

Differences in Disciplinary Consequence for Texas Middle School Boys as a Function of Ethnicity/Race and Economic Status

John R. Slate¹ and Christopher Eckford²

¹ Sam Houston State University

Received: 13 December 2015 Accepted: 2 January 2016 Published: 15 January 2016

Abstract

Examined in this study was the degree to which differences were present in Juvenile Justice Alternative Education Program (JJAEP) placements for Grade 7 and 8 boys in Texas as a function of their ethnicity/race and economic status. Texas statewide middle school discipline data were obtained from the Texas Education Agency Public Education Information Management System on all boys in the 2010-2011 school year. Inferential statistical procedures revealed the presence of statistically significant differences in JJAEP placements for boys in both Grades 7 and 8 as a function of their economic status and ethnicity/race. In both Grade 7 and Grade 8, Black boys had statistically significantly higher percentage of JJAEP placements than their White counterparts, 3 to 4 times higher. For Hispanic boys in Grades 7 and 8, they had a JJAEP placement rate that was 2 to 3 times higher than the JJAEP placement rate of White boys.

Index terms— economically disadvantaged, expulsion, juvenile justice alternative education program (JJAEP), school-to-prison pipeline, white, hispanic, black.

1 Introduction

he overrepresentation of Black and Hispanic boys in the exclusionary discipline consequences of suspensions and expulsions is not a new finding (Fenning & Rose, 2007). Young men and boys of color are disproportionately affected by suspensions and zero-tolerance policies in schools (U.S. Department of Education, 2014). Suspensions, expulsions, and other disciplinary practices that exclude students from school often contribute to students having poor grades, being disruptive, and being exposed to negative life experiences that further lead them toward a life of crime. Students who are suspended or expelled from school are often stigmatized in ways that compel educators and peers to view them as "problem students," a perception that is difficult to change (Kennedy-Lewis, Murphy, & Grosland, in press; Weiss man, 2015). According to Vox Media (2015), 31% of students who were suspended or expelled were likely to repeat one or more grades, drop out of school, and/or become involved in the juvenile justice system. Frazier, Bishop, and Henretta (1992) agreed that certain individual characteristics, including gender and socioeconomic status, as well as certain community characteristics, such as poverty, urbanization, and income inequality, increase the likelihood that minority youth will come in contact with the juvenile justice system.

In a report by the Council of State Governments Justice Center (2011), repeated suspensions and expulsions predicted poor academic outcomes. Documented in the report was that only 40% of students disciplined 11 times or more graduated from high school during the study period, and 31% of students disciplined one or more times repeated their grade at least once. In another report by the U.S. Department of Education Office for Civil Rights (2014), the 2011-2012 data showed that Black students were suspended or expelled at three times the rate of their White classmates, and 6% of Black students were subject to exclusionary discipline, in comparison to 5% of White students. Also in their analysis, the Council of State Governments Justice Center (2011) documented that inschool suspensions ranged from a single class period to several consecutive days, and out-of-school suspensions

5 D) RESEARCH QUESTIONS

averaged two days per incident; students were assigned to District Alternative Education Program for an average of 27 days, and students serving in Juvenile Justice Alternative Education Program (JJAEP) were off the school campus for an average of 73 days.

Another nationwide matter of growing concern to parents, advocates, and educators is that the presence of police officers in public schools results in the criminalization of disruptive behavior. Whereas other researchers (Skiba & Rausch, 2006) have focused on zero-tolerance policies and the overuse of out-of-school suspension and expulsion as important factors in contributing to the "School-to-Prison Pipeline," Dahlberg (2012) reported additional problems of arrest, in particular the use of arrest to address behaviors that would likely be handled in the school, by school staff, if not for the presence of on-site officers. Teachers and school administrators relied on School Resource Officers (Texas School Safety Center, 2013) to be more than just a presence on campus. School Resource Officers were often used to help manage student behaviors that, in most cases, did not require the assistance of law enforcement. When students were removed from the classroom setting to be taken to jail for behavior that could be addressed with school discipline measures, their academic opportunities are substantially limited. Dahlberg (2012) reported that a large percentage of school-based arrests were for "public order offenses"-conduct that might be disruptive or disrespectful, but that most people would never consider criminal. Consistent with other researchers, Dahlberg (2012) established that students of color and students with disabilities were disproportionately subject to school-based arrests, and in particular to arrests based on disruptive behavior, not criminal activity. According to the Harvard Law Review (2015), juvenile incarceration makes a person more likely to end up in the adult criminal justice system later. In a study of 35,000 juvenile offenders, the authors of the Harvard Law Review (2015) established that offenders who were incarcerated as juveniles twice as likely to go on to be locked up as adults, as those who committed similar offenses and came from similar backgrounds but were given an alternative sanction or simply not arrested." A student who becomes involved in the criminal justice system costs the state much more money than a student who is sitting in a classroom. These findings are an important addition to existing research examining the "school-to-prison pipeline" (Skiba, Aredondo, & Williamson, 2014, pp. 554-555) whereas students are being frequently arrested for minor disruptive behavior that could be better addressed by school administrators, particularly in school districts that rely heavily on police officers in their schools.

2 a) Statement of the Problem

Inequities in school discipline assigned to Black students have been a long studied phenomenon. Racial disparities in school discipline have been noted as important predictors of life opportunity disparities for children as they transition through adulthood (Skiba et al., 2011; ??alden & Losen, 2003). According to the National Center for Education Statistics (2010), the nationwide average suspension rate for Black students is 13%; the highest rate for all ethnic/racial groups. Alarming as it sounds, Black and Hispanic students have been disproportionately assigned school disciplinary consequences for almost half a decade compared to their White and Asian counterparts (Fenning & Rose, 2007 (Smith & Harper, 2015).

Noting these statistics, inequitable school disciplinary practices continue to remove students of diverse racial, ethnic, and economically disadvantaged backgrounds from their school setting, further contributing to the vast achievement gap and increasing their exposure to the school-to-prison pipeline.

3 b) Purpose of the Study

The purpose of this study was to determine the extent to which differences might be present in the proportion of Black, Hispanic, and White Grade 7 and 8 boys were assigned to a juvenile justice alternative education program placement in Texas public schools. Specifically examined was the influence of student ethnicity/race and economic status on school assignment of JJAEP placements for Black, Hispanic, and White students.

4 c) Significance of the Study

Considering the research that exists on the correlation between juvenile justice alternative education program placements and the school-to-prison pipeline, information acquired from this study may be useful to educational leaders and policymakers in Texas. In the course of analyzing statewide data within the three student groups, the effect of being removed from a public school setting to a juvenile based alternative education setting may be revealed. If being assigned to a juvenile justice alternative education program is associated with ethnicity/race or economic status, educational leaders might need to re-evaluate school discipline protocols and procedures.

5 d) Research Questions

The following research questions were addressed in this investigation: (a) What is the difference in the percentage of boys by their ethnicity/race who receive a Juvenile Justice Alternative Education Program placement?; and (b) What is the difference in the percentage of boys by their economic status who receive a Juvenile Justice Alternative Education Program placement? Both research questions were repeated for both grade levels (i.e., Grades 7 and 8) for which data were present, as well as examined for one school year (i.e. 2010-2011).

6 II.

7 Method a) Participants

Participants in this study were White, Black, and Hispanic boys enrolled in traditional Texas public middle school Grades 7 and 8, in the 2010-2011 school year. Data were obtained on all Grade 7 and 8 boys, regardless of whether or not they had been assigned to a JJAEP placement. Data were acquired from the Texas Education Agency Public Education Information Management System, a reporting system that collects data from individual school districts regarding boys and personnel demographics, academic performance, and financial and organizational information (Texas Education Agency, 2006). By request, the Texas Education Agency provided information regarding boy's ethnicity/race, economic status, and whether or not they had received a JJAEP placement.

8 b) Definition of Terms

Expulsion with educational services include removals resulting from violations of the Gun Free Schools Act that are modified to less than 365 days; (c) School-to-prison pipeline was defined by the American Civil Liberties Union (2014) as a disturbing national trend wherein children are funneled out of public schools and into the juvenile and criminal justice systems; and, (d) Juvenile Justice Alternative Education Program (JJAEP) was defined by the Texas Juvenile Justice Department (2015) as a program where students are assigned as a result of violating Texas Education Code Chapter 37 listed offenses which include: mandatory expulsion from their home school for serious infractions of the Student Code of Conduct; discretionary expulsions for serious infractions that occur off-campus as well as other infractions of the Student Code of Conduct; or are court ordered due to title V offenses or probation conditions. As defined by the Texas Education Agency (2011), economically disadvantaged was the sum of the students coded as eligible for free or reduced-price lunch or eligible for other public assistance.

9 III. results

To ascertain whether a statistically significant difference was present in JJAEP placements (i.e., received a JJAEP, did not receive a JJAEP) by ethnicity/race and economic status for Grade 7 and 8 boys in traditional Texas middle schools, Pearson chi-square analyses were conducted.

This statistical procedure was viewed as the optimal statistical procedure to use because frequency data were present for ethnicity/race, economic status, and for JJAEP receipt.

As such, chi-squares are the statistical procedure of choice when both variables are categorical (Slate & Rojas-Le Bouef, 2011).

For the first research question regarding the ethnicity/race of Grade 7 boys who were assigned a JJAEP placement, the result was statistically significant, $\chi^2(2) = 52.13, p < .001$. The effect size for this finding, Cramer's V, was trivial, .02 (Cohen, 1988). As depicted in Table 1, Black boys who were assigned to a JJAEP placement at a rate that was three times higher than the JJAEP placement rate of White boys, and one and a half times higher than the JJAEP placement rate of Hispanic boys. Readers are referred to Table 1 for the results. For the second research question regarding the economic status of Grade 7 boys who were assigned a JJAEP placement, the result was statistically significant, $\chi^2(1) = 72.71, p < .001$. The effect size for this finding, Cramer's V, was trivial, .02 (Cohen, 1988). Boys who were economically disadvantaged had a JJAEP placement rate that was twice the JJAEP placement rate of Grade 7 boys who were not economically disadvantaged. Readers are directed to Table 2 for the frequencies and percentages of JJAEP assignments by student economic status for Grade 7 boys.

10 Programmatic Label

Grade 7 n and % of Total Grade 8 n and % of Total Not Economically Disadvantaged (n = 74) 0.1% (n = 129) 0.2% Economically Disadvantaged (n = 302) 0.3% (n = 381) 0.4%

With respect to the research question regarding the economic status of Grade 8 boys who were assigned a JJAEP placement, the result was statistically significant, $\chi^2(1) = 65.30, p < .001$. The effect size for this finding, Cramer's V, was trivial, .02 (Cohen, 1988). Grade 8 boys who were economically disadvantaged had a JJAEP placement rate that was two times the JJAEP placement rate of Grade 8 boys who were not economically disadvantaged. Delineated in Table 2 are the frequencies and percentages of JJAEP assignments by student economic status for Grade 8 boys. Concerning the research question for Grade 8 boys by their ethnicity/race, the result was statistically significant, $\chi^2(2) = 84.46, p < .001$. The effect size for this finding, Cramer's V, was trivial, .02 (Cohen, 1988). Grade 8 Black and Hispanic boys had a JJAEP placement rate that was four times higher than the JJAEP placement rate of White boys.

11 Discussion

In this investigation, the degree to which differences were present in JJAEP assignment by student ethnicity/race and economic status of Grade 7 and 8 White, Hispanic, and Black boys was examined. Statistically significant differences in JJAEP assignments were revealed for each inferential analysis regarding Grade 7 and Grade 8 boys by their ethnicity/race and economic status. Black and Hispanic boys in both Grade 7 and in Grade 8 received statistically significantly more JJAEP assignments than their White counterparts. Moreover, Grade 7 and Grade 8 boys who were economically disadvantaged received statistically significantly more JJAEP assignments than

their counterparts who were not economically disadvantaged. Although a small sample size was present for Grade 7 and 8 boys by ethnicity/race, readers should note that this sample constituted 100% of JJAEP assignments for this school year. Readers should also note that JJAEP assignments are serious consequences as they expose students to an alternative learning environment, not equivalent to that of a traditional public school. Of the 367 Grade 7 boys who received a JJAEP assignment, Black boys received 20% more JJAEP assignments compared to their White counterparts who only received 10% respectively. Of the 498 Grade 8 boys who received a JJAEP assignment, Black and Hispanic boys received 30% more JJAEP assignments compared their White counterparts who only received 10% respectively. Of the 376 Grade 7 boys who received a JJAEP assignment, those boys who were economically disadvantaged received 20% more JJAEP assignments compared to their peers who were not economically disadvantaged. Of the 510 Grade 8 boys who received a JJAEP assignment, those boys who were economically disadvantaged had twice the percentage (20%) of JJAEP assignments than did their peers who were not economically disadvantaged.

Results of this statewide investigation are congruent with the suspension rates of Black students and of students of low economic status ??Evans et No attempt was made in this study to examine if differences were present in JJAEP assignments for Grade 7 and 8 girls by ethnicity/race and economic status. Therefore, this study should be expanded in further studies.

Other questions that could be considered for future research include: (a) What is the difference in the number of JJAEP days assigned to Grade 7 and 8 boys as a function of student ethnicity/race and economic status?;(b) What is the difference in the number of JJAEP days assigned to Grade 7 and 8 girls as a function of student ethnicity/race and economic status? A word of caution is given to readers concerning the generalizability of findings. This study was limited to Grade 7 and Grade 8 boys in the State of Texas. Additionally, data were analyzed for only one year and could represent an abnormality that may prevent the study's findings from applying it to other students in Texas and other states. A multi-year study would improve the generalizability of this study.

; Gregory, Skiba, & Noguera,
2010; Hilberth & Slate, 2014; Jones et al., 2014, 2015;
Shore, 2012;

Figure 1:

Ethnicity/Race	Grade 7 nand % of Total	Grade 8 nand % of Total
White	(n = 63) 0.1%	(n = 76) 0.1%
Hispanic	(n = 224) 0.2%	(n = 326) 0.4%
Black	(n = 80) 0.3%	(n = 96) 0.4%

Figure 2:

1

Figure 3: Table 1 :

180

¹© 2016 Global Journals Inc. (US)

²Differences in Disciplinary Consequence for Texas Middle School Boys as a Function of Ethnicity/Race and Economic Status

2

Figure 4: Table 2 :

Figure 5:

-
- [Smith and Harper ()] , E J Smith , S R Harper . 2015.
- [Sullivan et al. ()] ‘Beyond behavior: Multilevel analysis of the influence of sociodemographics and school characteristics on students’ risk of suspension’. A L Sullivan , D A Klingbeil , E R Van Norman . *School Psychology Review* 2013. 21 (1) p. . University of Pennsylvania (Disproportionate impact of K-12 school suspension and expulsion on Black students in southern states)
- [Breaking schools’ rules: A statewide study of how school discipline relates to students’ success and juvenile justice involvement ()] *Breaking schools’ rules: A statewide study of how school discipline relates to students’ success and juvenile justice involvement*, from https://csgjusticecenter.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/12/school-discipline-report_PR_final.pdf 2011. Council of State Governments Justice Center.
- [Civil rights data collection. Data snapshot: School discipline Office for Civil Rights ()] ‘Civil rights data collection. Data snapshot: School discipline’. <http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/docs/crdc-discipline-snapshot.pdf> Office for Civil Rights 2014. (1) . U.S. Department of Education
- [Evans et al. ()] ‘Classroom management and discipline: Responding to the needs of young adolescents’. K Evans , J Lester , Anfara , V A Jr . *Middle School Journal* 2010. 41 (3) p. .
- [Khan and Slate ()] ‘Disciplinary consequence differences in Grade 6 students as a function of race, ethnicity, and economic status’. M Khan , J Slate . *Journal of School Administration Research and Development* 2016. (1) p. 1.
- [Jones et al. ()] ‘Discipline inequities between White and Hispanic middle school students: An analysis of the research literature’. M C Jones , J R Slate , C Martinez-Garcia . <http://cojeel.-org/wp-content/uploads/2013/06/JEELvolno6.pdf> *Journal of Ethical Educational Leadership* 2014. (6) p. 1.
- [Expansive survey of America’s public schools reveals troubling racial disparities: Lack of access to pre-school, greater suspensions cited, <http://www.ed.gov/news/press-releases/expansive-survey-americas-public-schools-revealstroubling-racial-disparities> 2014. U.S. Department of Education. (Press release)
- [JJAEP programming ()] from <https://www.tjjd.texas.-gov/services/jjaep.aspx> *JJAEP programming*, 2015.
- [Hilberth and Slate ()] ‘Middle school Black and White student assignment to disciplinary consequence: A clear lack of equity’. M Hilberth , J R Slate . 10.1177/0013-124512446218. *Education and Urban Society* 2014. 46 (3) p. .
- [Skiba et al. ()] *More Than a Metaphor: The Contribution of Exclusionary Discipline to a School-to-Prison Pipeline. Equity and Excellence in Education*, R J Skiba , M I Arredonda , N T & Williams . 10.1080/10665684.2014.958965. 2014. 47 p. .
- [New data from U.S. Department of Education highlights educational inequities around teacher experience, discipline and high school rigor, <http://www.ed.gov/news/press-releases/new-data-us-department-education-highlights-educational-inequities-around-teachers-experience-discipline-and-high-school-rigor> 2012. U.S. Department of Education. (Press release)
- [Fenning and Rose ()] *Overrepresentation of African American students in exclusionary discipline: The role of school policy*, P Fenning , J Rose . 10.1177/004208590-7305039. 2007. Urban Education. 42 p. .
- [Weissman ()] *Prelude to prison: Student perspectives on school suspension*, M Weissman . 2015. Syracuse, NY: Syracuse University Press.
- [Public Education Information Management System Overview ()] *Public Education Information Management System Overview*, <http://tea.texas.gov/index4.aspx?-id=3541> 2006.
- [Skiba et al. ()] ‘Race is not neutral: A national investigation of African American and Latino disproportionality in school discipline’. R J Skiba , R H Horner , C G Chung , M K Rausch , S L May , T Tobin . <http://youthjusticenc.org/download/education-justice/disparities/Race%20is%20not%20Neutral.pdf> *School Psychology Review* 2011. 40 (1) p. 85107.
- [School climate and discipline: Know the data ()] *School climate and discipline: Know the data*, <http://www2.ed.gov/policy/-gen/guid/school-discipline/data.html> 2011. U.S. Department of Education. (Press release)
- [Jones et al. ()] *School discipline and Grade 6 Hispanic and White student academic performance: A statewide investigation*, M C Jones , J R Slate , C Martinez-Garcia . 2015. Hauppauge, NY: Nova Publishers. 32.
- [School suspensions: Are they helping children? ()] *School suspensions: Are they helping children?*, 1975. Cambridge, MA: Washington Research Project. (Children’s Defense Fund)
- [School-based law enforcement Texas School Safety Center ()] ‘School-based law enforcement’. <https://txssc.tx-state.edu/topics/law-enforcement/> *Texas School Safety Center* 2013.

- [School-to-prison pipeline American Civil Liberties Union ()] 'School-to-prison pipeline'. from <https://www.aclu.org/issues/juvenile-justice/school-prison-pipeline?redirect=node/29079>
American Civil Liberties Union 2014.
- [Gregory et al. ()] 'The achievement gap and the discipline gap: Two sides of the same coin? Educational Researcher'. A Gregory , R J Skiba , P A Noguera . 10.3102/0013189X0935762110. <http://harvardlawreview.org/2015/04/policing-students/> *Policing students*, 2010. 2015. 39 p. 128.
- [Gonzalez and Szecsy ()] *The condition of minority access and participation in Arizona*, J M Gonzalez , E M Szecsy . <http://www.asu.edu-/educ/eps/AEPI/EPSSL0405-108-AEPI.doc> 2004. 2004.
- [Dahlberg ()] *The criminalization of school discipline in Massachusetts' three largest school districts*. Retrieved from American Civil Liberties Union website, R Dahlberg . <https://www.aclu.org/report/arrested-futures-criminalization-school-discipline-massachusetts-three-largest-school> 2012.
- [Skiba and Peterson ()] 'The dark side of zero tolerance: Can punishment lead to safe schools?'. R Skiba , R Peterson . *Phi Delta Kappan* 1999. 80 p. .
- [Vox Media ()] *The school-to-prison pipeline*, Vox Media . <http://www.vox.com/2015-/2/24/8101289/school-discipline-race> 2015.
- [Frazier et al. ()] 'The Social Context of Race Differentials in'. C Frazier , D Bishop , J Henretta . *Juvenile Justice Dispositions. Sociological* 1992. 33 (3) p. .
- [Kennedy-Lewis et al.] 'Using narrative inquiry to understand persistently disciplined middle school students'. B L Kennedy-Lewis , A S Murphy , T J & Grosland . *International Journal of Qualitative Studies in Education* In Press.
- [Skiba and Rausch ()] 'Zero tolerance, suspension, and expulsion: Questions of equity and effectiveness'. R Skiba , M K Rausch . *Handbook of classroom management: Research, practice, and contemporary issues*, C M Evertson & C S Weinstein (ed.) (Mahwah, NJ) 2006. Lawrence Erlbaum. p. .