# Global Journals La Journal Kaleidoscope<sup>TM</sup>

Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.

- Comparative Study on Job Satisfaction among Health Workers
  in Public and Private Sector Hospitals at South-West Shoa Zone,
  Oromia Regional State, Ethiopia
  - Nimona Shaka Gudeta<sup>1</sup>
- <sup>1</sup> Ambo University
- Received: 13 December 2016 Accepted: 3 January 2017 Published: 15 January 2017

#### Abstract

Job satisfaction among health workers become high on the agenda as it has been the driving force on quality of work, productivity, patients? satisfaction and organizational performance. Employees? job satisfaction is sector dependent and inconsistent findings were reported in 11 public and private sectors hospitals. Thus, the objective of this study was to examine the level 12 of job satisfaction among health workers of private and public sector hospitals in South-West Shoa Zone, Oromia Regional State. Comparative cross-sectional survey was conducted on 220 14 healthcare workers who were selected based on stratified sampling method. The stratification 15 is based on fields of profession. Spector?s self-administered Job Satisfaction Scale was used to 16 collect data on job satisfaction. Descriptive statistics such as mean and standard deviation; 17 and inferential statistics including independent sample t-test, one way ANOVA followed by 18 Bonferroni post-hoc analysis were used. Pearson Correlation was also computed to determine 19 the association between overall job satisfactions with facets of job satisfaction. The result of 20 this study indicated that the mean score of overall job satisfaction in private sector hospital 21 (M = 3.29, SD = .56) was found to be higher than those in public sector hospital (M = 2.94, SD = .56)22 SD = .41). The Independent sample t-test also revealed statistically significant difference on 23 the level of overall job satisfaction between health workers at private and public sector 24 hospital, t (219) = 5.292, p = .000. Regarding profession, the mean score of overall job 25 satisfaction of Physicians was found to be 3.35 with SD of .54; Health officers (M= 3.48, SD= 26 .63); Nurses (M=2.94, SD=.41); Midwifes (M=3.07, SD=.36); Lab technicians (M=2.70, 27 SD=.09); Anesthesia expert (M=3.02, SD=.53); and others (M=3.02, SD=.48). ANOVA 28 further revealed the statistically significant differences on job satisfaction across fields of 29 profession F (6, 214) = 7.430, p = .001. Moreover, the post-hoc

*Index terms*— job satisfaction, health workers, public and private sector hospitals.

### 1 Introduction

31

32

33

thiopia has made commendable progress in scaling up the health status of its population in the last one and half decades. However, the health services still need some improvement, and the shortage of healthcare workers is still well documented (1). Different factors could be responsible for the shortage of health work force in Ethiopia. One factor could be lack of job satisfaction. With this respect, the findings from the Second Wave of a Cohort Study of Young Doctors and Nurses of Ethiopia indicated job dissatisfaction is one of the factors that lead to international migration of health workers (2).

Job satisfaction is an essential part of ensuring quality care, as dissatisfied healthcare providers are likely to give poor quality and less efficient care (3); its absence often leads to exhaustion and reduced organizational commitment (4); is also considered a strong predictor of overall individual well-being (5); and a good predictor of intentions or decisions of employees to leave a job (6).

As many research findings indicated, employees' job satisfaction is sector dependent and inconsistent findings were reported in public and private sectors hospitals. With this regard, the finding obtained from a comparative study in Punjab showed that employees in private sector feel that their jobs are more comfortable and satisfaction level is quite high as compared to employees working in the public hospitals (7). Similarly, a research done in Turkish Health care staffs have found out that the job satisfaction level in private hospitals were higher compared to public hospitals (8). On the other hand, a study have revealed that the government healthcare workers were significantly more satisfied with their job than private counterparts (9). Still there is also a study that indicates no statistically significant difference on job satisfaction between government and private sector employees (10).

However, after a review of the literature specific to health workers' job satisfaction, the researchers realized that most of the studies were done in developed countries while little research outputs found in developing countries including Ethiopia. Even if there were some local research on job satisfaction, they failed to address differences of job satisfaction in government and privates sector and among different professionals. Moreover, they emphasize on the overall level of job satisfaction rather than the various facet of the job satisfaction. These are serious gap as they failed to provide adequate information for the two sectors and various professionals and also failed to indicate the extent of the various facets of job satisfaction which ultimately affects the intervention process aimed at enhancing job satisfaction.

This research, therefore, tried to address the above mentioned gaps. Thus, the general objective this research were to find out the overall job satisfaction and the extents of the various facets of job satisfaction among health workers of public and private sector hospitals in South-West Shoa Zone , Oromia Regional State.

### **2** II.

### $_{54}$ 3 Methods and Materials

Comparative cross-sectional survey was carried out from February 8 to March 6, 2015 among 220 health workers at public and private sector hospitals in South-West Shoa Zone, Oromia Regional State. There are only two hospitals in the South-West Shoa zone which: Tullu Bollo public hospital and St. Luke private hospital. The total population of the present study was 271 health workers, of which 187 health workers from St. Luke private hospital and the rest 84 were from Tullu Bollo public hospital. Accordingly, 232 health workers were the participants of the study using Cochran method of sample size determination method. In order to draw the representative sample, proportionate stratified sampling technique was applied. The stratification was based on fields of profession, which was Physician, Health Officer, Midwifery, Nurses, Lab technician, Anesthesia Expert, and Other which includes psychiatrists, Physiotherapy, Pharmacist, Ophthalmologist, X-ray technicians for both sectors, and Lab technicians for public sector.

In order to collect data on job satisfaction, Job Satisfaction Scale (JSS) developed by Spector (1997) was used. The JSS allows the researchers to find out not only whether people are satisfied with their jobs but also, more importantly, which parts of the job facets is related to job satisfaction. Further, previous research has indicated that the JSS has high psychometric properties for example providing assurance that the data would be valid and reliable (11).

The JSS contained 19 negatively and 17 positively worded items in which the respondents rate their level of agreement on 6 point scale ranging from 1 (disagree very much) to 6 (agree very much). A mean item response (after reverse scoring the negativelyworded items) of four or more represents satisfaction, whereas mean responses of three or less represents dissatisfaction. Mean scores between three and four was moderate.

The data collected through JSS were analyzed with SPSS version 20 on the basis of their relevance for answering the research questions intended. Accordingly, descriptive statistical measures such as mean score were used for the sake of explaining the general pattern of job satisfaction. Independent sample T-test and one way ANOVA were also used in this study. Bonferroni Post-hoc test were used to explain what means were exactly significant when the statistically significant difference were obtained. Bonferroni test able to control Type-I error or fluctuation of alpha resulted from difference in sample size between comparative groups.

Ethical clearance and approval to conduct this research was obtained from the Research and Post-Graduate Program Coordinator, College of Education and Behavioral Sciences, Jimma University. After getting permission from the administration, objectives of the study were clearly explained to the participants and oral informed consent was obtained. Confidentiality and anonymity were ensured throughout the execution of the study as participants were not required to disclose personal information on the questionnaire. Participants were informed that their participation was voluntary and that they could withdraw from the study at any time if they wished to do so.

### o<sub>7</sub> 4 III.

### 5 Results

The purpose of this study was to examine job satisfaction among health workers of public and private sector hospitals at South-West Shoa Zone, Oromia Regional State. This chapter presents the results of the study based on the empirical analysis of the data collected from the research participants with respect to basic research questions. In addition, both descriptive and inferences on the data analysis are presented.

# 6 a) Sample characteristics i. Response rate

In order to answer the aforementioned research questions, this comparative cross-sectional study was conducted at South-West Shoa Zone among health workers of public and private sector hospitals. The total population of the study was 271 health workers where 184 and 87 were taken from private and public sector hospital respectively. From this total population, 232 samples (156 health workers from private and 76 health workers from public sector hospitals) were drawn using a standard formula developed by ??urtz (1983). A total of 232 health workers were provided the self-administered questionnaire.

A total of 232 questionnaires were distributed. 157 questionnaires were distributed to the private sector health workers and the other 75 questionnaires were distributed to the health workers in government sector hospital. Among the 232 questionnaires distributed, 220 completed questionnaires were returned, resulting in an overall response rate of 94.83%. Within these 220 questionnaires, 148 questionnaires were from private sector health workers, with a response rate of 94.27%; 72 questionnaires were from public hospital health workers, with a response rate of 96%. The overall response rate and the response rates of the two specific sectors of health workers are very much satisfactory.

# 7 ii. Description of general characteristics of respondents'

The study solicited information from participants based on their sex, age, sector, experience, fields of profession and level of education. In aggregate, the study attracted a total of 220 respondents of which 148 (67%) were from private and 72 (33%) were from public sector hospitals. In terms of sex distribution, female health workers are made up 114 (51.82 %) while male health workers constituted 106 (48.18%). Slightly more than half of the participants 113 (51.36 %) were in the age group of less than 30 years, followed by the age group 30-40 were 75 (34.10 %), and 14.54 % above 40 years of age. The holders of at least first degree accounted for 92 (41.82 %), diploma accounts 91 (41.36%), and about 100 (45.45 %) of the respondents have a work experience of five and less, followed by 6-10 years of service 81 (36.81 %) and above 10 years, respectively.

Regarding profession, it is clear that from both sector most of the respondents in the sample were Nurses 81 (36.81%) mostly due to the large number of nurses in both government and private sector hospitals, 30 (13.63%) were physicians, 39 (17.72%) were Others, which includes psychiatrists, Physiotherapy, Pharmacist, Ophthalmologist, X-ray technicians for both sectors, and Lab technicians for public sector; 27 (12.72%) were midwifes, 21 (9.54%) were health officers, 11 (5%) were lab technicians and anesthesia expert each. One of the main objective of this study was to investigate whether or not there is significant difference on the level of job satisfaction between health workers in public and private sector hospitals. As such, the descriptive statistics and Independent Samples T-Test was computed, and results obtained are summarized in Table 5. According to these results, the mean score of overall job satisfaction in private sector hospital (M=3.29, SD=.56) was found to be higher than those in public sector hospital (M=2.94, SD=.41). In terms of the facets of job satisfaction, analysis result showed that except satisfaction with the nature of work and co-workers relationship the mean score of satisfaction with contingent reward (M=3.62, SD=.68), operating conditions (M=3.20, SD=98), communication (M=3.17, SD=.55), pay (M=3.11, SD=.82), Promotion (M=3.11, SD=1.00), fringe benefits (M=3.16, SD=.72), supervision (M=3.07, SD=.78) was found to be high in private sector hospital health workers.

Also Table 5 indicates that there is a statistically significant difference on the level of overall job satisfaction between health workers at private and public sector hospital (t ( 219 ) = 5.292, p < 0.05). More specifically, Independent sample t-test revealed a statistically significant mean difference across sectors on seven facets of job satisfaction, namely pay (t ( 219 ) = 3.957, p < 0.05), promotion (t ( 219 ) = 6.814, p < 0.05), supervision (t ( 219 ) = 3.985, p < 0.05), fringe benefits (t ( 219 ) = 3.387, p < 0.05), co-workers relationship (t ( 219 ) = -5.235, p < 0.05), contingent reward (t ( 219 ) = 6.891, p < 0 . 05), and operating conditions (t (219 ) = 7.536, p < 0.05). However, no statistically significant difference was found regarding the nature of work (t ( 219 ) = -.628, p >0.05) and communication (t ( 219 ) = 1.577, p >0.05), between private and public sector hospitals' health workers. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by post hoc test was carried out between job designations and overall job satisfaction. The finding from Table 13, indicates that there is statistically significant difference between job satisfaction and the various fields of profession F(6,214)=7.430, p < 0.05.

Since the result was significant, post-hoc analysis by using Bonferroni test was then carried out. The post-hoc test showed significant difference between some fields of professions as shown in Table 14. The findings indicate that among health workers in South-West Shoa Zone Hospitals, the Physicians and Health officers tend to be moderately satisfied than other fields of professions.

The Physicians are significantly more satisfied than all the other job designations except for Health Officers, Lab technicians, and others, which include psychiatrists, Physiotherapy, Pharmacist, Ophthalmologist, X-ray technicians for both sectors, and Lab technicians for public sector. The Health officers are significantly more satisfied than all the other fields of profession except for the Physicians and Lab Technicians. Table 14

# 8 also indicates high level of dissatisfaction between Anesthesia experts and some fields of profession as indicated by the large mean difference between Anesthesia experts and Health

Officers (mean difference -.77429); and Anesthesia experts and Physicians (mean difference -.65379). High level of dissatisfaction between Nurse and some fields of professions was also obtained by large mean difference between Nurses and Health Officers (mean difference -.53304); and Nurses and Physicians (mean difference -.41253).

# 9 e) Association of nine facets of job satisfaction with overall satisfaction

Table 13 shows that there is statistically significant moderate positive relationship between overall job satisfaction and nine facets of job satisfaction (p < .05), which indicates that increases in dimension of satisfaction correspond to increases in the overall job satisfaction. Correlation result (table.12) shows except nature of work (r=.208\*\*) and co-worker relationship (r=.359\*\*), the rest seven dimensions have a strong association with satisfaction related to the job which is ranges from .622 to .733. Results also shows that fringe benefit (.733\*\*) is the most associated dimension with job satisfaction. Where nature of work (.208\*\*) is the least associated dimension with overall job satisfaction among health workers. A significant association also found within some dimensions of the job satisfaction. IV.

### 10 Discussion

This is a comparative cross-sectional study that aimed at comparing job satisfaction between private and public sector hospital health workers in South-West Shoa Zone, Oromia Regional State. This section presents the results of the statistical analysis in relation to the previous research and literature.

The findings of this study show that health workers working in hospitals at South-West Shoa Zone were moderately satisfied with their job. Interestingly, this finding is similar to that of several other studies conducted on job satisfaction among health workers in Kigali University Teaching Hispital (D'amour, 2012), Malaysia (Roslan, et al, 2014), Iran (Ali-Mohammed, 2004), Rwanda (Nkomeje, 2008).

Of the factors that were investigated in this study, satisfactions with 'co-workers', supervision, 'nature of work', 'contingent reward' and 'communication' had the moderate mean satisfaction rate. However, the mean score of satisfaction with operating conditions pay and promotion showed low level of satisfactions.

Dissatisfaction with regard to salaries in this study finding seems to be a common issue that is also evident in a very recent study conducted in West Shoa Zone, Ethiopia (Mengistu & Bali, 2015). Again, this result is partly similar with the result obtained in Bahrain (Jahrami et al., 2011).

With regard to sector differences, the present study indicate that the health workers in private sector feel that their jobs are more comfortable and satisfaction level is quite high as compared to employees working in the public hospitals. In line with these results, consistent findings were obtained. For example, Rana (2014) reported that in Punjab private sector health workers were more satisfied than public sector health workers. Likewise, Pala, et al (2008) also reported that a significant difference in job satisfaction in which private hospitals workers were having higher job satisfaction levels compared to public hospitals. Contrary to this study, Rao & Malik (2012) found out that government healthcare workers are more satisfied with their job as compared to private health workers.

With regard to facets of job satisfaction in the two sectors, the finding of this study divulge that the health workers who were worked in private sector hospital had comparatively better satisfaction than those in public hospital in all dimension of job satisfaction except satisfaction with co-workers. This result partially inconsistent with the result reported by Pillay (2008) which reported private sector health workers were dissatisfied with pay and promotion while health workers from public sector were moderately satisfied with such facets of job satisfaction.

Generally, a statistically significant differences between private and public sector hospital healthcare workers and were obtained for the overall job satisfaction scores and for seven out of the nine dimensions; the only exception was the dimension of nature of work and communication.

Several previous researches suggest that job satisfaction can be influenced by a variety of factors such as personal variables like fields of profession. In line with this, the findings of this study indicated a statistically significant difference on job satisfaction between different fields of profession. Thus, physicians and health officers were significantly more satisfied as compared to other fields of professions. The difference that exists in this study on job satisfaction among fields of profession were similar with the study in Serbia by Aleksandra (2007) which

reported a significant difference on job satisfaction between health workers with varied fields of profession, in which physicians were most satisfied with their job than Nurses.

Similar findings were also reported by Roslan et al (2014) indicates in Malaysia Physicians were found to be significantly more satisfied than other fields of profession. The result of present study also agrees with Alemishet, et al. (2011), have found out that nurses were less satisfied than physicians and other fields of professions. V.

### 11 Conclusion

This study examined the level of job satisfaction among health workers of government and private hospitals at South-West Shoa Zone, Oromia Regional State. The findings of this study can provide the basis for further research so that valuable insight can be taken in identifying factors to focus on in order to improve job satisfaction. However, the present study has some limitations. First, the cross-sectional study design did not allow determination of the causal relationships among variables. Second, even with the high level of participation in this study, there is a possibility that responses of individuals who did not participate may have differed in some manner from those who did in fact participate. Lastly, the conclusions of this study cannot be generalized to all health workers across Ethiopia, as the different environment and circumstances prevailing in other hospitals may impact on job satisfaction. In spite of these limitations, the following conclusions are drawn on the basis of results obtained:

The level of job satisfaction among health workers in hospitals at South-West Shoa Zone was moderate in general. Regarding facets, they were moderately satisfied with contingent reward, co-worker relationship nature of work, and communication in their workplace. However, they are dissatisfied with the rest six facets of job satisfaction, namely pay, promotion, supervision, fringe benefits, and operating condition. If health workers are not satisfied with their job the tendency to turnover would be very high and even if they are in their job they could not deliver quality service.

Comparatively, the findings of current study showed a significant difference in the level of job satisfaction among health workers in government and private sector. Though private sector hospital's health workers were moderately satisfied with their work but overall the government health workers are poorly satisfied with their work. Further, this study was also found a statistically significant difference among fields of Similarly, Jahrami et al. (2011) reported that health workers in psychiatric hospitals in Bahrain were moderately satisfied with their job. Regarding facets, their finding have found out that health workers had better level of job satisfaction with regard to nature of work, supervision, co-workers and communications as compared to other dimensions of job satisfaction such as pay, promotion, fringe benefits, contingent reward and operating conditions. As this study revealed, the most important factors for health workers' job satisfaction were co-worker relationship and nature of work. Health workers in this survey saw that the tasks and duties of helping others were the major sources of satisfaction.

profession on job satisfaction. Thus, Physicians and Health Officers are more satisfied than Midwifes, Nurses, Anesthesia expert, Lab technicians and others (psychiatrists, Physiotherapy, Pharmacist, Ophthalmologist, X-ray technicians for both sectors, and Lab technicians for public sector).

### 12 VI.

### 13 Recommendations

The purpose of this section is to lay emphasis on several recommendations drawn from the present study. The aim of these recommendations is to discover new ideas to enhance job satisfaction there by describing factors associated to job satisfaction among healthcare employees at South-West Shoa Zone. Thus, the federal government and administrating bodies of private sector should improve such factors on the way satisfies health workers. Moreover, Since health workers in private and public sector hospitals at South-West Shoa Zone were dissatisfied with their pay, promotion, supervision, fringe benefits, and operating procedures, the government of Ethiopia specifically Ministry of Health and the administrative bodies of the private sector hospital should understand the importance of implementing appropriate salaries, supervision, fringe benefits, operating procedures and fair promotion. VII. 12

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Comparative Study on Job Satisfaction among Health Workers in Public and Private Sector Hospitals at South-West Shoa Zone, Oromia Regional State, Ethiopia

 $<sup>^2</sup>$ © 2017 Global Journals Inc. (US) Volume XVII Issue II Version I

3

Demographic variables	Public F	Hospital F %	Private I	Hospital F %	F	Total %
S ex Male Female Total	33 39	15   17.72	73 75	33.18	106	48.18 51.82 100
	72	32.72	148	34.10	114	
				67.28	220	
Age $<30$ years $30$ -40 years	$30\ 35\ 7$	13.63	83 40	37.73	113	51.36 34.10 14.54 100
Above 40 years Total	72	$15.91\ 3.18$	$25\ 148$	18.19	$75 \ 32$	
		32.72		11.36	220	
				67.28		
Level of Education Diploma	31 30	14.09	60 62	27.27	91	$41.36\ 41.82\ 16.82\ 100$
Bsc. Degree Above Bsc. De-	$11 \ 72$	$13.64\ 5.00$	$26\ 148$	28.18	$92 \ 37$	
gree Total		32.72		11.82	220	
_				67.28		
Service year 5 year and less	33 28	15.00	67 53	40.45	100	45.45
6 -10 years Above 10 years	$11 \ 72$	$12.72\ 5.00$	$28\ 148$	24.09	81 39	36.81
Total		32.72		12.727	220	17.72
				67.28		100
Profession Physicians	$12\ 9\ 27$	5.45  4.09	18 12	8.18 5.45	30 21	$13.63\ 9.54\ 36.81\ 12.27$
Health Officer Nurses (Bsc. & Diploma) Midwifes	11	12.27 5.00	54 16	24.54 7.27	81 27	

Figure 1: Table 3:

4

Facets of job satisfaction	Mean	SD.	Minimum	Maximum
Pay	2.78	.88	1.00	4.50
Promotion	2.59	.87	1.00	4.50
Supervision	2.78	.78	1.50	4.75
Fringe benefits	2.94	.69	1.25	4.75
Contingent reward	3.21	.67	1.75	4.75
Operating procedures	2.61	.92	1.00	4.50
Co-workers	3.89	.90	2.00	6.00
Nature of work	3.52	.77	1.25	5.75
Communication	3.10	.54	1.75	4.75
Overall Job satisfaction	3.05	.49	2.06	4.86

 $[Note:\ c)\ Job\ satisfaction\ across\ sector\ (public\ and\ private)]$ 

Figure 2: Table 4:

Facets of job	Private	e hospital	Public hospital
satisfaction	Mean	SD.	Mean
Pay	3.11	.82	2.62
Promotion	3.11	1.00	2.33
Supervision	3.07	.78	2.63
FB	3.16	.72	2.83
CR	3.62	.68	3.01
OC	3.20	.98	2.32
Coworkers	3.46	.83	4.10
NW	3.47	.69	3.54
Com	3.17	.55	3.05
Overall JS	3.29	.56	2.94
1.1		_ /- /-	

<sup>\*\*.</sup> Difference is significant at 0.05 alpha levels (2-tailed), p < 0.05

Figure 3: Table 5:

7

VariablesGroup	N	Mean	SD.	$\operatorname{Min}$	Max
Profession Physicians	30	3.35	.54	2.25	4.47
Health officers	21	3.48	.63	2.50	4.86
Nurses	82	2.94	.41	2.06	3.50
Midwifes	27	3.07	.36	2.44	3.89
Lab technicians	11	2.70	.09	3.00	3.19
Anesthesia expert	11	3.02	.53	2.06	3.44
Others	39	3.05	.48	2.0	3.89

Figure 4: Table 7:

**13** 

Variables	Group		Sum of square	Df	Mean square	f Sig.
Profession	Physicians	Between	9.396	6	1.566	7.430000
	Health officers	groups Within groups	45.102	214	.211	
	Nurses Midwifes Lab technicians Anesthesia expert Others	Total	54.498	220		

<sup>\*\*.</sup> Difference is significant at 0.05 alpha levels (2-tailed), p < 0.05

Figure 5: Table 13:

d) Job satisfaction across fields of professions. Table 9 indicates some mean score difference were observed between different fields of profession, health officers had better mean score of job satisfaction (M=3.48, SD=.63), followed by physicians (M=3.35, SD=.63)

```
10
 Year
 2017
                                Mean
                                                (I-
                                                J)
           Health officer
                                -.12050
           Nurses
                                .41253 *
      Physiciansifes Lab tech-
                                .43755 * .28763
          nician
                                .65379 *
           Anesthesia expert
           Others
                                .33768
           Physician
                                .12050
      HealtNurses Midwifes
                                .53304 * .55805 *
      off
           Lab technician
                                .40813
           Anesthesia expert
                                .77429 *
           Others
                                .45818 *
           Physician
                                -.41253 *
      Nursesealth officer Mid-
                                -.53304 * .02502
 Α
           wifes
 )
                                -.12491 .24125 -.07486 -.43755 * -.55805 * -.02502 -.14993 .21624 -.09987 -.28
      Midwlifels
                    technician
 Globab Anesthesia
 Jourtech expert
                       Others
                       Health
 nal
           Physician
 of
           officer
                       Nurses
 Hu-
           Lab
                    technician
           Anesthesia expert
 man
 So-
           Others
                    Physician
           Health
                        officer
 cial
                     Midwifes
 Sci-
          Nurses
 ence
           Anesthesia expert
           Others
                    Physician
           Health officer
      Anes Muessas Midwifes
                                -.24125 -.21624
           Lab technician
                                -.36616
           Others
                                -.31611
           Physician
                                -.33768
                                -.45818 *
           Health officer
      Othersurses Midwifes
                                .07486 .09987
           Lab technician
                                -.05005
```

Figure 6: Table 10:

.31611

Anesthesia expert

[Note: \*]

1	0
	•
_	~

PC	Pay .574 **	Pro 1	Sup	FB	CR	OC	NW Cow
Sig.(2-tailed)	.000						
PC	.328 **	.362 **	1				
Sig.(2-tailed)	.000	.000					
PC	.515 **	.567 **	.563 **	1			
Sig.(2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000				
PC	.480 **	.589 **	.502 **	.575 **	1		
Sig.(2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000			
PC	.378 **	.530 **	.546 **	.437 **	.515 **	1	
Sig.(2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000		
PC	.135 *	190	091	.019	-	-	1
		**			.054	.243 **	
Sig.(2-tailed)	.044	.005	.177	.776	.426	.000	
PC	.000	011	.364 **	.081	.142 *	- .042	.346 1 **
Sig.(2-tailed)	.998	.876	.000	.230	.034	.535	.000
PC	.342 **	.352 **	.403 **	.516 **	.420 **	.234 **	.212 .241
Sig.(2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.001 .000
PC	.682 **	.693 **	.705 **	.733 **	.732 **	.622 **	.208 .359 ** **
Sig.(2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.002 .000
	Sig.(2-tailed) PC Sig.(2-tailed)	PC       .574 **         Sig.(2-       .000         tailed)       PC       .328 **         Sig.(2-       .000       .515 **         Sig.(2-       .000       .480 **         Sig.(2-       .000       .378 **         Sig.(2-       .000       .135 *         Sig.(2-       .044       .135 *         Sig.(2-       .000       .998         tailed)       PC       .342 **         Sig.(2-       .000       .342 **         Sig.(2-       .000       .682 **         Sig.(2-       .000       .000         tailed)       PC       .682 **         Sig.(2-       .000       .000         tailed)       PC       .682 **	PC       .574 **       1         Sig.(2-tailed)       .000       .328 **       .362 **         Sig.(2-tailed)       .000       .000         PC       .515 **       .567 **         Sig.(2-tailed)       .000       .000         PC       .480 **       .589 **         Sig.(2-tailed)       .000       .000         PC       .378 **       .530 **         Sig.(2-tailed)       .000       .000         PC       .044       .005         tailed)       .000      011         Sig.(2-tailed)       .998       .876         PC       .342 **       .352 **         Sig.(2-tailed)       .000       .000         PC       .682 **       .693 **         Sig.(2-tailed)       .000       .000         PC       .682 **       .693 **	PC       .574 **       1         Sig.(2-       .000         tailed)       PC         .328 **       .362 **       1         Sig.(2-       .000       .000         tailed)       PC       .515 **       .567 **       .563 **         Sig.(2-       .000       .000       .000       .000         tailed)       PC       .480 **       .589 **       .502 **         Sig.(2-       .000       .000       .000       .000         tailed)       PC       .378 **       .530 **       .546 **         Sig.(2-       .000       .000       .000       .000         tailed)       PC       .135 *      190 *      091         Sig.(2-       .044       .005       .177         tailed)       PC       .044       .005       .177         tailed)       PC       .998       .876       .000         tailed)       PC       .342 **       .352 **       .403 **         Sig.(2-       .000       .000       .000       .000         tailed)       PC       .682 **       .693 **       .705 *         Sig.(2-       .000       .000	PC       .574 **       1         Sig.(2-tailed)       .000       .328 **       .362 **       1         Sig.(2-tailed)       .000       .000       .515 **       .567 **       .563       1         Sig.(2-tailed)       .000       .000       .000       .000       .575         Sig.(2-tailed)       .000       .000       .000       .000       .000         PC       .378 **       .530 **       .546       .437         ***       **       **         Sig.(2-tailed)       .000       .000       .000       .000         PC       .135 *      190      091       .019         Sig.(2-tailed)       .000      011       .364       .081         PC       .998       .876       .000       .230         tailed)       PC       .342 **       .352 **       .403       .516         **       **       **         Sig.(2-tailed)       .000       .000       .000       .000         PC       .682 **       .693 **       .705       .733         ***       **         Sig.(2-tailed)       .000       .000       .000       .000      <	PC       .574 **       1         Sig.(2-       .000       .328 **       .362 **       1         Sig.(2-       .000       .000       .515 **       .567 **       .563       1         Sig.(2-       .000       .000       .000       .575       1         Sig.(2-       .000       .000       .000       .575       1         Sig.(2-       .000       .000       .000       .000       .000         tailed)       PC       .378 **       .530 **       .546       .437       .515         Sig.(2-       .000       .000       .000       .000       .000       .000         tailed)       PC       .135 *      190      091       .019       -         sig.(2-       .004       .005       .177       .776       .426         tailed)       PC       .000      011       .364       .081       .142         sig.(2-       .998       .876       .000       .230       .034         tailed)       PC       .342 **       .352 **       .403       .516       .420         **       **       **       **       **         Sig.(2-	PC       .574 **       1         Sig.(2-tailed)       .000       .328 **       .362 **       1         Sig.(2-tailed)       .000       .000       .515 **       .567 **       .563       1         Sig.(2-tailed)       .000       .000       .000       .575       1         Sig.(2-tailed)       .000       .000       .000       .515       1         PC       .480 **       .589 **       .502       .575       1         Sig.(2-tailed)       .000       .000       .000       .000       .000         PC       .378 **       .530 **       .546       .437       .515       1         Sig.(2-tailed)       .000       .000       .000       .000       .000       .000         PC       .135 *      190      091       .019       -       -         **       **       **       **       **         Sig.(2-tailed)       .044       .005       .177       .776       .426       .000         tailed)       PC       .042       .881       .142       -         **       **       **       **       .942         Sig.(2-tailed)       .000

<sup>\*</sup> Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

Figure 7: Table 12:

<sup>\*\*</sup> Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

<sup>\*\*</sup> PC=Pearson Correlation, Pro=Promotion, Sup=Supervisor, FB=Fringe benefits, CR=Contingent reward procedures, Cow=Co-workers, NW=Nature of work, CO=Communication, OJS=Overall Job satisfaction.

# 259 .1 Acknowledgements

- We would like to express heartfelt thanks to Dr. Getachew Abeshu (PhD.) and Ms. Aregash Hassen (Head, Psychology Department, Jimma University). We also wish to express our gratitude to the study participants.
- <sup>262</sup> [Ethiopian Journal of Health Science] , Ethiopian Journal of Health Science 21 p. .
- <sup>263</sup> [Chauhan and Solanki ()] 'A Comparative Study of Job Satisfaction in Government and Private Employees'. A Chauhan , P M Solanki . *The International Journal of Indian Psychology* 2014. 2 (1) .
- [Shah et al. ()] 'Correlates of job satisfaction among health care professionals in Kuwait'. M A Shah , N Al-Enezi , R I Chowdhury , N M Shah . *Medical Principles and Practice* 2001. 10 p. .
- [Jathanna et al. ()] Determinants of Job Satisfaction among Health care Workers at a Tertiary Care Hospital, R Jathanna, R D Melisha, G Mary, K S Latha. fromwww.ojhas.org/issue39/2011-3-5.htm 2011. 2014. (Retrieved on 12 December)
- <sup>270</sup> [Israel (2013)] Determining Sample Size. University of Florida: IFAS Extention, G D Israel . http://edis. ifas.Edu/pdffiles/PD/PD00600 2013. April 25. 2015.
- [Kaldenberg and Regrut ()] 'Do satisfied patients depend on satisfied employees? Or, do satisfied employees depend on satisfied patients'. D O Kaldenberg , B A Regrut . *QRC Advis* 1999. 15 (7) p. .
- [Park and Kim ()] 'Do types of organizational culture matter in nurses job satisfaction and turnover intention'. J S Park , T H Kim . Leadership in Health Services 2009. 22 (1) p. .
- [Serra et al. (2010)] English PD F-Open Knowledge Repository-World Bank, D Serra, P Serneels, M Lindelow, J G Montalvo.https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/bitstream/.../555450PUB0disc1EP.. 2010. January 12. 2015.
- [Ramasodi ()] Factors influencing job satisfaction at South Rand HospitalAvailable online atpolicyresearch, M J Ramasodi . limpopo.gov.za/?/Factors%20Influencing%20J0? 2010.
- [Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia Ministry of Health ()] Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia Ministry of Health, 2010. 2010/11-2014/15. (The Health Sector Development Program IV)
- [Ganzach ()] 'Intelligence, education, and facets of job satisfaction'. Y Ganzach . Work and Occupations 2003. 30 (1) p. .
- 285 [Buitendach and Witt ()] 'Job insecurity, extrinsic and intrinsic job satisfaction and affective organizational commitment of maintenance workers in a Parastatal'. J H Buitendach , H Witt . South African Journal of Business Management 2005. 36 (2) p. .
- [Rana (2014)] 'Job Satisfaction among Health care Employees'. A Rana . fromwww.indusedu.org/IJRMEC/ aug14/2.pdf An Empirical Analysis. IJCBM 2014. November. 2014. 3 (4) .
- 290 [Carrillo-García et al. ()] 'Job Satisfaction among health care workers: the role of gender and age'. C 291 Carrillo-García, Carmen Solano-Ruíz, M Martínez-Roche. DOI:www.scielo.br/pdf/wrlae/v21n6/ 292 0104-1169-rlae-21-06-01314.pdf Rev. Latino-Am. Enfermagem. Available at 2013.
- <sup>293</sup> [Rao and Malik ()] 'Job satisfaction among Nurses: A comparative study of Nurses employed in Government and Private Hospitals'. T K Rao , S Malik . *BIZ n BYTES-Journal of Applied Management and Computer Science* No (0976-0458) V-1. 2012.
- Elender et al. ()] 'Job satisfaction and gender segregation'. K A Bender , S M Donohue , J S Heywood . 10.1093/oep/gpi015. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oep/gpi015 Retrieved on 27 October, 2005. 2014. 57 p. .
- [Clark ()] 'Job satisfaction and gender: why are women so happy at work'. A E Clark . Labour Economics 0927-5371. 1997. 4 p. .
- [Alemshet et al. ()] Job satisfaction and its determinants among health workers in Jimma University Specialized Hospital, Y Alemshet, H Leja, H Alima, J Challi, S Morankar. 2011. Southwest Ethiopia.
- [Aleksabdra et al. ()] 'Job satisfaction in Serbian Health'care workers who work with disabled patients'. J Aleksabdra , V Bjegovic , B Vranes , N Milic . 10.2478/s11536-008-0003-4. Central European Journal of Medicine 2008. 3 (2) .
- [Mohase and Khumalo ()] 'Job satisfaction in the health care services in South'. N Mohase , O Khumalo ...mcser.org/journal/index.php/mjss/article/viewFile/2122/2109 Africa?Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences MCSER Publishing 2014. 5 (3) . (Available online at www)
- [Pietersen ()] 'Job Satisfaction of Hospital Nursing Staff'. C Pietersen . SA Journal of Human Resource
  Management 2005. 3 (2) p. .
- [Mahmood et al. ()] 'Job Satisfaction of Secondary School Teachers: A Comparative Analysis of Gender, Urban and Rural Schools'. A Mahmood, S Nudrat, M Asdaque, A Nawaz, N Haider. 10.5539/ass.v7n8p203. http://dx.doi.org/10.5539/ass.v7n8p203 Asian Social Science 2011. 7 (8) p. .

#### 13 RECOMMENDATIONS

- [Jahrami et al. ()] 'Job Satisfaction Survey of Healthcare workers in the Psychiatric Hospital'. H Jahrami , Z
  Alshuwaikh , G Panchasharam , Z &saif . http://www.Bahrainmedicalbulletin.com/december\_
  2011/Job-Satisfaction-Survey.pdf Bahrain Medical Bulletin 2011. 33 (4) .
- 317 [Spector ()] Job Satisfaction: Application, Assessment, Causes and Consequences, P Spector . 1997. California: Sage.
- Igbaria et al. ()] 'Predictors of intention of IS professionals to stay with the organization in South Africa'. M Igbaria , G Meredith , D C Smith . *Information & Management* 1994. 26 (5) p. .
- [Pala et al. ()] 'The effects of demographic characteristics on organizational commitment and job satisfaction: an empirical study on Turkish Health care staff'. F Pala , S Eker , M Eker . The Journal of Industrial Relations and Human Resources 2008. 10 (2) .
- [Roslan et al. ()] 'Turnover Intention among Public Sector Health Workforce: Is Job Satisfaction the Issue?'.

  J Roslan , H Noor , Nor , M Azahadi . net/imjm/.../IMJM%20Vol%2013%20No%201%20p51-56.pdf

  International Medical Journal Malaysia. Aailable 2014.
- <sup>327</sup> [Pillay ()] 'Work satisfaction of professional nurses in South Africa: a comparative analysis of the public and private sectors'. R Pillay . *Human Resources for Health* 2008. 7 p. .