Global Journals LATEX JournalKaleidoscopeTM

Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

Short Run and Long Run Association between Real Exchange Rate and Trade Balance: Empirical Evidence from Bangladesh (Johansen Approach and Vector Error Correction Model) Subroto Dey¹, Subrata Saha² and Roksana Akter³ ¹ Mawlana Bhashani Science and Technology University *Received: 7 December 2018 Accepted: 1 January 2019 Published: 15 January 2019*

8 Abstract

24

Several studies have tested the j curve phenomenon for Australia, Japan, South Korea, New 9 Zealand and many other countries using non stationary time series data and have provided 10 mixed results. They not only suffer from the aggregation bias problem but also the spurious 11 regression problem. To overcome this problem, in this paper we investigate the short run and 12 long-run effects of real depreciation of the Bangladeshi taka to the trade balance between 13 Bangladesh and her trading partners. In this article first we check the stationary of data set 14 and find the stationary applying the Augmented Dickey Fuller test, then applying the 15 Johansen co integration test in order to find out the long run co integrated equations and last 16 of all try to investigate the short run and long run relationship among the variables, while we 17 use the VECM (vector error correction model) and found that there is long run associations 18 among the variables, and short-run coefficients are statistically insignificant. But for 19 Bangladesh j curve concept have not been tested yet. That?s why we have chosen this topic, 20 and we incorporated several others variables to test the linkages on trade balance such as GNI 21 as a proxy of GDP, inflation rate, NODA (net officials development assistance, and we have 22 given more priory on the variable real exchange rate. 23

³⁹ 1 I. Introduction

n the era of floating exchange rates, the effects of currency appreciations and depreciation on trade flows have been
 closely studied. One particular topic of interest is the so-called J curve effect, in which a country's trade balance

Index terms— Abstract-Several studies have tested the j curve phenomenon for Australia, Japan, South Korea, New Zealand 25 26 and many other countries using non stationary time series data and have provided mixed results. They not only 27 suffer from the aggregation bias problem but also the spurious regression problem. To overcome this problem, in 28 this paper we investigate the short run and long-run effects of real depreciation of the Bangladeshi taka to the 29 trade balance between Bangladesh and her trading partners. In this article first we check the stationary of data 30 set and find the stationary applying the Augmented Dickey Fuller test, then applying the Johansen co integration 31 test in order to find out the long run co integrated equations and last of all try to investigate the short run and 32 long run relationship among the variables, while we use the VECM (vector error correction model) and found 33 that there is long run associations among the variables, and short-run coefficients are statistically insignificant. 34 But for Bangladesh j curve concept have not been tested yet. That's why we have chosen this topic, and we 35 incorporated several others variables to test the linkages on trade balance such as GNI as a proxy of GDP, 36 inflation rate, NODA (net officials development assistance, and we have given more priory on the variable real 37 exchange rate. 38

might deteriorate in the short run after devaluation, before improving in the long run. Because depreciation 42 should help increase a country's exports, while making its imports more expensive, it should, in theory, result in 43 an improvement of the differences between the exports and imports. Because of time lag involved in adjusting 44 45 contracts, however, the number of exports and imports are temporarily fixed. If the country is paying in foreign currency, it must give up more units of depreciated currency before the quantity can adjust, so the trade balance 46 might briefly deteriorate. Improvement may come only after the passage of sometimes hence the J curve pattern. 47 Due to lag structures, currency devaluations said to worsen the trade balance first and improve it later resulting 48 in a pattern that resembles the latter J, hence, the J curve phenomenon. Since Magee introduced it in (1973, 49 Brooking papers on the Economic Activity, 1, pp.303-25), a large number of studies have attempted to test 50 the phenomenon using different techniques and different model specifications. There have been numerous papers 51 examining the long run and short-run relationships between the terms of trade and trade balance. A deterioration 52 of the terms of trade (devaluation) brings in a long run improvement in the trade balance that ML conditions 53 explained. Since the short run elasticity is usually smaller than the long run elasticity, the trade balance may 54 not improve in the short run. In fact, in the short run, the post-devaluation time path of the trade balance is 55 theoretically ambiguous, as Magee (1973) notes. While there are reasons to believe that the J curve phenomenon 56 characterizes the shortrun dynamics, there are also reasons why it may not. Indeed the empirical evidence has 57 58 been rather mixed or inconclusive.

⁵⁹ 2 II. Literature Review

A country that is experiencing deterioration in her trade balance could rely upon currency devaluation or 60 depreciation to reverse the situation. However, due to adjustment lags, currency devaluation or depreciation 61 is said to worsen the trade balance first before improving it, hence the j curve. Ever since the introduction of 62 the concept by Magee (1973) and its empirical counterpart by Bahmani-Oskooee (1995), researchers have tried 63 64 to verify the concept by using data from individual countries. Bahmani-Oskooee, M., Xu, J., & Saha, S. (2017) distinguished the short run effects from long-run effects of changes in the real bilateral exchange rate on the trade 65 balance of each of the 69 industries and found that the trade balance of 48 industries were affected by exchange 66 rate changes in the short run, from long-run coefficients estimates, they gathered that there were 24 industries 67 in which the real exchange rate carried a significantly positive coefficient for Korea and Japan. Nusrate Aziz 68 (2008) in his article "The Role of the exchange rate in trade balance: Empirics from Bangladesh" estimated the 69 effect of exchange rate on the balance of trade of Bangladesh. Using the Johansen technique and error correction 70 mechanism he demonstrated that the real exchange rate has a significant positive influence on Bangladeshi trade 71 in both short and long run and the Granger causality test suggests that the real exchange rate does Granger 72 causes the trade balance. Boyd, D., Caporale, G. M., & Smith, R. (2001) used co integrating VAR, co integrating 73 VECM and single equation ARDL model and found the considerable evidence that the real exchange rate does 74 have a significant impact on the trade balance. Hsing, H. M. (2005) used the generalized impulse response 75 function from the vector error correction model to examine whether the j curve effect exists for Japan, Korea 76 and Taiwan. Both bilateral and aggregate cases were considered .this study found that japans aggregate trade 77 is the only case that shows the traditional j curve phenomenon after real depreciation. DOROODIAN Sr, K. 78 H. O. S. R. O. W., Jung, C., & Boyd, R. (1999) in their article examined the hypothesis of the j curve for the 79 US US data for both agricultural and manufactured goods using the Shiller lag model and their statistical result 80 supported the hypothesis that there is a j curve effect for agricultural goods and that the behavior of the trade 81 balance in manufactured goods did not follow a j curve. Petrovi?, P., & Gligori?, M. (2010) introduced Serbian 82 data, after the consecutive testing procedure they found that a real exchange rate depreciation has a significant 83 84 positive long-run impact on the trade balance in Serbia and that in the short run trade balance first deteriorates before it later improves. Narayan, P. K. (2004) found that New Zealand's trade balance, Real exchange rate 85 and the domestic income, foreign income are not co integrated, there is no long-run relationship among these 86 variables and also found the existence of the j curve path for the New Zealand trade balance. Bahmani-Oskooee, 87 M. (1991) using quarterly data over 1973-1988 period and applying the co integration analysis he found that the 88 trade balance and the real effective exchange rate of some LDCs are co integrated into the long run. Onafowora, 89 90 O. (2003) examined the short and long-run effects of real exchange rate changes on the real trade balance for three ASEAN countries-Thailand, Malaysia and Indonesia and cointegration analysis indicated that there is a 91 long run steady state relationship among real trade balance, real exchange rate, real domestic income, and real 92 foreign income. 93

⁹⁴ 3 III. Description about the Variables

While the nominal exchange rate tells how much we exchange foreign currency for a unit of domestic currency, the real exchange rate tells how much the goods and services in the domestic country can be exchanged for the goods and services in a foreign country. In this paper, our dependent variable is trade deficit, and independent variables are real exchange rate, inflation rate, Net official's development assistances, GNI as a proxy of GDP. We want to estimate the coefficients to justify the strength of the relationship, want to investigate the short run and

long run association among the variables using the Johnsen cointegration test and VECM (vector error correction

Here our objective is to evacuate the relationship among variables if they are positively related or negatively related. Are they associated in the short run or the long run? That's why our research is unit free, and we have not given more concentrate upon the volume of the coefficient but the sign f the coefficient term.

Actually, in this paper, we want to clarify the determinant of trade balance and want to evaluate the existence of the J curve pattern between trade balance and real exchange rate. We used the time series data to investigate the relationship between the variables from 1983 to 2017.

¹⁰⁸ 4 IV. The Model

Where we used the GNI as a proxy of the national income. We know very much well that if a country's income 111 increases then import demand also increases and trade deficit increases, so there is a positive relationship. Also 112 we want to check is there any relationship between the inflation and trade. we, know very much well that if a 113 country's inflation rate increases that means price of the product of her own country is now expensive and people 114 will be reluctant to buy own country's product and import demand also increases and trade deficit increases. 115 Here we also incorporate a new term NODA (net official development assistance), proxy as a foreign aid. From 116 theoretical background, we realize that if foreign aid increases then there may be an impact on exchange rate 117 whereas domestic currency may be appreciated, and import demand may be stimulated, and export may be 118 decreased. Thus we may find a positive impact on trade deficit. Here we also amalgamated the most important 119 independent variable that mostly affects the trade is real exchange rate If the real exchange rate increases that 120 means the currency is depreciates, then foreign product becomes more expensive to people and domestic good 121 becomes relatively cheaper. So there is the possibility to stimulate the export demand and reduction in the 122

123 import demand.

124 So we may find a negative relationship with the trade deficit and real exchange rate.

¹²⁵ 5 V. Test for Unit Root

Here the null hypothesis in equation (??) is that the data is non stationary, which can be rejected if the computed ?? is statistically significant and different from zero. we may conclude that the data is strationary but if the ?? coefficient will not be different from zero, then the data set is not stationary. If a time series data set is non stationary, then we take the first difference to make it stationary. Augmented Dickey Fuller follows this procedure. Here the first difference is taken. We check all of my variables like the above-mentioned equation.

¹⁴² 6 VI. Johansen Cointegration test and

143 the Vector error Correction Model(vecm)

We can check the cointegration between the time series by using Johansen test which is developed by ??ohansen (1988 ??ohansen (,1991)) and Johansen and Huselius if both series are integrated of order one. We estimate the following equation in Johansen multivariate framework. The method starts with a VAR representation of the variables (economic systems we like to investigate. We have a pp-dimensional process, integrated of ??? ?? ==??+? ?? 1 ???1 ???=1 ??? ???1 +???? ???1 +????

The number cointegrating vectors are identical to the number of stationary relationship in the ?? matrix. 149 If there is no cointegration ?? , all row in must be filled with zeros. If there are stationary combinations, or 150 stationary variables, some parameter in ?? will be nonzero. The rank of ?? matrix determines the number 151 152 of independent rows in, and the number of co integrated vectors. The rank of ?? is given by the number 153 of significant Eigen values found in ?? .Each significant eigenvalue represents a stationary relation. Johansen 154 derived two tests, the ??max ??max (or maximum eigenvalue) and the ??trace?trace (or trace test.) ?? is the 155 ordered Eigen value of matrices ?? and T is the available observations. Both procedures test the null hypothesis of at most r cointegrating vectors against the unspecified or general alternative hypothesis of having more than 156 157 $(r,r+1) = -T \log (1-?? ??+1)$ 158

Where ?the first difference operator, x is is the vector of variables, u is the drift parameter, ?? 1 and ?? ???1 are coefficient matrices. To determine the rank of the metrics ?? is an important task in Johansen cointegration

test. We actually amalgamate this Johansen cointegration test and VECM in my article in order to verify the 161 co integrated equations and find the short run and long run relationship among the variables. And before doing 162 that we tested the unit root test and finds that the variables are nonstationary at level, but if we take the 163 first difference they become stationary. Result Explanation: In this regression model we have amalgamated five 164 independent variables, and we have found the expected coefficient sign. Here, the real exchange rate has a positive 165 impact on trade balance and negative impact on the trade deficit, and furthermore, we have found the negative 166 coefficient. Then we used the gross national product as a proxy of gross domestic product. If a country's income 167 increases then the import demand increase and trade deficit will be increased we have found the expected positive 168 sign. NODA (net official development assistance), we incorporate this variable here as foreign aid. If foreign aid 169 increase, then there may be an impact on currency valuation, currency may be appreciated, import demand may 170 be increased and o found here the positive coefficient sign. If the domestic price increases then foreign product 171 will be relatively cheaper to people. Thus if inflation rate increases then foreigner also faces a relatively higher 172 price of your product so export will be decreased. According to the theoretical background, we have found here 173 the expected sign for every variable. 174

As Rule of thumps according to the t statistics and P value all the variables are statistically significant except the NODA. According to the R squared value this model is able to explain the 94% variation of trade deficit so the incorporated variables are able to explain the trade deficit significantly.

¹⁷⁸ 7 b) Augmented D K Fuller Test for Variable Trade Deficit

Now we want o test the individual data set has unit root or not. If the time series data are non stationary then the regression result will be spurious. For the sake of that we have to test:

181	8	????????????????????????????????????	???????????????????????????????????????	?????????	????
182		??????	???????????????????????????????????????	???????????????????????????????????????	???????????????????????????????????????
183		?????????	???????????????????????????????????????	???????????????????????????????????????	??????????

We may decide according to the value of test statistics and critical value comparison. If the test statistics is greater than the critical value, we may reject the null hypothesis and may accept the alternative hypothesis. So, in the level form trade deficit data are non stationary and if we take its first difference the found that they are stationary.

¹⁸⁸ 9 Source: author, results from Stata, time series c) Augmented ¹⁸⁹ D K Fuller test for variable real exchange rate

According to the T test statistics and critical value we found that the level form of real exchange rate is 190 nonstationary and if we take the first difference then at the 5 % significant level they will be stationary. Here we 191 tested the Johansen cointegration criteria to find out is there any log run association ship among the variables 192 or not. Software has given me the two criteria one is Max statistics, and another is trace statistics. For trace 193 statistics 0 means there is no cointegration that means null hypothesis is there is no cointegration among the 194 variables and the alternative hypothesis is there is cointegration among the variables .We have to compare the 195 trace statistics and the critical value .if the trace is greater than the critical value then I have to reject the null 196 hypothesis and accept the alternative hypothesis. As the trace statistics 110 is greater than critical value94, 197 then we have rejected the null and decide that they are coinegreted. Now we mean they have one cointegrated 198 equation so, the null hypothesis is the variables that we have incorporated in this model have one cointegration 199 .And we cannot reject this hypothesis. So, our decision is the variables trade deficit, real exchange rate, gross 200 domestic income, inflation all are co integrated into one and they have logrun association and they move together 201 in the long run. As all the variables are nonstationary at level form and stationary at first difference, we can run 202 the co integration test. And as they are contegrated in one and they have long-run relationship now, we can test 203 the VECM model (vector error correction model). And here the max statistics and the trace statistics have given 204 us the same results and that is the incorporated variables are moving together in the long run. 205

²⁰⁶ 10 c) Vector error correction model

If the time series data are nonstationary at the level form and stationary at first difference and if they are co 207 208 integrated into the long run by Johansen co integration test, then we can easily apply the Vector error correction 209 model. We also use this model in to differentiate the short run causality and long-run researchers sought conditions 210 under which a devaluation or depreciation could improve the trade balance.8 Countries continued to experience 211 deterioration in their trade balance despite repeated devaluations. Improvement did come but only after the passage of time and after completion of pass-through of exchange rates to prices, hence the J-curve effect. Since 212 it is shown that import and export prices adjust to exchange rate changes in an asymmetric manner (Bussiere, 213 2013), there is no reason not to believe that trade flows will not follow the same. As argued by Bahmani-214 Oskooee, and Fariditavana (2015, 2016) traders' expectations change when a currency appreciates versus when it 215 depreciates. Therefore, trade balance likely reacts in an asymmetric manner to exchange rate changes. Another 216

217 factor that could contribute to asymmetric effects is the fact that imports and exports originate from two different

countries that are subject to different sets of trade rules, policies, and regulations. In other words, for countries with more bureaucratic red tape, the adjustment lags will be longer, which can contribute to asymmetric effects

(Bahmani-Oskooee & Aftab, 2017). Currency depreciation is said to worsen the trade balance first before

improving it, resulting in a pattern of movement that labeled the j curve phenomenon. Several studies have

222 employed aggregate trade data and have suffered from the aggregation bias problem. The problem is that a

223 favorable effect of currency depreciation against one country could be offset by its unfavorable effect against

another one resulting in a conclusion that depreciation is ineffective .but in my article we have incorporated

the stationary time series data and using the Johansen cointegration test and error correction modeling find the long-run association among the variables. $^{1-2}$

Year 2019 13 Volume XIX Issue III Version I E) (Global Journal of Human Social Science -© 2019 Global Journals

Figure 1:

a) Linear Regression Trade deficit

Coefficient

Standard error

e) Augmented Dickey fuller test for unit root, variable, inflation rate Year Source: Author, results from strata, time series VII. Johansen Test for Cointegration Trend: constan 201914Variable: 1982-2017 lags 2Volumea) Trace statistics 0 1 2 42XIX 3456b) Max statistics 5317.960909 Issue 624.2704517 III 6917.103814 Ver-7425.30032331.309343 sion 77Ι 7836.206296 37.215404 E) For level form For first Z(t) -2.403 -3.648 statistics Test -17.960909 4.2704517 17.103814 25.30032 (Global difference form 0 1 2 3 Z(t)Jour- 456 42nal 53of 62Hu-6974man So-77cial 78Science For level form Z(t)2.350For first difference form Z(t)3.501

[Note: Source: Author, results from stata, time series © 2019 Global Journals d) Augmented D K fuller test for variable GNI]

Figure 2:

16	D_1					
	trade					
	deficit					
VolumMaximum rank Maximum rank	Parma	LL				
XIX	Parma	LL				
Is-	_ce1	-				
sue	L1 1	.2564798				
III	trade	-				
Ver-	deficit	.1700934				
sion	LD	.0259511				
I (L2D 1	-				
E)	$\operatorname{rer} \operatorname{LD}$.1637177				
	L2D	-				
	1GNI_I	PC3026621				
	LD	.5141596				
	L2D	3.122934				
	1noda	pc2370135				
	LD					
GlobaL2D INF LD L2D cons-e) Johansen normalization restriction imposed1084151 .013794 .0144075						
Jour-						
nal						
of						
Hu-						
man						
So-						
cial						
Sci-						
ence						
-						
	Inf	-				
		.0570974				
	_cons	53.73079				
© 2019 Global Journals						

Figure 3:

- [Pal and Mitra ()] 'Asymmetric Oil Product Pricing in India: Evidence from a Multiple Threshold Nonlinear
 ARDL Model'. D Pal , S K Mitra . *Economic Modelling* 2016. 59 p. .
- [Delatte and Lopez-Villavicencio ()] 'Asymmetric Responses of Prices to Exchange Rate Variations Evidence
 from the G7 Countries'. A.-L Delatte , A Lopez-Villavicencio . Journal of Macroeconomics 2012. 34 p. .
- [Pesaran et al. ()] 'Bound Testing Approaches to the Analysis of Leve Relationship'. M H Pesaran , Y Shin , R
 J Smith . Journal of Applied Econometrics 2001. 16 p. .

[Bahmani-Oskooee et al. ()] 'Commodity trade between the US and Korea and the J-curve effect'. M Bahmani Oskooee , J Xu , S Saha . New Zealand Economic Papers 2017. 51 (1) p. .

- [Magee ()] 'Currency Contracts, Pass through and Devaluation'. S P Magee . Brooking Papers on Economic
 Activity 1973. 1973 (1) p. .
- [De Vita and Kyaw ()] 'Determinants of Capital Flows to Developing Countries: A Structural VAR Analysis'. G
 De Vita , K S Kyaw . Journal of Economic Studies 2008. 35 p. .
- [Arize et al. ()] 'Do exchange rate changes improve the trade balance: An asymmetric nonlinear cointegration approach'. A C Arize, J Malindretos, E U Igwe. International Review of Economics & Finance 2017. 49 p.
- [Onafowora ()] 'Exchange rate and trade balance in East Asia: is there a J-curve'. O Onafowora . *Economics bulletin* 2003. 5 (18) p. .
- 243 [Petrovi? and Gligori? ()] 'Exchange rate and trade balance: J-curve effect'. P Petrovi? , M Gligori? .
 244 Panoeconomicus 2010. 57 (1) p. .
- [Bussiere ()] 'Exchange Rate Pass-Through to Trade Prices: The Role of Nonlinearities and Asymmetries'. M
 Bussiere . Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics 2013. 75 p. .
- [Hajilee and Al-Nasser ()] 'Exchange Rate Volatility and Stock Market Development in Emerging Economies'.
 M Hajilee , O M Al-Nasser . Journal of Post Keynesian Economics 2014. 37 p. .
- [Wilson ()] 'Exchange rates and the trade balance for dynamic Asian economies-does the Jcurve exist for'. P
 Wilson . Open economies review 2001. 12 (4) p. .
- [Rose and Yellen ()] 'Is there a Jcurve?'. A K Rose , J L Yellen . Journal of Monetary economics 1989. 24 (1) p.
- [Bahmani-Oskooee ()] 'Is there a long-run relation between the trade balance and the real effective exchange
 rate of LDCs?'. M Bahmani-Oskooee . *Economics letters* 1991. 36 (4) p. .
- [Karunaratne ()] 'Macro-Economic Determinants of Australia's Current Account 1977-1986'. N D Karunaratne
 Welt wirtschaftliches Archiv 1988. 124 p. .
- [Narayan ()] 'New Zealand's trade balance: evidence of the J-curve and granger causality'. P K Narayan . Applied
 Economics Letters 2004. 11 (6) p. .
- [Hsing ()] 'Re-examination of J-curve effect for Japan, Korea and Taiwan'. H M Hsing . Japan and the World
 economy 2005. 17 (1) p. .
- 261 [Boyd et al. ()] 'Real exchange rate effects on the balance of trade: Cointegration and the Marshall-Lerner
- condition'. D Boyd , G M Caporale , R Smith . International Journal of Finance & Economics 2001. 6 (3) p.
 .
- [Greenwood-Nimmo and Shin ()] 'Taxation and the Asymmetric Adjustment of Selected Retail Energy Prices in
 the UK'. M Greenwood-Nimmo , Y Shin . *Economics Letters* 2013. 121 p. .
- [Halicioglu ()] 'the J-Curve Dynamics of Turkish Bilateral Trade: A Cointegration Approach'. F Halicioglu .
 Journal of Economic Studies 2007. 34 p. .
- [Doroodian Sr et al. ()] 'The J-curve effect and US agricultural and industrial trade'. K H O S R O W Doroodian
 Sr , C Jung , R Boyd . Applied Economics 1999. (6) p. .
- [Nusair ()] 'The J-Curve Phenomenon in European Transition Economies: A Nonlinear ARDL Approach'. S A
 Nusair . International Review of Applied Economics 2016. 31 (1) p. .
- [Aziz ()] The role of exchange rate in trade balance, N Aziz . 2008. UK. Empirics from Bangladesh. University
 of Birmingham
- [Felmingham ()] 'Where is the Australian J-Curve?'. B S Felmingham . *Bulletin of Economic Research* 1988. 40 p. .