

1 Is Healthier Wealthier? Evidence from Member Countries of 2 South Asian Association for Regional Cooperation (Saarc)

3 Mohammad Taslim Uddin¹ and Hasina Akter²

4 ¹ University of Chittagong

5 *Received: 12 December 2016 Accepted: 4 January 2017 Published: 15 January 2017*

6 **Abstract**

7 This paper examines the association between a variety of health indicators and GDP growth
8 rates over the period 1972-2011, using a balanced panel dataset consisting of seven members
9 of the South Asian Association for Regional Cooperation (SAARC), excluding Afghanistan.
10 We explicitly accounted for interactions between survival rates and lagged GDP levels as well
11 as endogeneity issues. The findings revealed that the survival rate and other health indicators
12 are positively associated with GDP growth. Accordingly, investments that promote
13 improvements in health outcomes in South Asian countries could potentially accelerate
14 economic development in the region.
15

16
17 **Index terms**— human capital; economic growth; gdp; survival rate; mortality rate; SAARC; panel data;
18 endogeneity.

19 **1 Introduction**

20 Although life expectancy has consistently increased in many developing countries for the past 60 years, it continues
21 to lag well behind levels observed in the developed countries, and many people in lowincome countries still
22 encounter poor health (Howitt, 2005). Life expectancy at birth in South Asia is 67 years, which is not only
23 substantially lower than the OECD average (79 years), but also markedlylower than other Asian countries (Asian
24 overall: 71, East Asia: 76, West Asia: 73, South-east Asia: 71, and Central Asia: 68). On the overall health
25 status of the population in the South Asian region, the World Bank's regional Director for Human Development,
26 Michel Rutkowski, has observed that "South Asia is at a crossroad with rising inequality; hile traditional models of
27 economic growth focused primarily on physical capital accumulation, beginning in the 1960s economists began to
28 acknowledge the contribution of human capital. Initially, human capital was narrowly conceptualized in terms of
29 educational attainment; however, more recent literature began to recognize health status as a crucial component
30 of human capital and hence an important contributor to economic development.

31 Sustained economic growth requires investments in the stock of human capital through improvements in
32 education and training as well as in the quality of health status which requires not merely healthcare delivery,
33 but also possibly in infrastructure (e.g. higher quality drinking water) and promotion of positive health behaviour
34 (e.g. investment in smoking cessation programmes). The effects of human capital variables imply that higher level
35 of health and better education result in more investment; both these variables evolve systematically according to
36 levels of development, and such changes may be linked to increases in the investment rate ??Lopez et al. 2005).
37 Health is instrumental to an individual's or community's capability to undertake desired activities or functions
38 (Sen, 1999). Consequently, when health is jeopardized W the achievement of economic objectives may also be
39 compromised and, conversely, improvements in population health can contribute to a nation's economic goals.
40 Indeed, economic evidence confirms that a 10% improvement in life expectancy (LE) at birth is associated with
41 a rise in economic growth of some 0.3-0.4 percentage points a year (Frenk, 2004).

42 Better health enables the labour force remain effective on the labour market and increases labour force
43 productivity by reducing incapacity, weakness, and the number of days lost to sick leave and thus promotes
44 economic development ??Lopez et al. 2005). Furthermore, health indirectly increases worker productivity by

3 LITERATURE REVIEW

45 facilitating investment in education and training. Additionally, household or community resources that would
46 otherwise be used for preventative health investment as well as for addressing any current health issues can be
47 allocated to other priorities. Finally, a healthier population can be expected to save more, both because illness
48 and disability reduce labour market earnings (Bloom et al., 2004), and also because increasing life expectancy may
49 result alter household rates of time preference. Although improvements in health may lead to a more ambiguous
50 effect on savings, the dominant effect of increased life expectancy appears to be higher savings rates because
51 the effect of increased longevity on retirement income outweighs the effect of improved health on the length of
52 desired working life, thereby increasing the need for retirement income (Bloom et al., 2005). In turn, increased
53 saving accelerates accumulation of physical capital, further promoting labour force productivity and economic
54 growth (Weil, 2005).

55 poor people struggling to get access to quality health, education, and infrastructure service; a growing share
56 of the population ageing unhealthily; and with health systems that are failing to adjust to people" (Engelgau,
57 2011).

58 Since health is theorized to be a key contributor to economic growth, and given that South Asian nations are
59 classified as lower-income or lower-middle income countries, examining the extent to which economic development
60 in the region is driven by the health of its population is an important area of research. This study therefore
61 investigates the proximate determinants of economic growth in South Asian countries with special emphasis on
62 the health of its population.

63 The remainder of this paper is structured as follows. Section 2 of the study presents a literature review.
64 Section 3 describes the methodology, which includes a description of data set as well as method used in the
65 analysis and outlines the conceptual framework and econometric model devised by the authors to study the
66 relationship between population health and economic growth. A brief overview of the demographic, economic,
67 and population health features of SAARC countries is provided in Section 4. Section 5 discusses estimation
68 issues, indicates how they were resolved, and presents empirical results. Finally, Section 6 summarizes the key
69 findings emerging from the analysis, and offers a series of policy recommendations to help promote both regional
70 population health and economic development objectives in SAARC countries.

71 2 II.

72 3 Literature Review

73 A growing body of theoretical and empirical research offers support for the argument that human capital exerts
74 a positive effect on economic growth. Until the late-1990s, human capital was conceptualized primarily in terms
75 of education, although a few authors acknowledged the importance of other factors such as health ??Lopez et
76 al. 2005). Mankiw et al. (1992) were among the first to identify health and nutrition as components of human
77 capital. Subsequent authors, including and Barro (1996), then began to explore the relationship between health
78 and economic growth. Barro (1996) study the relationship between life expectancy (LE), fertility rate (FR),
79 and other relevant variables on growth rate with reference to a panel of approximately one hundred countries
80 over the period from 1960 to 1990, determining that growth is stimulated by higher LE and lower, given an
81 initial level of GDP per capita. While studying the same period, Rivera and Currais (1999) focus specifically on
82 OECD member countries; using per capita health care expenditure to proxy for health status, they showed that
83 nations with larger health expenditures also enjoy higher levels of economic growth. Arora (2001) focus on a still
84 narrower subset of industrialized countries over a significantly larger time span (100 to 125 years). Considering
85 LE at birth, and during childhood, adolescence, and young adulthood as health indicators, the study concluded
86 that nations with better health status experienced 30-40% higher levels of long-term economic growth.

87 More recent econometric analyses have focused on understanding the nature of the causal relationships between
88 health and economic growth. For example, while failing to identify what instruments used for this purpose,
89 Bhargava et al. (2001) attempt to address potential endogeneity and reverse causality. Treating the adult survival
90 rate (ASR) as a proxy for health status, and accounting for the interaction between ASR and lagged GDP, the
91 authors identify a positive relationship between ASR and GDP growth rates. Using data for the period from
92 1970-1992 and treating health care expenditure (HCE) as a proxy for health capital, Heshmati (2001) estimates
93 the augmented Solow model suggested by Mankiw et al. (1992) to study variation across OECD countries,
94 attributing this to differences in their respective levels of education, and savings and population growth rates.
95 The study furthermore finds that causality between GDP and HCE runs from HCE to GDP, concluding that
96 health care expenditures contribute to economic growth and the speed of convergence. Mayer (2001) examined
97 Granger-type causality for growth regression considering the probability of adult survival by gender and age
98 group for 1950-1990 as a measure of health status. The study concluded with thirty-year conditional causality
99 from health to income in eighteen Latin American countries in general, and specifically in Brazil and Mexico.
100 One remarkable observation was that the growth impact of improved health was higher for females compared
101 with that of their male counterparts. Bloom et al. (2004) used panel data for the period 1960-90 and followed
102 a production function approach to estimate the model of aggregate economic growth. They found good health
103 having a positive and sizeable impact on aggregate output even after controlling for experience of the workforce.
104 However, their model captured only the direct effect of education and health on output, while consideration
105 about potential endogeneity between health and income is important.

106 Based on the cross-country and historical data on average height of adult men, ASR for men, and age at
107 menarche, Weil (2005) used microeconomic estimates of the effect of health on individual outcomes to construct
108 macroeconomic estimates of the proximate effect of health on GDP per capita. The finding of the study that health
109 is an important determinant of income variation was robust to using a variety of different microeconomic and
110 macroeconomic estimates of the return to health, as well as to using alternative estimates of the mapping between
111 different health indicators and adjusting for the role of AIDS in affecting mortality in the 1990s. However, this
112 study examined only the proximate effect of health on GDP per worker. Moreover, some indirect channels through
113 which health affects a country's output, such as, the effect of better health in encouraging the accumulation of
114 human and physical capital, population growth, and so on were not addressed in this study.

115 Based on the panel data for 2001-2009 Peykarjou et al (2011) studied the relationship between health and
116 economic growth in the Organization of Islamic Conference (OIC) member countries. They found higher LE and
117 lower FR to lead to enhanced economic growth in those countries.

118 It is evident from above literature review that most of the researchers proxied the health variable with life
119 expectancy, mortality rate or health expenditure per capita for different region in the world other than South
120 Asia, a fastest growing region in the world since the last quarter of 2014 and home to a vast array of people. They
121 used different methodologies and different data sets-panel and time series-and most of the studies found health
122 to have a positive significant impact on economic growth. To the best of the authors' knowledge, however, no
123 studies focused on crosscountry evidence on the health-growth relationship for SAARC countries, which jointly
124 account for about 40% of Asia's population (or over 24% of world's population) and each of these communities
125 shares some common health and economic attributes together with their country-specific own unique cultures
126 and backgrounds. Hence, this paper is an attempt to fill the gap in the extant literature. It focuses on the
127 scenario of SAARC countries' health status and examines if the SAARC countries manifest the positive impact
128 of health outcomes on economic growth.

129 4 III.

130 5 Methodology a) Dataset

131 In this study, we examine how health status (as proxied by survival rate and fertility rate) is associated with
132 economic growth. We employ a balanced panel data set covering the period from 1972 to 2011, consisting of
133 Bangladesh, Bhutan, India, Maldives, Nepal, Pakistan, and Sri Lanka. * The data used were obtained primarily
134 from the World Bank database (WDI series) and Penn World Tables (PWT), Version 8.1 (Feenstra et al. 2015).
135 We use the WDI for data on GDP series, the investment-GDP ratio, and the openness indicator, while relying
136 on the PWT for the remaining variables. Where applicable (e.g., for national income accounts data), data are
137 converted into 2005 constant dollars.

138 b) The Method The regression analysis has been carried out using standard panel data techniques. "A
139 longitudinal, or panel, data set is one that follows a given sample of individuals over time, and thus provides
140 multiple observations on each individual in the sample" ??Hsiao, 2014, page 1). Panel data allows for the
141 introduction of temporal-spatial variables, while time series or crosssectional approaches may not have this ability
142 (Baltagi, 1995). "Panel data usually give the researcher a large number of data point, increasing the degrees of
143 freedom, and reducing the collinearity among explanatory variables -hence improving the efficiency of econometric
144 estimates" (Hsiao,2014, page 3). Working with panel data provides the analyst with more information, greater
145 validity, less collinearity, and higher efficiency and can better represent adjustment (e.g., matching or correction)
146 dynamics (Somayeh et al. 2014). In addition, panel data models enable us to control for unobserved country-
147 specific effects and thereby reduce estimation biases (Eggoh et al., 2015). Furthermore, the panel data approach
148 can help to disentangle some of the associations between demographic, health, and economic variables and
149 growth, which could otherwise be problematic because the countries included in the sample are at different
150 stages of development (Bhargava et al. 2001).

151 6 c) Conceptual Framework

152 Following economic theory we include both health and education in assessing the association between human
153 capital and economic growth. We incorporate two proxies for health status, namely the proportion of the
154 age cohort surviving to age 65 (SR), which accommodates both the life expectancy (LE) and mortality rate
155 (MR), and fertility rate (FR). We also take life expectancy at birth (LE) separately into consideration as a
156 substitute for SR to check for robustness. Life expectancy or survival rate is a broad measure of population
157 health, and does not directly reflect labor force productivity. That said,capital formation-which is central to
158 economic development-requires that a high proportion of the skilled labor force remains active for many years,
159 an objective to which good health clearly contributes. In fact, investments in education and training critically
160 depend on survival expectancies. All these factors, especially the health indicators, are potentially important in
161 explaining growth outcomes (Bloom and Canning, 2000). However, the size of impact of population health status
162 on growth rates is expected to depend upon a country's current GDP; in particular, SR or LE should be more
163 important for explaining economic growth at low levels of economic development (Bhargava et al, 2001). We use
164 school enrollment (secondary) to proxy for the educational component of the stock of human capital a country

8 IV. AN OVERVIEW OF SAARC COUNTRIES' DEMOGRAPHY, ECONOMY, AND HEALTH

165 possesses at any given time. We could, of course, use the post-secondary school enrolment for this purpose, but
166 unfortunately data for this category are not available for all countries in the sample.

167 Following the literature on determinants of growth, we control for other factors to assess the strength of
168 the relationship between human capital and economic growth. We use the sum of exports and imports as a
169 share of GDP to capture the degree of openness of each economy. Two alternative variables have been used as
170 measures of investment in prior studies, namely the investment-GDP ratio and the ratio of gross fixed capital
171 formation (GFC), net increase in the fixed capital, to GDP. Age dependency ratio (ADR) reflects the impacts
172 of demographic changes on economic growth. It can be defined as the number dependents (aged zero to 14 and
173 over the age of 65) to the total population, aged 15 to 64. This indicator gives an insight into the amount of
174 burden (number of people of nonworking age) the population in working age has to face. A higher ADR could
175 retard economic development by reducing productivity growth and investment rates (i.e., as a consequence of
176 lower savings rates), as well as by increasing government expenditures associated with pensions and healthcare
177 expenditures.

178 7 d) Econometric Framework

179 This study investigates how GDP growth is related to key health indicators (i.e., survival and fertility rates) and
180 a range of other variables (i.e., age dependency ratio, school enrolment, openness to trade, investment-GDP ratio,
181 etc.). We used a trans-logarithmic model for economic growth, maintaining a specification as close as possible to
182 that of Bhargava et al (2001). In time-series analysis logarithmic transformations are often observed to stabilize
183 the variance of a series, which for many economic variables can result in substantial improvements in the predictive
184 capability of models incorporating those variables (Lütkepohl and Fang 2012). In fact, potential nonlinearity
185 in the relationship between economic growth and the explanatory variables listed above may necessitate such a
186 transformation (Eggoh et al., 2015). However, we also recognize and attempt to account for the possibility that
187 the presence of endogeneity could result in inconsistent parameter estimates.?? ???? = ?? ?? + ? ?? 1??????
188 ?? ?? + ? ?? 2?????? ?? ?? =?? 1 + 1 ?? ?? + ?? ?? ?? 1 ?? =1 (?? = 1, ? .7; ?? = 1, ? ? , 40)(1)

189 Here y denotes GDP growth, x_1 and x_2 refer to vectors of exogenous variables (i.e., age dependency ratio
190 (ADR), openness, lagged GDP, and lagged investment/GDP ratio) and endogenous variables (i.e., survival rate
191 (SR), fertility rate (FR), and interaction between SR and GDP), β denotes unobserved country-specific effects,
192 and u is the error term. Finally, i and t denote cross-sectional units and time periods, while j indicates the
193 number of variables. As the expression above suggests, we suspect the presence of endogeneity between GDP
194 growth and a subset of explanatory variables. If true, these variables could be correlated with the disturbance
195 term. Applying simultaneous equation techniques would enable us to address this issue. Alternatively, we can
196 include lagged values for each variable, since their past values cannot be affected by the current level of GDP or
197 by GDP growth rates. We choose to adopt the latter approach.

198 We employ three distinct regression techniques to estimate the parameters of Eq. (1), namely pooled OLS,
199 weighted least squares (WLS), and the random effect (RE) model. We believe this will allow for more robust
200 findings as they relate to the relationship between health indicators and GDP growth rates, especially since South
201 Asian communities are highly heterogeneous with regards to ethnicity, religion, culture, language, demographics,
202 and economic characteristics. Estimating WLS and/or heteroscedasticity-corrected pooled model should enable
203 us to address potential heteroscedasticity. Furthermore, estimating the RE model should allow us to control
204 for unobserved heterogeneity, assuming that individual effects are uncorrelated with the independent variables,
205 whereas the heterogeneity is time-constant and correlated with the independent variables. We run the Hausman
206 test to determine if the RE model is more efficient than the fixed effects (FE) model, finding evidence in favor of
207 the former.

208 Thus, the regression used as the basis for our analysis is the following:?? ???? = ?? 0 + ?? 1 ???? + ?? 2
209 ?? 2 ?? ???? + ?? 3 ?? ???? + ?? 4 ln(????) ???? ?1 + ?? 5 ln(????) ???? + ?? 6 ln (????) ????
210 ?? 7 ln (????) ???? ?1 + ?? 8 ???? (???? × ????) ???? + ?? ???? (2)

211 The variables incorporated into the model are summarized in the table below:

212 8 IV. AN OVERVIEW OF SAARC COUNTRIES' DEMOGRAPHY, ECONOMY, AND HEALTH

213 The South Asian Association for Regional Cooperation (SAARC) is an economic and political organization of
214 eight countries in Southern Asia consisting of almost 1.7 billion people in an area of 5.1 million km² (Giri et. al,
215 2015). During a summit held in 1983 in New Delhi, India, the leaders of Bangladesh, Bhutan, India, Maldives,
216 Nepal, Pakistan, and Sri Lanka adopted the Declaration on South Asian Regional Cooperation, agreeing on
217 the following five areas of cooperation ??Iqbal, 2006) (Shaheen, 2013). a) Overall Regional Profile South Asia
218 is the world's densely populated region (336.1 people per km²), containing more than 24% of the world's
219 total population within an area consisting of only 4% of the world's surface. Although the countries in this
220 region are beginning to experience progress in alleviating household poverty, the proportion of the population
221 living below the poverty line is higher in Southern Asia than anywhere else excepting sub-Saharan Africa (WDI,
222 2014). Despite robust economic growth in recent years, SAARC region accounts for only 3% of world's gross
223 domestic product, and nearly 40% of its inhabitants live on less than \$1.25 per day (Rahman, et. al., 2012).

225 Regional cooperation and integration has huge potential for accelerating economic growth and reducing poverty
226 within and across the nations; yet South Asia remains the world's least connected region several decades after the
227 establishment of SAARC due to the multitude of visa, travel, and trade barriers existing among the organization's
228 members. Political instability, fragile democratic institutions, terrorism, religious intolerance, and ethnic conflicts
229 all threaten regional peace and prosperity (Development Study Group, South Asian University, 2014).

230 Asia living on less than \$1.25 and \$2.00 over the period of analysis. The values in Figure 1 suggest these
231 individuals are gradually moving into higher income categories.

232 Some health indicators, including life expectancy, IMR, rates of malnutrition, and the prevalence and incidence
233 of some infectious diseases (e.g., tuberculosis and human immunodeficiency virus [HIV]) appear to be improving,
234 but the region continues to lag far behind other nations on these measures (WDI, 2014). Furthermore, the region is
235 also struggling with a range of issues that make further improvements in population health challenging, including
236 poor sanitation, inadequate access to healthcare services, and high prevalence of malaria, mental health issues,
237 and a range of chronic diseases (e.g. diabetes, cardiovascular disease, etc.). i Demography South Asia, a region
238 of strategic importance and home to a large and fast-growing population, faces acute public health challenges
239 on a demographic and geographic scale unmatched in the world (Center for Strategic and International Studies,
240 2010). With just under one quarter of the world's population, its annual population growth is 1.38%-significantly
241 higher than the world average of 1.22% in 2013 (Table 2), although less than that of sub-Saharan Africa (2.76%)
242 or the Middle East and North Africa (1.92%). Around 68% of the population of South Asia occupies rural
243 areas as of 2015 (WDI, 2017). Demographically, the region is dominated by India, which has a population of
244 1.252 billion, but the region also contains two other densely populated countries, Pakistan and Bangladesh, with
245 populations of 182.1 and 156.6 million, respectively, in 2013. There are sharp contrasts between South Asian
246 countries with respect to such characteristics as population structure, stage of demographic transition, population
247 density, mortality and fertility rates, urbanization and literacy levels. India, the largest country in this region,
248 itself has major internal contrasts between its 28 states.

249 In terms of population structure, South Asia is relatively young (Engelgau, 2011), reflecting a combination of
250 high fertility and mortality rates. In particular, the fertility rate was 2.60 children per woman in 2013, compared
251 with a global average of 2.46, while average life expectancy at birth was 68.4 in 2014, which is approximately
252 3.1 years less than the world average. Between 1960 and 2014, life expectancy at birth in South Asia increased
253 from under 42.4 to 68.4 years (World Bank and UNDP, 2015), suggesting substantial improvements in population
254 health over that period. + Yet, the region is lagging behind other region in the World, except for Sub-Saharan
255 Africa. Significant declines in the infant mortality rate (IMR) provide further evidence of regional improvement
256 in the health of the South Asian population over time. As shown in Figure 2, between 1960 and 2014 IMR fell
257 by just under three-quarters (approximately 74%). This is expected to significantly grow the size of the regional
258 labor force as the baby boomers reach working age, thereby might be creating potential for faster economic
259 growth.

260 Source: World Bank (2015) In several respects, South Asia is among the least developed regions in the world.
261 For example, its 2014 Human Development Index (HDI) score of 0.607 was substantially lower than the world
262 average of 0.711. Similarly, its per capita GNI of \$5,605 (2011 PPP \$ in 2014) was far below the world average of
263 \$14,301. Finally, the rate of adult literacy in South Asia was 66.5% in 2010, as compared with 85.2% world-wide.

264 ii Economic Growth In 2012, 18.8% of the South Asian population lived at or below \$1.90 a day, indicating
265 significant improvement over the 1990 figure of 50.6%. However, substantial progress must be still be made to
266 reach the 2012 Global Poverty Headcount Ratio of 12.7%. Despite a long period of robust economic growth,
267 averaging 6.5% a year over the last 20 years, the number of people living in extreme poverty (i.e. under \$1.25
268 a day) in South Asia remains unacceptably high, accounting for just over 77.8% of the extremely poor world-
269 wide. Economic development in South Asia is evidently not sufficiently inclusive or occurring fast enough to
270 satisfactorily address poverty in the region as 2030 approaches. The region has experienced varying, but generally
271 increasing, annual average GDP growth rates, beginning at 4.3% in the 1960s, declining to 3.1% over the next
272 decade, rising to 5.5% and 5.3% during and the 1980s and 1990s, respectively, and finally climbing to around
273 7.0% in 2000s. Moreover, South Asian economic growth is expected to accelerate in the near future due to driven
274 by expansion in the Indian economy and favorable oil prices.

275 However, as shown in Figure 3, these rates have consistently been lower than the corresponding figures for
276 China. Similarly, Figure 4 shows that the rate of economic growth in South Asia is such that GDP per capita
277 has not only fallen well behind China, but has also failed to close the gap with other developing nations, such
278 as Brazil and Indonesia + Data Source: World Bank (2015) Annual Average GDP Growth (%) SA Brazil China
279 Indonesia

280 Figure 5 shows that South Asia has experienced variable-though generally increasing-annual average growth
281 in per capita GDP, beginning at 2.02% in the 1960s, but falling to 0.69% in the 1970s before surging to 3.09%
282 in the 1980s. Beginning in the 1990s, South Asia has on average outperformed Brazil and Indonesia, posting
283 annual growth rates of 3.21% over the decade (versus 1.04% and 2.83%, respectively); this trend carried over
284 into the 2000s, during which time the region achieved average annual growth in per capita GDP of 5.29% (versus
285 3.85% and 2.42%, respectively). However, as with total GDP, these rates have consistently been lower than those
286 observed in China over the same period.

287 In the early 1970s, the region experienced a brief period of negative growth in GDP per capita (an event

8 IV. AN OVERVIEW OF SAARC COUNTRIES' DEMOGRAPHY, ECONOMY, AND HEALTH

288 commonly referred to as the "South Asian dip"). Sri Lanka rebounded quickly from the downturn and since that
289 time has generally performed better than the other nations in the region, exhibiting averages rates of growth
290 exceeding 6.00% since 2009. While increasing rapidly prior to 1985, Pakistan's per capita GDP has since grown
291 sluggishly, averaging 2.00% since 2000. The economy of Nepal, one of the poorest countries in the region, has
292 been languishing throughout the entire period. Economic data for Afghanistan, Bhutan, and the Maldives is
293 incomplete, but these economies appear to have performed reasonably well since 2005, although the Afghan
294 economy has experienced negative growth since 2013. iii Total Health Expenditure (public as well as private)
295 and GDP

296 As a proportion of GDP, total health expenditure in South Asia in 1995 was approximately 3.9%. As shown
297 in Figure 6, over much of the next decade the health share of GDP generally exceeded 4%, peaking at 4.3% in
298 2004. Since that time, this share has gradually declined, which may reflect the strong economic performance
299 observed over this period. Generally, richer countries allocate a larger share of GDP to health expenditures
300 relative to poorer countries. Among OECD countries, for example, the average percentage of GDP dedicated to
301 health spending (12.62%) is more than three times that observed among South Asian countries (4.0%) in 2012.
302 As in OECD countries, growth in health expenditures in many Asian/Pacific countries has over the past decade
303 exceeded economic growth, resulting in increasing shares of each economy being dedicated to health. With the
304 exception of Afghanistan and the Maldives, this has not, however, been true of members of the SAARC. iv
305 Public Health Expenditure Public health spending typically refers to expenditures for such activities as food and
306 drug safety, health inspections, health promotion, public health nursing, vaccination, occupational health, and
307 initiatives and programs to prevent the spread of communicable diseases.

308 In 1995, public health spending accounted for about 4.6% of government expenditures among South Asian
309 countries. As shown in Figure ??, the share of government expenditures allocated to public health generally
310 declined over the period of analysis, although a significant reversal of this trend has since taken place.

311 Health expenditure, public (% of government expenditure) Despite being the member of SAARC, South Asian
312 countries are quite heterogeneous in terms of their level of socioeconomic development. Although South Asia's
313 economy as a whole is growing faster, most of the countries in this region have been left behind the overall
314 economic upturn in Asia. Most of the countries in this region are still struggling with extreme impoverishment;
315 especially, Bangladesh is in most vulnerable condition in terms of percent of population both below \$1.90 and
316 below \$3.10 a day, followed by India, Nepal, and Pakistan (Figure 8). This extreme poverty translates into bad
317 health outcomes, which in turn may affect growth prospects if the matter is not addressed with special attention.
318 In fact, it is difficult for poorer countries to provide adequate preventive and curative health services, and poor
319 individuals and households cannot get rid of unhealthy surroundings, buy enough food or use the health services
320 that exist. Most of the countries in this region are categorized as least developed economies and their health
321 status is low in terms of life expectancy and other indicators. Yet some countries, like Sri Lanka, Maldives, and
322 Bangladesh have had progress in some health indicators because they have focused resources on improving the
323 social determinants of health -education, adult literacy, water, sanitation, health promotion, and food security.

324 Table 3 depicts the latest (mainly 2012) scenario of South Asian country-level profiles for population, economy,
325 and health. Although the factors affecting population growth and size (e.g. birth and mortality rates) are largely
326 outside the control of health system decision-makers, the implications of a growing population in terms of potential
327 demand for healthcare enormous variation in size of population from Maldives to India. Yet, all countries have
328 almost similar high proportions of people living in rural areas indicating that most of the people of SAARC
329 countries are lacking sufficient numbers of healthcare professionals, hospitals, and medical clinics. Moreover,
330 the typical small hospitals in rural areas generally lack high-quality care and equipment. Another problem is
331 the long distance to healthcare center the rural population and ambulance must travel to receive healthcare
332 services and rural residents, as a result, receive medical attention more slowly than their urban counterparts
333 do. In addition, rural areas have an 'aging population' (aged 65 and older) compared to urban areas. This fact
334 of rural age profile adds to the healthcare problems that also must get attention. In sum, the majority of the
335 people in those countries are at a greater risk from different health hazards including mortality compared to
336 urban residents. Life expectancy ranges largely from 66.51 years in Afghanistan to 82.38 years in Sri Lanka in
337 2012, where approximately 8% of the population is 65 years or older, much higher than the proportion for other
338 countries. This is an important point especially when age related diseases are to be considered. The density of
339 both Physician and hospital bed tend to be low across the SAARC communities, with exceptions in Maldives and
340 Sri Lanka. In 2012, total health expenditures as a percent of GDP is expected to range from 2.77% in Pakistan
341 to 11.37% in Maldives. The public sector is forecast to be responsible only for 31.17% of South Asian health
342 expenditure in 2012. The public sector's share is expected to be the highest in Bhutan (73.35%) and the lowest
343 in Afghanistan (20.80%). Health expenditure per capita varies among countries in part because of different age
344 distributions, population density, and geography. Other factors that affect health expenditure include population
345 health needs, the manner in which health care is delivered, and the ways in which health care is financed (degree
346 of public coverage and private insurance). In 2012, the highest per capita spending among SAARC countries is
347 projected to be in Maldives, followed by Sri Lanka. The lowest per person expenditure is forecasted to be in
348 Bangladesh, followed by Nepal.

349 Figure 9 shows how South Asian countries managed the financial crisis reasonably well. However, the real
350 GDP growth has moderated and stayed far below pre-crisis levels. Regional growth slowed from 6.31% in 2011

351 to 5.13% in 2012, driven mainly by the slowdown in India, which accounts for about 80.00% of the region's GDP.
352 India's real GDP growth for 2012 was 5.08%, down from 6.64% in 2011. Of course, its growth rate started to rise
353 from 2013 and reached a regional high, 7.42%, in 2014. Bangladesh economy, characterized by slower export and
354 investment growth, maintained a GDP growth rate above 6.00% with little fluctuation from 2011 to 2013. Sri
355 Lanka, following prudent macroeconomic policies but facing curbed demand in its main export markets, recorded
356 6.34% growth in 2012, down from 8.25% in 2011. However, the economy continued to grow above 7.00% from
357 2013 and reached at 7.37% in 2014. In Afghanistan, real GDP growth for 2012 is estimated at 14.43%, the
358 regional outlier, well above 6.11% in 2011, with a sharp decline to below 2.00%, again, in 2013. In Bhutan, GDP
359 growth was 5.07% in 2012, down from 7.89% in 2011 with further down to 2.04% in 2013 and hence regaining
360 the rising rate at 6.26% in 2014. Nepal and Pakistan recorded higher growth rates in 2014 than in 2011, 2012,
361 and 2013. Maldives experienced a sharp fall in GDP growth from 10.83 in 2011 to 1.49% in 2012, but from 2013,
362 it has been growing nearly at 8.00% (World Bank 2013b). A detailed examination of health indicators of South
363 Asia's four largest countries (Bangladesh, India, Pakistan, and Sri Lanka) conveys a sense of health status of this
364 region. Figure 10 shows these countries' trends in IMR. Sri Lanka has been showing low IMR in this region since
365 1960. Other three have seen substantial declines since 1960, but Bangladesh, which was worst placed until 1985,
366 experienced the most rapid decline and its IMR is now below that of India and Pakistan. A related indicator
367 of declining mortality is rising trend in life expectancy (see Figure 11). The rise in life expectancy seen in all
368 four countries reflects increases in survival likelihood at all stages of the life cycle. Consistent to the IMR, Sri
369 Lanka has highest life expectancy in this region throughout the period. The most appreciable rise, however,
370 has been in Bangladesh, with an increase of more than thirty years since the middle of the last century. Even
371 in Pakistan, which has seen the slowest increase of other three largest countries, life expectancy grew rapidly,
372 gaining slightly above twenty years during the same period. Figure 12 shows the country level picture of public
373 health expenditure as a share of GDP and per capita expenditure in South Asian countries for the year 2013.
374 The values are extremely low (except in Maldives where GDP share in public health expenditure is 6.22% and
375 per capita expenditure is \$720.46, omitted here to avoid outlier problem in Figure 12). In 2013, the public health
376 expenditure as a percentage of GDP was reported to range from 1.28% in India to 3.59% in Bhutan, considering
377 Maldives as an outlier. Total health expenditure per capita varies among the countries. In 2013, Sri Lanka and
378 Bhutan spent more per person on health care than the other countries, at \$102.50 and \$89.75, respectively. The
379 lowest health expenditure per capita has been seen in Bangladesh in 2013, only at \$31.63. The country level
380 profiles of the total health expenditure as percent of GDP are different, but within the country, variation is very
381 small except a sharp rise between 2010 and 2012 for Maldives and a mild decline starting from 2008 in case of
382 Bhutan.

383 Figure 13: Total health expenditure by country (% of GDP) This indicator varied from 3.08% in Pakistan to
384 8.64% in Afghanistan on an average. Note that between 2002 and 2013 the share of GDP allocated to health
385 remained stable in Afghanistan, Bangladesh, Pakistan, and Sri Lanka, while it showed a slight decline in Bhutan
386 and India, and a slight increase in Nepal and the Maldives (Figure 13).

387 V.

388 9 Empirical Results for Models for gdp Growth Rates

389 Table 3 presents the empirical results for GDP growth rates for seven South Asian countries over the period
390 1972-2011 using the SR as the key indicator. Table 5 examines the similar, but replacing SR by life expectancy
391 (LE). Since GDP series are assumed to be of stochastic nature, it is preferable to model GDP growth rates instead
392 of GDP levels (Bhargava, 2001).

393 Empirical evidence presented in Table 4 reveals that the ADR has a significant negative effect GDP growth in
394 all specifications indicating that if there are more idle persons in the economy due to age or other factors, then
395 economic growth will definitely be affected adversely.

396 School enrollment, an important component of human capital variable, has positive and significant impact on
397 GDP growth emphasizing the importance of spreading the light of education to all citizens in this territory. Of
398 course, De La Fuente and Domenech (2001) found the education variable to exert an insignificant impact on GDP
399 growth due, as they suggested, to measurement error in the schooling data. Bloom et al. (2005) instrumented
400 years of schooling by literacy rate to avoid possible measurement error problem; but as the data on literacy rate
401 in SAARC region are very less frequent and also the periods on which data are available are different across
402 countries, we had to rely on year of schooling as a proxy of education. Unlike Bhargava (2001), the log of FR
403 is positively associated with GDP growth rates and is statistically significant in all model specifications of this
404 study. High fertility rates are common in developing countries and may have negative impact on economic growth
405 if work force and employment opportunity do not increase proportionately with the population possibly due to
406 decrease in the ratio of skilled to unskilled labor and also due to increase in demand on resources for health
407 care and education. However, the sample economies considered in this study are predominantly labor intensive.
408 Moreover, there are employment opportunities overseas, especially in the labor market of Middle-eastern countries
409 for the work force of these countries; fertility rate is thus likely to be positively associated with GDP growth
410 rates.

411 Survival rate, the key indicator of health status, is found to be a significant predictor of economic growth.
412 Contradictory to the above result, Heshmati (2001) found health indicator to be insignificant in affecting the

413 economic growth for OECD countries. However, many researchers such as Barro (1996), Peykarjou et al. (2011),
414 and Weil (2005) found LE to exert positive effect on real per capita GDP and economic growth. The empirical
415 results are similar when SR is replaced by LE, but the results with LE are not robust to change in regression
416 techniques. This is perhaps not surprising since LE is strongly influenced by child mortality. Because child
417 mortality itself is affected by unwanted FR in developing countries, the FR and SR could be better indicators of
418 health status (Bhargava, 2001). The parameter estimate of investment-GDP ratio is positive but, its impact on
419 GDP growth is found to be statistically insignificant. When the investment-GDP ratio is replaced by gross fixed
420 capital formation (GFCF) as percent of GDP, however, the impact is positive as well as statistically significant
421 and robust to change in regression techniques (see Table 5); it implies that the increase in investment for physical
422 capital formation affects economic growth positively.

423 The coefficient of lagged GDP is estimated with negative sign that is statistically significant implying a
tendency of regression towards the mean. ^{1 2 3}



Figure 1: Figure 1 :



Figure 2: Figure 2 :



Figure 3: Figure 3 :

424

¹© 2017 Global Journals Inc. (US) Volume XVII Issue II Version I 26 (E)

²© 2017 Global Journals Inc. (US) Volume XVII Issue II Version I

³© 2017 Global Journals Inc. (US)



4

Figure 4: Figure 4 :



5

Figure 5: Figure 5 :



6

Figure 6: Figure 6 :



Figure 7:



Figure 8: Figure 8 :



Figure 9: Data



Figure 10: Figure 9 :



Figure 11: Figure 10 :



Figure 12: Figure 11 :

Figure 13: Figure 12 :

Is Healthier Wealthier? Evidence from Member Countries of South Asian Association for Regional Cooperation (Saarc)

Year 2017

-Global Journal of Human Social Science

The model can be summarized using the following expression:

Variable	Variable name	Data sources
Y	GDP growth rate	WDI
ADR	Age dependency ratio	PWT
O	Openness to trade	WDI
S	School enrolment (secondary)	PWT

Figure 14: Table 1 :

9 EMPIRICAL RESULTS FOR MODELS FOR GDP GROWTH RATES

2

	Population in 2013 (Million)	TFR	2013 Life ex- pectancy at birth in 2014	Annual popu- lation growth (yrs.)	HDI (2014)	in GNI capita, 2011 PPP \$ per in 2014	Adult literacy rate in 2010
South Asia	1,698.09	2.60	68.4	1.38	0.607	5,605	66.54
Sub-Saharan Africa	948.32	5.04	58.5	2.76	0.518	3,363	60.60
Middle East and North Africa	408.73	2.87	70.6	1.92	0.686	15,722	79.99
Latin America and the Caribbean	619.51	2.13	75.0	1.12	0.748	14,242	92.36
East Asia and the Pacific	2,248.92	1.78	74.0	0.67	0.710	11,449	94.93
Europe and Central Asia	900.86	1.71	72.3	0.65	0.748	12,791	99.00
OECD	1,265.80	1.74	80.2	0.78	0.880	37,658	—
World total	7,176.09	2.46	71.5	1.22	0.711	14,301	85.23

[Note: Note: TFR ? total fertility rate (births per woman); HDI ? Human Development Index; GNI ? gross national income (current international \$); PPP ? purchasing power parity. Source: World Bank (2015) and UNDP(2015)]

Figure 15: Table 2 :

3

Category	Indicators	AFG	BGD	BTN	IND	MDV	NPL	PAK	LKA
Population	Total (million) in 2015	32.01	159.86	0.78	1276.20	0.38	28.40	190.40	21.70
	Rural (% of total) in 2012	74.532	68.01	63.63	68.37	57.70	82.48	62.57	81.70
	DR in 2012	95.31	55.93	50.43	54.73	48.71	69.13	67.15	49.76
Economy	GDP , PPP (billion US\$) (2015)	63.5	572.6	6.3	7996.6	5.2	70.7	928.0	233.7
	GDP per capita	1,976	3,581	8,158	6,266	14,980	2,488	4,886	11,068

Figure 16: Table 3 :

4

Variables	health status, 1972-2011					
	Model-1: Pooled OLS		Model 2: WLS		Model 3: Random Effect	
	Coefficient	P-value	Coefficient	P-value	Coefficient	P-value
??????	-0.145539	0.062	-0.115814	0.009	-.1456333	0.019
??	-0.00530451	0.507	0.00524811	0.517	-.0053153	0.506
??	1.18438e-07	0.011	8.56023e-08	0.059	1.18e-07	0.010
ln(?????)	8.98307	0.002	6.75539	0.001	8.98323	0.002
lagged year	1					
ln(?????)	11.1072	0.000	7.05314	0.009	11.11086	0.000
lagged year	1					

Figure 17: Table 4 :

5

Variables	Model-1: Pooled OLS		Model 2: WLS		Model 4: Random Effect	
	Coefficient	P-value	Coefficient	P-value	Coefficient	P-value
??????	-0.048311	0.35970	-0.0759747	0.05254	-.1296323	0.028
??	7.72358e-08	0.10435	6.14167e-08	0.20439	1.24e-07	0.012
??	0.00642157	0.35729	0.012425	0.10359	-.0050468	0.523
ln(??????/???????)	1.41222	0.05296	1.34339	0.05823	.2991911	0.712
lagged 1 year						
ln(?????) lagged 1 year	4.87177	0.06825	5.16965	0.01179	10.15934	0.001
ln(?????) lagged 1 year	9.79321	0.03078	6.69038	0.11999	20.46794	0.000
ln(??????) lagged 1 year	-0.533317	0.04730	-0.349482	0.16277	-1.075228	0.001
ln(??????) lagged 1 year	-1.31617e-08	0.53836	-9.67755e-09	0.67784	-2.30e-08	0.281
Constant	-31.1253	0.08182	-19.2278	0.25958	-71.54191	0.001

Source: Authors Calculation Using STATA software.

Figure 18: Table 5 :

6

Variables	Model 1: Random Effect		Model 2: WLS	
	Coefficient	P-value	Coefficient	P-value
??????	-0.1725739	0.004	-0.107475	0.00526
??	1.06e-07	0.018	6.37556e-08	0.17984
??	-0.0112397	0.129	0.00437981	0.55303
ln(?????????) lagged 1	2.285841	0.008	2.31724	0.00319
year				
ln(????) lagged 1	10.56417	0.000	7.50525	0.00017
year				
ln(????) lagged 1	12.11478	0.000	9.99078	0.01048
year				

Figure 19: Table 6 :

425 VI.

426 .1 Conclusioln

427 Health is considered as the cornerstone of development process forasmuch it plays a role as an instrument for
428 enhancing economic growth, quite apart from being the direct source of human welfare. Appropriate econometric
429 techniques were applied in the analysis to draw inferences about the impact of good health on economic growth.
430 The main finding of the study is that good health has a positive and sizeable impact on economic growth in
431 low-income countries, like SAARC economies. It suggests that an increase in the population's survival prospects
432 of 1.00%, on average, leads to about 0.11% increase in GDP growth rates of the sample countries. This is a
433 relatively large impact, which indicates a policy implication that increased investment in promoting health status
434 results in a number of positive outcomes ranging from a demographic dividend to a more productive workforce.
435 Thus, investment in health with a view to improving survival prospects or life expectancy and other health
436 indexes, even in the low-income countries of South Asia should get priority.

437 [Wdi ()] , Wdi . <http://data.worldbank.org/data-catalog/worlddevelopment-indicators> 2014.
438 2017.

439 [Human Development Index Report ()] , <http://hdr.undp.org/en/composite/HDI> *Human Development
440 Index Report* 2015. (UNDP)

441 [/ademocratic-policy-regime-in-south-asia/ (accessed Author: Alauddin Mohammad ()) 'ademocratic-policy-
442 regime-in-south-asia/ (accessed'. <https://dsgsau.wordpress.com/> Author: Alauddin Mohammad 2014.
443 2014/05/22. March 14, 2017. Development Study Group, South Asian University (A Democratic Policy
444 Regime in South Asia)

445 [Mankiw et al. ()] 'A Contribution to the Empirics of Economic Growth'. N Mankiw , David Gregory , David N
446 Romer , Weil . *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 1992. 107 (2) p. .

447 [Giri et al. ()] 'A Study and Analysis of Challenges, Achievements, and Hurdles Faced by SAARC Nations in
448 Trade Integration and Growth'. P Giri , P Karinje , R Verma . www.ijetmas.com *International Journal of
449 Engineering Technology* 2349-4476. 2015. 3 (1) . (Management and Applied Sciences)

450 [Weil ()] 'Accounting for the Effect of Health on Economic Growth(No. w11455)'. David N Weil . <http://databank.worldbank.org/data/download/WDI-2013-ebook.pdf> *National Bureau of Economic
451 Research* 2005. 2013. 33. (WDI)

453 [Author: authors: Vibhuti Haté, Seth Gannon (2010)] https://csis-prod.s3.amazonaws.com/s3fs-public/legacy_files/files/publication/100715_Hate_PublicHealthSouthAsia_Web.pdf Author: authors: Vibhuti Haté, Seth Gannon, 2010. March 15, 2017. Center for Strategic and
454 International Studies

457 [Engelgau and Maurice ()] *Capitalizing on the Demographic Transition: Tackling Non-communicable Diseases in South Asia*, Michael Engelgau , Maurice . 2011. World Bank Publications.

459 [Sen ()] *Commodities and Capabilities*, Amartya Sen . 1999. OUP Press.

460 [Barro ()] 'Determinants of Economic Growth: A Cross-country Empirical Study. No. w5698'. Robert J Barro .
461 *National Bureau of Economic Research* 1996.

462 [Rivera and Currais ()] 'Economic Growth and Health: Direct Impact or Reverse Causation?'. I V Rivera , Berta
463 , Luis Currais . *Applied Economics Letters* 1999. 6 (11) p. .

464 [Baltagi and Badi ()] 'Editor's introduction Panel data'. Baltagi , H Badi . *Journal of Econometrics* 1995. 68 (1)
465 p. .

466 [Eggoh et al. ()] 'Education, health and economic growth in African countries'. J Eggoh , H Houenivo , G A
467 Sossou . *Journal of Economic Development* 2015. 40 (1) p. 93.

468 [Somayeh et al. ()] 'Effect of health on economic growth: A panel data study of developed and developing
469 countries'. Heydari Somayeh , Mohammadi Teymoor , Shariat Bahadori Mina . *European Online Journal
470 of Natural and Social Sciences* 2014. 2 p. 1273. (3 (s))

471 [López et al. ()] *Health and Economic Growth: Findings and Policy Implications*, G López , B Rivera , L Currais
472 . 2005. London: MIT Press Cambridge.

473 [Bloom et al. ()] 'Health and Economic Growth: Reconciling the Micro and Macro Evidence'. David E Bloom ,
474 David Canning , J Sevilla . *Center on Democracy, Development and the Rule of Law Working Papers*, 2005.
475 42.

476 [Frenk ()] 'Health and the economy: A Vital Relationship'. Julio Frenk . *The OECD Observer* 2004. (243) p. .

477 [Howitt ()] *Health, Human Capital and Economic Growth: A Schumpeterian Perspective*, *Health and Economic
478 Growth: Findings and Policy Implications*, Peter Howitt . 2005. p. .

479 [Arora ()] 'Health, Human Productivity, and Long-term Economic Growth'. Suchit Arora . *The Journal of
480 Economic History* 2001. 61 (3) p. .

9 EMPIRICAL RESULTS FOR MODELS FOR GDP GROWTH RATES

481 [Bhargava et al. ()] 'Modeling the Effects of Health on Economic Growth'. Alok Bhargava , Dean T Jamison ,
482 Lawrence J Lau , Christopher JI Murray . *Journal of Health Economics* 2001. 20 (3) p. .

483 [Rahman et al. ()] *Regional integration and economic development in South Asia*, S H Rahman , S Khatri , H P
484 Brunner . 2012. Edward Elgar Publishing.

485 [Maher Sattar Yap Swee Seng Sarah Muzart (ed.) (2012)] *SAARC and Human Rights: Looking Back and Ways*
486 *Forward*, http://www.forumasia.org/uploads/books/SAARC_PR_body_final.pdf(accessed
487 Maher Sattar Yap Swee Seng Sarah Muzart (ed.) 2012. March 14, 2017. Asian Forum for Human Rights and
488 Development (FORUM-ASIA

489 [Hsiao ()] 'SAARC: origin, growth, potential and achievements'. C Hsiao . *Pakistan Journal of History and*
490 *Culture* 2014. 2006. Cambridge university press. 27 (54) p. . (Analysis of panel data)

491 [De La Fuente and Domenech ()] 'Schooling Data, Technological Diffusion, and the Neoclassical Model'. Angel
492 De La Fuente , Rafael Domenech . *The American Economic Review* 2001. 91 (2) p. .

493 [Shaheen (2013)] I Shaheen . ISSN: 2279-0845. [www.iosrjournals.orgwww.iosrjournals.org](http://www.iosrjournals.org/www.iosrjournals.org)(accessed
494 *South Asian Association for Regional Cooperation (SAARC): Its Role, Hurdles and*
495 *Prospects*, 2013. Sep. -Oct. 2013. March 14, 2017. 15.

496 [Bhargava ()] 'Stochastic Specification and the International GDP Series'. Alok Bhargava . *The Econometrics*
497 *Journal* 2001. 4 (2) p. .

498 [Pey Karjou et al. ()] 'Studying the Relationship Between Health and Economic Growth in OIC Member States'.
499 Pey Karjou , Roghieh Kambiz , Bakhshande Gollu , Rafat Beigpoor Hadi Parhizi Gashti , Shahriar .
500 *Interdisciplinary Journal Of Contemporary Research In Business* 2011. 3 (8) .

501 [Bloom et al. ()] 'The Effect of Health on Economic Growth: A Production Function Approach'. David E Bloom
502 , David Canning , Jaypee Sevilla . *World development* 2004. 32 (1) p. .

503 [Bloom and Canning ()] 'The Health and Wealth of Nations'. David E Bloom , David Canning . *Science* 2000.
504 287 (5456) p. .

505 [Mayer ()] 'The Long-Term Impact of'. David Mayer . *Health on Economic Growth in Latin America, World*
506 *development* 2001. 29 (6) p. .

507 [Feenstra et al. ()] 'The Next Generation of the Penn World Table'. Robert C Feenstra , Robert Inklaar , Marcel
508 P Timmer . *The American Economic Review* 2015. 105 (10) p. .

509 [Lütkepohl and Xu ()] 'The Role of the Log Transformation in Forecasting Economic Variables'. Helmut
510 Lütkepohl , Fang Xu . *Empirical Economics* 2012. 42 (3) p. .