Global Journals La Journal KaleidoscopeTM

Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.

Bar Diagram Showing the Year of First Migration of Migrant Labourers

Sibsankar Mal

Received: 16 December 2016 Accepted: 5 January 2017 Published: 15 January 2017

Abstract

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

- Labour migration is a pervasive feature of economic development. People mobility for
- 8 temporary or permanent labour purposes is a routine part of agricultural and industrial
- activity. There are very significant migration flows in some developing areas, with considerable
- impacts on individuals, households and regions at origin. Despite the growing debate about
- 11 motivations and impacts of recent migration flows, costs and returns of this global
- phenomenon are still unclear and remain far outside the public policy realm. This is true
- especially with respect to migration of people from rural areas of developing countries. The
- purpose of this paper is to review key issues relating to rural labour migration and its links to
- economic development at origin. What is the impact of migration, both personal and social,
- on rural and socio-economic development in source regions. The study indicates that
- maximum rural labourers migrated with their family member during the period of peak
- seasons. The study revealed that poverty (90.2)

Index terms—labour migration, rural population, unemployment, lifestyle.

1 Introduction

igration is the movement of a person or a group of people, to settle in another place often across a political or administrative boundary. It is the process of shifting from a place of residence to another place for some length of time or permanently including different types of voluntary movements. It has great impact on economic, social, cultural and psychological life of people, both at place of emigration as well as of migration (Kaur, 2003). In India the labour migration is mostly influenced by social structures and pattern of development. Uneven development is the main reason of migration along with factors like poverty, landholding system, and fragmentations of land, lack of employment opportunities, large family-size and natural calamities. The high-land man ratio, caste system, lawlessness and exploitation at native place speed up the breakdown of traditional socio-economic relations in the rural areas and people decide to migrate to relatively prosperous areas in search of better employment and income. Diversification of economy and increased land productivity in certain areas, rapid improvement in transport and communication means, improvement in education, increase in population pressure and zeal for improving living added momentum to the mobility of population in India (Roy, 2011).

Migration is the barometer of changing socioeconomic and political conditions at the national and international levels. It is also a sign of wide disparities in economic and social conditions between the origin and destination. It is generally believed that the globalization processes all over the world has accelerated the migration tend in search of survival, fulfillment and a better life for themselves and their families (Gupta, 1991). Rural migration is the phenomena that describe the movement of people from their villages to urban areas, usually in search of a better livelihood (Roy, 2011). In India there are a vast numbers of partially employed workers in rural sector, internal mobility is critical to the livelihood of many people, especially for people from rural areas who generate a continuous stream of out migrants destined for cities. Migration is not merely a reallocation of human resources but is a process which has three fold impact: a) on the area experiencing in-migration b) on the area experiencing out-migration, and c) on the migrants themselves (Tiwari, 2009).

Bankura and Purulia districts are the agroecological zones of West Bengal, India, that regularly witness of rural out-migration. Labours of Agriculture, Construction, Manufacturing, Mining and Quarrying are mainly

migratory, moving in search of occupation throughout the whole year. This movement has some time helped labourers to get the benefits of growth of development. They mainly migrate to Burdwan, Hooghly, Medinipur, Asansol, Kolkata and also to Jharkhand due to diversification of economy and increased land productivity in certain areas, rapid improvement in transport and communication. As sufficient local labours were not available, economic activities of these regions had to depend on the migratory labour for various operations, especially during peak seasons. Thus labour migration is still largely a survival or a subsistence strategy of rural West Bengal.

2 II. Objectives

In above context, the present study has identified the major constraints faced by the migratory labour at their native place which leads to migration and the impact thereof on income and employment pattern of migrants. The proposed study is designed with the following objectives:

? To examine the socio-economic profile of migrants and non-migrant households in the study area. ? To understand the causes and nature of rural labour migration of the study area. ? To assess the impact of migration on the migrant households.

3 III.

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

80

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93 94

95

96

97

98

4 Methodology

Two blocks from Bankura (Ranibandh and Raipur) and another two blocks from Purulia district (Manbajar-1 62 63 and Bandwan) have been selected because of maximum rural out-migration is found at these four blocks. Fifteen villages from those selected blocks are chosen by following stratified random sampling. The survey was done by 64 following questionnaire method along with few Focus Group Discussions (FGDs) with the adivasi intellectuals 65 at the place of origin of migrants. The study was conducted by the selection of respondents from each selected 66 village as a representative sample of 10 migrant and 10 nonmigrant respondents which were selected randomly. 67 The total sample size is 300 which were analyzed by the help of SPSS and MS Excel software. In addition, the 68 Chi-square analytical technique was used to test the variations observed in the effects of labour migration in the 69 study areas. Here any household if a person remains out of station for at least one year he/she is considered to be 70 a respondent. All respondent migrants were classified into two groups, viz. short-term migrants and long-term 71 migrants. Migration during peak agricultural or economic season being a common feature in the study area, all 72 persons who migrates only during peak periods and return to their native places within the same year or after 73 one year, were considered as short-term migrants. Persons working permanently with on a yearly contract or year 74 after-year contract (more than two years) and visit their native place occasionally for a few days, were considered 75 as long-term migrants. Thus, the sample was comprised of 75 long-term and 75 short-term migrants. 76 77

78 5 Results

79 The results of the study have been discussed under various subheads:

6 a) Socio-economic Profile of Respondents

The distribution of the respondents according to their socio-economic background is heterogeneous in nature by increasing in labour migration.

Table1: Comparative distribution of migrants and non-migrants according to their socio-economic background

7 b) Characteristics of Migrants

Table ?? shows the migration related characteristics of rural labour. About half of the sample respondents (50%) considered that they got the beneficial information about migration from their friends and relatives. It was also observed that family member are the major informer (62.5%) for long-term migrants where as friends and relatives are for the short-term migrants (51.2%). It is also noticed that 64.7 percent household are experienced up to two migrant members belonging their family. In case of short-term migration, 29.4 percent households had more than three migrant family members, whereas the figure was much lower for the households of long-term migration (6.7%).

8 Table 2:

. Percentage distribution of labour migrants according to their characteristics According to the socio-economic profile (Table ??) of the respondents, 60.9 percent of the migrants and 68 percent of the non-migrants were in the age group of 21-40 years, while 30.2 percent and 22.6 percent were in the age group of below 20 years, respectively. Thus, the number of migrants was more in 21-40 years age group because it is the preferred age-segment by employers because laborers in this group can undertake agricultural and other economic operations. Also, this age group has to shoulder majority of the social and domestic responsibilities. The majority of the respondents were of general caste category in both migrants and non-migrants. It was because of the social

stigma attached with working as a labourer that they do not work as labourers at their native place but accept it at other place. As far as the religion of the migrants and non-migrants was concerned, nearly 86 percent of the respondents belonged to the Hindu religion, while rests were Muslims. The educational level of migrants was an important factor which gave impetus to migration, as revealed by the study. Among migrant labourer, 36.0 percent were illiterate, 47.1 percent had studied up to the primary level, 15.5 percent up to secondary standard and only 1.4 percent up to higher level. On the other hand, there were 25.6 percent illiterates among nonmigrants, while rests were literate. It is the low income in the native place which induces migration to the areas of better livelihood opportunities. In the present study, the The work performance of migrant labourers at destination places is quite interesting. About 84.7 percent are engaged as agricultural labour besides gardening (22.7%) and factory work (42.6%). Therefore, at the study area maximum migrant labourers mainly go for work in agricultural field. The places of destination of the migrant labourers inducing migration at Hooghly (48%) and Burdwan (41.3%) where a little trend towards other places (10.7%). Short-term migration is more concentrated at other places (17.8%) than long-term migration.

The distribution of first migration of labour migrants has been shown in Figure ?? which is displaying the year when migrants have migrated. The results focused that major migrants started their first migration for work in 1996-1999 (56%). Prior to 1995, only 24 percent rural people started to migrate as labourer. After 1999, the trend of labour migration has started to reduce. It is clear from the figure that after 2003, very few rural people (1.3%) does started to set out from their place of origin for work purpose. Therefore, it is revealed that major labour migrants are working as labour since before 1999.

9 Figure 1: Year of first migration of migrant labourers c) Factors Leading to Migration

Different economic, social and psychological factors which lead to migration have been depicted in Table 3. The rural people migrate in order to attain a better economic status in life. It was observed that unemployment at the native place was the major economic factor which contributed to the migration of 89.2 percent migrants. Besides, small land holding (52.9%), landlessness (44.0%), low wages (42.5%), crop failure (29.4%) and indebtedness (20.7%), were other economic reasons which led to migration. Therefore, unemployment accompanied by poverty was the major reasons of migration as revealed by the sample respondents. Social factors are more pronounced than economic and thereby induce migration tendency among people. Poverty (90.2%) and less civic amenities (83.8%) were reported as the major social reasons behind migration. Political intimidation (3.7%) was the social factors leading to long-term migration by some of the respondents (3.0%). The psychological factors inducing migration were leading a poor life (98.3%), high aspirations (79.8%) and demonstration effect (52.5%). Similar trends were seen for both long-term and shortterm migrations.

10 d) Change in lifestyle of Migrants after Migration

There was a considerable change in the employment status and consequently lifestyle of the respondents after migration (Table 4). The study has revealed that short-term migrants brought their earnings to their native places personally, whereas long-term migrants used postal money orders either monthly or quarterly for sending remittances to their families at native places.

11 Variables

138 Migrants Non-migrants ?2 value p value V.

12 Discussion a) Impacts on left-behind women

In most cases the female members also accompany the male members. In such incident looking after the male members and doing cooking arrangement become easier. The women members are also equally competent in job. So they also work equally with the male labourers. But the women of the families, who do not go with the male members to work for some reason, mainly attend the household works. They tend the cattle, collect fire-wood, look after the children. But the women, who accompany the male members, also work in harvesting threshing paddy, sowing potatoshoot, carrying paddy bundle etc. Yet those who stay at home feel lack of security in the absence of the male members.

13 b) Impacts on elderly/aged persons

In most household one or two aged members are found. These old members of the family face problem when their sons, daughter-in-laws and other members shift elsewhere for job engagement. Due to their old-age they neither can work nor do they have any adult with them to take care. The grandsons and granddaughters, in that situation stay with the grand-fathers and grand-mothers in the residence. If the aged ones are physically active, in that case, rather they look after the children at home. Most of the aged members desire that other young members get jobs within adjacent areas to their habitat. They do not wish that the young members get job in distant places because they feel their absence. It has mainly two reasons-a) If they live together the young ones may look after them and b) During ailment the young ones may get opportunity to take care. There

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171 172

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185 186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205 206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

are many such villages in the study area where all the young members set out in search of Volume XVII Issue VII Version I The views of migrants and non-migrants were recorded to study the migration scenario in depth. Table 4 was designed to find out the perception and changes about life style of migrant and non-migrant since last ten years. The result reveals that there is a distinct positive relationship between socio-economic changes and migration scenario (p<0.05). Regarding economic changes of the respondents, 76.8 percent labour migrants revealed that due to their migration, there was increase in income, while only 28.5 percent nonmigrants opined the same due to other causes. Regarding expenditure scenario of the respondents, 80.3 percent migrants reported an increasing trend, while 67.6 percent non-migrants considered the same without any migration effects. As holding of ATM card and Bank Account, the percentage of migrants is comparatively high than non-migrants with a value of 49.2 percent and 73 percent respectively. Other economic benefits like life insurance policy and health insurance are also in a greater percentage for migrants but have no statistically significant relationship (p>0.05). But, it has been noted that the migrants who through their occupations and association with people outside the community have acquired consciousness about life insurance and health insurance. In this instance, 17.6 percent of the migrants have life insurance policies where 38.5 percent posses health insurance. In case of drawing Loan, migrants, as they are poverty-stricken, are not attached to taking any kind of loan except a little proportion (1.8%) whereas, the non-migrants (38.0%) draw loans for the purpose of permanent agriculture. As far as social change was concerned, 72.2 percent of the migrant labourers have social satisfaction; whereas the figure was only 28.0 percent for the non-migrants in the studied areas. On the other hand, among the migrants, 60.7 percent possessed an increasing trend of pursuing civic amenities more than non-migrants (53.1%).; but the figure was only 18 percent for their health checkup. The difference, however, was not so much in case of personal changes; but there also non-migrants were in a higher position related to tobacco consumption (43.5%). However the share of alcohol consumption among the respective sample was more in migrants (58.9%) than non-migrant (49.7%). Regarding the pattern of household change differential migrant labourer in South Bengal were found to be slightly better beneficiary; increase of house maintenance (73.8%) is considered to have a lot of bearing on the life of every migrant household. Through the financial improvement of the labour migrant, maintenances of house and food choice have positively changed. So, it may be analysed that due to migration the migrants (62.4%) become richer in comparison to non-migrant (41.5%) in better food consumption. Increase of standard of living (60.2%) and household responsibility (49.5%) were reported as the other changes due to migration benefits. determinants of rural lifestyle choice, which may be because it is closely associated with the completion of their labour migration. So, it is considered to have a beneficial impact on lifestyle of migrant respondents.

The overall socio-economic changes since last ten years signify that migration is one of the leading is not neglected by parents although there are no major threats of chronic disease to the child affecting them with cough and cold, fever, dysentery etc. No major diseases are found of the children at the study area, so the guardians spend little money Rs. 150-200/-per month to their children.

14 d) Impacts on children's education

Those paid wager of Junglemahal go to the east side i.e. Hooghly, Burdwan from Bankura, Purulia and West Medinipur etc. every year in November and return within February. Therefore, their wards cannot go to school as they have to go with their parents. Naturally criminal offence takes place in the name of child labour. The most vital factor is that these children remain illiterate and uneducated because examination is conducted in schools of Junglemahal by that time. Even if some children of upper age remain at home cannot sit for the exams as they remain busy in pasteurization, cooking and other works. So the ratio of illiteracy rises up in these areas.

15 e) Drop-out due to Seasonal Migration

Seasonal migration causes a strange kind of drop-out, which is not captured by conventional ways of looking at the problem. It is possible for these children to be enrolled in schools and to attend for the first few months of the school session (i.e. July to October), but to them drop out for the remaining months to accompany their parents to migration sites. By the time they return the following April to May, the school session is already over. Thus these children have the strange, Predicament of having their names on school registers, but dropping out for part of the year. Over time, the learning deficit this causes gradually leads them to drop out of school completely.

16 f) Impacts on expenditure pattern

The money that earned by the migrants through the year is mostly spent for victuals. Their monthly expense for victuals is Rs.1000-2000, for medical purpose is Rs 200-300, for transportation Rs.200-300. One time up or down fair is provided by the employer. Expenditure for building-maintenance is as it were nil, almost Rs.200. As in the study area, almost all the villages have electricity facility, they have to pay electric bill monthly Rs.200 in average. No water bill is charged upon them. Those who use Mobile phone spend monthly Rs.100 to 150. No expenditure for fuel purpose as all of them collect fire-wood from adjacent forest and very few families use LPG. On the other hand their expense for smoking, consuming alcohol or pan Gutkha is Rs. 100-150. Most of the tribes are addicted to alcohol yet, in the survey, it has been noticed that a great number of people are addicted to intoxication. For clothing purpose also they spend Rs.200-300. Besides, expenditure of Rs. 200 for education purpose of children is also there. That is to say, their average expenditure per month is Rs.2000 that they pay

with the money they earn from attending jobs migrating to the eastern region of Bengal. Certain labourers earn Rs 10000 to 12000 approximately per head in 2-3 months periodic job.

The effects of out-migration of rural labourers on economic welfare in sending areas depend critically on how emigration affects the local capital-labour ratio among non migrants -that is, on the distributional effects of migration. Moreover, an important concern of the literature on migration is that the poorest are often found the major beneficiaries of remittances, at least directly. This is due to the inability to finance expensive moves, such as those overseas or those requiring some degree of education, but also to the largely recognised 'exchange motive' of remittances (to protect an inheritance, to insure property, or to repay educations costs) which make larger remittances flowing to betteroff families. Thus, the impact of labour migration and remittances on income distribution in source regions remains a matter of interest in the literature but also of some dispute.

17 VI.

215

216

217 218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

241

243

244

245

246

247

248

250

18 Conclusion

Migration impact on labour-market at origin has been identified as a major knowledge gap in the migration literature (Lucas 2005). Indeed, people outflows entail reduced labour supply of rural areas and, given migration selectivity, a loss of working age adults (both male and female). This may be a cost in origin place for most families who depend largely upon labour income for their livelihood, and more in general for the whole economy in terms of employment and wage responses. If migration tightens or loosens domestic labour markets is an open question along with the concern about the distribution of potential wage swells between skilled and unskilled, urban or rural labourers. Moreover, the impact of rural out-migration on source economies depends on the how integrated are internal and international labour market, local production markets and international trade (Lucas, 2005). Mass departure of agricultural labourers from one region may induce movement to or from neighbouring areas. Moreover, adjustments in agricultural production patterns induced by migration depend on the degree of openness of the economy to international trade. The information derived from further studies on these crossmarket effects can be used to identify appropriate complementary interventions. Yet, this same process could reduce the supply response of local economies to policy changes (as market liberalization unfolds) and lead to an impoverishment process in rural regions. Moreover, if this confidence is not balanced by domestic governments' efforts to facilitate remittance investments and to create complementary policy interventions, especially in the agricultural rural sector, migration may entail a net loss for source regions.

non-migrant or financially a bit well may visit a registered medical practitioner or district hospital. Child health care Migration is a complex phenomenon and given the new challenges posed by a 'globalised' and rapidly changing world, drawing lessons from the mass migration of the past century is not an easy task either. One lesson we can draw from the existing migration literature is that a major feature of the current 'mass migration' process -besides the creation of high barriers to it -is its strong linkage to regions of origin. Migrants seem to belong to spatially extended families and communities and they play a crucial role in helping or hindering the social and economic development in their home regions. This motivates further research aiming at a better understanding of the migrationdevelopment nexus, both when migrants intend to go back home and when they deepen their integration in the host country. ¹

¹This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or notfor-profit sectors.

3

Factors	Long-term migration	n Short-term	migration Total migration
Economic			
Landlessness	47.8	37.1	44.0
Small land holding	39.5	63.8	52.9
Crop failure	19.6	29.2	29.4
Low wages	24.3	48.0	42.5
Unemployed	83.5	96.8	89.2
Indebtedness	16.3	24.7	20.7
Social			
Less civic amenities	80.7	83.3	83.8
Poverty	92.2	88.6	90.2
Political intimidation	3.0	5.4	3.7
Psychological			
High aspirations	66.8	73.6	79.8
Poor life	98.7	89.4	98.3
Demonstration effects	49.0	53.8	52.5
N	75	75	150

Figure 1: Table 3:

Economic change					Year 2017 29 Volume XVII Issue VII
					Version I
Life Insurance Policy Health Insur-	17.6	12.0	8.918	0.063	(H)
ance	38.5	11.4	2.935	0.829	
ATM card Loan Bank Account In-	49.2	11.5	9.421	0.046*	Global
crease of income Increase expenditure	1.8 73.0	38.0	3.803	0.033*	Journal
Social change Increase civic amenities	76.8	46.2	0.046	0.018*	of
Social satisfaction Health checkup	80.3	28.5	0.104	0.008*	Human
Personal change Decrease tension	60.7	67.6	7.812	0.031*	Social
Alcohol consumption Tobacco con-	72.2	53.1	3.814	0.022*	Science
sumption Household change	18.0	28.0	2.293	0.031*	-
	46.5	16.7	3.703	0.071	
	58.9	32.9	9.812	0.052	
	39.0	49.7	1.343	0.025*	
		43.5	2.518	0.082	
Increase house maintenances	73.8	67.4	0.431	0.019*	
Increase standard of living	60.2	58.6	3.802	0.081	
Increase responsibility	49.5	45.7	0.912	0.293	
Increase of food choice	62.4	41.5	1.353	0.027*	
N	150	150			

 $[Note: @\ 2017\ Global\ Journals\ Inc.\ (US)\ s\ *Significant\ at\ 0.05\ level\ of\ significance]$

Figure 2:

4

Figure 3: Table 4:

252 .1 Acknowledgements

Authors express their gratefulness to all the studied respondents, who provided their valuable time and shared intimate issues of their lives.

.2 Conflict of Interest

.3 Funding

255

256

- [Sidhu et al. ()] , M S Sidhu , P S Rangi , K Singh . Research Bulletin, Department of Economics and Sociology
 1997. p. . Punjab Agricultural University (A Study on Migrant Agricultural Labour in Punjab)
- [Roy ()] 'Consequences of migration in India: Need and pragmatic solution'. S Roy . *Economic Affairs* 2011. 56 (1) p. .
- [Oberoi et al. ()] Determinants and consequences of internal migration in India: Studies in Bihar, A S Oberoi , Prasad , Pradhan , M Sardana . 1989. Kerala And Uttar pradesh; New Delhi, India: Oxford university press.
- [Jayati Keshari Parida and Madheswaran ()] Determinants of migration and Remittance in India, Empirical evidence" The institute for social and economic change, S Jayati Keshari Parida , Madheswaran . 2011.

 Bangalore. (working paper 272)
- [Levy ()] 'Education and the decision to migrate: an econometric analysis of migration in Venezuela'. Levy . Econometrica (New Heaven Conn Econometric society, 1974a.
- ²⁶⁸ [Shah et al. ()] Impact of male labour outmigration on the rice farming and gender roles: A study in West Bengal,
 Nirmal Shah , K Panda , D Mukhopadhyay , V Paris , Thelma . 2002. 2002. Beijing, China. (India, paper
 presented in the International Rice Congress)
- [Lucas (2005)] 'Migration and Economic Development in Africa: A Review of Evidence'. R E B Lucas . Paper prepared for the African Economic Research Consortium Biannual Research Workshop Nairobi, 2005. June.
- [Gupta ()] 'Migration of agricultural labour from Eastern to North Western region'. A K Gupta . Social Change 1991. 21 (6) p. .
- [Gupta and Prajapati ()] 'Migration of agricultural labourers in Chhattisgarh region of Madhypradesh'. S Gupta , B Prajapati . *Indian Journal of Labour Economics* 1998.
- [Mahapatra ()] On seasonal migration and family planning acceptance: A tale of tribal and low caste people in rural West Bengal, Mahapatra . 2002. India, CICRED.
- 279 [Rames ()] Out-migration of labour from rural areas: A study, D Rames . 2007. Kurukshetra.
- ²⁸⁰ [Kaur ()] Pattern of Utilization of Remittances of NRIs in Doaba Village of Punjab, Amandeep Kaur . 2003.

 Patiala. Department of Economics, Punjabi University (M. Phil thesis)
- [Tondon and Singh ()] Rural-Urban migration in India: Status and direction, kurukshetra. The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article,
 Barkh Tondon, D Singh. 2007.
- ²⁸⁵ [Todaro ()] 'Rural-Urban migration, unemployment and job probabilities: recent theoretical and empirical research'. M Todaro . *economic factors in population growth*, Ansley J Coale (ed.) (London, Macmillan) 1976a.
- [Singh and Aggarwal ()] 'Rural-Urban migration: The role of push and pull factors revisited'. S Singh , R Aggarwal . The Indian Journal of Labour Economics 1998.
- 290 [Saren ()] G Saren . Impact on globalization on the Santals: A study on migration in West Bengal, (India) 2013.
- ²⁹¹ [Sengupta and Ghosal ()] 'Short distance Rural-rural migration of workers in West Bengal: A case study of Burdwan district'. A Sengupta, R Ghosal. *Journal of Economic and social Development-vol* 2011. 7 (1).
- ²⁹³ [Prajapati ()] Socio-economic aspects of rural migration: A case study of Bhatpara block of Raipur district of Madhya pradesh, Unpublished M, B Prajapati . 1991. Sc (Ag) Thesis, IGAU, Raipur (C.G.
- ²⁹⁵ [Tiwari ()] R C Tiwari . Geography of India, Prayag Pustak Bhawan, (Allahabad) 2009.