

# 1 Local Content in Latin American and African Oil and Gas 2 Sector: A Comparative Analysis of Selected Countries

3 Elijah Dickens Mushemeza

4 Received: 16 December 2016 Accepted: 5 January 2017 Published: 15 January 2017

5

---

## 6 **Abstract**

7 The implementation of local content policies among developing resource rich countries has  
8 experienced mixed results. While some countries have achieved positive outcomes, others have  
9 not fared very well and therefore the question of why some countries are more successful than  
10 others during the implementation of local content policies has not been conclusively addressed.  
11 Using a two-stage comparative analysis, this article studies the factors that account for  
12 successful local content outcomes in African and Latin America countries. The analysis shows  
13 that countries with specific local content frameworks that prioritised the development of their  
14 national industries are more likely to achieve positive outcomes in terms of employment  
15 creation, skills development and the participation of their national industry along the oil and  
16 gas value chain. The analysis further shows that National Oil Companies and enterprise  
17 centres have played a key role for the achievement of positive local content outcomes

---

18

---

19 **Index terms**— local content strategy, local content framework, national oil company, local content outcomes,  
20 comparative analysis.

## 21 **1 Introduction**

22 ver the last decade, the economic debate around non-renewable resources has mainly focused on the trade-  
23 off between the short-term challenges of managing volatile resource revenue and the long-term objective of  
24 sustainable economic development. When it comes to extractive industries, particularly the oil and gas sector,  
25 these concerns are of major relevance due to the importance of the sector for many economies. Against a  
26 backdrop of contradictions and hard choices, local content is considered as an attractive alternative to overcome  
27 this challenge (Morales, M.; Herrera. JJ.; Jarrín, S. 2016).

28 Local content is defined as the extent to which the output of the extractive industry sector generates further  
29 benefits to the domestic economy beyond the direct contribution of its value-added through productive linkages  
30 with other sectors (Tordo & Anouti, 2013). Generally, these linkages are created through purchase of domestically  
31 supplied inputs, labour or local skills and knowledge transfer (Auty 2006 However, if local content is the better  
32 alternative for oil and gas countries to reach local development, why does every oil and gas producing country not  
33 adopted a clear local content strategy yet? During the path of the development and implementation of a local  
34 content policy, countries face certain challenges and need to comply with several previous conditions. In that  
35 sense, it is a challenge for policy makers to establish the right local content policy for their countries to reach  
36 positive local content outcomes. Local content outcomes are understood as the results achieved in a country  
37 in terms of generation of local employment, skills development, investments and participation of the national  
38 industry along the oil and gas value chain.

39 Countries that adopt local content as a development strategy for their extractive sectors usually start by  
40 developing local content frameworks (policies, laws and contracts). While a well-designed local content framework  
41 is a valuable starting point, there are other factors that shape their successful implementation (Aoun and Mathieu  
42 2015). Mapping these factors presents a challenge for scholars and policy makers due to the varying context that  
43 oil and gas producing countries have. Existing literature on local content has not yet identified common factors  
44 across countries that influence the achievement of positive local content outcomes. This paper aims at analysing

45 common factors that have led to successful local content outcomes in the countries of Mexico, Brazil, Angola and  
46 Nigeria. This exercise is relevant for identifying policy lessons that can be transferred from one country to another.  
47 In that sense, this paper addresses the following question: Why have some countries been more successful than  
48 others in implementing local content policies? To answer this question the paper attempts to identify relevant  
49 common factors by comparing the experiences of Latin American and African oil and gas producing countries  
50 that have achieved successful local content outcomes. This analysis is centred around a hypothesis that run as  
51 follows: The more a country adopts a specific local content framework focused on the development of its national  
52 industry, the more likely it will achieve positive local content outcomes.

## 53 2 II.

### 54 3 Literature On Local Content

55 Resource rich countries establish productive development policies (PDPs) (or industrial policies) to strengthen the  
56 productive structure of their national economy. These national policies include measures to promote employment  
57 or local procurement in the oil and gas industry. Some countries have embraced a comprehensive local content  
58 strategy for their oil and gas sectors such as the development of specific frameworks, special capacity building  
59 programmes and the creation of implementation and monitoring bodies, amongst others. Commonly known cases  
60 include countries such as Nigeria, Ghana, Angola, Mexico, Brazil, Trinidad and Tobago, Indonesia, Malaysia and  
61 Norway. Africa is the region where most countries are currently adopting or implementing local content policies.  
62 In Latin America, on the other hand, only Mexico and Brazil have adopted specific local content policies. These  
63 new developments are driven by a theoretical perspective which calls for open competitive markets but with  
64 more distinct roles defined for the private sector and for the government. Under this view, it is argued that  
65 private enterprise and capitalist economic development require capable, not passive governmentgovernment that  
66 can 'fashion' a sanctuary within which the profit motive and price mechanism can work; that the state has both  
67 an enabling role as in the provision of infrastructure, restraining or protective role as in curbing the excesses of  
68 private sector in such matters as pollution, in product safety, and a regulatory role as in prevention of unfair  
69 banking practices, anti-monopoly laws, and government-established quality standards ??Bibangambah, 2001:7).  
70 This is what has driven countries to establish local content frameworks and strong institutions such as NOCs  
71 and enterprise centres to implement local content strategy.

### 72 4 a) What We Know

73 There are several factors that can influence the successful achievement of local content outcomes. Oil and gas  
74 countries have unique contexts that influence the design and implementation of local content policies. First, there  
75 are factors related to the preconditions of the countries' oil and gas sectors. These factors can be categorised  
76 into four groups: resource conditions, industrial capacity, sector governance and international trade agreements.  
77 Geology and geography -or resource endowment -is the first factor that policy makers should consider when  
78 designing a local content policy (Tordo et al. 2013). Resource conditions such as the quality of the resource and  
79 the location of the reserves are important since they can contribute to defining the industrial capacity, workforce  
80 and technology required for the development of the project. Moreover, countries with important and good quality  
81 resource endowments have "bargaining leverage" over companies with which they can implement more stringent  
82 local content requirements.

83 Industrial capacity is another important factor when designing local content policies. The level of technology  
84 and the industrial base of a country shape the type of local content policy required. If a country's local content  
85 strategy is focused on the promotion of local procurement, for example, national and local service companies  
86 must count with high levels of technology and the country's national industries must be able to meet international  
87 standards required by companies (Heum et al. 2011). If the local content policy aims to develop linkages and  
88 spill over effects with the wider economy, the industrial base and technology of the country are essential (Klueh  
89 et al. 2007 Counting with resource preconditions and industrial Institutional is not enough when there is lack  
90 of governance. Institutional and legal arrangements also matter when designing and implementing local content  
91 policy (Tordo et al. 2013). Corruption, lack of transparency and bureaucracy are also challenges that countries  
92 and companies commonly face which negatively influence local content implementation (Tordo et al. 2013).

93 Finally, legally binding agreements that countries subscribe to a common factor that influences the adoption  
94 of local content policies. As part of their commercial policy, most countries sign trade agreements with their  
95 neighbours or as part of regional trading blocs. Better known as trade-related investment measures (TRIMs),  
96 these agreements can limit the capacity of government to enforce the implementation of local content policies  
97 (Ado, 2013).

98 Besides these factors that are related to the sectors' preconditions there are other specific factors that have  
99 accounted for the achievement of local content outcomes in oil and gas producing countries. Morales et al.  
100 (2016) stressed the importance of welldesigned local content frameworks, strong NOCs and a business-friendly  
101 environment when achieving local content outcomes for oil and gas producing countries in Latin America. ??azzazi  
102 and Behrouz (2012) supported this conclusion based on a model that identified the correlation between the factors  
103 that might influence the development of local content. Their analysis shows a positive correlation (the highest  
104 among the variables of their study) between local content policies and local content development (Kazzazi &

105 Nouri, 2012). Similarly, Mushemeza and Okiira (2016) argue that well-designed local content frameworks, the  
106 presence of International Financing Institutions (IFIs) such as the World Bank, and the presence of local content  
107 implementation and monitoring entities -such as enterprise centres and monitoring boards -are important factors  
108 that shape local content outcomes in Africa, especially in Angola, Chad and Nigeria.

109 Some literature focuses on countries that have achieved mostly positive outcomes and that have developed  
110 local content policies to expand their oil and gas sector internationally. For example, in reviewing the case of  
111 Norway and the path it took to implement local content policies, ??eum (2008) highlights the uniqueness of this  
112 case since Norway had strong institutions and an industrialised economy before oil and gas was discovered. These  
113 factors enabled Norway to focus on the participation of its national industry within the oil and gas sector first  
114 nationally and then internationally. Easo and Wallace (2014) identify as another key factor for Norway (and also  
115 for the United Kingdom) its highly educated workforce with technical competence in manufacturing, shipbuilding  
116 and engineering. Notwithstanding, the Norwegian and British cases offer few lessons for countries that do not  
117 share these characteristics, as is the case for Africa and Latin America.

## 118 **5 b) What we do not know**

119 A considerable amount of literature is available on specific cases of local content policies adopted by countries.  
120 General lessons from benchmark cases such as Norway, the UK, Canada and Malaysia exist but may not apply  
121 to countries with entirely different contexts, such as those in Africa and Latin America, resource rich countries  
122 characterized by poor governance, corruption, a weak industrial base and workforce.

123 While African countries have been actively discussing the adoption of local content policies during recent years,  
124 Latin American countries have adopted different strategies to promote local content as part of their productive  
125 policies, although they have not developed specific frameworks for the oil and gas sector. In many Latin American  
126 countries, the policy seems to be to let private companies decide how far they source locally as part of their own  
127 efforts to secure and sustain social license or as part of their international mandates. Both Latin American and  
128 African oil and gas producing countries have been involved in this dynamic for years and have achieved different  
129 kinds of outcomes.

130 There is a clear gap in existing literature. First, there is lack of analysis of local content outcomes; most of  
131 literature focuses the analysis on the type of policies adopted by countries rather than on the achieved outcomes  
132 (lack of measurable outcomes). On the other hand, literature is focused on specific cases of benchmark countries  
133 rather than on common factors and transferable lessons that account for successful local content outcomes.  
134 Our paper represents an initial attempt to fill this gap and provide lessons that can be transferred between  
135 countries and regions. Through analysing common factors that have led to successful local content outcomes  
136 in Mexico, Brazil, Angola and Nigeria our analysis sheds light on important considerations, for those countries  
137 where governments are starting to shape their national oil and gas policies and legislations.

## 138 **6 III.**

### 139 **7 Comparative Research Methodology**

140 To identify the factors that determine successful local content outcomes in Africa and Latin America, this paper  
141 used a comparative framework that considers the experience of seven countries in each region. For this analysis, we  
142 selected the countries in Latin America that are the largest oil and gas producers in the region, namely Argentina,  
143 Bolivia, Brazil, Colombia, Ecuador, Mexico and Venezuela. On the other hand, in Africa we selected sub-Saharan  
144 countries that are either oil and gas producers or have significant reserves in relation to their economies. These  
145 countries are Angola, Chad, Equatorial Guinea, Ghana, Nigeria, Tanzania and Uganda.

146 By comparing countries with such different backgrounds and conditions we identified trends amongst the  
147 factors that contribute towards achieving positive local content outcomes. The logic behind this comparison is  
148 that if we manage to identify factors that are present in every country despite their inherent differences, then, we  
149 can identify some of the factors that can help explain the achievement of positive local content outcomes beyond  
150 regional or country peculiarities.

151 Local content outcomes were understood in terms of local employment generation, national industry  
152 participation along the oil and gas value chain and skills development for local employees in the oil and gas  
153 sector. Thus, we rated each country based on a standardised scoring mechanism that allowed us to make  
154 comparisons across countries where local content indicators are not always available or are measured in different  
155 ways. Following that methodology, we rated each country's frameworks in one side and their outcomes in other.

156 As indicated in Annex 1, we scored the outcomes through the assessment of three broad local content strategies:  
157 generation of local employment (LE), skills development (SD) and national industry participation (NIP). Each  
158 strategy was scored on a scale ranging from 0.5 (low) through 1 (medium) to 1.5 (high). A score of 0 was given  
159 where outcomes could not be identified.

160 We used three different sources of information to score each strategy -data from oil and gas companies,  
161 contracts and secondary sourcesconsidering that data to measure local content outcomes is scattered and often  
162 not centralised in one official source. The information used was obtained from public and private oil and gas  
163 companies since data to measure local content outcomes at the national level or gathered by a central authority  
164 were inexistent in most cases. On the other hand, we used contracts as a proxy to measure outcomes based on

## 9 COMPARATIVE EVIDENCE

---

165 the assumption that when local content provisions are included in contracts it means that somehow local content  
166 policies have made their way into binding tools that can help to enforce policy into practice and outcomes.  
167 Secondary sources include reports and data available in open source formats such as news and media articles or  
168 academic publications on local content.

169 Using that information and based on criteria set out in Annex 1, local content outcomes were scored in all  
170 14 countries, and the two countries with the highest outcomes in each region were selected. This exercise was  
171 conducted by both research teams (Grupo FARO in Ecuador and ACODE in Uganda) with inputs by experts  
172 from both countries. The results of this process are presented in Table 1 Following the logic of the exercise  
173 described above, for the second stage of the analysis we rated each country's framework. To do so, we assessed  
174 each country by using the presence of local content within oil and gas frameworks and the existence of measuring,  
175 monitoring and implementation mechanisms within these frameworks. For the purposes of this paper, we refer  
176 to these two criteria as specificity (how entrenched local content provisions are in policies and legal frameworks).  
177 Annex 2 contains the detailed criteria used to evaluate local content framework's specificity. Each country was  
178 ranked on a scale ranging from 0.5 (low) to 1.5 (high). The specificity scores achieved by each country are  
179 presented in Table 2 below. In order to draw connections, we compared the LC specificity score with the LC  
180 outcomes scores in each country (table 3). Based on this assessment, we found that the countries with higher  
181 local content outcomes were also countries where local content policies are well developed and structured. In  
182 all four countries with higher local content outcomes, requirements to promote local content are integrated into  
183 different strategies (employment generation, national industrial participation, and skills development etc.) and  
184 frameworks.

185 This analysis shows that there is a relationship between the local content specificity scores and the achieved  
186 outcomes in these countries. This is particularly clear in the cases of Brazil and Mexico (high LC specificity scores  
187 and high outcomes scores) and Argentina, Bolivia and Venezuela (low LC specificity scores and low outcomes  
188 scores). This relationship is also present in Angola and Nigeria (high LC specificity score and high local content  
189 outcomes) and in Guinea, Tanzania, and Uganda (low LC specificity score and low local content outcomes). This  
190 indicates that LC frameworks containing clear objectives and measuring and monitoring mechanisms are more  
191 likely to achieve better local content outcomes. Countries that have not achieved high positive local content  
192 outcomes, such as Bolivia, Tanzania, Ecuador and Venezuela, are also countries whose LC frameworks are less  
193 specific according to our evaluation.

194 For the second stage of the analysis (next section), we focused on the experiences in Brazil, Mexico, Angola  
195 and Nigeria in order to identify the factors that explain the achievement of these positive results. In particular,  
196 we analysed which specific institutions, state-led actions and/or policy measures to promote local content were  
197 present across the board.

198 Figure 1 shows the logic of the methodology described above. Thus, In the first stage of our research, we  
199 used local content outcomes and frameworks as comparison tools that allowed us to narrow our analysis down  
200 from 14 to 4. In the second stage of analysis (next section), we focused on these four case studies to identify  
201 the factors that could explain the achievement of positive local content outcomes (see Figure 1). During the  
202 assessment of both categories (specificity and outcomes), we also found that the National Oil Companies are  
203 actively involved in adopting and implementing local content in countries with higher local content outcomes. In  
204 most of countries that presented high positive outcomes, NOCs are used as a mechanism to enforce the provisions  
205 contained in legal frameworks mainly through their internal policies (aligned with the frameworks). The seven  
206 Latin American have a National Oil Company. Petrobras in Brazil and Pemex in Mexico have played very active  
207 roles implementing local content policy in comparison to other NOCs in the region such as Petroamazonas in  
208 Ecuador or PDVSA in Venezuela where local content promotion is the responsibility of private companies. The  
209 role of these NOCs goes beyond adopting local content laws since Petrobras and Pemex have local content divisions  
210 within their corporate structure and have been actively involved in the creation of programmes to develop worker  
211 and supplier capacities. In Africa, the cases of Sonangol (Angola) and NNPC (Nigeria) are similar since NOCs  
212 are a fundamental instrument through which the government puts local content strategies into practice.

## 213 8 IV.

## 214 9 Comparative Evidence

215 Our previous finding was that well-structured local content frameworks and an active role by the NOCs when  
216 implementing local content policies can influence the achievement of positive outcomes. To support that, we  
217 crosschecked the results against the remaining 10 analysed cases to confirm that those factors were not present  
218 in the cases that registered low positive outcomes. X â???" X â???" â???" â???" â???" Mexico â???" â???" â???" â???"  
219 â???" â???" â???" Angola â???" â???" â???" â???" â???" â???" Nigeria â???" â???" â???" â???" â???" â???" Ecuador  
220 â???" â???" X X X X Argentina â???" X X X X X Bolivia â???" â???" X X X X X Venezuela â???" X X X X X  
221 X Colombia X X â???" â???" X â???" X Chad â???" â???" â???" X X X Ghana â???" â???" â???" X â???" â???"  
222 Tanzania â???" X â???" X X X X Uganda â???" â???" â???" X X X Eq. Guinea â???" â???" â???" â???" X X X

223 Source: Columbia Centre on Sustainable Investment 2015, authors' own elaboration.

224 As the table shows our four case studies are indeed the countries with the best-structured frameworks around  
225 local content but more important, present at the same time monitoring and implementation mechanisms and

226 their NOCs play a key role during local content implementation. It is important to highlight that while not all  
227 aspects of local content were present in every case -for example, in Brazil employment or training requirements  
228 are not included in national local content policy or appear only at a basic level -the existence of monitoring and  
229 implementation mechanisms proved to be relevant for the achievement of positive local content outcomes in all  
230 four countries. In contrast, these mechanisms are non-existent in the other 10 countries.

231 The existence of well-structured LC frameworks and NOCs involved in the local content implementation process  
232 are factors present in countries that have achieved higher positive local content outcomes. Here we analyse the  
233 extent to which these factors have contributed to the achievement of positive local content outcomes in Brazil,  
234 Mexico, Angola and Nigeria better than other cases in both continents.

## 235 **10 a) Approaches to Local Content Frameworks**

236 The analysis shows that the achievement of positive local content outcomes has a direct relation with the type  
237 of frameworks that oil and gas producing countries from Africa and Latin America have developed to promote  
238 local content. Morales et al. (2016) and Mushemeza and Okiira (2016) explore in detail the main provisions of  
239 local content frameworks in both regions and their achieved outcomes.

240 As shown in Table 4, Brazil, Mexico, Angola, Nigeria and Ghana are the only cases among the 14 countries  
241 that include within their LC frameworks monitoring and enforcing mechanisms, government programmes to  
242 support oil and gas companies in their local content-related activities and the participation of NOCs in local  
243 content implementation. These aspects could contribute to explain the positive outcomes these five countries  
244 have achieved 4 Regarding institutions, Brazil, Angola and Mexico have designated the tasks of designing and  
245 monitoring local content implementation to different state entities such as the National Energy Policy Council  
246 (Conselho Nacional de Política Energética or CNPE) and the National Petroleum Agency (Agencia do ANP)  
247 in Brazil, the Ministry of Finance in Mexico and the Ministry of Petroleum in Angola. Similarly, Nigeria has  
248 established the Nigerian Content Monitoring Board to guide, implement and monitor the . In order to deepen  
249 the analysis, local content frameworks of the four case studies were studied to assess the approach each country  
250 has taken to understand the legal, institutional and operational steps these countries have taken, as well as to  
251 identify similarities and differences.

252 The LC frameworks in Brazil, Nigeria and Mexico contain a clear definition of local content unlike Angola.  
253 For the case of Brazil, it is interesting to observe that the definition and the main frameworks only focus on the  
254 promotion of the country's national industries through procurement practices and bidding processes as opposed  
255 to Mexico, Angola and Nigeria, who give importance to employment and skills development as well. Mexico  
256 and Nigeria understand local content from a broader perspective, which includes local employment and training  
257 for nationals. Despite varying definitions and emphasis on distinct elements of local content, one common  
258 denominator among the four countries is the inclusion of clear LC provisions in legislation and contracts, which  
259 we treat as specificity in our analysis. The implication of this finding which is in tandem with our hypothesis is  
260 that the more specific LC provisions a country has, the more likely it will achieve positive local content outcomes.

261 The specificity of local content frameworks also includes efforts to measure and monitor implementation.  
262 Mexico demonstrates the most concerted effort in this regard, having developed a methodology that has set the  
263 ground for monitoring local content compliance among relevant authorities. Brazil also includes the measurement  
264 of local content during the bidding process where providers' offers must include local content targets. Brazil and  
265 Mexico have created frameworks that prioritise the development of their national industries and have implemented  
266 programmes to achieve it. This might explain why more quantitative data is available for Brazil and Mexico  
267 on LC outcomes ??Morales et.al. 2016) than Angola and Nigeria whose results are scattered across several  
268 documents (Nordas et al. 2003;Mushemeza and Okiira 2016).

269 provisions of the Nigerian Content Act. These institutional mechanisms have proven to play a fundamental  
270 role in the implementation of local content development in our selected case studies.

271 The implementation of LC frameworks has enabled the selected countries (Brazil, Mexico, Angola, and Nigeria)  
272 to establish national industry bases with varied strengths and results. Angola focuses on employment and  
273 therefore, its frameworks are focused on the establishment of quotas, procedures and penalties to promote jobs  
274 for nationals in the oil and gas sector; known as the "Angolanization" of the workforce. In Brazil, provisions  
275 relating to national workforce, goods and services are observable. When these frameworks are scrutinised further,  
276 the tendency to prioritise national industry participation compared to employment creation is observable. Brazil  
277 and Mexico have established mechanisms such as local content minimum requirements for bidding processes and  
278 capacity building programmes for suppliers. For their part, Angola and Nigeria prioritise employment by setting  
279 employment quotas that are easily adoptable in the short-term. Where local content policies are more focused  
280 on the procurement of goods and services (national industry participation) and skills development, countries  
281 have managed to develop their manufacturing sector and reduce dependence on oil revenues. Countries in Latin  
282 America such as Ecuador, Colombia, Venezuela and Bolivia have scattered provision within their oil and gas  
283 frameworks. Hydrocarbon Laws and other main frameworks from these countries are focused on fiscal terms  
284 instead of local content. Evidence of this investigation has showed that the development of wellstructured  
285 frameworks adopted by the four case studies to promote local content have definitely forced the creation of  
286 institutions and additional mechanisms to promote and monitor the compliance of local content unlike other

## **11 B) THE ROLE OF NATIONAL OIL COMPANIES IN SUPPORTING THE ACHIEVEMENT OF POSITIVE LOCAL CONTENT OUTCOMES**

---

287 countries such as Ecuador, Bolivia, Venezuela, Equatorial Guinea, Chad or Colombia where this process has  
288 been slower resulting in less positive outcomes (or none).

### **289 11 b) The Role of National Oil Companies in Supporting the 290 Achievement of Positive Local Content Outcomes**

291 National Oil Companies control over 90% of the world's oil and gas reserves and 75% of production.  
292 Approximately 60% of the world's undiscovered reserves are in countries where NOCs have privileged access  
293 to these reserves and to major oil and gas infrastructure systems. For this reason, it is fair to say that NOCs  
294 have the potential to shape the economy and the energy needs of resource-rich countries (Tordo, 2011).

295 In Latin America and Africa, the creation of NOCs followed different interests and logics. In Mexico, Pemex was  
296 established as a mechanism to improve labour and wage conditions for workers whereas in Brazil Petrobras was  
297 created to promote self-sufficiency, respond to growing industrialisation and increase the participation of national  
298 companies along the oil and gas value chain. NOCs in Nigeria and Angola, as in many other countries in Africa,  
299 were during post-independence periods as a mechanism to nationalize assets, regain state control, gain higher  
300 rents from foreign companies, generate employment and promote technology transfer (Lwanda 2011;Nwokeji  
301 2007). The experiences of Brazil, Mexico, Nigeria and Angola show that NOCs positively influence the adoption  
302 of local content. Unlike other NOCs in Latin America, like PDVSA in Venezuela or YPFB in Bolivia, Pemex  
303 and Petrobras follow clear institutional guidelines pertaining to local content that are embedded within the  
304 companies' strategies. In addition to existing local content laws, Pemex's work regarding local content is also  
305 guided by its Strategy for the Development of Local Contractors and National Content (Pemex, 2013) which  
306 recognises the NOC's role as a productive state company in charge of the development of the national industry  
307 along the oil and gas value chain.

308 Petrobras also has an institutional local content policy which indicates that all projects and acquisitions for  
309 Petrobras must support the company's strategic plan and maximise local content through the integration of the  
310 supply chain (by executing procurement in a coordinated manner), capacity development of local suppliers and  
311 supporting local market development to overcome technology gaps. The NOC's LC policy also determines the  
312 business areas that are considered a priority for the oil and gas sector and where local content goals need to be  
313 achieved. Despite their countries have some legal provisions on local content, neither Petroamazonas (Ecuador)  
314 nor YPFB and PDVSA have established local content divisions within the companies or have developed strategies  
315 to promote local content.

316 On the other hand, NOCs in Angola and Nigeria show a similar trend than Pemex and Petrobras whereby the  
317 NOC has very well defined guidelines and responsibilities regarding local content. The national LC frameworks  
318 clearly position the NOCs as key actors in the implementation process. Sonangol is considered the "national  
319 engine" for local content related growth and for the implementation of Angolanization policies intended to  
320 increase workforce participation and technology transfer in the oil and gas sector. Within Sonangol, the Local  
321 Content Department oversees the developing a local content strategy for the NOC in coordination with the  
322 Ministry of Petroleum.

323 NNPC in Nigeria works under a similar framework as Sonangol. The NOC does not have an internal local  
324 content strategy, but it does have a Nigerian Content Division (NCD) that comprises three departments in charge  
325 of capacity building, planning and monitoring. These departments identify best practices and advise NNPC on  
326 the adoption of local content measures, generate data related to the industry and develop strategies for capacity  
327 building.

328 Another aspect that Pemex, Petrobras, Sonangol and NNPC have in common is the existence of programmes  
329 specifically created to translate local content guidelines into practice. In countries like Ghana and Ecuador, where  
330 NOCs are relatively strong, there is not the same type of involvement by the NOCs in the local content strategy  
331 as in the analysed cases. In Ghana and Ecuador, NOCs adopt local content but are not necessarily seen as key  
332 partners when it comes to creating the conditions required for the successful adoption of LC strategies.

333 Pemex leads the Supplier Relations Programme, which aims to ensure that local suppliers have the necessary  
334 capacities to become Pemex suppliers. This programme is based on the idea of collaboration between the NOC and  
335 key suppliers at different stages of the value chain. As part of this programme, Pemex has for example developed  
336 several initiatives that include an online platform for registering and evaluating suppliers. The purpose behind  
337 this platform is to connect supply and demand across different operating areas. As a result of these initiatives  
338 Pemex has enabled the country to register positive local content outcomes (Pemex, 2013).

339 Petrobras has the longest trajectory interacting with suppliers and collaborating with various actors in Brazil  
340 to achieve and enhance the adoption of local content. For example, the National Programme for the Mobilisation  
341 of the National Oil and Gas Industry (PROMINP), seeks to increase the participation of Brazilian industry in the  
342 implementation of extractive projects. Petrobras and the Ministry of Mines and Energy coordinate this initiative.  
343 The NOC in Brazil is credited for promoting positive local content outcomes (Petrobras, 2015).

344 Sonangol in Angola leads various initiatives aimed at strengthening the capacity of local enterprises,  
345 establishing factories and technology transfer. Many of these initiatives are public-private partnerships (PPPs)  
346 aimed at resolving the challenges that hinder the participation of national industries along the oil and gas value  
347 chain such as inadequate infrastructure and engineering equipment, insufficient financial resources to drive change,

---

348 low technical expertise and limited collaboration between companies. Sonangol participated in the formation of  
349 the Angolan Enterprise Program (AEP) designed to develop the capacities of SMEs with the support of IOCs  
350 such as Chevron. The Nigeria National Petroleum Company (NNPC) implements its local content strategy  
351 through the National Petroleum Investment Management Services (NAPIMS). NAPIMS oversees the monitoring  
352 the contracting procedures of NNPC ensuring that local content criteria are present in every contracting process.  
353 NAPIMS also provides capacity building for suppliers to ensure their ability to participate in the bidding processes  
354 of the industry. Within NNPC, the National Content Division is in charge of developing projects to bridge local  
355 capacity gaps in the industry, as well as certify and train local providers by partnering with IOCs through  
356 PPPs. The spirit of PPPs promoted by the government and the NOC gave birth to the Enterprise Development  
357 Centre (EDC) hosted by the Pan African University since 1991. In terms of local content outcomes, the EDC  
358 trained 46 trainers, including 16 women, to deliver Business Edge workshops to 1,367 individuals including 414  
359 women. At least 24,000 entrepreneurs and small business owners submitted business plans to the first You WIN  
360 Competition and 1,200 won between \$7,000 and \$70,000 US dollars in seed funding to start or expand their  
361 business (Mushemeza and Okiira 2016).

362 Despite the lack of a strong and independent measurement and evaluation system, the NNPC is credited for  
363 spearheading several developments and local content outcomes. The Nigerian Content Development Monitoring  
364 Board estimates that local capture of oil industry spends have risen from 5 to 40% in the last decade. It  
365 is estimated that with an annual investment of \$15 billion US dollars per year, local content practices could  
366 help retain over \$5 billion US dollars in the Nigerian economy annually (Ovadia, 2014). Nigeria's Ministry of  
367 Petroleum Resources estimates that in 2012 implementation of the Local Content Act led to retention in the  
368 national economy of over \$20 billion US dollars. Between 2010 and 2014, NNPC trained and employed 15,000  
369 personnel representing 80% of local employees in the sector. In the same period, the NOC awarded contracts to  
370 national and local companies at a value of \$52 billion US dollars -a clear success for national industry participation  
371 (Mushemeza and Okiira 2016).

372 It is important to highlight that, the fact that these NOCs have a fundamental role in the achievement of  
373 positive local content outcomes, does not mean that their activities are managed with transparency. Moreover,  
374 Petrobras and Sonangol have been recently involved in corruption scandals that reached international levels.

375 V.

## 376 **12 Policy Implications**

377 The analysis leads to at least three key lessons. Unlike other oil and gas producing countries from Africa  
378 and Latin America, Angola, Nigeria, Brazil and Mexico have achieved positive local content outcomes due to  
379 these countries have structured their frameworks with specific provisions that addresses issues on technology,  
380 procurement, employment and training requirements, complemented by the establishment of monitoring and  
381 enforcement mechanisms, government support for oil and gas company programmes and the active participation  
382 of NOCs during local content implementation. Based on the evidence of Brazil, Mexico, Angola and Nigeria,  
383 it can be concluded that oil and gas producing countries that are in the process of designing local content  
384 policies should pay attention to the development and structure of specific local content frameworks to achieve  
385 positive outcomes. These frameworks should be accompanied by monitoring and enforcing mechanisms as the  
386 ones assessed during these research (table 3).

387 While presence of NOCs can foster employment and technology transfer, it is not enough. Other factors  
388 can influence the extent to which existence of the NOC can lead to positive outcomes. These include the  
389 extent to which the NOC collaborates with private sector and international oil companies to enhance knowledge  
390 and technology transfer. For example, NNPC and Pemex adopted measures to promote the participation  
391 and competition of private companies and partners. The experiences of Petrobras and Sonangol highlight the  
392 importance that knowledge transfer can have for the development of strong technological basis in an oil company.  
393 These results show that openness to the participation of private stakeholders does not diminish the NOCs'  
394 influence; on the contrary it strengthens its capacity and performance. NOCs should play a prominent role when  
395 defining and implementing local content. Their involvement in this process can lead to positive local content  
396 outcomes despite other structural challenges such as limited independence from the government. The cases of  
397 Sonangol, NNPC, Petrobras and Pemex show that it is important that NOCs' policies are connected with local  
398 content frameworks. Thus, NOCs have legally binding obligations to adopt local content as part of their strategy  
399 and therefore are more likely to achieve positive local content outcomes. However, these case studies also highlight  
400 the importance of strengthening the institutional capacities of the extractive sectors in resource rich countries.  
401 transparency that has also been found in all the analysed countries as part of this study.

## 402 **13 VI.**

## 403 **14 Conclusion**

404 This paper started by comparing local content in 14 oil and gas producing countries across Africa and Latin  
405 America in order to identify their local content frameworks and the outcomes these countries have achieved.  
406 Through this comparison, it was possible to identify the countries with better local content outcomes in both  
407 regions, namely Mexico and Brazil in Latin America and Angola and Nigeria in Africa.

## 14 CONCLUSION

---

408 The comparative analysis shows that these four countries demonstrate several common features. On the one  
409 hand, the existence of sound local content frameworks that are well structured and positioned within the country's  
410 legislation, and which include clear implementation and monitoring mechanisms. On the other hand, National  
411 Oil Companies in these countries have played an important role during the design and implementation of local  
412 content policies. Unlike other NOC elsewhere, national oil companies in Brazil, Mexico, Angola and Nigeria  
413 are not only in charge of adopting local content, they are also involved in the policy design process and are the  
414 institutions in charge of promoting its adoption, and even measuring and monitoring its implementation.

415 Angola, Nigeria, Brazil and Mexico have achieved positive local content outcomes unlike other oil and gas  
416 producing countries from Africa and Latin America. These countries have structured their frameworks with broad  
417 provisions and with specific technology, procurement, employment and training requirements, complemented by  
418 the establishment of monitoring and enforcement mechanisms, government support for oil and gas company  
419 programmes and the active participation of NOCs during implementation. Evidence suggests that having a  
420 specific local content framework and a strong NOC with clear guidelines and strategy, can lead a country to  
421 achieve positive local content outcomes regardless of context. While presence of NOCs can foster the generation  
422 of employment and technology transfer, it is important to keep in mind that the mere existence of NOCs is  
423 not enough. There are specific dynamics and factors inside the management of a NOC that can shape local  
424 content. For example, it is valuable for a NOC to collaborate with the private sector and international partners  
425 to enhance knowledge and technology transfer. NNPC and Pemex adopted measures to promote the participation  
426 and competition of private companies and partners. The case studies show that openness to the participation  
427 of private stakeholders does not diminish the NOCs' influence; on the contrary it strengthens their capacity and  
428 performance. The experiences of Petrobras and Sonangol highlight the importance that knowledge transfer can  
429 have for the development of strong technological basis in an oil company. NOCs should play a prominent role  
430 when defining and implementing local content. Their involvement in this process can lead to positive local content  
431 outcomes despite other structural challenges such as limited independence from the government. However, these  
432 case studies also highlight the importance of strengthening the institutional capacities of the extractive sectors  
433 in resource rich countries.

434 Policy makers should consider short and longterm benefits when designing local content policies. The  
435 achievement of short-term positive outcomes might be easier to attain through certain mechanisms such as the  
436 establishment of workforce and procurement quotas and scholarships requirements. However, building linkages  
437 through local content policies is a measure that can bring about longer-term benefits to the country's economy.  
438 As analysed, Angola and Nigeria have focused their local content policies on the generation of jobs and this has  
439 not contributed to a decrease in either country's dependence on oil revenues. On the other hand, Mexico and  
440 Brazil have established local content policies more focused on the procurement of national goods and services  
441 and have thereby managed to develop their manufacturing sector and reduce dependence on oil revenues.

442 The factors analysed in this paper do not rule out or ignore the existence of other factors that can shape the  
443 positive achievement of local content outcomes such as the size and quality of a country's natural endowments,  
444 the existing industrial capacity or the quality of governance institutions.

1 2 3 4

---

<sup>1</sup>Local content outcomes in Argentina could not be measured due to lack of available data.<sup>2</sup> Local content in Ghana were not measured since its local content policy were recently adopted and there is still no evidence of outcomes.© 2017 Global Journals Inc. (US)

<sup>2</sup>Local content outcomes in Argentina were not measured due to lack of available data. © 2017 Global Journals Inc. (US) Volume XVII Issue III Version I

<sup>3</sup>© 2017 Global Journals Inc. (US)

<sup>4</sup>Ghana is not part of the analysis since the country has been implementing its local content policies for too short time to report outcomes.

STRATEGY	SOURCE OF DATA		
	DATA FROM OIL & GAS COMPANIES	CONTRACTS	SECONDARY SOURCES
LOCAL EMPLOYMENT (LE)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>High (1.5) – The company has at least 90% of national /local employees.</li> <li>Medium (1) – The company has 80 to 90% of national employees.</li> <li>Low (0.5) – The company has less than 80% of national employees.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>High (1.5) – Contracts a) are linked to/reflect national legislation regarding LE; b) include mechanisms to measure LE; and c) include mechanisms to monitor and implement LE.</li> <li>Medium (1) – Contracts a) are linked to/reflect national legislation regarding LE; and b) include mechanisms to measure LE; or c) include mechanisms to monitor and implement LE.</li> <li>Low (0.5) – Contracts are linked to/ reflect national legislation regarding LE.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>High (1.5) – a) There is evidence and data to support the outcomes reported by the companies; and b) there are press releases/articles about the companies' LE practices and their achieved outcomes that substantiate the achievement of LC outcomes.</li> <li>Medium (1) – a) There is some evidence to support outcomes reported by the companies; b) there are press releases/articles about the companies' LE practices and their achieved outcomes that substantiate the achievement of LC outcomes.</li> <li>Low (0.5) – There is little evidence supporting LC outcomes.</li> </ul>
SKILLS DEVELOPMENT (SD)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>High (1.5) – SD investment per employee is \$1,500 US dollars or higher.</li> <li>Medium (1) – SD investment per employee is between \$500 and \$1,500 US dollars.</li> <li>Low (0.5) – SD investment per employee is \$500 US dollars or less.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>High (1.5) – Contracts a) are linked to/reflect national legislation regarding SD; and b) includes mechanisms to measure SD; and c) include mechanisms to monitor and implement SD.</li> <li>Medium (1) – Contracts a) are linked to/ reflect national legislation regarding SD and b) includes mechanisms to measure SD; or c) include mechanisms to monitor and implement SD.</li> <li>Low (0.5) – Contracts are linked to/reflect national legislation regarding SD.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>High (1.5) – a) There is evidence and data to support outcomes reported by the companies; and b) there are press releases/articles about the companies' SD practices and their achieved outcomes that substantiate the achievement of LC outcomes.</li> <li>Medium (1) – a) There is some evidence to support outcomes reported by the companies; b) there are press releases/articles about the companies' SD practices and their achieved outcomes that substantiate the achievement of LC outcomes.</li> <li>Low (0.5) – There is little evidence supporting LC outcomes.</li> </ul>
NATIONAL INDUSTRY PARTICIPATION (NIP)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>High (1.5) – Contract values awarded to local or national providers represent more than 90%.</li> <li>Medium (1) – Contract values awarded to local or national providers are between 80 and 90%.</li> <li>Low (0.5) – Contract values awarded to local or national providers are less than 80%.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>High (1.5) – Contracts a) are linked to reflect national legislation regarding NIP; and b) include mechanisms to measure NIP; and c) include mechanisms to monitor and implement NIP.</li> <li>Medium (1) – Contracts a) are linked to/reflect national legislation regarding NIP and b) include mechanisms to measure NIP; or c) include mechanisms to monitor and implement NIP.</li> <li>Low (0.5) – Contracts are linked to/reflect national legislation regarding NIP.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>High (1.5) – a) There is evidence and data to support outcomes reported by the companies; and b) there are press releases/articles about the companies' NIP practices and their achieved outcomes that substantiate the achievement of LC outcomes.</li> <li>Medium (1) – a) There is some evidence to support outcomes reported by the companies; b) there are press releases/articles about the companies' NIP practices and their achieved outcomes that substantiate the achievement of LC outcomes.</li> <li>Low (0.5) – There is little evidence supporting LC outcomes.</li> </ul>

Figure 1: Local

STRATEGY	SPECIFICITY		
	LC PRESENCE IN OIL AND GAS FRAMEWORKS	MEASUREMENT	MONITORING & IMPLEMENTATION MECHANISMS
LOCAL EMPLOYMENT (LE)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>High (1.5) – There are LE provisions within specific LC laws and other oil and gas laws (i.e. hydrocarbons law).</li> <li>Medium (1) – There are provisions within oil and gas legislation. There are no specific local content laws.</li> <li>Low (0.5) – Local content provisions are only included in general laws (i.e. labour law).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>High (1.5) – Entails a) LE quotas in % or other measuring mechanisms; and b) minimum requirements for the hiring of local employees in different positions, hierarchies or skills levels</li> <li>Medium (1) – Entails a) LE quotas in % or other measuring mechanisms; or b) minimum requirements for the hiring of local employees in different positions, hierarchies or skills levels</li> <li>Low (0.5) – LE provisions are declaratory; they do not include measuring mechanisms or minimum requirements for the hiring of local employees in different positions, hierarchies or skills levels</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>High (1.5) – There are a) entities that ensure the adoption/monitoring of LE regulations; and b) fines and/or incentives for the implementation of LE regulations</li> <li>Medium (1) – There are a) entities that ensure the adoption of LE regulations; and b) fines and/or incentives for the implementation of LE regulations</li> <li>Low (0.5) – Provisions do not include monitoring/implementation mechanisms</li> </ul>
SKILLS DEVELOPMENT (SD)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>High (1.5) – There are SD provisions within specific LC laws and other oil and gas laws (i.e. hydrocarbons law).</li> <li>Medium (1) – There are provisions within oil and gas legislation. There are no specific LC laws.</li> <li>Low (0.5) – LC provisions are only included in general laws (i.e. labour law)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>High (1.5) – There are mechanisms to measure a) % of SD investment; and b) technology transfer</li> <li>Medium (1) – There are mechanisms to measure a) % of skills development investment; and b) technology transfer</li> <li>Low (0.5) – SD provisions are broad and declaratory; they do not include measuring mechanisms or minimum requirements for skills development or technology transfer</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>High (1.5) – There are a) entities that ensure the adoption/monitoring of SD regulations; and b) requirements for training programmes or agreements with universities/ other SD institutions</li> <li>Medium (1) – There are a) entities that ensure the adoption/implementation of SD regulations; and b) requirements for training programmes or agreements with universities/ other SD institutions</li> <li>Low (0.5) – Provisions do not include monitoring/implementation mechanisms</li> </ul>
NATIONAL INDUSTRY PARTICIPATION (NIP)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>High (1.5) – There are NIP provisions within specific LC laws and other oil and gas laws (i.e. hydrocarbons law).</li> <li>Medium (1) – There are provisions within oil and gas legislation. There are no specific LC laws.</li> <li>Low (0.5) – LC provisions are only included in general laws (i.e. labour law)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>High (1.5) – There are a) mechanisms to measure NIP; and b) minimum % of NIP requirements in the oil and gas sector</li> <li>Medium (1) – There are a) mechanisms to measure NIP; or b) minimum % of NIP in the oil and gas sector</li> <li>Low (0.5) – NIP provisions are declaratory; they do not include measuring mechanisms or minimum requirements for NIP</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>High (1.5) – There are a) entities that ensure the adoption/monitoring of NIP; and b) NIP criteria included in bidding processes in the oil and gas sector</li> <li>Medium (1) – There are a) entities that ensure the adoption/implementation of NIP; and b) NIP criteria included in bidding processes in the oil and gas sector</li> <li>Low (0.5) – Provisions do not include monitoring/implementation mechanisms</li> </ul>

1

Figure 2: Figure 1 :

Figure 3:

1

Region	Country	LC Outcome Score (average)
	BRAZIL	1.10
	MEXICO	1.05
	COLOMBIA	1.00
Latin America	ECUADOR	0.72
	BOLIVIA	0.50
	VENEZUELA	0.44
	ARGENTINA	1
	ANGOLA	—
	NIGERIA	1.08
	CHAD	1.08
Africa	GHANA	2
	GUINEA	—
	TANZANIA	0.67
	UGANDA	0.50
		0.50

Figure 4: Table 1 :

2

Region	Country	LC Frameworks Scores
	BRA	0.94
	MEX	0.89
	COL	0.78
Latin America	ECU	0.78
	BOL	0.61
	VEN	0.61
	ARG 3	0.44
	ANG	1.16
	NIG	0.89
	CHA	0.67
Africa	GHA	0.89
	GUI	0.67
	TAN	0.50
	UGA	0.50

Figure 5: Table 2 :

---

### 3

Region	Country LC Frameworks Scores	LC Outcomes Score
Latin America	BRA	0.94
	MEX	0.89
	COL	0.78
Africa	ECU	0.78
	BOL	0.61
	VEN	0.61
	ARG	0.44
	ANG	1.16
	NIG	0.89
	CHA	0.67
	GHA	0.89
Africa	GUI	0.67
	TAN	0.50
	UGA	0.50

Figure 6: Table 3 :

Analysed	Stage 1			Stage 2		
	Aspects of Comparison			Aspects of Comparison		
Countries				Countries		
14 countries				4 countries		
?	7	Local content outcomes		?	2	Factors that lead to positive local content outcomes
Africa				Africa		
?	7		?	2		
Latin Amer- ica				Latin Amer- ica		
						Year 2017
						51
						Volume XVII Issue III Version I
						E )
						(
						Global Journal of Human Social Science -

Figure 7:

4

information gathered from each country on the existence of local content requirements for employment, national industry participation, training and technology transfer; monitoring

government programmes to support oil and gas companies in their local content-related activities; and NOCs participation in local content strategies and programmes for all 14 countries.

below presents the

and implementation mechanisms;

Figure 8: Table 4

4

Employment Requir.	NIP Requir.	Training Requir.	Tech. Transfer Requir.	Monitoring and Implementation Mechanisms	Government supports oil & companies gas	NOCs participation
Brazil						

Figure 9: Table 4 :

Year 2017  
55  
Volume XVII Issue III Version I  
E )  
(  
Global Journal of Human Social Science -

Figure 10:

Year 2017  
56  
Volume XVII Issue III Version I  
E )  
(  
Global Journal of Human Social Science -

Figure 11:

### 445 .1 Annexes Annex 1: Scoring Matrix -Local Content Outcomes

446 [Kazzazi and Nouri ()] *A Conceptual Model for Local Content Development in the Petroleum Industry Manage-*  
447 *ment Science Letters*, A Kazzazi , B Nouri . 2012. p. .

448 [Bibangambah ()] *Africa's Quest for Economic development; Uganda's Experience*, J R Bibangambah . 2001.  
449 Kampala: Fountain Publishers.

450 [Heum et al. ()] 'Enhancement of Local Content in the Upstream Oil and Gas Industry in Nigeria'. P Heum ,  
451 Quale , M Karlsen , G Kragha , Osahon . No. 25/30. *Institute for Research in Economics and Business*  
452 *Administration* 2003. (Sfn Report)

453 [Estrategia De Petroleos Mexicanos Para El Desarrollo De Proveedores, Contratistas Y Contenido Nacional (Pemex Strategy for t  
454 'Estrategia De Petroleos Mexicanos Para El Desarrollo De Proveedores, Contratistas Y Contenido Nacional  
455 (Pemex Strategy for the Development of Local Contractors and National Content)'. *PEMEX online*  
456 *publication*, 2013.

457 [Klueh et al. ()] *Inter-Sectoral Linkages and Local Content in Extractive Industries and Beyond-the Case of Sao*  
458 *Tome and Principe*, U Klueh , Pastor , W Segura , Zarate . 2007. Washington D.C: International Monetary  
459 Fund.

460 [Ovadia ()] *Local Content and Natural Resource Governance: The Case of Angola and Nigeria the Extractive*  
461 *Industries and Society*, J Ovadia . 2014. p. .

462 [Morales et al. ()] 'Local Content Frameworks in Latin American Oil and Gas Sectors: Lessons from Ecuador  
463 and Colombia" ELLA Programme'. M Morales , S Herrera , Jarrin . *Practical Action Latin Ameria, Lima*  
464 *and Grupo Faro* 2016.

465 [Mushemeza and Okiira ()] 'Local Content Frameworks in the African Oil and Gas Sector: Lessons from Angola  
466 and Chad" ELLA programme'. E Mushemeza , Okiira . *ACODE* 2016.

467 [Tordo and Anouti ()] *Local Content in the Oil and Gas Sector: Case Studies*, S Tordo , Y Anouti . 2013.  
468 Washington D.C: The World Bank.

469 [Ado ()] 'Local Content Policy and the W to Rules of Trade-Related Investment Measures (Trims): The Pros  
470 and Cons'. R Ado . *International Journal of Business and Management Studies* 2013. p. .

471 [Aoun and Mathieu ()] 'Local Content Strategies in the Oil and Gas Sector: How to Maximise Benefits to Host  
472 Communities'. M Aoun , C , C Mathieu . *French Institute for International Relations*, (Paris) 2015.

473 [Auty ()] 'Mining Enclave to Economic Catalyst: Large Mineral Projects in Developing Countries'. R Auby .  
474 *Brown Journal of Worlds Affairs* 2006. p. .

475 [Tordo ()] *National Oil Companies and Value Creation*, S Tordo . 2011. Washington D.C. (World Bank)

476 [Lwanda ()] 'Oiling Economic Growth and Development: Sonangol and the Governance of Oil Revenues in  
477 Angola'. G Lwanda . *Development Bank Southern Africa* 2011.

478 [Morris et al. ()] 'One Thing Leads to Another-Commodities, Linkages and Industrial Development: A Conceptual  
479 Overview Making the Most of Commodities Programme'. M Morris , D Kaplinsky , Kaplan . *Policy*  
480 *Research in International Services and Manufacturing (PRISM), Economics and CSSR*, 2011. University of  
481 Cape Town and Open University

482 [Heum et al. ()] 'Policy and Regulatory Frameworks to Enhance Local Content'. P Heum , Kasande , A Ekern ,  
483 Nyombi . *Institute for Research in Economics and Business Administration*, (Bergen) 2011.

484 [Petrobras ()] 'Sustainability Report'. Petrobras . <http://www.petrobras.com.br/en/society-and-environment/sustainability-report> online Publication, 2015. 2015.

486 [Nwokeji ()] *The Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation and the Development of the Nigerian Oil and Gas*  
487 *Industry: History, Strategies and Current Directions*, U Nwokeji . 2007. Houston, Texas. III Institute for  
488 Public Policy, Rice University

489 [Nordas et al. ()] 'The Upstream Petroleum Industry and Local Industrial Development: A Comparative Study'.  
490 H Nordas , P Vatne , Heum . *Institute for Research in Economics and Business Administration* 2003.

491 [Easo and Wallace ()] 'Understanding Local Content Policies in Africa's Petroleum Sector'. J Easo , Wallace .  
492 *Andrews Kurth* 2014.