Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

Multi-Functional Landscape Networks Identification by Impedance based Mapping Method: Two Case Studies at State Level Scale Sawsan Mohamed¹ and Hans-Georg Schwarz-V. Raumer² ¹ Universitat Stuttgart *Received: 12 December 2016 Accepted: 1 January 2017 Published: 15 January 2017*

8 Abstract

The study comparatively applies a methodology for GIS-based development of landscape 9 networks on a supraregional scale. The core strategy applied is to use impedance / least cost 10 path concept for the delineation of corridors between hubs. The developed methodology 11 applied in two different case studies in the territory of Kurdistan Region and for the federal 12 state territory of Baden-Württemberg (Federal Republic of Germany). Both studies use 13 different motivations, intentions and methodologies. For the case study in Kurdistan Region a 14 combination of biodiversity preservation and managing cultural/historic/recreational 15 landscape ecosystem services lead to a multifunctional network, in the case of 16 Baden-Württemberg landscape permeability considerations lead to a network from which 17 benefits in regard to recreation and habitat connectivity are expected. The article follows a 18 general methodological concept and suggests as a conclusion to think about landscape in a 19

20 dual network structure.

21

22 Index terms—landscape networks; GIS; impedance based mapping.

²³ 1 Introduction a) Background and Objectives

o understand and to develop landscapes at a regional scale it is not enough to consider landscape as a mosaic of 24 different land-cover, land-use or ecosystems. Landscape ecology but also regional geography emphasize, that we 25 have to think about landscape in terms of spatial relationship, linkages and exchange. The conceptual framework 26 for landscape cognition and thus for landscape development must -besides a spatio-dynamic viewinclude a 27 perspective of network thinking. This kind of thinking reflects universal principles of spatial organization, and 28 recently culminates in the debate and promotion of Green Infrastructure (GI) as a target for comprehensive 29 spatial planning and as an appropriate idea for sustainable and resilient spatial structures. Landscape network 30 thinking breaks with a choropleth model of landscape units when addressing and describing landscapes, and 31 suggests a spatial model separating nodes or hubs from linkages or corridors, both delineated from a background 32 on which the network is drawn as a figure (see fig. 1). 33

As implied, the intention of introducing the idea of landscape networks is a constructive lay down of a planning vision, and there are several reasons to prefer landscape network delineation. In general a first reason is to enable exchange between hubs. A second -related to the first -is to support function and preservation of hubs. In our study the following backgrounds are identified in particular:

This two contrasting types of intentions to think about landscape networks, provokes by presenting two different examples for what the meaning of landscape network thinking can be. However, the aim is to sketch the universality of a proposed methodological framework, and try to present the comparison of two geographically completely different regions brings up a wider range of methodological particularities inherent to the suggested approach of landscape network thinking.

So the target of this article is to discuss a unified methodology for multifunctional landscape network modelling 43 and to demonstrate with different case studies its successful application. The method developed called, Impedance 44 Based Network Mapping and apply it in two different research studies at the same spatial scale. The first research, 45 46 applied the method for developing multi-functional green corridors that enhance preservation of biodiversity and geodiversity as well as conservation of landscape heritage and historic environment in Kurdistan Region 47 (Mohamed 2011). The second research investigates the degree of dissection of landscape corridors at state 48 level in Baden-Württemberg (Mohamed 2011). The different case studies can't be highlighted as an elaborated 49 geographical comparative study but rather as an evidence of visibility and applicability of the method at supra-50 regional scale regardless to the distinctive and different natural and cultural resources and characteristics of each 51 research area. 52

$_{53}$ 2 b) Kurdistan Region (KR)

One of the fundamental consequences of urbanization can be found in the loss of permeability of open space due 54 to the development of settlement networks and urban growth. Ecological (e.g. bio-connectivity, remoteness, air 55 exchange and uncontaminated soils and water) as well as other landscape qualities and services like suitability for 56 recreation, cultural and agricultural functions or visual integrity, are affected by the landscape being dissected with 57 roads, settlements and other infrastructure facilities. At a national scale, there was no legislation on biodiversity 58 preservation areas till 2013. None the less the protection of natural preservation areas called Protected Areas (as 59 isolated island) was a common practice without regulatory background in some areas in Kurdistan since the 1960s. 60 At both national and regional scale managing manmade landscape (forest, agricultural habitat, fishery and etc.) 61 was regulated by urban development restriction and limitation laws since the 1970s and by environmental laws 62 since 1997. At a national scale a new institutional framework is developed for managing Natural Protected Areas 63 in 2009 by the Ministry of Environment. At a regional scale since 2008 the Law of Environmental Protection and 64 Improvement is issued and the protection of natural biodiversity areas is included. This was a natural outcome 65 of the rapid economical and touristic development, due to high landscape qualities and recreation services in 66 the heart of those rich biodiversity areas, since 1998 in KR. The rules -also as an adaption response to climate 67 change and migratory policy for preventing desertification -include the construction policy of developing gardens, 68 natural protective areas and general parks, and maintain natural sites which have an extensive heritage. Up to 69 now there is no clear planning practice or regulation, neither at the national nor at the regional scale, covering 70 ecological exchange or ecological network coherence. Moreover the Natural Protected Areas are identified but 71 preservation and protection measures are rarely implemented. 72

⁷³ 3 c) Baden-Württemberg (BW)

⁷⁴ Urban growth and particularly transportation infrastructure development are the main cause of dissection, ⁷⁵ loss of permeability and visual integrity in landscape network. So in large parts of BW responding to urbanization ⁷⁶ and densification of the settlement network an appropriate counter-structure must be defined. For decades it was ⁷⁷ enough to think in patterns of scattered islands for preservation of valuable landscapes and for preserving big ⁷⁸ areas sufficient in size and lack of disturbance. In Germany e.g. areas of 100 km² which are nearly undisturbed ⁷⁹ by traffic had and still have an important role in national policy and planning guidelines. These areas nowadays ⁸⁰ got the role of hubs in migration networks for rare mammals.

⁸¹ 4 d) Relevant Approaches

Since Wilson and Willis (1975) theories of equilibrium island biogeography, meta population, the ecological 82 coherence and its integrity are under investigation. It has been proven that isolated reserves as self-contained 83 independent entities are not enough for biodiversity and population conservation regardless to the intensity of 84 management and protection measures (Bennett and Mulongoy 2006). Since then streams of research investigating 85 and examining the connections among patches at landscape scale were developed: starting with the traditional 86 ecological practice in late 1970s (Wilson and Willis 1975) In parallel to the growing idea of ecological networks, 87 fragmentation and connectivity got a focus of landscape related ecology. The anthropogenic alteration of the 88 landscape mosaic by urban development, transportation and other infrastructures as well as large scale agriculture 89 90 practiced on big and intensively used plots or homogeneous afforestation using non-native species, landscapes 91 and corridors have been fragmented, dissected, lost and/or modified (Loney and ??obbs 1991, Forman 1995). 92 Ecological connectivity, defined by Taylor et al. (1993) as the degree to which the landscape facilitates or 93 impedes movement among resource patches, is -besides eco-integrity -identified as the most significant feature for biodiversity preservation that enhances resiliency, population, community, and ecosystem processes (Noss and 94 Coperrider 1994, Gilbert-Norton et al. 2010, Pino and Marull 2012). 95

Three basic concepts indicate eco-connectivity and its effectivity at regional and supra-regional scale: (1) GIS based mapping, (2) considerations about permeability depending on dissection and fragmentation and (3)

98 approaches that take the perspective of moving individuals and evaluate landscapes based on specific preferences.

e) GIS based Mapping 5 99

The utilization and application of GIS in environmental planning and natural resource management has proved 100 successful application since early 1990s (Lathrop and Bognar 1998). The classical application of GIS leads to 101

Green Infrastructure (GI) or ecosystem mapping and traditional ecological practice for biodiversity conservation 102 (e.g. site selection process for habitats) often supported by overlaying and buffering of different thematic layers

103

(Lathrop and Bognar 1998, Hoctor et al. 2000 ?? Wickham et al. 2011). 104

6 f) Permeability Indication 105

Within the field of permeability concepts which evaluate quantitatively landscape fragmentation and the degree 106 of permeability, the measurement 'effective mesh size' developed by Jaeger (2000) and applied for different case 107 study areas at supra-regional scale (Jaeger 2000 108

7 h) Methodology for the Case Studies 109

To delineate a multifunctional landscape network, using a method which allows considerations on movement and 110 exchange is suggested. As a conceptual framework the widely accepted network structure of hubs and corridors is 111 taken. But to ensure patency (low degree of dissection or obstruction) of the corridors connecting the hubs, least 112 cost path method is adopted. To emphasize this, the approach indicated by Impedance Based Network Mapping, 113 and as a master approach for the identification of a multifunctional landscape network, five step methodology 114 is developed: lay down of a multifunctionality concept? hub identification ? impedance definition ? corridor 115 delineation ? mapping 116

8 and analysing 117

The result of the Impedance Based Network Mapping method is to create visibility of a spatial network structure 118

which is able to support migratory but also resilience purposes. The resulting network map reflects multifunctional 119 ecosystem benefits from hubs and linkages and can serve as a spatial guide for decisions on biodiversity, landscape 120

and/or heritage conservation as well as on adaption measures. 121

9 II. 122

Case Study I: Kurdistan Region a) Case Study Area 10 123

The case study area "Kurdistan Region" (KR) is located between 32°57?N and 37°22?N and 41°17?E and 46°20? 124 125 E and contains all the administrative territory of "Kurdistan Region in Iraq" broaden by an extension. KR comprises an area of 48,435 km2 and its population is estimated by 6,657,277 inhabitants. The region is 126 127 geographically diverse. Following the geological formations three major morphologic units -mountainous ranges (Zagros Mountain chain), foothill pediments and agricultural plains -can be identified. The topography of KR 128 129 varies between 250 m and 3600 m above sea level. Topographically KR is divided into three main zonesplain, semi-mountainous and mountainous zone -in which climate varies from hot and dry plains to cooler mountainous 130 areas. 131

One of the severe ecosystem changes as a human footprint consists in the fragmentation and destruction of 132 natural forests. Human overexploitations of the natural forests, as well as shifting cultivation and uncontrolled 133 grazing have denuded large areas of the natural forests. According to Chapman (1959) in 1957 the forest covered 134 60% of the mountainous region, decreasing to only 18% in 2009 (Mohamed 2011). This contributed significantly 135 136 to the general decline of original forest cover in Iraq from 13% down to 2% in 2003 (Earth Trend 2010a).

Moreover there is loss of heterogeneity in agricultural landscapes. Earth Trend (2010b) reported 22,59 % 137 decline of "Agricultural Lands Experiencing Greenness" in the period 1980 to 2003. In general the natural and 138 managed land covers of KR have been shifted dramatically within half of a century as Fig. ?? illustrates. 139

The counter effect of war and political conflicts, and due to the fact that significant parts of KR is located 140 in the mountainous area, urban development -the common expected cause of fragmentation of the biotic natural 141 resources -was limited. However the destruction of rural landscape and natural landscape mosaic due to deliberate 142 political decision caused fragmentation per se and due to infrastructural network development (Fig. ??). 143 Compared to the whole Iraq KR is characterized rather as a rich region concerning the natural environment and in 144 terms of the share of ecosystem services and biodiversity resources. In addition the KR is characterized as having 145 a significant importance from the scenic landscape perspective which is intensified by a rich historic environment 146 and cultural heritage. The historical sites are from a wide span of time starting from Middle Paleolithic period 147 148 (the era of Neanderthals and cave dwelling, e.g. Shanader cave) and followed by early agricultural civilization in the plain region (e.g. 6750 BC at Jarmo) or by formal settlements (e.g. Erbil Citadel 7000 ago). This unique 149 combination of human legacy and civilization of humankind is one of upmost important in terms of cultural 150 heritage. Here preservation targets have to respect not only a local legacy, KR is belonging to the historic 151 heritage of humankind as a whole being a vivid museum of civilization. The intention of the identification of 152 landscape network for the KR is to combine this extraordinary cultural and historic importance of the region 153

with its natural landscape potentials concerning biodiversity and scenic value. 154

155 11 b) Multifunctionality Concept

The development of a landscape network plan using Impedance Based Network Mapping method is highly 156 dependent on the concept of emphasis of different ecosystem functions in addition to targets concerning 157 biodiversity conservation. The significantly important ecosystem services, i.e. provisioning services, regulating 158 services and cultural services, are to be maintained by a developed landscape network plan consequently. For 159 KR biodiversity preservation, landscape heritage and historic environment conservation, scenic landscape quality 160 and managing hydrology are identified as ecosystem services to be addressed. The developed plan aimed to 161 identify the regional resources, by creating ecological infrastructure base map, then developing a concept for 162 integrating and connecting these ecosystem resources spatially. It is to preserve and restore the ecological and 163 cultural landscape diversity and its values within natural seminatural and agricultural landscape. 164

The ecological network concept for maintaining biodiversity can be achieved by connecting and integration of conservation areas or areas with significant biodiversity through landscape corridors and links. Naveh (1995) demonstrates in the "green book" the importance of conservation of landscapes and environmental features, in parallel to traditional natural conservation and the species red list. Mander and et al. ??2007) recommends establishing a link between biodiversity and cultural diversity to achieve ecological heterogeneity, in multifunctional landscape. Both concepts had been followed in defining corridors. Explicit spatial allocation by using the Impedance Based Mapping Method for the cores and corridors are applied at regional scale.

¹⁷² 12 c) Hub Identification and Hub Buffer Zones

Benedict and McMahon (2003) define hub patches as "anchor green infrastructure networks and provide an origin 173 or destination for wildlife and ecological processes moving to or through it". That is why, the areas of high value 174 of biodiversity and ecological process has been taken as targeted category for hub identification. Sensitive wildlife 175 habitat areas can be identified mainly from Key Biodiversity Survey of Kurdistan provided by Nature Iraq (Ararat 176 and et al. 2008). The Key Biodiversity Areas (KBAs) are defined as "sites that are large enough, or sufficiently 177 interconnected, to support viable populations of the species to which they are important". The KBAs selection 178 process (done by expert Richard Porter together with Bird Life International, an NGO association for nature 179 conservation in the Middle East) uses a set of four criteria based on the presence of four categories of species for 180 which site-scale conservation is appropriate. The criteria are (1) globally threatened species, (2) assemblages of 181 182 restricted-range species, (3) congregations of species that concentrate in large numbers at particular sites during some stage in their life cycle and (4) assemblages of biome-restricted assemblages (Ararat and Ararat 2009). 183

In addition to the KBA Kurdistan-list, additional areas of biodiversity richness (from the KBA Marshlandlist) together with concentrations of important areas for water and aquifer management are considered as a hub core (e.g. Hawija marsh which is identified by Bird Life International as a significant habitat for birds) (Mohamed 2011).

Hub buffer zones were defined around the core areas as a mitigation zone against fragmenting effects of developments on the edges of the core areas and enhancing the ecosystem services provided by the cores. Although buffer zones and its width should be designed on a case-by-case and site-by-site basis (Brown andMartino 2001)

191 following the requirements of specific functionalities and spatial intensities, but a constant buffer zone of 1 km is

192 suggested as an appropriate all requirements overarching neighborhood.

¹⁹³ 13 d) Impedance Definition

To develop a network of corridors between the hubs and to maximize the benefit in respect to multifunctionality including eco-connectivity and eco-integrity an impedance layer as a result of GIS-overlay procedures was generated. Based on a GI typology as well as mapping and analysing ecosystem resources, cultural and natural resources and landscape elements and components a set of nine indicators have been used to develop an impedance surface value covering the KR (impedance raster layer). The indicators that are identified to give input to the surface value for delaminating the corridors are considered as planning decision indicators and separated in two groups.

The ArcGIS-Toolbox utilities 'cost distance', 'least cost path' and 'corridor' are used for corridor delineation 201 using a final impedance layer. The least cost algorithm is used as the cumulative cost calculation to reach 202 destination cells and the location of paths and corridors having minimum cost when balancing cost for each cell 203 crossed from the source cell to destination cell. In the application of least cost technique two main raster based 204 layers are needed, the source layer (in which the hubs are identified) and the friction/resistance/impedance layer 205 206 which is used for cost calculation. In other research applications the value of resistance grid cell layer is mostly 207 derived from the land cover type (e.g. ??driaensen and et al. 2002) or from altitude and flow rate (Michels and 208 et al. 2001). In the course this research the cost layers used are called "impedance layer" to emphasize that 209 landscape connectivity is addressed as a degree to which the landscape facilitates movement. Also the impedance layer redefined to include not only land cover but also natural and cultural heritage, water and other ecosystem 210 211 resources.

The first set A consists of six attraction-bydensity indicators (Table ??). Density of these elements is considered as inversely proportional to impedance and the corridors are designed in the aim to pass through the more dense area. The second set B of attraction/avoidance-by-distance indicators has been used with the same basic principal with the difference in defining impedance by Euclidean distance. This gives surface value to the identified set of parameters based on closest proximity from the sources.

Table ??: Landscape elements leading to impedance definition Following Tomlin (1990) a cell-by-cell 217 218 aggregation has been applied. Instead of using local maximum method -in which the most constraining value at a raster cell is assigned to develop the attraction/resistance surface -a compensation accepting method in 219 which all indicators contribute to the impedance values by equal weight is applied. So for each set of identified 220 indicators the indicators have been equally weighted summed up by using an appropriate raster algebra function 221 in GIS (Fig. ??a,b). To combine both sets of parameters (resulting from different analytical functions and 222 processing steps) a normalization of scales have been applied before finally overlaying the aggregations of the 223 two sets for the impedance map shown in Fig. ??c. Fig. ??: Impedance map a) from set A, b) from set B 224 and c) from overlay. Adapted from (Mohamed 2011). e) Corridor Delineation Different GI elements with high 225 potential of conversation, preservation and cultural/historic/ recreational values exist in the KR and are used for 226 a multifunctional definition of ecosystem network which respects economic feasibility and ethical responsibility. 227 Thus corridor identification will not be exclusively bounded to wildlife movement and biodiversity conservation. 228 To achieve a multifunctional network the corridor concept in the context here is designed to achieve the aim of 229 230 conservation, preservation protection and restoration of ecosystem resources in comprehensive meaning including 231 biodiversity and management of cultural, historic, recreational and water resources.

232 14 Set

To identify the corridors path the impedance layer has been used as a cost raster to give weighted value for the identification between pairwise different sets of patches as source and destination (start/target). Then a threshold

is set, and the accumulation of cells less than the threshold are identified as area for delineating the corridors.

²³⁶ 15 f) Result and Discussion

After identifying hubs and corridors between the different hub patches multifunctional network that consist of hub, core and corridor have been developed. Fig. 5 shows the network. The corridor is identified from both the ecological infrastructure and the landscape perspective to deliver different ecosystem services, including landscape linkages (linear and non-linear), recreational routes (so called greenways) and entire ecological networks (Bennett 2006). Adapted from (Mohamed 2011).

Although each corridor may have one or more functionalities, but the dominant function which is important to perform is identified and assigned to the corridor. For example some designed corridors are acting as a riparian buffer for the existing surface water (rivers). In Fig. 7 three main categories are identified: (A) wild life movement function, (B) Conservation function and (C) landscape function. When connecting hubs like KBA Maidan and Barzan -which have been identified as a hot spot in gap analysis for connectivity and integration (Mohamed 2011). the corridor is designed as category (A). Here wild life movement as mitigation and adaptation for climate change -particularly increase in temperature -can take place.

To validate the applicability of the Impedance Based Mapping Method and the effectiveness of identified 249 parameters for corridor delineation and proposed network, the coincidence analysis is carried out by overlaying 250 plan on the natural resources, land cover and natural ecosystem. A set of five main layers namely Land cover, 251 Watershed, Karst, Soil type and Land limitation have been developed with further detailed Sub-classification. 252 The proposed network set against each layer for analyzing the visibility. The identified corridor and core are 253 located on areas 72% and 61% correspondently within areas presently vegetated. Also they have located on areas 254 with soil type 82% and 71% is suitable for forestry area. The finding also suggested that the proposed plan have 255 no salinity or low rainfall or rocky area. While watershed and Karst layer is covered with a high intensity. The 256 delineated corridors and core hubs are covering 94% to 81 % of formally forest, agroforest or vegetated mosaics. 257

²⁵⁸ 16 III.

Case Study II: Baden-Württemberg a) Case Study Area Baden-Württemberg (BW) is a federal state of the Federal Republic of Germany situated in the southwest of Germany. The territory of BW covers 35.751 km² and is populated by 10,8 millions of inhabitants (BW 2015). In Baden-Württemberg we find 4 main types of landscapes. Beside the urban and suburban fabric and broad deciduous, coniferous and mixed forests, hilly and mountainous areas are covered by a more or less diverse pattern of small woods, grassland and arable land endowed with more or less densely dispersed structuring biotopes. In addition, river floodplains provide other specialized habitat.

There is a big urbanized/suburbanized area in the center and the northwestern sector of the state territory (Mannheim/ Karlsruhe/ Stuttgart/ Heilbronn) supplemented by existing and upcoming urban centers (Fig. 6). Physical planning tries to organize urban development and urban growth following a network structure of development centers and axes (Fig. 6) which also indicates the main network of dissection and fragmentation

270 pressure for open space areas left.

²⁷¹ 17 b) Multifunctionality Concept

As stated in the introduction, from a comprehensive landscape perspective there is a need to preserve natural 272 landscape networks e.g. to establish a web of resilience against disturbance from transformations in the urbanized 273 and urbanizing areas. Landscape networks often are defined from a single mostly bio-connectivity driven intention. 274 But when arguing that the network should be ready to provide resilience services on a wide range of transformation 275 impacts the definition of a landscape network must follow comprehensive principles. At the moment two of such 276 principles are worth to follow: (1) either we have a complete survey of ecosystem services relevant for the task 277 of the network and we then take that survey as a guiding background, or (2) we find one or a small number of 278 universal indicators supporting the delineation of the network. For the case study of Baden-Württemberg we 279 tried to take landscape dissection as a leading indicator, assuming that areas of low dissection by settlement and 280 transportation infrastructures have a low anthropogenic disturbance, a high permeability and thus are universally 281 predestined for preservation and resilience in regard to a lot of landscape functions (which has to be shown and 282 283 proved).

²⁸⁴ 18 c) Hub Identification

Hub identification goes back to the category of UZVR (as explained in the introduction) which is a wellestablished 285 policy to preserve undisturbed open space being bigger than 100 km² in size. The borders of these units are 286 287 generated by the combination of roads having a traffic volume of more than 1000 vehicles/day, railways, settlement and other anthropogenic structures. Considered as big un-dissected areas they should be preserved from further 288 urban and transportation development. The State agency of environment (LUBW) as well as nature conservation 289 NGOs are aware of the importance of those relicts and emphasize their contribution to biodiversity, recreation 290 and clean air production. Fig. 7 shows the location and the spatial distribution of the units which here are 291 considered as hubs. From the historic and recent suitabilities for settlement development their existence is linked 292 to mountainous areas, but also to former and recent military use. Whereas in the area of the black forest 293 woodland covers more or less completely the hubs, in the region of the Swaebean Alb they consist of hilly open 294 295 landscapes mixed with forest.

²⁹⁶ 19 d) Impedance Definition

Impedance was defined in the case study by a GIS procedure which uses the method of Effective Meshsize (meff) calculation (Jaeger 2000). Effective Meshsize measures the degree of landscape dissection by analysing a network which consists of meshes built up from settlement edges, roads and other anthropogenic linear elements which must be considered as reducing permeability and connectivity of extra-urban land. The bigger the meshes the higher meff is calculated by Eq. 1, in which the choice to calculate the square of mesh-area results from probabilistic considerations on the chance of a meeting of two individuals or the chance that a randomly fixed pathway crosses a border of a mesh. Eq. 1???????? = ?? ???? 2 ?? ???????

304 Region r divided into n meshes,

305 ? A j denotes area of mesh j ?{ 1,?,n},

? A r denotes the area of the region To get an impedance surface indicating the local permeability in terms of the meff-concept a regular lattice of points was generated and for each point the dissection of a radial 3kmneighborhood was calculated using Eq. 1. In a second step the result of the calculation at the points in the lattice was interpolated to get a continuous surface of local permeability. This meffsurface (Fig. 7) then can be interpreted as a spatially continuous impedance layer and can be used as an input for corridor delineation.

311 20 e) Corridor Delineation

Each corridor analysis needs a couple of start/target patches. A direct solution is (1) to take each hub of the set of hubs, (2) to extent this set of start/target locations with external locations to allow that the procedure delineates corridors to touch the borders of the area of interest and then (3) to connect each hub location with the other hubs. Practice shows, that not all hubs must be included in the analysis due to some hubs being automatically included. Fig. 7 shows the selected start/target locations used in the analysis.

The delineation of corridors between the start/target locations then was done using the ArcToolbox utilities 317 'cost distance' and 'corridor' and by the help of an ArcMap-Extension (Lang et al. 2008). The impedance surface 318 generated as described above was used as cost layer for the corridor definition. Combining all corridor calculations 319 and the start/target-meshes we get a system of corridors built up from relatively low dissected area connecting 320 321 big undissected areas. We call this system of hubs and corridors "resilience network". The network indicates the 322 location of undisturbed hubs and it gives an orientation for preserving areas which have the function of linking 323 the hubs and being recommended to keep free from further reduction of permeability. Fig. 7 shows the result. Fig. 7 also indicates hot spots of fragmentation inside the network, where the permeability is extremely low or 324 blocked. These hotspots should be of high preference in the set-up of measures for rehabilitation of permeability 325 e.g. by green bridges, traffic regulation or enhancing green infrastructures in settlements. 326

To qualify the landscape network we did some coincidence analysis by overlays with existing nationwide corridor systems. The so called "Wild Cat Corridors" suggested by the NGO Friends Of The Earth (www.bund.de/wildcat) are covered very well by our corridor network (Schwarz-v.Raumer & Esswein 2010) and shows a good accordance in the Black Forest and the Swabian Alb. The habitat corridors ("Lebensraumko rridore") propagated by the German Federal Agency for Nature Conservation (Böttcher and Reck 2005) suggest
 three types of habitat corridors which can be compared to the network designed here:

(1) The habitat network for species of forests and partly open landscapes is widely covered by the suggested
 network due to the coverage of big meshes by forests.

(2) The habitat network for species of river valleys with humid and dry habitats cannot be considered from a conceptual point of view. (3) The habitat network for species of dry landscapes which covers the Swabian Alb is nearly congruent with our network. However in other regions (e.g. along the rivers "Murr" and "Rems") the network not existing there indicates the habitat network "Lebensraumkorridore" being highly fragmented.

A second analysis (Schwarz-v.Raumer & Esswein 2010) shows that (a) high value habitat structures can be found concentrated inside the network as well as (b) biotopes predestined for being included in a local biotope network and (c) Special Protection Areas (SPA) which are identical to bird protection areas as a part of the EU-wide natura2000 protection areas.

343 IV.

344 21 Overall Discussion

Within rich and diverse landscape mosaics multifunctional resource management can be enhanced by developing 345 multifunctional network. Up to now (even with the freshly established environmental regulations in Iraq) the 346 connectivity is not a mentioned aspect although the fragmented landscape and isolated entities approach is 347 proved to not be sufficient in dealing with natural and cultural ecosystem in a sustainable and resilient way. The 348 landscape mosaics of cultural and natural resources are subject to opposing interests of economic development 349 and nature conservation on the one hand and suffer from political conflicts on the other hand. At legislation and 350 decision-making level, implementation of a connectivity and permeability approach is a must at both planning 351 legislation and planning practice. A multifunctional network plan, by introducing the corridors to connect KBA 352 and maximizing the benefit outcome by preserving the existing cultural and natural resources, is developed. 353

In Baden-Württemberg a revision of a significant number of ideas, proposals, guidelines and instructions concerning landscape networks must be initiated. Actually a revision of state wide development and environment plans is overdue. Besides the integration of network concepts this revision has to respect the developments in transformation research as well as the requirements of resilience in a comprehensive approach of spatial organization.

Network oriented organization is an obvious and a kind of 'natural' principle for the development of settlement 359 and transportation infrastructure. The settlement systems spatial organization looks quite similar to nature borne 360 phenomena (e.g. neural neuronal networks or growth patterns of fungi). Due to the advantage of settlements being 361 concentrated and due to transportation following travel time and cost optimization a network system is a self-362 363 evident spatial organization. The question for an adequate organization of landscape arises if settlement networks 364 get narrow or other pressures reduce spatial coherence of natural landscape. Then landscape networks as a "dual network structure" complementary to the settlement network structure has to be organized and established as a 365 general principle in landscape preservation, as illustrated in Fig. 8. Following a methodological framework our 366 case studies show, how -depending on the given geographical and societal framework different construction rules 367 can lead to such landscape networks. When following this idea different situations of interrelationship between 368 urban hubs / landscape hubs and urban corridors / landscape corridors can be discussed based on a topological 369 classification. Conflicting zones between corridors and transportation axes can be highlighted (Schwarz-v.Raumer 370 & Esswein 2010) as well as distance thresholds for resilience and further development can be discussed. 371

372 22 Conclusion

The Impedance Based Mapping Method applied is proved as very helpful method to draw a multi-functional landscape concept of ecological infrastructure and green infrastructure. It has proved to be an effective mapping method for investigating connectivity loss within ecological infrastructure in the case of BW and in developing a multifunctional network with high degree of connectivity and integrity in KR. It has been demonstrated that GIS is a very helpful tool to design multifunctional network and proposed method suggests a universal idea for integrated spatial development planning. 1 2 3 4

 $^{^{1}}$ © 2017 Global Journals Inc. (US)

 $^{^2}a$ increase (+) or decrease (-), b artificial hill for human settlement, c subterranean aqueduct (a) © 2017 Global Journals Inc. (US)

³(b) (b) (c) \odot 2017 Global Journals Inc. (US)

 $^{^4}$ Multi-Functional Landscape Networks Identification by Impedance based Mapping Method: Two Case Studies at State Level Scale

1



Figure 1:



Figure 2: Fig. 1 :







Figure 4: Fig. 2 : Fig. 3 :



Figure 5: Fig. 5 :



Figure 6: Fig. 6 :



Figure 7: Fig. 7 :





Figure 8: Fig. 8:



Figure 9:



Figure 10:



Figure 11:



Figure 12:

22 CONCLUSION

379 .1 Acknowledgements

We applied the "equal contribution" (EC) norm for the sequence of authors. The result for Kurdistan Region is
a part of master thesis sponsored by Ministry of the Environment, Climate Protection and Energy Sector BadenWürttemberg (Ministeriumfür Umwelt, Klima und Energiewirtschaft Baden-Württemberg). The results reported
for Baden-Württemberg have been financed by the State Agency for the Environment, LUBW (Landesanstaltfür
Umweltschutz, Messungen und Naturschutz). We would like to thank Heide Esswein who did the GIS-analyses

for the Baden-Württemberg case study.

386 .2 Highlights

? Resilient landscape network planning by using impedance based network mapping method. ? Impedance layer
 includes land cover, natural and cultural heritage, water and other ecosystem resources. ? Corridor delineation
 contributes to achieve the aim of conservation, preservation protection and restoration of ecosystem. ? Resilience

network indicates the location of undisturbed hubs and areas with linking functionality to be preserved and kept

from dissection effects. ? Landscape networks as a "dual network structure" complementary to the settlement network structure.

393 [Sulaimani] , Kr-Iraq Sulaimani . http://www.natureiraq.org Nature Iraq

 $_{\tt 394} \quad [T-00061] \ , T-00061$. Gainesville-Florida, USA. University of

- [Florida and For Wetlands] , Center Florida , For Wetlands . http://ufdc.ufl.edu/UF00016633/00001
- $_{\rm 396}~[{\rm ~Ed~}]$, Ed . New Jersey, USA.
- $_{\rm 397}$ [Washington] , D C Washington , Usa .
- $_{\tt 398}~[{\rm \ Land\ Use\ Policy}]$, Land Use Policy 29 p. .
- 399 [] , 10.1016/j.landusepol.2011.11.004.
- 400 [Heidlberg] , Gremany Heidlberg .
- $_{401}$ $\,$ [Brown et al. ()] $\,$, M T Brown , J M Schaefer , K H Brandt . 1990.
- $_{402} \quad [{\rm Dramstad}~{\rm et~al.}~()] \ , W \ {\rm Dramstad}$, J D Olson , R T T Forman . 1996.
- $_{403}$ $\ \ [Böttcher and Reck ()]$, M Böttcher , H Reck . 2005.
- [Rudnick et al. ()] , D Rudnick , P Beier , S Cushman , F Dieffenbach , C W Epps , L Gerber , J Hartter , J
 Jenness , J Kintsch , A M Merenlender , R M Perkle , D V Preziosi , S J Ryan , S C Trombulak . 2012.
- [Nikolakaki ()] 'A GIS site-selection process for habitat creation: estimating connectivity of habitat patches'. P
 Nikolakaki . 10.1016/s0169-2046(03)00167-1. Landscape and Urban Planning 2003. 68 p. .
- [Gilbert-Norton et al. ()] 'A meta-analytic review of corridor effectiveness'. L Gilbert-Norton , R Wilson , J R
 Stevens , K H Beard . 10.1111/j.1523-1739.2010.01450.x. Conservation Biology 2010. 24 (3) p. .
- [Wickhama et al. ()] 'A national assessment of green infrastructure and change for the conterminous United
 States using morphological image processing'. J D Wickhama , K H Riitters , T G Wadea , P Vogtc .
 10.1016/j.landurbplan.2009.10.003. Landscape and Urban Planning 2010. 94 p. .

⁴¹⁴ [Walker and Craighead ()] 'Analyzing wildlife movement corridors in Montana using GIS'. R Walker , L
⁴¹⁵ Craighead . *Proceedings of the 1997 ESRI European User Conference*, (the 1997 ESRI European User
⁴¹⁶ ConferenceCopenhagen, Denmark) 1997. p. .

[Wilson and Willis ()] 'Applied biogeography: the design of nature preserves'. E O Wilson , E O Willis . *Ecology and Evolution of Communities*, M L Cody, J M Diamond (ed.) 1975. p. .

419 [Lathrop and Bognar ()] 'Applying GIS and landscape ecological principles to evaluate land conservation

- alternatives'. R G Lathrop , J A Bognar . 10.1016/S0169-2046(98)00047-4. Landscape and Urban Planning
 1998. 41 p. .
- 422 [ArcGIS-Tool zur Analyse des Landschaftszerschneidungsgrades mit der Messgröße 'Effektive Maschenweite' (ArcGIS-Tool for Ana
- 423 ArcGIS-Tool zur Analyse des Landschaftszerschneidungsgrades mit der Messgröße 'Effektive

424 Maschenweite' (ArcGIS-Tool forAnalysesofLandscapeDissectionusing 'Effective Mesh Size'), http: 425 //www.lubw.baden-wuerttemberg.de/servlet/is/20280/Handbuch_20080727.pdf

⁴²⁶ [Bw (2015)] Baden-Württemberg is a great place to live, Bw . https://www.baden-wuerttemberg.de/en/
 ⁴²⁷ our-state/the-state-and-its-people/ 2015. March 3. 2015. (The State and its people)

428 [Iucn ()] 'Benefits beyond Boundaries'. Iucn . https://portals.iucn.org/library/efiles/edocs/

- 2005-007.pdf Proceedings of the fifth IUCN World Parks Congress, (the fifth IUCN World Parks Co
- [Martino ()] 'Buffer zones around protected areas: a brief literature review'. D Martino . http://
 escholarship.org/uc/item/02n4v17n#page-1 *Electronic Green Journal* 2001. (15) p. 1.

- [Buffer zones for water, wetlands and wildlife in East Central Florida] 89-07-JS No. Buffer zones for water, wet lands and wildlife in East Central Florida, CFW publication.
- [Forman, R. T. T. (ed.)] Changing landscapes. An Ecological Perspective, Forman, R. T. T. (ed.) New York,
 USA. p. .
- (Taylor et al. ()] 'Connectivity is a vital element of landscape structure'. P D Taylor , L Fahrig , K Henein , &
 G Merriam . 10.2307/3544927. Oikos 1993. 68 p. .
- [Forman ()] 'Corridors in a landscape: their ecological structure and function'. R T T Forman . *Ekologia (CSSR)*1983. 2 (4) p. .
- 441 [Critchfield ()] H J Critchfield . General Climatology, 1974. p. 3.
- 442 [Schwarz-V. Raumer ()] Der "Patency Index" alsStrukturmaβfür die Landschaftszerschneidung (A" Patency
 443 Index" for structural indication of landscape dissection), H.-G Schwarz-V. Raumer . 2007. p. .
- ISchwarz-V.Raumer and Esswein ()] 'Design of multifunctional landscape corridors using effective mesh-size
 for regional targeting of urban development restrictions and open space development'. H.-G Schwarz V.Raumer, H Esswein . http://iene2010.iene.info/wp-content/uploads/2013/07/IENE2010
- ShortPapers2.pdf improving connections in a changing environment. Collection of short papers from the
 2010 IENE Conference, V Richter, M Puky, A Seiler (ed.) (Budapest, Hungary) 2010. p. .
- [Wiens ()] 'Ecological flows across landscape boundaries: a conceptual overview'. J A Wiens . Landscape boundaries: consequences for biotic diversity and ecological flow, A J Hansen, F Di Castri (ed.) (Heidelberg, Germany and New York, USA) 1992. 92 p. . () = Ecological studies)
- 452 [Pino ()] Ecological networks: Are they enough for connectivity conservation? A case study in the Barcelona
 453 Metropolitan Region, J Pino , J . 2012. NE Spain.
- [Holland et al. ()] Ecotones: the role of landscape boundaries in the management and restoration of changing
 environments, M M Holland , P G Risser , R J Naiman . 1991. New York, USA.
- ⁴⁵⁶ [Esswein and Schwarz-V.Raumer ()] H Esswein , H.-G Schwarz-V.Raumer . Landschaftszerschneidung in,
 ⁴⁵⁷ (Baden-Württemberg) 2008. Neuberechnung des Landschaftszerschneidungsgrades -Verbindungsräume
 ⁴⁵⁸ geringer
- [Forests, Grasslands, Drylands-Iraq. World Resource Institute Earth Trends (2010)] 'Forests, Grasslands, &
 Drylands-Iraq. World Resource Institute'. http://www.unep.org/dewa/westasia/data/Knowledge_
 Bases/Iraq/Reports/WRI/For_cou_368.pdf Earth Trends, 2010a. Retrieved December 2. 2010.
- [Naveh ()] From Biodiversity to Ecodiversity: New Tools for Holistic Landscape Conservation. International
 journals of ecology and environmental science, Z Naveh . 10.1007/978-3-662-03543-6_2. 1995. 21 p. .
- 464 [Tomlin ()] 'Geographic information systems and cartographic modeling'. C D Tomlin . 10.7202/022222ar. NJ
 465 1990. Prentice Hall.
- ⁴⁶⁶ [Michels et al. ()] 'Geographical and genetic distances among zooplankton populations in a set of interconnected
 ⁴⁶⁷ ponds: a plea for using GIS modelling of the effective geographical distance'. E Michels , K Cottenie , L Neys
 ⁴⁶⁸ , K De Gelas , P Coppin , L De Meester . 10.1046/j.1365-294x.2001.01340.x. *Mol. Ecol* 2001. 10 p. .
- ⁴⁶⁹ [Gurrutxaga et al. ()] 'GIS-based approach for incorporating the connectivity of ecological networks into regional
- planning'. M Gurrutxaga, P J Lozano, G Barrio. 10.1016/j.jnc.2010.01.005. Journal for Nature Conservation
 2010. 18 (4) p. .
- [Mohamed ()] Green Infrastructure Planning in Developing Countries: Developing Green Concept in Kurdistan Region-Iraq, S Mohamed . 10.18419/opus-9164. https://doi.org/10.18419/opus-9164 2011. Univer-
- sity of Stuttgart (Master Thesis)
 [Hoctor et al. ()] 'Identifying a Linked Reserve System using a Regional Landscape Approach: the Florida
 Ecological Network'. T S Hoctor , M H Carr , P D Zwick . http://www.jstor.org/stable/2641997
- 477 *Conservation Biology* 2000. 14 (4) p. .
- ⁴⁷⁸ [Jaeger et al. ()] 'Implementing Landscape Fragmentation as an Indicator in the Swiss Monitoring System of
 ⁴⁷⁹ Sustainable Development'. J Jaeger , R Bertiller , C Schwick , K Muller , C Steinmeier , K C Ewald , J
 ⁴⁸⁰ Ghazoul . doi:10.1016/j. jenvman.2007.03.043. Journal of Environmental Management 2008. 88 (4) p. .
- [In Strobl et al. (ed.)] J In Strobl , Th Blaschke . Beiträge zum 19. AGIT-Symposium, G Griesebner (ed.)
 (Salzburg)
- [Deangelis and Grimm ()] Individualbased models in ecology after four decades, D L Deangelis , V Grimm .
 10.12703/P6-39. http://doi.org/10.12703/P6-39 2014. F1000Prime Reports, 6. p. 39.
- [Bennett ()] Integrating biodiversity conservation and sustainable use: lessons learned from ecological networks,
 G Bennett . 2004. Gland, Switzerland and Cambridge, United Kingdom: IUCN.
- [Girvetz et al. ()] Integration of landscape fragmentation analysis into regional planning: A statewide multi-scale
 case study from California, USA. Landscape and Urban Planning, E H Girvetz, J H Thorne, A M Berry, J
- 489 A G Jaeger . 10.1016/j.landurbplan.2008.02.007. 2008. 86 p. .

- [Iraq, Country Profile Earth Trends (2010)] 'Iraq, Country Profile'. http://earthtrends.wri.org Earth 490 Trends, 2010b. Retrieved December 2. 2010. 491
- [Ararat ()] 'Key Biodiversity Areas: Rapid assessment of birds in Kurdistan'. K Ararat . 10.3897/biorisk.3.21. 492 Iraq. BioRisk 2009. 3 p. . 493
- [Ararat et al. ()] Key Biodiversity Survey of Kurdistan, Norhtern Iraq: Site Review for Birds, Botany and 494 Fisheries, K Ararat, I M Abid, S Abdulrahman. . NI-1208-01. 2008. 2008. Winter & Summer. (Technical 495 Report) 496
- [Forman ()] Land mosaics: the ecology of landscapes and regions, R T T Forman . 1995. Cambridge, UK. 497
- [Jaeger ()] 'Landscape division, splitting index, and effective mesh size: New measures of landscape fragmenta-498 tion'. J Jaeger . 10.1023/A:1008129329289. Landscape Ecology 2000. 15 (2) p. . 499
- [Esswein et al. ()] 'Landschaftszerschneidung in Baden-Württemberg'. H Esswein , J Jaeger , H.-G Schwarz-500 V.Raumer, M Müller. Nr. 214. Akademie für Technikfolgenabschätzung in, (Baden-Württemberg; Baden-501 Württemberg; Stuttgart, Germany) 2002. 2008. October 20. 2010. FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization 502 of the United Nations. 19. (Report) (Forestry country information)
- 503
- [Jaeger et al. ()] 'Landschaftszerschneidung in Baden-Württemberg -Ergebnisse einer landesweiten räumlich 504 differenzierten quantitativen Zustandsanalyse (Landscapedissection in Baden-Württemberg -resultsof a 505 statewidespatially differentiating quantitative analysis'. J Jaeger , H Esswein , H.-G Schwarz-V.Raumer , 506 M Müller . Naturschutz 2001. 33 (10) p. . 507
- [Roedenbeck et al. ()] 'Landschaftszerschneidung in Hessen. Entwicklung, Vergleich zu Baden-Württemberg und 508 Trendanalyse als Grundlage für ein landesweites Monitoring (Landscapedissection in Hesse. Development, 509 Comparision to Baden-Württemberg and Trends as a basis for a state wide monitoring)'. I A Roedenbeck, 510
- H Esswein, W Köhler. Naturschutz und Landschaftsplanung 2005. 37 p. . 511
- [Lebensraumkorridore für Mensch und Natur (habitatcorridorsforpeopleandnature) (Naturschutz und biologische Vielfalt 17)] 512 Lebensraumkorridore für Mensch und Natur (habitatcorridorsforpeopleandnature) (Naturschutz und 513 biologische Vielfalt 17), Bonn-Bad Godesberg, Germany: Bundesamt für Naturschutz. 514
- [Loney and Hobbs ()] 'Management of vegetation corridors: maintenance, rehabilitation and establishment'. B 515 Loney, R J Hobbs. Nature conservation 2: the role of corridors, D A Saunders, R J Hobbs (ed.) 1991. New 516 South Wales. 6 p. . 517
- [Moser et al. ()] 'Modification of the effective mesh size for measuring landscape fragmentation to solve the 518 boundary problem'. B Moser, J A G Jaeger, E Tasser, B Eiselt, U Tappeiner. 10.1007/s10980-006-9023-0. 519 Landscape Ecology 2007. 22 p. . 520
- [Montreal] Canada Montreal. https://www.cbd.int/doc/publications/cbd-ts-23.pdf Secretariat of 521 the Convention on Biological Diversity, 522
- [Mander, U., Wiggering, H. Helming, K. (ed.) ()] Multifunctional Land Use, Meeting Future Demands for Land-523 scape Goods and Services, Mander, U., Wiggering, H. & Helming, K. (ed.) 2007. Berlin, Germany. 524
- [Tischendorf and Fahrig ()] 'On the usage and measurement of landscape connectivity'. L Tischendorf, L Fahrig 525 . 10.1034/j.1600-0706.2000.900102.x. Oikos 2000. 90 p. . 526
- [Moilanen and Hanski ()] 'On the use of connectivity measures in spatial ecology'. A Moilanen , I Hanski . 527 10.1034/j.1600-0706.2001.950116.x. Oikos 2001. 95 p. . 528
- [Principles in Landscape Architecture and Land-Use Planning Landscape Ecology] 'Principles in Landscape Ar-529 chitecture and Land-Use Planning'. Landscape Ecology 530
- [Bennett and Mulongoy ()] 'Review of experience with ecological networks, corridors and buffer zones'. G Bennett 531 , K J Mulongoy. CBD Technical Series 2006. (23). 532
- [Schadt et al. ()] 'Rule-based assessment of suitable habitat and patch connectivity for Eurasian Lynx in 533 Germany'. S Schadt, F Knauer, P Kaczensky, E Revilla, T Wiegand, L. 10.2307/3099985. Ecol. 534 Appl 2002. 12 p. . 535
- [Noss and Coperrider ()] Saving Nature's Legacy: Protecting and Restoring Biodiversity. Defenders of Wildlife, 536 R F Noss, A Y Coperrider . 1994. Washington DC. 537
- [Benedict and Mcmahon ()] Smart Conservation for the 21st Century (monograph series), M A Benedict, E T 538
- Mcmahon . http://www.sprawlwatch.org/greeninfrastructure.pdf 2002. Washington D.C, USA. 539 (: the Conservation Fund, Sprawl Watch Clearinghouse) 540
- [Chapman ()] 'Ten years of forestry progress in Iraq'. G W Chapman . 77-80. Unasylva 1959. 13 (2) . 541
- [Adriaensen et al. ()] 'the application of 'least-cost' modelling as a functional landscape model'. F Adriaensen, J 542
- P Chardon, G De Blust, E Swinnen, S Villalba, H Gulinck, E Matthysen. 10.1016/S0169-2046(02)00242-6. 543 Landscape and Urban Planning 2003. 64 (4) p. . 544

- [The Role of Landscape Connectivity in Planning and Implementing Conservation and Restoration Priorities (Issues in Ecology] 545
- The Role of Landscape Connectivity in Planning and Implementing Conservation and Restoration Priorities 546
- (Issues in Ecology, Washington DC, USA: Ecological Society of America. 547

[Jaeger et al. ()] 'Time series of landscape fragmentation caused by transportation infrastructure and urban 548 development: a case study from Baden-Württemberg (Germany)'. J Jaeger , H.-G Schwarz-V.Raumer 549 H Esswein , M Müller , M Schmidt-Lüttmann . http://www.ecologyandsociety.org/vol12/iss1/ 550

art22/ Ecology and Society 2007. 12 (1). 551

- [Puth et al. ()] 'Using the Ecosystem Approach to Implement the Convention on Biological Diversity: Key Issues 554
- and Case Studies'. L M Puth , K A Wilson , R D Smith , E Maltby . 10.1046/j.1523-1739.2001.99554.x47. 555
- doi:10.2305/iucn.ch.2003.cem.2.en Conservation Biology 2001. 2003. 1 (15) p. . (Boundaries and 556 Corridors as a Continuum of Ecological Flow Control: Lessons from Rivers and Streams) 557
- [WMBW (=Wirtschaftsministerium des Landes Baden-Württemberg Ministry of Economic Affairs ()]
- 558
- 'WMBW (=Wirtschaftsministerium des Landes Baden-Württemberg'. Ministry of Economic Affairs, 559 (Cambridge, UK; Baden-Württemberg; Baden-Württemberg; Stuttgart, Germany) 2002. 2002. 2002. 60. 560 (State development plan) 561
- [Harms and Opdam (ed.) ()] Woods as habitat patches for birds: application in landscape planning in The 562 Netherlands, B W Harms, P Opdam. Zonneveld, I.S., & (ed.) 1989. 563

[Zerschneidung (Landscapedissection in Baden-Württemberg, recalculationandcalculationofcorridorsoflowdissection)] 564

Zerschneidung (Landscapedissection in Baden-Württemberg, recalculationandcalculationofcorridorsoflowdis-565

566 section), Unpublishedreport.

[[]Bennett ()] Towards a European Ecological Network, G Bennett . 1991. Arnheim, the Netherlands. Institute for 552 European Environmental Policy 553