

Tobacco Abuse in Adolescents: The Role of Psychosocial Factors

Dr. Anita Sharma¹

¹ Himachal Pradesh University, Shimla, India.

Received: 18 September 2011 Accepted: 13 October 2011 Published: 28 October 2011

Abstract

The present investigation was done to examine the role of anxiety, stress, family conflict and family control in the determination of adolescents smoking. For accomplishing these objectives, a sample of 240 students studying in different schools from two districts of Himachal Pradesh (Shimla and Solan) was taken. The age range of the sample was 14-19 years. 2x2x2 factorial design was used to study the significance of difference between groups (smokers and nonsmokers), schools (public and private) and gender (males and females) on anxiety, stress, family conflict and family control. Results revealed that smokers irrespective of gender and type of school were significantly higher on anxiety, stress, family conflict and family control. Further, on family control the interaction effects of group or school and gender or school have been found to be significant

Index terms— Smoking, Anxiety, Stress, Family Conflict, Family Control, School and Gender

1 INTRODUCTION

The adolescent years are among the most stressful times in a person's life. It is a period of "growing up". There are many risk factors for initiating smoking by adolescents such as personal factors (e.g. age, sex, personality constructs, values, self esteem, self image, stress, anxiety, depression, boredom etc.), social factors (family, school, peer, media influence etc.) and belief about smoking (positive attitudes and perceived positive benefits and norms about smoking etc.).

The popular belief is that it is stress which is related to daily hassles and family life patterns that makes an individual to follow drug addiction to overcome these stresses. Youngsters suffering the insecurities of adolescence may find the image they would like to convey (Aloise Young et al., 1996), consistent with this point, teenagers whose ideal self image is close to that of a typical smoker are most likely to smoke (Barton et al., 1982). Low self esteem, dependency, powerlessness and social isolation all increase the tendency to imitate other behaviour (Bandura, 1977). Social influences to smoke appear to be among the most critical factors in smoking acquisition.

The smoking habit is strengthened by such positive reinforcers as pleasure from the smell of tobacco smoke, feelings of relaxation and satisfaction of physical and psychological needs. Irfat Khan and Srivastava (2008) found that smokers were significantly high on the level of stress and anxiety both, the strong association between smoking, stress and anxiety. Whereas, close relationships between parent-child being in a healthy relationship protect their children from the smoking habits. Anxiety has been implicated in smoking initiation, maintenance and relapse (Morissette et al., 2007). The interaction between personality of the individual and his environment make him prone to various kinds of addictive products. Family environment serves as a precipitating and one of the most potent factors of antisocial activities. Defective discipline and lack of moral atmosphere at home are considered responsible for antisocial behaviour, crimes and delinquency among the students. ??ten et al. (in press), found that smoking-specific parenting practices, assessed by parental reactions to smoking, house rules on smoking and communication about smoking, were indeed predictive of adolescents' smoking related cognitions. Content specific parental monitoring efforts are commonly considered key factors in explaining and deterring adolescent smoking behaviour and include parent-child communication about substance use and substance specific rules (Juon et al., 2002;.

6 C) FAMILY ENVIRONMENT SCALE (FORM-R)(MOOS AND MOOS, 1986)

Adolescence is often a difficult period for youth in Indian society because parents do not tend to realize that their children are growing up and their behaviour towards adolescents needs to reform. Where parents are autocratic possessive, very much controlling and interfering, adolescents perceive it negatively and show aggressive and risk taking behaviour like smoking, drug addiction etc. authoritative parenting has been found to have favorable effects on adolescent smoking (Pierce et al.,2002;Simons Morton, 2002; ??'Bryne et al.,2002;.

Adolescents who reported low levels of parental support, affection, monitoring and more family control and conflict, they are prematurely impelled to go to the bad companies. A family with poor and unhealthy environment creates stress and anxiety among its members. Levels of stress i.e. daily life hassles and life events regarding family matters are significantly more in drug users as compared to normal's ??Chasson et al., 2003).

Close relationships, healthy open communication and perceived parental support are especially important during adolescence, as they experience many physical and emotional changes and upheavals. Adolescents who have positive relationships with their parents are more likely to report high levels of perceived well-being. Adolescents who report difficult talking with their parents are more likely to smoke cigarette to allay their anxiety and stress.

On the basis of the above studies, the present investigation aims to examine the level of anxiety, stress, family conflict and family control among adolescent's smoker and nonsmokers.

2 II. METHOD

A factorial design of the order of 2X2x2 was used to compare the scores on stress, anxiety, family conflict and family control for group (smokers and nonsmokers), schools (public and private) and gender.

3 III.

4 SAMPLE

A sample of 240 students (males and females) of school level was drawn randomly from Shimla and Solan district of Himachal Pradesh. The subjects were taken of the age group of 14 to 19 years.

5 IV.

TOOLS a) State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) (Spielberger, Sharma and Singh 1973) The Hindi version of the STAI was used in this study, in order to measure the trait anxiety of the subjects. The STAI provides internally consistent, reliable and valid scores for measuring both state and trait anxiety. In the present study, only the A-Trait scale of the STAI was used. It consists of 20 statements. Scoring was done with the help of scoring key. The test re-test reliability of the Hindi STAI is quite stable which range from .77 to .83 over the 30 to 90 days period. b) ICMR Psychosocial Stress Questionnaire ??Srivastava , 1991-92) The stress questionnaire is designed by "Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR)" New Delhi to assess the extent of basic components of psychological stress. Teenagers usually face with some specific stress situations. In view of these fact additional short measures of stress consisting of 7-items, for educated teenagers, was prepared. In order to indicate the frequency of amount of stress experienced by the respondent each item in two measures of psychosocial stress was to be rated on 4 point scale, i.e. not at all/ little/ mild or sometimes/ moderate or many times/ severe or often (corresponding numerical scores from 0 to 3 respectively). Next, translated and standardized Hindi version of Srivastava's Special Stress Scale for teenagers (students) Jyoti Sharma and Anita Sharma, 2010 was administered. The test-retest reliability of Srivastava's Special Stress for teenagers and its translated version was found to be .88 which is significant at .01 levels.

6 c) Family Environment Scale (Form-R)(Moos and Moos, 1986)

Family environment scale was used in the present study. The scale consists of 90 true-false items related to family behavior consisting of 3 main dimensions viz. relationship, personal growth and system maintenance dimension. a.) the relationship dimension (RD) is assessed by three subscales: cohesion, expressiveness and conflict. b.) the personal growth (PGD) is assessed by five subscales viz. independence, achievement orientation, intellectual cultural orientation, active-recreational orientation and moral religious emphasis. c.) the system maintenance dimension (SMD) is assessed by two subscales viz. organization and control.

In the present study, only two sub dimensions viz. family conflict and family control have been used. Raw scores were obtained by placing the scoring stencil on the protocols as per direction in the manual. The validity and reliability of the scale is above .85 as per the manual. d) Smoking Core Questionnaire (Sharma and Sharma , 2010)

A self report questionnaire with 20 items was developed to identify the smokers.

In this questionnaire, questions asked about subject's use of tobacco, knowledge and attitude towards tobacco and towards stopping smoking.

V.

7 RESULTS

In the first place, means were calculated for the factor of anxiety, stress, family conflict and family control and then ANOVAs were computed for the same. The details of the values obtained are shown in Table - The interaction between schools and gender has also turned out to be significant at .01 level $F(1,198) = 17.198^{**}$ at $p < .01$) which shows that the public school females score significantly higher on family control as compared to public school males, whereas, private school males score significantly higher on family control as compared to private school females.

VII.

8 DISCUSSION

Tobacco is one of the most addictive substances we know however, is fully legal for use by adults and readily available for use by adolescents. Tobacco Abuse in Adolescents: The Role of Psychosocial Factors school, the number of smokers is about 31 percent and 10 percent of the girls are addicted to tobacco usage.

Today adolescents are exposed to a variety of stresses (i.e. attending classes, examination, fear about results, misconception about teachers and parents, fear of self image, fear and concern about future, fear about isolation and rejection etc.). Adolescents who see cigarette a way to handle negative feelings are more likely to ignore the long term health consequences of smoking. The smokers feel relaxed when smoking and tense without nicotine, thus their tobacco and cigarettes are seen as helping them cope with the stresses and strain of everyday life (Warburton, 1992). Overtime smoking also becomes a crutch for many used to handle stress, anxiety, boredom and tension etc. (Lloyd and Taylor, 2006). According to Mental Health Foundation (2007), cigarette smoking is linked with a wide range of psychiatric diagnoses including anxiety, agoraphobia and panic disorder but especially with depression.

Smoking behaviors are negatively reinforced when they are followed immediately by removal of or lessening of an unpleasant condition. According to smokers, smoking is sometimes seen as a device for controlling unpleasant effects. After smokers become addicted, they must continue to smoke to avoid the aversive effects of withdrawal that is, when addicted smokers begin to feel tense, anxious or depressed after not smoking for some period of time, they can remove these unpleasant symptoms by smoking another cigarette (Steven et al., 2005). Kuyemi and colleagues (2006), demonstrated that smokers may have greater sensitivity to anxiety eliciting stimuli than nonsmokers.

On the other side, family is a strong unit and plays vital role in shaping attitudes behavior of its member particularly that of children and adolescents. Adolescents who reported low level of parental support and affection, more family conflict and family control were more likely to engage in high delinquent behavior (Kosten, Novak and Kleber, 1984). Stable family relationships and parental guidance are extremely important molding influences for children and this stability is lacking in families of dependent youth. The tension that exists in many "intact" families of smokers results from hostility, argument and primarily the factors of family environment viz. less cohesion, less independence and more conflict. This type of tensionfilled family environment is obviously not conducive for making the youngsters feel secure and contented (Verma, 2006). The interaction effect between groups and schools has also yielded a significant F-ratio at $p < .01$ level on the variable of family control. The results could best be depicted through the two ways interaction between groups and schools can be conformed through the curves (fig. 1). The curve reveals that public school smokers score significantly higher on family control as compared to private school smokers. Whereas, public school nonsmokers and private school nonsmokers are more or less perceive the same control. The interaction effect between schools and gender has been yielded a significant F-ratio at $p < .01$ on the variable of family control. The results could best be depicted through the two ways interaction between schools and gender which have been found to be significant and conformed through the curves (fig. ??). The curve reveals that public school females score higher on family control as compared to public school males, whereas, private school males score significantly higher on family compared to private school females.

Poor family environment triggers stress and anxiety which further results into delinquent behaviour among adolescents. The results clearly reveal that individual personality or emotional upset like stress and anxiety and the importance of family environment in one's life in the onset of drug addiction. Strict control in females leads to not acquiring the habit of smoking. Hampel and Petermann, (2005); Seiffgekenke and Beyers, (2005), highlighted that girls experience more stress and anxiety than boys with regard to future related problems. Maladjustment among students has been found one of the major factors responsible for causing delinquency and crime (Kour, 2008). Female become the chief targets of family conflict and control because of their weaker sex and undefined and multiple role (Sharma et al., 2008). Female belonged to more controlled environment, where parents keep a check on their children, guided them, supporting, giving love and care and affection to follow adaptive behaviour as compared to males (Chassin et al., 1991; Otlil and Avison, 1993). Ameerjan (1994), reported that girls perceive their parents in a more positive way as they are socialized to be more nurturing, obedient and responsible as compared to boys. In cases where parents are more interfering, controlling, enforcing rules, exercising hostile control, their adolescent children are found to be more frustrated than where such a parental behaviour is absent. Drug addict's families have been found to be poorly cohesive, full of conflict with excessive control leading to stress and anxiety.

8 DISCUSSION

160 In a nutshell, the results of the present study show that family conflict, family control, stress and anxiety
161 contribute significantly to the development of drug addiction and delinquency.
VIII.



Figure 1:

162

ANOVA		Sum of Squares		Mean Square		F		Sig.		Partial Eta Squared	
Groups	1	46.49	46.49	46.49	46.49	11.17	4.94	.004	.004	.004	.004
Schools	1	4.41	4.41	4.41	4.41	1.17	.49	.33	.33	.33	.33
Gender	1	9.33	9.33	9.33	9.33	2.38	1.17	.13	.13	.13	.13
Groups x Schools	1	3.85	3.85	3.85	3.85	1.00	.43	.38	.38	.38	.38
Groups x Gender	1	4.34	4.34	4.34	4.34	1.17	.49	.33	.33	.33	.33
Schools x Gender	1	527.76	527.76	527.76	527.76	134.41	615.39	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001
Groups x Schools x Gender	1	615.39	615.39	615.39	615.39	159.41	615.39	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001
Error	12	4.41	4.41	4.41	4.41	1.17	.49	.33	.33	.33	.33
Total	14	527.76	527.76	527.76	527.76	134.41	615.39	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001
Corrected Total	13	615.39	615.39	615.39	615.39	159.41	615.39	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001

has also turned out to be significant at .01 level

Figure 2: Table - 2

-

	Private	Public
Smokers	4.78	5.77
Non-smokers	4.35	4.34

Figure-1 : Interaction Effect of Group, Gender on the variable of Family Control

Figure 3: Table - 3

-

Figure-2 : Interaction Effect of Gender and Schools for the variable of family control

	Males	Females
Public	4.69	5.42
Private	4.97	4.16

Figure 4: Table - 4

.1 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank the principals of different schools to support the investigation that has resulted in the preparation of the manuscript.

- [Pierce et al. ()] , J P Pierce , J M Distenfan , C Jackson , M M White , E A Gilpin . *American Journal of Preventive Medicine* 2002. 23 p. .
- [Juon et al. ()] ‘A longitudinal study of developmental trajectories to young adult cigarette smoking’. H S Juon , M E Ensminger , K D Sydnor . *Drug Alcohol Dependence* 2002. 66 p. .
- [Kour ()] *A study of socio-economic status, adjustment and academic achievement of disciplined and undisciplined college students*, G Kour . 2008. Salem, Tamil Nadu, India. (Unpublished Phd. Thesis Perihar University)
- [Khan and Srivastava ()] ‘A study of stress and anxiety among youth smokers and nonsmokers’. I A Khan , S K Srivastava . *Journal of Indian Health Psychology* 2008. 3 (1) p. .
- [Stevens et al. ()] ‘An exploration of self reported negative affect by adolescence as a reason for smoking Implications for tobacco prevention and interventions programs’. S L Stevens , B Colwell , D W Smith , J Robinson , C Mcmillan . *Preventive Medicine* 2005. 41 p. .
- [Morissette et al. ()] ‘Anxiety, anxiety disorders, tobacco use and nicotine: A critical review of interrelationships’. S B Morissette , M T Tull , S B Gulliver , B W Kamholz , R T Zimering . *Psychological Bulletin* 2007. 133 (2) p. .
- [Huver et al. ()] ‘Bi directional relations between antismoking parenting practices and adolescent smoking in a Dutch sample’. R M W Huver , R C M E Engels , A A Vermulst , H Devries . *Health Psychology* 2007.
- [Chesson et al. ()] ‘Changes in alcohol consumption and in sexually transmitted disease incidence rates in the United States’. H W Chesson , P Harrison , R Stall . *Journal of Studies on Alcohol* 2003. 64 p. .
- [Gotlib and Avison ()] ‘Children at risk for psychopathology’. I H Gotlib , W Avison . *Basic Issues in Psychopathology*, C Costello (ed.) (New York) 1993. Guilford. p. .
- [Spielberger et al. ()] ‘Development of the Hindi edition of the State Trait Anxiety Inventory’. C D Spielberger , S Sharma , M Singh . *Indian Journal of Psychology* 1973. 48 (3) p. .
- [Okuyemi et al. ()] ‘Enhanced cue-elicited brain activation in African American compared with Caucasian smokers: an f MRI study’. K S Okuyemi , J P Powell , C R Savage . *Addiction Biology* 2006. 11 (1) p. .
- [Verma ()] *Level of stress and anxiety among drug addicts and sexually transmitted disease patients and normal’s. M. Phil Dissertation*, S Verma . 2006. Shimla. Himachal Pradesh University (India)
- [Lloyd and Taylor ()] ‘Lifetime cumulative adversity, mental health and the risk of becoming a smokers’. D A Lloyd , J Taylor . *Health* 2006. 10 p. .
- [Srivastava ()] ‘Manual for ICMR Psychological Stress Questionnaire: The Fourth Advisory Committee on Mental Health’. A K Srivastava . *Indian Council of Medical Research* 1991-1992.
- [Moss and Moos ()] R Moss , B Moos . *Family Environment Scale Manual*, (Palo Alto, CA) 1986. Consulting Psychologist Press. (2nd Ed)
- [Otten et al.] R Otten , Z Harakeh , A A Vermulst , R J Vanden Eijnden , R C M Engels . *press. Frequency and quality of parental communication as antecedents of adolescent smoking cognitions and smoking onset*,
- [O’byrne et al. ()] ‘Parenting style and adolescent smoking’. K K O’byrne , C K Haddock , W S C Poston . *Journal of Adolescents Health* 2002. 30 p. .
- [Huver et al. ()] ‘Parenting style and adolescent smoking cognitions and behavior’. R M E Huver , R C M E Engels , G Van Breu Kelen , H De Vries . *Psychology Health* 2007. p. .
- [Kosten et al. ()] ‘Perceived marital and family environment of opiate addicts’. T R Kosten , P Novak , H D Kleber . *American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse* 1984. 10 p. .
- [Ameerjan ()] ‘Perceived parental behaviour of boys and girls’. M S Ameerjan . *Journal of Psychological Research* 1994. 38 (1-2) p. .
- [Simons Morton ()] ‘Prospective analysis of peer and parent influences on smoking initiation among early adolescents’. B G Simons Morton . *Preventive Science* 2002. 3 p. .
- [Sharma and Sharma ()] *Psychosocial determinants of tobacco abuse in adolescents*, A Sharma , J Sharma . 2010.
- [Hennigan and Graham ()] ‘Role of the self-image and smoker stereotype in smoking onset during early adolescence. A longitudinal study’. Aloise-Young , P A Hennigan , K M Graham , JW . *Health Psychology* 1996. 15 p. .
- [Bandura ()] ‘Self-efficacy: Toward a unifying theory of behavioral change’. A Bandura . *Psychological Review* 1977. 83 p. .

8 DISCUSSION

- 217 [Smoking and mental health Mental Health Foundation ()] ‘Smoking and mental health’. *Mental Health Foun-*
218 *dation* 2007. (Mental Health)
- 219 [Warburton ()] ‘Smoking with reason’. D M Warburton . *Journal of Smoking, Related Disorders* 1992. 3 p. .
- 220 [Barton et al. ()] ‘Social image factors as motivators of smoking initiation in early and middle adolescence’. J
221 Barton , L Chassin , C C Presson , S J Sherman . *Child Development* 1982. 53 p. .
- 222 [Chassin et al. ()] ‘Substance use and symptomatology among adolescent children of alcoholics’. L Chassin , F
223 Rogosch , A M Hussong . *Journal of Abnormal Psychology* 1991. 100 p. .