that though, the establishment of Human Rights Court is a recent development, the idea dates back to 1961 at a

Figure 8:

Figure 9:

453 "has been to develop enriched and respectable domestic human rights jurisprudence." 126 body of law. 125 "The
454 result of this process", according to ^{1 2 3 4 5 6}

¹© 2011 Global Journals Inc. (US) © 2012 Global Journals Inc. (US)

²See Law of Lagos, Jan. 1961, reprinted in 3 Journal of International Commission, 1961. 18 See Charter of the Organization of African Unity, May 25, 1963, [hereinafter OAU Charter], Arts. XIX, VII(4). 19 See Protocol of the Commission of Mediation, Conciliation and Arbitration, 3 I.L.M. 1116, 1964, Art. 32. 20 Frans, V., "Some Arguments in Favour of and against an African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights", The African Society of Inter'l & Comp. Law Proc. 10 th Ann. Conf. 1998, at 22. 21 Council of Ministers 37 th Ord. Sess., OAU CM/1149 (XXXVII), at para. 117.

³Kioko B., *supra* note16, at 75.23 Naldi G.J., and Magliveras K., "The Proposed African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights" Evaluation and Comparison", Afric. Journal of Inter'l & Comp. Law, 1996, at 944.24 Frans V. *supra* note 20, at 22 citing K. M'baye, *Les Droits del' Humme en Afrique* (Paris: Editions A. Podone, 1992), at 164.

⁴© 2012 Global Journals Inc. (US)

⁵See African Topic; Issue 10, November 1995, at 11.

⁶See Art.31 of the African Human Court Protocol.or a "potent weapons against human rights denials"; 103 and it is also a device to "mark out the violator."104 The 102 Idubor R., *Principles of Human Rights-Introduction*, Vol. 2 (Benin: Newera Pubs., 2000), at 79. 103 Umozurike U.O., "The Significance of the African Charter on Human & Peoples' Rights", in Awa U.K. and Osibanjo Y. (eds.), *Perspectives on Human Rights*, Fed. Min. of Justice, Law Rev. Series, Vol. 12 at 80. 104 Mutua A., "A Two Legal Stool?" 21 *Human Rights Quarterly*, at 342-358.100 Dakas C.D., "The Lessons of History: Understanding the African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights with Reference to the Legislative History", *Journal of Public Law and Private Law*, a publication of the Dept. of Public and Private Law, Uni. Jos, Fac. of Law, 2003, at 25. 101 Shu'aib U.M., *supra* note 92, at 126.

8 CONCLUDING REMARKS

455 [Id] , Id . (6 th para. to the preamble)

456 [Id] , Id . (6th para. to the preamble)

457 [Id] , Id . (8 th para. to the preamble)

458 [Charter] 86 *In the case of Social and Economic Action Rights Centre (SERAC) and another v, African Charter*
459 . Nigeria. p. 87.

460 [African Charter, 4 th para] *African Charter, 4 th para*, (to the preamble)

461 [Ankumah ()] E A Ankumah . *The African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights: Practice and Procedures*,
462 1996. p. 164. (Tha Hague: Martinus Nijhoff)

463 [Charter] 'Art. 24. determination "is widely accepted by African Governments and is consistent with many of
464 the African culture'. African Charter . *The African Commission has, however*, (85 there is need for judicial
465 pronouncements on the right under the African human rights system. set a)

466 [For example, the General Act of the Brussels Conference for the Repression of the African Slave Trade, 1890, Le Louis (1817) 21
467 *For example, the General Act of the Brussels Conference for the Repression of the African Slave Trade*,
468 1890, Le Louis (1817) 2 Dods. 210, codified in the Slavery Convention 1926 60 LNTS 253 (as amended by
469 the Supplementary Convention on the Abolition of Slavery, 1953. 266. (et cetera)

470 [Obiora ()] C O Obiora . *The African Human Rights System: Activist Forces and Interpretational Institutions*,
471 (Cambridge) 2007. Cambridge University Press. p. 86.

472 [Macheski] *Peoples' Rights as a New Form of Human Rights*, J Macheski .

473 [Mccorquodale ()] 'Self-determination Beyond Colonial Context and its potential Impact on Africa'. R Mccorquodale . *Afric. Journal of Inter'l & Comp. Law* 1992. p. .

475 [Ijalaye ()] 'The Concept of Domestic Jurisdiction in the 81 For example Western Sahara's case (1975) ICJ Rep'.
476 See Ijalaye , DA . 12. 82. *Human Rights Reports* 1996. 92 (75) .