Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.* 

1	Overview of the Neolithic Landscape Research and the off-Site
2	Land u se in Greece
3	Marie Pyrgaki <sup>1</sup>
4	<sup>1</sup> Hellenic Open University
5	Received: 12 December 2016 Accepted: 3 January 2017 Published: 15 January 2017

### 7 Abstract

<sup>8</sup> The last three decades have produced a wide range of methodological developments in the

<sup>9</sup> study of landscapes. The landscape during the Neolithic has been influenced by the

<sup>10</sup> interactions of water and human land use. This paper aims to present an overview of the

<sup>11</sup> research about the Neolithic off-site land use in Greece, based on old and new data and

12 techniques. Our focus is on the well-known Neolithic record of Thessaly and Macedonia and

<sup>13</sup> also the Peloponnese and Attica with decidedly lowest density of Neolithic sites and elsewhere.

14 Land use changes and landscape processes are influenced by multiple bio-physical and

<sup>15</sup> socioeconomic factors in a multi-scale system. This paper will answer questions such as: What

<sup>16</sup> sorts of activities can be identified? What about the off-site land use intensity? Land use

<sup>17</sup> changes should be analysed in isolation or with accounting for both on-site and off-site effects

<sup>18</sup> on landscape processes.

19

20 Index terms— landscape, off-site land use, neolithic, subsistence activities.

## <sup>21</sup> 1 Introduction

he aim of this paper is to provide an overview of the main interpretative issues and methodological developments 22 in the landscape research bringing together data from the Greek Neolithic record. The main reason for tackling 23 this topic is the numerous of new data that has been collected over the last three decades. This study is a 24 25 synthesizing research with a regional and diachronic approach from the Early Neolithic to the Final Neolithic 26 period. Many questions and concerns arise when we discuss the interaction between human and the landscape. The landscape is the physical "space" of living and a "place" with meanings and contributions to societal identity. 27 It is the stage of human action and it reflects past activities. This paper focuses on the landscape as a key topic of 28 archaeological research, on the intensive and extensive forms of off-site land use, and on the subsistence strategies 29 of Greek Neolithic groups. 30

The European Landscape Convention defines landscape as "an area, as perceived by people, whose character is the result of the action and interaction of natural and/or human factors" (Council of Europe 2000).

Landscape is amazing, fascinating, "attractive, important and ambiguous term" (Meining 1979:1), especially 33 significant for the Neolithic period in Greece. Landscape is a human geographical notion rooted in different 34 disciplines, including archaeology, history, geography, anthropology, and several natural sciences. (Cosgrove, 1984 35 Since the 1960s the concept of landscape has inspired a great array of different archaeologies. The landscapes 36 37 have been conceptualized, primarily, as the natural environments determining human behavior or as a scene 38 to human action, drawing on environmental archaeology and using models from the earth sciences as well as cultural ecology. (Kluiving and Guttmann eds., 2012: 9) Several processual perspectives characterize much 39 contemporary research. The theoretical directions of many modern studies have more and more incorporated 40 post processual orientations focusing on social agency and symbolic issues. In combination with enhanced 41 theoretical sophistication, methodological refinements have considerably increased our understanding of the 42 Neolithic. Progress in other disciplines also has very much benefited archaeology, confirming the need for true 43 interdisciplinary collaboration. 44

Particularly, chronological improvements have allowed for better dating of specific entities, sites, and even 45 individual artifacts or ecofacts. The revolution in data recovery has allowed for the retrieval of higher-resolution 46 information. This is notably important in relation to plant remains. Residue analysis from ground stone and 47 other artifacts has provided considerable new insights into specific economic and social patterns. Also, genetic 48 studies of both faunal and floral remains have allowed for a lot more précis comprehension of processes involved in 49 domestication. Especially, DNA studies are opening up exciting new perspectives relating to specific domesticates 50 and their spread. ?? Very interesting in the modern survey is the cooperation with other disciplines from the 51 human and the natural sciences in intensive survey techniques (Argolid; Methana; Boeotia; Laconia; Phaistos; 52 Nikopolis; Kythera; Sphakiaetc). In particular geomorphology (Ammerman 1981) as tool for the reconstruction 53 of past landscapes and their changes, as a study of the surface morphology gives information on water sources, 54 distance to the sea, vegetation and land use, degradation of the landscape and human impact on the environment; 55 Soil studies are used to study land use and subsistence, to identify raw material sources, to reveal humanity's 56 impact on the environment and assess the state of the surface record (Morris 2002; van Andel et al. 1997); 57 Palaeofaunal, palaeobotanical and palynological analyses on sediments for the reconstruction of vegetation and 58 climate are relevant to subsistence studies and an indispensable tool for the understanding of past landscapes 59 60 (Bailey 1997).

### III. $\mathbf{2}$ 61

63

64

67

### 3 **Biographies Of Land Use** 62

Greece is a country with very diverse conditions. In the seventh millennium BC, Neolithic people in Greece selected particular places in the landscape and erected structures with long term occupation close to springs, 65 streams and rivers. (Papathanasopoulos ed. 1996; Bintliff 2012) These places were centers of activities where the 66 people with structured way interacted with the other people, animals and the landscape (Bailey 2000). These were the settings for the majority of activities and tasks in a daily, seasonal, annual rotation. ??Mlekuz 2010: 196) The settlements and the houses as places where time and space meet and fuse, give meaning to the cycle of 68 the social life.

69 It is important to underline the role of the geographical factors in the spread of Neolithic settlements (Perlès 70 2001). Topography, climate, and soils favored concentrated but isolated groups of settlements in certain regions, 71 with a much thinner cover elsewhere. (Bintliff 2012: 50) Thus, early farmers in Greece preferred occupy areas as 72 open woodland with a semi-arid climate, most comparable in these where the domestication of plants and animals 73 was first accomplished. The vast lowlands of Thessaly, Macedonia, and Thrace reveal unparalleled densities of 74 early farming sites, while the rest of Mainland Greece has until today given more scattered, lower-density Neolithic 75 settlement patterns, and finally the Cyclades have no settlement until the Final Neolithic period. 76

### IV. 4 77

#### $\mathbf{5}$ Settlements 78

The nucleated tell village with scattered farms and hamlets of non -tell type are the dominated form of the 79 Greek Neolithic settlements. ??Bintliff 2012: 52) Volume XVII Issue I Version I The extensive number of studies 80 during recent years and the abundance of significant new results meant that the choices by me were not very 81 easy or selfevident. It is impossible to describe in detail or discuss the quite extensive archaeological material we 82 83 have today from the Greek Neolithic; I have chosen to discuss fundamental research perspectives concerning the 84 off-site land use and the subsistence strategies and reconstruct the landscape from the early Neolithic until the Final Neolithic period.© 2017 Global Journals Inc. (US) 85

Field-methods aim studying the landscape at a higher resolution than before. The surveys of the decade 86 of 1980s aimed to study the landscape along the route of settlement patterns reconstructions, and explore the 87 changes in population density and land use (example surveys: Megalopolis; Nemea; Pylos ??intliff and Gaffney 88 1988). All projects in a multidisciplinary level and intensive approach were on revealing hidden rural landscapes. 89 From the 90s the projects to a real interdisciplinary framework of synthetic data analysis aimed in diachronic 90 collections of material. Theoretical and methodological discussions of the 70s and 80s have guided archaeological 91 landscape research till now. The systematic sampling, multi-stage designs, and the relationships of surface-92 subsurface as well as site-offsite material are discussed (Barker and Lloyd 1991; Bintliff and Snodgrass 1988a; 93 94 Bowden et al.1991; Dunnell and Simek 1995; Schofield 1991a), cultural-ecological approaches are applied, and a 95 socio-economic interpretative framework is used. Site Catchment Analysis and Thiessen polygons methods have 96 been used in order to explore land resources on a micro and macro-regional level ??Bintliff et al. 1988), but also 97 site hierarchies (Moody 1987). The other form, the flat settlements with relatively short-term occupation and extensive open spaces (e.g. 98

Makriyalos, Stavroupolis and Promachonas-Topolnitsa) are characterized by their large size, up to more than 50 99 ha and the hiatuses represented at each site (Grammenos 1991; Aslanis 1992; ??ndreou and al. 2001). They are 100 amidst a small number of tells (e.g. Dikili Tash, Makri and Sitagroi). The striking feature is the several phases 101 of occupation (e.g. 102

# <sup>103</sup> 6 Eftstratiou and al. 1998; Koukouli-Chrysanthaki and al. <sup>104</sup> 1996; Treuil and Tsirtsoni 2000).

There is also evidence of complex settlements, tell and flat site (e.g. Galini, NeaMakri) (Toufexis 2005; Pantelidou-Gofa 1991). At Sesklo, in Thessaly, Theocharis recognized an external horizontal settlement around the tell fortified by a stone wall, perhaps represented a complex, "acropolis" and "polis", settlement structure (Theocharis1973: 68, fig. ??78 Enclosure walls and ditches from the Early Neolithic appear together with the settlements and continue through the Neolithic. Probably they demarcated the community and acted symbolically (Demoule and Perlès 1993).

The tell settlements were created over generations by the remains of the houses overlapped, reworked and incorporated into new buildings. The flat settlements with relatively short-term occupation and extensive open spaces marked for the "sense of ephemerality" (Thissen 2005) the destruction and the displacement of houses, as with most flat sites known in Balkans.

Intensive survey and rescue excavations have registered increasing numbers of flat sites, often 6-20 ha but in some cases as much as 50-100 ha dramatically contrasted to the typical Thessalian tell of 1-3 ha. (Andreouand Kotsakis 1994; Kotsakis 1999) V.

## <sup>118</sup> 7 The Off-Site Land Use

Land is the basic resource of human society. The term off-site is used to describe archaeological output outside the area which is regarded as the site or settlement, like ditches, wells and other less detectable archaeological remains.

122 It should be clear from the discussion of the ideas of Foley and his off-site archaeology, that there is no difference 123 in importance between site and off-site and that both are indications of early prehistoric land use which have to 124 be researched with the same intensity. (Cherry 1983 There is also evidence of caves occupation and rock shelters 125 (e.g. Franchthi, Alepotrypa).

On the late nineteenth and early twentieth century a numerous of artificial mounds, the "tells", "magoulas" or 126 "toumbas", attracted the fieldworkers. The tells dominated in the fertile plains and low hill lands of Northeast 127 Greece. The eminent Greek archaeologist Tsountas (Tsountas 1908: 17-26), the British Wace and Thompson 128 ??Wace and Thompson 1912: 4-5) focused the research on the Plains of Thessaly, and the British scholar Heurtley 129 in Macedonia and revealed that these tells-villages were inhabited in the Greek Neolithic. Excavations during the 130 1950s revealed stratigraphic sequences at the magoula of Argissa, Otzaki, Arapi, Agia Sofia and Pefkakia, which 131 created a diachronic system for regional Neolithic chronologies (Miloj ?ic 1960). These changes can be observed 132 in the use and meaning of material culture and in the significance of landscape, where a phase of colonization of 133 marginal environments is observed, a further expansion to upland landscapes as well as to seascapes (Mavridis 134 et al. 2013). It is also a period when caves, even in arid or semi arid regions such as Lakonia, were used as 135 parts of wider settlement systems (Mavridis et al. 2013). Some caves are considered as upland, even though they 136 do not lie so far away from the coast. What seems to be changing then is that, in opposition to earlier phases, 137 the agrios becomes important again in relation to the domus (for the earlier phases of the Neolithic, see Hodder 138 1990). The main economic activities of Neolithic communities were growing crops and raising animals, but also 139 hunting, foraging and fishing. ??arris (1996) summarizes the transitional stages from gathering of wild plants to 140 the cultivation of domestic plants: a) Harvesting of wild plants, b)Cultivation of wild plants and, c)Cultivation 141

142 of domesticated plants-namely agriculture.

## <sup>143</sup> 8 Table 1: Neolithic activities in Greece

The specific cereals such as emmer, einkorn and barley and legumes as bitter vetch, grass pea and chickpea 144 (Valamoti and Kotsakis 2007) and domestic animals such as sheep, goat, cattle and pig and differ from site to 145 site (Halstead 1996). The quantity of charred plant remains and bones is important and I believe as Ingold that 146 "growing crops and raising animals are not just ways of producing food; they are forms of life?" ??Ingold 1996, 147 24) The gardens' cultivation was a widespread form of crop production in Greece as well as in Neolithic Europe. 148 ??Bogaard 2004 a;2004b;. This cultivation requires working on plots, tilling, protecting crops from animals and 149 mainly bringing together people, animals and gardens (Halstead 1996; and means the relation between gardens 150 and settlements. (Jones 2005). The remains of middens which were spread on gardens included burnt cereal 151 processing waste rich in phytoliths burned bone fish remains and coprolites and burnt animal foddel, bedding, 152 dung. 153

On the tells gardens were located outside the settlement. On the flat sites gardens probably located in 154 155 the interior of the settlement between the houses (Kotsakis 1999: 73) played an active part in the negotiation of social identity within households (Kotsakis 1999; Johnston 2005). We remark many similarities with the Ancestral 156 Pueblo people Waffle gardens. They employ small depressions surrounded by earthen walls to maintain moisture. 157 ??Varien 2015) Until the Late Neolithic phases, farming was carried out by hand, using hoes and spades. This 158 is a har job to accomplish in soils dry for much of the year. Johnson and Perlès present their opinion to clear 159 the contrast based on Sherratt's earlier models (Sherratt 1980(Sherratt, 1981)), between Northern plains such 160 as these of Thessaly and the Southern Mainland, as well as the Peloponnese, where hamlets locations to limited 161

sectors of the landscape where fertile soils near springs, lakes or marshes (Johnson 1996, Perlès 2001) The latter
villages were few and far between, opposite to those of densely -inhabited Thessaly and similar northern plains.
Europe. (Sherratt 1981) Firstly is the innovation of a scratch plough or "ard" drawn by cattle for the farmers so
that to prepare their fields more fast and over a larger area using more drier soils even without high water tables.
(Halstead 1995b). Also, this innovation helped the farmers in more arid landscapes to move to semiwetland
sectors and open up cultivation on the good but until then dry soils the so-called "interfluves" (between springs,
lakes and rivers).

Secondly is the broader use of domestic animals mainly for wool and dairy products. The domestic economy specialized in larger-scale herding encouraging settlement in low agriculturally favorable landscape zones which were more ideal for grazing on a seasonal basis (transhumance). The data support the development of longdistance pastoral transhumance, into the high Greek mountains from the Late Neolithic (Efstratiou and al. 2006), highlighting the radical economic changes.

On the Late Neolithic Europe as a whole and certainly in Greece observed an increasing of the settlements and an expansion into new zones of the landscape. This is the time of the first large-scale colonization of the Cyclades (Davis 1992) and of the expansion of the people in southern Mainland, on Crete (Branigan 1999), and even in Thessaly (Demoule and Perlès 1993), and also into the uplands through the Mainland.

Moreover, the local emphasis on cattle -rearing insinuates that the first development of dairying was with cows rather than sheep and goats. The faunal analysis of domestic animals at different places in Neolithic Europe, including Knossos (Issaakidou 2006, Tomkins 2004, Halstead 2006a) demonstrates knowledge, but limited use of animal traction for carts and of dairying in the earlier Neolithic.

In Final Neolithic and in Bronze Age period appeared large-scale changes to these practices. In the Late Neolithic many caves used and that could means a rise in pastoralism, while Demoule and Perlèssupport that the caves are also taken into use for burial and ritual (Demoule and Perlès 1993).

The problem of the migratory fishermen is a large topic for discussion. The Final Neolithic Saliagos (Cyclades) is a full Neolithic economy, where fish and shellfish were accompanied by a range of domestic plants and animals (Bintliff 2012: 66, Evans and Renfrew1968), while Phtelia (Cyclades) reveals no important fish remains (Sampson 2006). According Sampson Mesolithic and Early Neolithic colonization of the Sporades reveals a great interest in fishing and shellfish, as well as in the open water species. The survival of fish is a problem for archaeology.

In the Late and Final Neolithic the agro pastoral conditions changed dramatically. Sherratt supports that the 190 fifth and fourth millennia BC took place a second diffusion of agricultural skills. In his "Secondary Products 191 Revolution" (2PR), stresses that two important improvements to farming and herding arose in the Near East 192 and diffused through contact, and rapidly, across The subsistence is not a new subject. Many publications have 193 been dedicated to it in the past, in studying food production. How people produced their food in the past and 194 how food production was organized in the past is one of the main question in archaeology. The framework for 195 the possibilities for food production is formed by the landscape with its relief, soil types, soil fertility and water 196 levels. Tools were used to process food. The simple querns, mortars, and pestles were employed to crush hard 197 grains before cooking, to break the shells of nuts, and to pulp berries and powder herbs. 198

The subsistence strategies of Greek Neolithic populations were based on intensive mixed farming based on 199 plants because the livestock was less stable food source and the reduce reliance on foraging ??Halstead 2000 200 ??Halstead, 2008)). A viable breeding population requires at least 100 sheep, 20 cattle, 100 pigs ??Bintliff 201 2012: 65). The domestic animals as a food buffer against failures in the cereal and pulse economy could lead to 202 stock becoming a form of "capital" ??Bintliff 2012: 65) In Late Neolithic households turned to more competitive 203 accumulation. (Halstead 1992) 'The herding and breeding of village stock as a collective, where individuals or 204 few families take responsibility for moving herds to water and pasture on a daily basis' (Perlès 2001). Cattle 205 statistics at Late Neolithic Makriyalos suggest their breeding for secondary products (Collins and Halstead in 206 Halstead 1999a). 207

Eating is a central routine. It is obvious that the consumption of food is not neutral (Douglas 1996; Gosden and 208 Hather 1999). Food is clearly not just a matter of satisfying the needs of the body. The way in which resources 209 are looked after and brought to the point of consumption is a social matter. Food itself may be consumed in 210 very different ways, either very privately among the immediate group, as among the Dobou in the western Pacific 211 (Bloch and Parry 1982, 28) or very publicly in different sorts of feasts (Hayden 1995). The fact that food was 212 cooked both inside and outside Middle Neolithic houses implies that it was shared between neighbors which would 213 have promoted community solidarity. In the communal longhouses of north-west Amazonia, food preparation is 214 privately done within constituent families, but eating is public and collective. 215

Sometimes this commensality must have had a formal or ceremonial character, hence the fine pottery, and Halstead believes that the feasts will have served 'to mobilize additional agricultural labor, to negotiate and affirm social relationships at both an intra-and intersettlement level, and to convert agricultural surpluses into symbolic capital in the context of social competition'. Also, the water is important ingredient for successful agriculture and ensured the survival of small crops in tiny fields and gardens and for herds on a daily basis.

It is evident that the health of Early Neolithic populations after an inter-site comparison in specific period had allow indications. Stable isotope analysis indicates that the earliest sample had adequate diet and protein intake as compared to the later populations.

The palaeodietary analysis is very important and informative for the very poor southern European isotopic

record for this period, and a valuable evidence for a swift and complete shift from foraging to farming. (Pinhasi et al. 2011)An increase in population size and density, as well as constraint viable subsistence and descending communal cohesion ??Halstead 2008, Kotsakis 1999) created the conditions for violence but as a sporadic event. (Pinhasi et al. 2011) Subsistence represents the base of all human behavior. Subsistence includes the means of human survival and a potent source of meaning and metaphors.

## 230 **9** VI.

## 231 10 Conclusion -Discussion

In conclusion, I talk about the domestication not only of plants and animals, but also of the landscape, with 232 an assumption that with the advent of the Neolithic nothing was "natural" anymore. I strongly emphasize the 233 concept of the taskspace as one that allows us to talk about dwelling in the land where tasks are the constitutive 234 acts of dwelling. Taskscape for this reason seems to recognize the creation of the landscape as it was occupied, 235 a creation that was drawn out through time in such a way that our understanding of it cannot be expressed in 236 one moment, but must trace the threads of movement and the temporal rythms played out as people traversed 237 the land. (Ingold 1993; BIBLIOGRAPHY I note that it is difficult to comprehend the different ways of daily, 238 seasonal and annual live without evidence. In addition, I note that not all periods or areas are equally well 239 represented by archaeo botanical or zooarchaeo logical remains. For example, although approximately 79 sites 240 from Greece are represented by archaeo botanical remains (e.g. Hansen 2000, Megaloudi 2006), those with many 241 samples, retrieved by flotation and fully published, come mainly from northern Greece ??Valamoti 2009).



Figure 1:

242

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ © 2017 Global Journals Inc. (US)

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$ © 2017 Journals Inc. (US)



Figure 2:

- 243 [Bintliff and amp] , J L Bintliff , & amp .
- 244 [World Archaeology], World Archaeology 38 p. .
- [Praehistorica], Documenta Praehistorica, Xxxvii. Ghent University, Faculty of Arts and Philosophy,
   Department of Archaeology and Ancient History of Europe
- <sup>247</sup> [Wace and Thompson ()] , A J B Wace , M S Thompson . 1912. Cambridge. (Prehistoric Thessaly)

- 249 Pion . 1976. London: Place and Placelessness.
- [Cavanagh et al. ()], W C Cavanagh, C Mee, J Renard. Kouphovouno. Bulletin de Correspondence Hellénique
   2001. 125 p. .
- 252 [Hourmouziadis ()], G Hourmouziadis. Dispilio. 7500 chronia meta. Thessalonike. 2002.
- 253 [Wrigley ()], E A Wrigley . 2004. Poverty, Progress, and Population Cambridge University Press.
- [Kotsakis ()] 'A bridge too far: essentialist concepts in Greek archaeology'. K Kotsakis . Mediterranean
   Crossroads. Athens. Pierides Foundation, S Antoniadou, A Pace (ed.) 2006a. p. .
- [Johnston ()] 'A social archaeology of garden plots in the Bronze Age of northern and western Britain'. R Johnston
   World Archaeology 2005. 37 (2) p. .
- [Souvatsi ()] A Social Archaeology of Households in Neolithic Greece: An Anthropological Approach Cambridge,
   S G Souvatsi . 2008.
- [Triantaphyllou et al. ()] 'Apotelesmata tis Osteologikis Exetasis tou Skeletikou Ylikou tis Neolithikis Thesis
   Stavroupolis Thessalonikis kata tin Anaskafiki Hronia'. S ; D V Triantaphyllou , S Grammenos , Kotsos ,
   Thessaloniki . in Sostikes Anaskafes sto Neolithiko Oikismo Stavroupolis Thessalonikis, Part II, 2004. 2002-
- 263 2003. p. . (Dimosievmata tou Archaiologikou Institutou Voreias Elladas)
- [Cherry ()] 'Archaeological survey in an artifact rich landscape: A Middle Neolithic example from Nemea'. J F
   Cherry . Greece. American Journal of Archaeology 1988. 92 p. .
- [Cherry ()] 'Archaeology beyond the site: regional survey and its future'. J Cherry . Theory and Practice in Mediterranean Archaeology: Old World and New World Perspectives Leventhal, R. & amp, J. Papadopoulos
   (eds.) 2003. p. .
- [Gallant ()] 'Background noise and site definition: a contribution to site methodology'. T Gallant . Journal Field
   Archaeology 1986. 13 p. .
- 271 [Groot ()] Barely surviving or more than enough?, M Groot . 2013.
- [Mlekuz ()] Bodies, houses and gardens & gt; rhythm analysis of Neolithic life-ways 193 UDK 903, D Mlekuz.
   2010. (28'16(292.464)"634">635.0)
- [Mee, C. and Renard, J. (ed.) ()] Cooking Up the Past: Food and Culinary Practices in the Neolithic and Bronze Age Aegean Oxford, Mee, C. and Renard, J. (ed.) 2007.
- [Valamoti ()] 'Detecting seasonal movement from animal dung: an investigation in Neolithic northern Greece'. S
   M Valamoti . Antiquity 2007. 81 (314) p. .
- [Pappa et al. ()] 'Evidence for large-scale feasting at Late Neolithic Makriyalos, N Greece'. M Pappa, P Halstead
  , K Kotsakis, D Urem-Kotsou. Food, Cuisine and Society in Prehistoric Greece (Sheffield Studies in Aegean
  Archaeology 5), P Halstead, J Barrett (ed.) (Oxbow, Oxford) 2004. p. .
- [Evans and Renfrew ()] Excavations at Saliagos near Antiparos. BritishSchool at Athens, Supplementary Volume,
   J Evans , C Renfrew . 1968. London. 5.
- [Tomkins ()] 'Filling in the Neolithic background: Social life and social transformation in the Aegean before the
- Bronze Age In'. P Tomkins . The Emergence of Civilisation Revisited, J C Barrett, P Halstead (ed.) (Oxford)
  2004. Oxbow Press. p. .
- 286 [Cherry ()] 'Frogs around the pond: perspectives in current archaeological survey projects'. J Cherry .
- Archaeological Survey in the Mediterranean Area (BAR International Series 155), D Keller, ; D Rupp (ed.)
   (Oxford) 1983. p. .
- [Halstead ()] 'From reciprocity to redistribution: Modelling the exchange of livestock in Neolithic Greece'. P
   Halstead . Anthropozoologica 1992. 16 p. .
- <sup>291</sup> [Halstead ()] 'From sharing to hoarding: The Neolithic foundations of Aegean Bronze Age society'. P Halstead
   <sup>292</sup> . Politeia. Society and State in the, R Laffineur, W.-D Niemeier (ed.) 1995a. 12. Aegean Bronze Age. Liège.
- 293 Universit de Liège. Aegaeum
- [Jones ()] 'Garden cultivation of staple crops and its implications for settlement location and continuity'. G Jones
   World Archaeology 2005. 37 (2) p. .
- [Valamoti ()] 'Grain versus chaff: identifying a contrast between grain-rich and chaff-rich sites in the Neolithic
- of northern Greece'. S M Valamoti . Vegetation History and Archaeobotany 2005. 14 (4) p. .

<sup>248 [</sup>Relph ()], E Relph

- 298 [Ingold (ed.) ()] Growing plants and raising animals: an anthropological perspective on domestication, T Ingold
- . D. R. Harris (ed.) 1996. London: UCL Press. p. . (The origins and spread of agriculture and pastoralism in
- 300 Eurasia)
- [David, B. and Thomas J. (ed.) ()] Handbook of Landscape Archaeology, David, B. and Thomas J. (ed.) 2010.
   Left Coast press Incorporated.
- [Papathanasiou (2005)] 'Health status of the Neolithic population of Alepotrypa Cave'. A Papathanasiou . Greece.
   (American Journal of Physical Anthropology 2005. Apr. 126 (4) p. .
- [Pinhasi and Stock (ed.) ()] Human Bioarchaeology of the Transition to Agriculture, R Pinhasi , J Stock . . T.
   (ed.) 2011. Ltd, Chichester: John Wiley and Sons.
- [Karro et al. ()] K Karro , M Mägi , H Palang . 10.12942/lrlr-2014-1. "Studying Past Landscapes: Lived,
   Reconstructed and Animated Equot, 2014. 8 p. 1.
- [Farinetti ()] 'Landscape and Early Farming: Settlement Dynamics in Central Creece'. E Farinetti . Geoarchae ology 2006. 21 p. .
- 311 [Kluiving and Guttmann-Bond (ed.) ()] Landscape Archaeology between Art and Science. From a Multi -to an
- Interdisciplinary Approach, S Kluiving, Guttmann-Bond. E. (ed.) 2012. Amsterdam: Amsterdam University
   Press.
- [Zoran and Agnew ()] Landscapes, Identities and Development. British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data,
   R Zoran , J Agnew . 2011.
- Branigan (ed.) ()] Late Neolithic colonization of the uplands of Eastern Crete, K Branigan . P. Halstead (ed.)
   1999. Neolithic Society in Greece. Sheffield. Sheffield Academic Press. p. .
- <sup>318</sup> [Given et al. ()] 'Mapping and Manuring: Can we compare sherd density figures?'. M Given, S Alcock, ; J <sup>319</sup> Cherry. *Comparative Regional Studies in the Mediterranean World* 2003. p. . (Side by Side Survey)
- [Kotsakis ()] 'Mesolithic to Neolithic in Greece. Continuity, discontinuity or change of course?'. K Kotsakis .
   Documenta Praehistorica 2001. 28 p. .
- [Cauvin ()] 'Naissance des divinités, naissance de l'agriculture. La Révolution des symboles au Néolithique'. J
   Cauvin . Centre National des Recherches Scientifiques, (Paris) 1994.
- [Halstead (ed.) ()] Neighbours from hell? The household in Neolithic Greece. I in, P Halstead . Halstead, P. (ed.)
   1999b. Greece, Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press. p. .
- Bollongino and Burger ()] 'Neolithic cattle domestication as seen from ancient DNA'. R Bollongino , J Burger
   Proceedings of the British Academy 2007. 144 p. .
- Papathanassopoulos ()] Neolithic Culture in Greece, G A Papathanassopoulos . 1996. Athens Goulandris
   Foundation.
- Bogaard ()] 'Neolithic farming in Central Europe: an archaeobotanical study of crop husbandry practices'. A
   Bogaard . *Routledge. London. New York* 2004b. 2005. 10 (2) p. . (World Archaeology)
- [Theocharis (ed.)] Neolithic Greece, Athens: National Bank of Greece 78. Thissen, L. 2005. The Role of pottery
   in agropastroralist communities in Early Neolithic Southern Romania, D R Theocharis . D. Bailey, A. Whittle
   and V. Cummings (ed.) Oxford: Oxbow Books. p. . (Unsettling the Neolithic)
- 335 [Halstead ()] Neolithic Society in Greece, P Halstead . 1999a. Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press.
- [Kotsakis ()] 'O neolithikos oikismos. Choros paragogis kai ideologias'. K Kotsakis . I Istoria tis ellinikis polis,
   2004. 1 p. 56.
- <sup>338</sup> [Foley ()] 'Off-site archaeology: an alternative approach for the short-sited'. R Foley . *Patterns of the Past:* <sup>339</sup> Studies in Honour of David Clarke, I Hodder, G Isaac, ; N Hammond (ed.) (Cambridge) 1981. p. .
- [Halstead ()] 'Pastoralism or household herding? Problems of scale and specialization in early Greek animal
   husbandry'. P Halstead . World Archaeology 1996. 28 p. .
- [Van Leusen ()] 'Pattern to Process, methodological investigations into them formation and interpretation of
   large-scale patterns in archaeological landscapes'. M Van Leusen . Paper, Colorado Archaeological Society
   Quarterly Meeting, (Durango, CO) 2002. 2015. (PhD-thesis) (The Pueblo Farming Project: A Hopi-Crow
   Canyon Collaboration to Understand Ancestral Pueblo Maize Farming)
- Sherratt ()] 'Plough and pastoralism: Aspects of the secondary products revolution'. A Sherratt . Pattern of the
   Past, Studies in Honour of David Clarke. Cambridge, I Hodder, G Isaac, N Hammond (ed.) 1981. Cambridge
   University Press. p. .
- [Halstead ()] 'Plough and power: The economic and social significance of cultivation with the ox-drawn ard in
   the Mediterranean'. P Halstead . Bulletin of Sumerian Agriculture 1995b. 8 p. .
- Isaakidou ()] 'Ploughing with cows: Knossos and the secondary products revolution'. V Isaakidou . Animals in
   the Neolithic of Britain and Europe, D Serjeantson, D Field (ed.) (Oxford) 2006. Oxbow Books. p. .

- [Kotsakis ()] 'Pottery, cuisine and community in the Neolithic of North Greece'. K Kotsakis . Cooking Up the
   Past, C Mee, J Renard (ed.) (Oxford) 2007. Oxbow Books. p. .
- [Vita-Finzi and Higgs ()] Prehistoric economy in the Mt. Carmel area of Palestine: Site catchment analysis, C
   Vita-Finzi , E S Higgs . 1970.
- [Efstratiou ()] Prehistoric exploitation of Grevena highland ones: Hunters and herders along the Pindus chain of
   Western Macedonia, N Efstratiou . 2006. Greece.
- [Triantaphyllou ()] 'Prehistoric Makriyalos: a Story from the Fragments'. S Triantaphyllou . Neolithic Society in
   Greece, P Halstead, Sheffield (ed.) (Sheffield) 1999. Sheffield Academic Press. 2 p. .
- [Andreou and Kotsakis ()] 'Prehistoric rural communities in perspective: The Langadas survey projects'. S
   Andreou , K Kotsakis . Structures rurales et sociétés antiques, Paris. Les Belles Lettres, P N Doukellis,
   L G Mendoni (ed.) 1994. p. .
- [Alexakis et al. ()] 'Reconstructing the Neolithic Landscape of Thessaly through a GIS and Geological Approach'.
- D Alexakis , Th Astaras , A Sarris , K Vouzaxakis , A Posluschny , K Lambers , I Herzog . Layers of Perception. Proceedings of the 35th International Conference on Computer Applications and Quantitative
- 367 Methods in Archaeology (CAA), (Hrsgg.; Berlin; Bonn) 2008. April 2-6, 2007. p. 411.
- [Davis ()] 'Review of Aegean Prehistory I: The islands of the Aegean'. J Davis . American Journal of Archaeology
   1992. 96 p. .
- [Kotsakis ()] 'Settlement of discord: Sesklo and the emerging household'. K Kotsakis . Belgrade. Serbian Academy
   of Sciences and Arts Tasic and C. Grozdanov (ed.) 2006b. p. . (Homage to Milutin Garasanin)
- 372 [Halstead ()] 'Sheep in the Garden: The Integration of Crop and Livestock Husbandry in Early Farming Regimes
- of Greece and Southern Europe'. P Halstead . Animals in the Neolithic of Britain and Europe, D Serjeantson,
   D Field (ed.) (Oxford. Oxbow) 2006b. p. .
- 375 [Cosgrove ()] Social Formation and Symbolic Landscape, D E Cosgrove . 1984. London (Croom Helm.
- 376 [Mavridis et al. ()] Stable Spaces Changing Perception: Cave Archaeology In Greece BAR International Series
- 2558, F Mavridis, J T Jensen, Lina Kormazopoulou, L. 2013. Oxford. (Printed in England by Information
   Press)
- [Bintliff ()] 'Structure, contingency, narrative and timelessness'. J L Bintliff . Structure and Contingency in the
   Evolution of Life, Human Evolution and Human History, J L Bintliff (ed.) (London) 1999b. Cassell. p. .
- 381 [Perlès ()] The ?arly Neolithic in Greece, C Perlès . 2001. Cambridge World Prehistory.
- [Hamilakis ()] 'The Anthropology of Food and Drink Consumption and the Aegean Archaeology'. Y Hamilakis .
   *Paleodiet in the Aegean*, S Vaughan, W Coulson (ed.) (Oxford) 2000. p. .
- [Efstratiou (ed.) ()] The Beginning of the Neolithic in Greece -Probing the Limits of a 'Grand' Narrative, N
   Efstratiou . S. Antoniadou and A. Pace (ed.) 2007. Mediterranean Crossroads Athens. p. .
- [Bintliff ()] The Complete Archaeology of Greece: From Hunter-Gatherers to. the 20th Century A.D, J L Bintliff
   . 2012. Wiley-Blackwell.
- 388 [Hodder ()] The Domestication of Europe, I Hodder . 1990. London: Blackwell.
- 389 [Chapman ()] 'The early Balkan village'. J Chapman . Varia Archaeologica Hungarica 1989. II p. .
- <sup>390</sup> [Demoule and Perlès ()] 'The Greek neolithic: A new review'. J.-P Demoule , C Perlès . Journal of World
   <sup>391</sup> Prehistory 1993. 7 p. .
- [Fairclough et al. ()] The long chain: Archaeology, historical landscape characterization and time depth in
   landscape, G Fairclough , G Fairclough , R Harrison , J H JamesonJr , J Schofield . 2008. London; New
   York. p. . (The Heritage Reader. Routledge)
- <sup>395</sup> [Pappa and Bessios ()] 'The Makriyalos Project: Rescue excavations at the Neolithic site of Makriyalos'. M
- Pappa , M Bessios . *Neolithic Society in*, P Halstead (ed.) (Pieria, Northern Greece; Greece. Sheffield) 1999.
   Sheffield Academic Press. p. .
- Bogaard ()] 'The nature of early farming in Central and South-east Europe'. A Bogaard . 11th Neolithic Studies,
   M Budja (ed.) 2004a. 31 p. .
- <sup>400</sup> [Efstratiou ()] 'The Neolithic of the Aegean Islands: A New Picture Emerging'. N Efstratiou . The Aegean in
  <sup>401</sup> the Neolithic, Chalcolithic and the Early Bronze Age, H Erkanal, H Hauptmann, V Sahoglou, R Tuncel (ed.)
  <sup>402</sup> 2008. p. .
- [Bentley ()] 'The Neolithic transition in Europe: Comparing broad scale genetic and local scale isotopic evidence'.
   R A Bentley . Antiquity 2003. 77 p. .
- [Ingold ()] The Perception of the Environment: Essays in Livelihood, Dweling and Skill. Routledge, T Ingold .
   2000. New York: London.
- 407 [Sampson ()] The Prehistory of the Aegean Basin, A Sampson . 2006. Athens. Atrapos.

### **CONCLUSION - DISCUSSION** 10

414

- [Bintliff (ed.) ()] The Routledge Companion Encyclopedia of Archaeology. London: Routledge, J L Bintliff. Barker 408 G. (ed.) 1999a. p. . (Chapter 13: Settlement and Territory) 409
- [Howard ()] The Routledge Companion to Landscape Studies, P Howard . 2013. 410
- [Ingold ()] 'The temporality of landscape'. T Ingold . World Archaeology 1993. 25 (2) p. . 411
- [Terrenato ()] 'The visibility of sites and the interpretation of survey results: towards an analysis of incomplete 412 distributions'. N Terrenato . The Archaeology of Mediterranean Landscapes 5: Extracting Meaning from 413 Ploughsoil Assemblages, R Francovich, H Patterson, ; G Barker (ed.) (Oxford) 2000. p. .
- [Hourmouziadis ()] To Neolithiko Dimini, G Hourmouziadis . 1979. Volos. Society of Thessalian Studies.
- 415
- [Efstratiou ()] 'Tracing the story of the first farmers in Greece-A long and winding road'. N Efstratiou . Deutsches 416 Archäologisches Institut C. Lichter (ed.) 2005. 2 p. . (BYZAS) 417
- [Valamoti and Kotsakis (ed.) ()] Transition to agriculture in the Aegean: the archaeobotanical evidence, S M 418
- Valamoti, K Kotsakis. S. Colledge and J. Conolly (ed.) 2007. Walnut Creek (Ca: Left Coast Press. p. . (The 419 origins and spread of domestic plants in Southwest Asia and Europe) 420
- [Triantaphyllou and Grammenos Kotsos Thessaloniki (ed.) ()] S Triantaphyllou . in Sostikes Anaskafes sto Ne-421 olithiko Oikismo Stavroupolis Thessalonikis, D V Grammenos, S Kotsos, Thessaloniki (ed.) 2002. p. . (Prota 422 Apotelesmata tis Osteologikis Exetasis tou Skeletikou Ylikou tis Neolithikis Thesis Stavroupolis Thessalonikis) 423 (Dimosievmata tou Arhaeologikou Institutou Voreias Elladas) 424
- [Tsartsidou et al. ()] 'Use of space in a Neolithic village in Greece (Makri): phytolith analysis and comparison 425 of phytolith assemblages from an ethnographic setting in the same area'. G Tsartsidou , S Lev-Yadun , N 426 Efstratiou, S Weiner. Journal of Archaeological Science 2009. 36 p. . 427
- [Johnson ()] 'Water, animals and agricultural technology: A study of settlement patterns and economic change 428 in Neolithic Southern Greece'. M Johnson. Oxford Journal of Archaeology 1996. 15 p. . 429
- [Sherratt ()] 'Water, soil and seasonality in early cereal cultivation'. A Sherratt . World Archaeology 1980. II p. . 430
- [Kotsakis (ed.) ()] What tells can tell: social space and settlement in the Greek Neolithic, K Kotsakis . P. Halstead 431
- (ed.) 1999. Sheffield: Neolithic Society in Greece. Sheffield Academic Press. p. . 432
- [Halstead ()] 'What's Ours Is Mine? Village and Household in Early Farming Society in Greece'. P Halstead . 433 Amsterdam. Stichting Nederlands Museum voor Anthropologie en Praehistorie 2006a. 434
- [Cavanagh ()] 'WYSIWYG: Settlement and territoriality in Southern Greece during the Early and Middle 435 Neolithic periods'. W C Cavanagh . Journal of Mediterranean Archaeology 2004. 17 p. . 436