

GLOBAL JOURNAL OF HUMAN-SOCIAL SCIENCE: B GEOGRAPHY, GEO-SCIENCES, ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE & DISASTER MANAGEMENT Volume 18 Issue 3 Version 1.0 Year 2018 Type: Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal Publisher: Global Journals Online ISSN: 2249-460X & Print ISSN: 0975-587X

Flood Effects on Agricultural Productivity: Implications for Mangrove Forest Ecosystem in Akpabuyo, Cross River State, Nigeria

By Joy Eko Atu & Edet, Mary Okon

University of Calabar

Abstract- Flood is a natural disaster that affects lives, livelihoods, household food security and natural ecosystems. Hence, the study sought to determine flood effects on agricultural productivity: Implications for Mangrove Forest Ecosystem in Akpabuyo, Cross River State, Nigeria. Specifically, the paper identified high and low-risks flood areas in Akpabuyo, determined the frequency of flood events and extent of agricultural land inundation, identifying the crops species affected by flooding, and assessed the income and food survival strategies of farmers affected by annual flood events. Descriptive statistics (frequency counts, percentages, and averages were employed to analyze the data derived from a structured questionnaire and field measurement of elevation of high and low-risk flood areas. Results revealed that the agricultural land at high risk of flooding is those with 1-44 metres elevation above sea level Table 1. Findings on Table 4show that, the food staple most affected are the root or tuber species with 77.52 percent annual destruction, vegetable species were also at high risk, and some economic crops like Musa spp (plantain) were also at high risks of destruction. The result further revealed that, income loss and food shortages occasioned from flooding of agricultural land are mitigated by Mangrove Forest Ecosystem Resources Extraction Table 6. Thus, conservation of the Mangrove Forest ecosystem in Akpabuyo and Cross River State is tied to the efficient management and mitigation of flood events in agricultural land.

Keywords: mangrove ecosystem, forest flood effects, agricultural productivity, elevation. GJHSS-B Classification; FOR Code; 960399



Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of:



© 2018. Joy Eko Atu & Edet, Mary Okon. This is a research/review paper, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 3.0 Unported License http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/), permitting all non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Flood Effects on Agricultural Productivity: Implications for Mangrove Forest Ecosystem in Akpabuyo, Cross River State, Nigeria

Joy Eko Atu ^a & Edet, Mary Okon ^o

Abstract- Flood is a natural disaster that affects lives, livelihoods, household food security and natural ecosystems. Hence, the study sought to determine flood effects on agricultural productivity: Implications for Mangrove Forest Ecosystem in Akpabuyo, Cross River State, Nigeria. Specifically, the paper identified high and low-risks flood areas in Akpabuyo, determined the frequency of flood events and extent of agricultural land inundation, identifying the crops species affected by flooding, and assessed the income and food survival strategies of farmers affected by annual flood events. Descriptive statistics (frequency counts, percentages, and averages were employed to analyze the data derived from a structured questionnaire and field measurement of elevation of high and low-risk flood areas. Results revealed that the agricultural land at high risk of flooding is those with 1-44 metres elevation above sea level Table 1. Findings on Table 4show that, the food staple most affected are the root or tuber species with 77.52 percent annual destruction, vegetable species were also at high risk, and some economic crops like *Musa spp* (plantain) were also at high risks of destruction. The result further revealed that, income loss and food shortages occasioned from flooding of agricultural land are mitigated by Mangrove Forest Ecosystem Resources Extraction Table 6. Thus, conservation of the Mangrove Forest ecosystem in Akpabuyo and Cross River State is tied to the efficient management and mitigation of flood events in agricultural land.

Keywords: mangrove ecosystem, forest flood effects, agricultural productivity, elevation.

I. INTRODUCTION

I lood is a global challenge in the face of a changing climatic pattern. Typically, floods are outcome of extreme weather events such as precipitation (e.g. prolong rainfall and melting snow from snowfall), which are exacerbated by the geographical location and human activities of a place. Abua, Ewara, Abua & Atu (2009) argued that these factors are responsible for the flooding events in Nigeria, as one-third of the landmass of Nigeria is at an elevation of less than eight meters above sea level. Areas liable to flooding are low-lying areas, but the southern parts of Nigeria are more vulnerable due to the double maxima rainfall experienced for a prolonged period, usually between March-October and as early as February-November in some southern states like Cross River and Rivers states.

Flood over the years has caused severe damage to property, infrastructure, crops and deaths across the country, and has been considered as a source of increased risks to disease and hunger (Baiye 1988; Edward-Adebiyi 1997), damage to property, loss of life, contamination, and spoiling of agricultural land (Umoh, 2008). Akpabuyo in Cross River State is an agrarian community dependant on optimal production of their farm enterprise for food security and livelihood needs, but, the undulating, low-lying topography enmeshed within the Great Kwa and Calabar River makes Akpabuyo a candidate for frequent and prolonged inundation. The literature on flood events and the impacts on different sectors of the Nigerian environment is robust (see, Akintola and Ikuyatum, 2006; Umoh 2008, Abua, et al. 2009; Kalu 1984, Areola and Akintola 1980). But, none of the studies related degradation of forest ecosystem with destruction of farmlands by flood and this is the focus of this study. Therefore, the study:

- 1. Identified high and low-risks flood areas in Akpabuyo, Cross River State.
- 2. Determined the frequency of flood events and extent of agricultural land inundation.
- 3. Identify the crops species affected by flooding.
- 4. Examined the relationship between flood, cultivated crops and forest resource exploitation and degradation in Akpabuyo, Cross River State.

II. METHODOLOGY

Study Area: Akpabuyo is a Local Government Area (LGA) in the Southern axis of Cross River State, Nigeria. The LGA was created in 1991with an administrative headquarters located at lkot Nakanda. Akpabuyo is made up of 28 villages of three major dialectical groups (the Efiks, Quas, and the Efuts), but, the English language is the official spoken language (Tip Top Globe, 2016). Akpabuyo is located between latitude 4°5' North and 5°4' South and longitude 8°25' West and 8°32' East Fig 1 and had a total population of 271,325 in 2006 (NPC, 2006). The population is projected to be 307, 4117 as at 2017 using 3.0 growth rate of the region.


A reconnaissance survey was undertaken before the actual field work to identify the areas prone to flooding, identify farmers and seek their consent for the


Author α σ: Department of Geography & Environmental Science, University of Calabar, Nigeria. e-mails: joye.atu@gmail.com, edetmary75@yahoo.com

sample. The study adopted the methodology of (Atu and Bisong, 2013) in selecting sample sites. Thus, 10 villages were purposively chosen out of the existing 28 villages to form the sample, based on their elevation and dominance in agricultural activities and flood events. Five of the villages are in areas prone to flooding and five in areas not too prone to flooding. The geographical coordinates and elevation were noted. Field observation and measurement, interview and household (HH) questionnaire were utilized in collecting data. A total of 400 questionnaire were administered by adopting the Yaro Yamane's formula given as:

n = 11 + Ne2

Where: n=Sample Size; N=Population Size; e=Level of Confidence (taken as 0.05) and 1=constant. Hence, 400 copies of questionnaire were distributed, and 13 copies of the questionnaire were found to be inconsistent with the objectives of the study. Therefore, 387copies of the questionnaire were retained for the study. Two hundred and two copies of the questionnaire were those of communities at high risk of flood and 185 are from communities at low risks of flood.





III. Results and Discussion

a) Identified High and Low Risks Flood Areas in Akpabuyo

The areas liable to annual flood event depicted on Table 1 lie within longitude 8° 23' 39.6" and latitude 4° 54' 11.6" with an elevation of 18-44 meters above sea level. Therefore, Esuk Mba, Akans Oko, Ikot Ene Umo, Idebe and Atim Asam are the areas at risk of flooding annually. But the community with the highest risk is Ikot Ene Umo with only 18 meters elevation above sea level.

b) Frequency of Flood

Table 2 shows the frequency of flood events as responded to by the sampled population. 71.28 percent of the responses indicated that, the flood occur annually and the areas at high risks of flood have not experience any year without flooding. The annual flooding has implications for the variety of crops cultivated as the arable crops such as maize (*Zea mays*), cassava (*Manihot esculenta*), and cocoa yam (*Dioscoreaspp*) cannot withstand prolonged submergence. These crop types are highly susceptible to stagnant water as the roots of the crops rot easily.

c) Extent of Agricultural Land Affected by Flood Annually

The extent of agricultural land annually affected by flood on Table 3 between 51-100 hectares and above (The extent of farmlands annually affected by flood was estimated from calculating the sizes of the farmland of farmers that indicated that their farmlands are annually flooded (47.03 and 16.04% cumulatively to 63.07 %).

Table 1.	Goographical	Location	of Ligh	and Low Di	sks Flood Areas
Taple T.	Geographical	LUCATION	UTTIGUT	anu low-ni	SKS I 1000 AIEas

	High-Risks Flood Areas						
	Esuk Mba	Akans Oko	Ikot Ene Umo	ldebe	Atim Asam		
Longitude	8º 23' 39.6"	8º 27 [°] 51 ^{°°}	8° 35' 30' 8"	8° 26' 49.8"	8º 24 [°] 47.2 ^{°°}		
Latitude	4º 54 [°] 11.6 [°]	5° 2 25.3	4° 54 [°] 24.9 ^{°°}	4º 51 ٰ 33 ̈	4 57 34.5		
Elevation (M)	44	27	18	34	39		
		Low	-Risks Flood Areas				
	Akwa Ikot Effanga	lkot Edem Odo	Ikot Effiong Essien	lkot Ene	Ikot Offiong Amba		
Longitude	8º 29 [°] 12.8 ^{°′}	8º 24 [°] 31.9 ^{°°}	8º 24 [°] 52 ^{°°}	8º 27' 41.9"	8º 26' 59.6"		
Latitude	4º 57' 39.3"	4º 53 [°] 15.7 ^{°°}	4º 52 [°] 17.8 ^{°°}	4 ⁰ 54 [°] 54.6 ^{°′′}	4º 55 [°] 56.7 ^{°°}		
Elevation (M)	75	52	59	45	62		

Table 2: Frequency of Flooding

		High-I	Risks Flood Ar	eas			
Frequency of Flooding	Esuk Mba	Akans Oko	lkot EneUmo	Idebe	Atim Asam	Total	%
Annually	45	32	16	23	28	144	71.287
Every 2 Years	13	8	3	10	6	40	19.802
Once in Three Years	4	2	1	0	2	9	4.455
Once in Four Years	1	2	0	0	0	3	1.485
Once in Five Years	1	0	0	1	2	4	1.980
Once in Six Years	1	1	0	0	0	2	0.990
Never	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			Tallied	l Responses a	nd Percentage	202	1000
		Low-F	Risks Flood Are	eas			
Frequency of Flooding	Akwa Ikot Effanga	lkot Edem Odo	lkot Effiong Essien	lkot Ene	Ikot Offiong Amba	Total	%
Annually	12	10	2	14	2	40	21.622
Every 2 Years	9	13	6	9	6	43	23.243
Once in Three Years	6	10	6	1	4	27	14.594
Once in Four Years	14	8	5	4	1	32	17.297
Once in Five Years	1	4	1	4	12	22	11.892
Once in Six Years	0	4	0	0	3	7	3.784
Never	2	6	1	1	4	14	7.568
			Tallied	Responses a	nd Percentage	185	100

Farms Flooded in Hectares	Esuk Mba	Akans Oko	lkot Ene Umo	ldebe	Atim Asam	Total	%
Less than 20	2	7	10	2	3	24	11.881
21- 50	6	15	13	6	10	50	24.753
51-100	10	18	30	17	20	95	47.030
Above 100	2	5	12	5	9	33	16.337
			т. u:		Development	000	100
			Lallied	d Responses a	and Percentage	202	100
			Lallied	d Responses a	and Percentage	202	100
Farms Flooded in Hectares	Akwa Ikot Effanga	lkot Edem Odo	Ikot Effiong Essien	Ikot Ene	Ikot Offiong Amba	Total	%
			Ikot Effiong		Ikot Offiong		
in Hectares	Effanga	Odo	lkot Effiong Essien	lkot Ene	Ikot Offiong Amba	Total	%
in Hectares Less than 20	Effanga 12	Odo 26	Ikot Effiong Essien 5	Ikot Ene	Ikot Offiong Amba 24	Total 88	% 47.568
in Hectares Less than 20 21- 50	Effanga 12 17	Odo 26 18	Ikot Effiong Essien 5 10	Ikot Ene 21 7	Ikot Offiong Amba 24	Total 88 58	% 47.568 31.351

Table 3: Extent of Agricultural Land Inundation

d) Crops Species Affected by Flood Waters

The major crop species affected by flood listed on Table 5 revealed that household food staples are at most risks. These food staples include: vegetables, spices, legumes, tubers, grains, and fruits. Loss crops translate to loss income Table 6 and household food security which must be met via alternate sources. The most viable option for make-up source of livelihood and income for the farmers is harvesting from the Mangrove Forest Ecosystem resulting in exacerbated degradation of the Mangrove ecosystem. The demand on Mangrove Forest Ecosystem in the face of the challenging flood event is massive. Over 77.52 percent of the population sourced their energy needs from the forest, 51.68 looked to the forest for their protein, and 90.34 percent augment their vegetable need from the forest when crops are destroyed by flood. These findings have implications for the Mangrove ecosystem management and conservation, because, unless the menace of flooding agricultural land is tackled by relevant agencies the pressure to meet the food and economic needs of farmers will be met by the Mangrove forest.

Table 4: Crop Species Affected by Flood in Akpabuyo, Cross River State, Nigeria

Crop Types	Local Name	Botanical Name	Frequency of Cultivation	Percentage Cultivation
	Fluted Pumpkin	Telfairiaoccidentalis	202	52.20
	Waterleaf	Talinum fruticosum	187	48.32
Vegetables	Okro	Abelmoschus esculentus	160	41.34
	Green	Amaranthus spinosus	66	17.05
	Bitterleaf	Vernonia amygdalina	59	15.25
	Pepper	Capsicum maximum	100	25.84
Creisson	Tomatoes	Solanum lycopersicum	40	10.34
Spices	Curry	Murrayakoenigii	30	7.75
	Scentleaf	Ocimumgratissimum	37	9.56
Legumes	Melon	Cucumis melo	69	17.83
	Cassava	Manihot esculenta	300	77.52
Tubers	Yam	Dioscoreaspp	101	26.09
Tubers	Sweet Yam	Dioscorearotundata	200	51.68
	Water Yam	Dioscoreaalata	150	38.76
Grains	Maize	Zea mays	167	43.15
	Oranges	Citrus sinesis	77	19.90
Fruits	Mango	Mangiferaindica	86	22.22
	Banana	Musa sepentum	100	25.84
Economic	Plantain	Musa x paradisiaca	200	51.68
ECONOMIC	Oil Palm Fruit	Elaeisguineensis	47	12.45

High-Risks Flood Areas								
Income Loss (N)	Esukmba	Akansoko	lkot Eneumo	ldebe	Atimasam	Total	%	
≤ 20,000	5	4	4	3	4	144	71.287	
20,000-40,000	5	4	3	3	4	40	19.802	
41,000-60,000	7	5	2	5	8	9	4.455	
61,000-80,000	13	11	5	10	8	3	1.485	
81,000-100,000	15	14	5	8	6	4	1.980	
≥ 100,000	20	7	1	5	10	2	0.990	
			Tallie	ed Responses	and Percentage	202	1000	
		Low	-Risks Flood A	reas				
Income Loss (N)	Akwa Ikot Effanga	lkot EdemOdo	lkot Effiong Essien	lkot Ene	lkot Offiong Amba	Total	%	
≤ 20,000	3	4	2	4	3	40	21.622	
20,000-40,000	10	13	7	10	7	43	23.243	
41,000-60,000	18	22	6	10	6	27	14.594	
61,000-80,000	5	8	5	5	5	32	17.297	
81,000-100,000	3	4	0	2	5	22	11.892	
≥ 100,000	5	4	1	1	6	7	3.784	
	Tallied Responses and Percentage 185 100							

Table 5: Estimated Average Income Losses from Destroyed Crops due to Flood

 Table 6: Make-up Food and Income Sourced from Mangrove Forest Ecosystem in Akpabuyo, Cross River State, Nigeria

S/n	Mangrove Forest Ecosystem Resources Harvested	F	%
1.	Fuelwood (Use & Sale)	300	77.52
2	Fishing / Game Hunting (Use & Sale)	200	51.68
3	Palmwine Tapping	100	25.84
4	Periwinkle (Litorimalittorea) & Snail (Cornuaspersum)	260	67.18
5	NTFP (e.g. Afang,-Gnetum Africana; Mushroom-Agariscusbisporus)	350	90.44
6	Timber	40	10.34
7	Oil Palm Fruit	137	35.40
8	Raffia Palm (Raphia Farinifera) & Indian Bamboo (Bambusatulda)	200	51.67
9	None of the Above	10	2.59
10	All the Above	257	66.41

IV. DISCUSSION

This study is critical in the face of escalating extreme climatic events occasioned by changing global climate. The study revealed that the agricultural land at high risk of flooding is those with an elevation of 1-44meters above sea level and the community that is at the highest risk of flooding is Okot Ene Umo with an 18 meters elevation above sea level Table 1. Also, flood is an annual event implying that there is no respite from economic loss and household food shortages Table 2. From findings on Table 4, the crop types most affected are the root or tuber species with 77.52 percent annual destruction, vegetable species were also at high risk and some economic crops like plantain (*Musa spp*) were also at high risks of destruction from flood.

Furthermore, the finding demonstrated that the annual income loss of an individual farmer is as high as a hundred thousand naira, that is, about three hundred United States Dollar at current exchange rate of thirtyfive naira to a dollar. Income loss and food shortages occasioned from inundation of agricultural land are mitigated from Mangrove Forest Ecosystem Resources Extraction Table 6. Thus, conservation of the Mangrove Forest ecosystem in Akpabuyo and Cross River State is tied to the efficient management and mitigation of flood events in agricultural land.

V. CONCLUSION

The results of this research are critical to the sustainability of family livelihood and the conservation of the Mangrove Ecosystem of Cross River State. The relevance lies in the fact that several conservation strategies have failed to yield the desired outcomes in the past years because the pressure, demand and harvest of the Mangrove Forest Ecosystem Resources has not been linked to the flooding and destruction of agricultural land and crops. These findings therefore have implication for the Mangrove ecosystem management and conservation, because, unless the menace of flooding of agricultural land is tackled, the protection of the remaining Mangrove ecosystem in Cross River State, Nigeria would remain a mirage.

References Références Referencias

- 1. Abua, M. A., Ewan, T., Abua, T. U. and Atu, J. (2009). The Effects of Flooding on Agricultural Production in Yenegoa LGA, Bayelsa State. Journal of Policy and Development Studies, Vol. 3 No. 2, Nov. 2009. PP. 69-72.
- 2. Areola, O. O. and Akintola, F. O. (1980). Managing the Urban Environment in a Developing Country: The Ogunpa River Channelization Scheme in Ibadan City, Nigeria. Environmental International Vol. 3. PP. 237-241.
- Atu, J. E. and Bisong, F. E. (2013) Sprawl and Biodiversity in Cross River State, Nigeria. Research on Humanities and Social Sciences Vol. 3 No. 21, 2013. PP. 134-147.
- 4. Baiye, E. (1988). Numan in the Throes of Floods, In: The guardian, Thursday, October 8, PP: 9.
- Edward Adebiyi, R., (1997). The story of Ogunpa, in: The Guardian, Saturday, May 17, PP 5.
- National Population Commission (2007). Federal 6. Republic of Nigeria Official Gazette 24, (96). Federal Government of Nigeria.
- 7. National Population Commission (2007). The 2006 Census for Akpabuyo, Cross River State. Federal Government of Nigeria.
- Tip Top Globe (2016), Calabar: Map. Population. 8. Location. www.tiptopglobe.com/city?
- 9. Umoh, G. S. (2008). Programming Risks in Wetland Farming: Evidence from Nigerian Floodplains. Journal of Human ecology Vol 24 (2): 85-92.