Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

Data Analytics and Managing Health and Medical Care Jeffrey E. Jarrett¹ ¹ University of Rhode Island. *Received: 6 December 2015 Accepted: 5 January 2016 Published: 15 January 2016*

6 Abstract

17

The purpose is to introduce the demand for the quality movement practice in problems 7 associated with public health, diagnostic testing and other health related problems. We 8 examine problems involving (1) Multivariate control charts which simultaneously monitor 9 correlated variables; (2) we explain why the scale on multivariate control charts is unrelated to 10 the scale of the individual. Variables control charts: and (3) discover that out of control 11 signals in multivariate charts do not reveal which variable or combination of variables causes 12 the signal and application of quality monitoring. New methods provide methods for MPC 13 charts focus on the average run length as the decision factor. We indicate that other decision 14 criteria in multivariate control charts are available and these methods can be useful in 15 evaluating the design and implementation of multivariate charts in special circumstances. 16

20 1 Introduction

ublic Health and Water Quality management involve the leveraging of channel wide integration to better serve 21 public needs. Increases in productivity and quality control and improvement will follow when public health 22 managers implement and coordinate quality management activities upstream. Public health management should 23 recognize anew the aspects of quality control and quality assurance requires two duties to be undertaken. First, 24 25 we refer to the process whereby measures are taken to make sure defects in services are not part of the final 26 output, and that the output meets quality and acceptable health standards. Second, one may observe that quality assurance entails overlooking all aspects, including design, development, service, installation, as well as 27 documentation. The Quality movement is the field that ensures that management maintains the standards set 28 and continually improves the quality of the output. The quality movement [Lee and Wang (2003] offers users 29 sound lessons that can be very powerful to address public health lessons. Instead of final, end-service source 30 inspection, the quality movement emphasizes prevention, total quality management, source inspection, process 31 control and continuous improvement. These are all ingredients for successful and effective ways to manage and 32 mitigate the risks in public health application such as water quality control {See Woodall, (2005) We introduce 33 the philosophy and methods of the quality improvement to achieve the best results of health service operations. 34 This paper focuses on supply chain planning with quality control in an environment with multiple service centers 35 and multiple customers. We first discuss the needs for quality planning in the supply chain environment to focus 36 37 on where the notion of statistical process (or quality) control (SPC or SQC) is so vital to the performance of a 38 health programs' environment to focus on where the notion of SPC fits and why it is so vital to the performance 39 of public health programs in the global environment. In turn, we introduce and discuss the desire for more sophisticated methods to insure that quality and improvement is maintained in public health processes including 40 water treatment systems. 41

While public health programs are so crucial to the general health of society, these health systems must be sustained by both preventative and emergency measures. Zhang, Yu and Huang (2009) propose several sophisticated strategies for dealing with SPC strategies in an environment where service flows continue over

¹⁸ Index terms— public health and water treatment, statistical process control (SPC), medical care, multivariate 19 quality control, auto correlated time series, average

time. Their study presents principle agent models regarding the consumer's quality evaluation and the supplier's 45 quality prevention level decisions. Studies such as this may produce results not heretofore examined by the 46 practioner's of SPC in public health and water quality. In addition, threats to water quality are real and many 47 48 and measures must be developed to indicate when water quality and similar processes are not operating in an efficient and productive manner. These measures include those of SPC which will indicate when risks are 49 present in the inspection processes in water treatment and public health programs. Since public health programs 50 are increasingly globalized, these SPC measures must be strategically incorporated inspection and monitoring 51 programs and the choice of the particular SPC procedures are critical in developing an optimal plan. 52

Most SPC methodologies assume a steady state process behavior where the influence of dynamic behavior 53 either does not exist or is ignored. The focus is on the control of only one variable at a time and distinguishes 54 between Phases I[analysis of historical data] and II [monitoring quality levels]. Specifically, SPC controls for 55 changes in either the measure of location or dispersion or both. SPC procedures as practiced in each phase may 56 disturb the flow of the service production process and operations. In recent years, the use of SPC methodologies 57 to address the process where behavior is characterized by more than one variable is emerging. The purpose of 58 this next section is to review the basic Univariate procedures to observe how one improves the performance of 59 60 SPC to achieve better measures in Phase II by considering run length performance.

61 **2** II.

⁶² 3 Univariate (SHEWHART) Control Charts

A Shewhart control chart which is the central foundation of univariate SPC has one major shortcoming. This control chart is considers only the last data point and does not carry a memory of the previous data. As a result, small changes in the mean of a random variable are not likely to be detected rapidly. Exponentially weighted moving average (EWMA) charts improve upon the detection of small process shifts. Rapid detection of relatively small changes in the quality characteristic of interest and ease of computations through recursive equations are some of the many good properties of the EWMA chart that make it attractive.

EWMA chart achieves faster detection of small changes in the mean. The EWMA chart is used extensively in time series modeling and forecasting for processes with gradual drift **??**Box and Draper, 1998).EWMA provides a forecast of where the process will be in the next instance of time. It thus provides a mechanism for dynamic process control (Hunter, 1986).

⁷³ Late, examples of these methods will be analyzed.

The EWMA is a statistic for monitoring the process that averages the data in a way that gives exponentially less and less weight to data as they are further removed in time. The procedures for developing EWMA control charts give details on implementing this type of Phase I system. ??Montgomery (2013) contains the development of the models for finding the control limits in this for the univariate charts and need not be discussed further at this point.]

In many situations, the sample size used for process control is n = 1; that is the sample consists of an individual 79 80 unit [Montgomery and Runger, (2003)]. In such a situation, the individuals control chart is used. The control chart for individuals uses the moving range of two successive observations to estimate the process variability. Such small 81 samples may lead to false signals which increase the likelihood of Type II errors, i.e., the error of leaving a process 82 alone when it should be stopped and a search for the malfunctions should be implemented. Public health models 83 were further explored in detail by Often, in public health and water treatment programs, the distinction between 84 Phases I and II is not clear. Sonesson and Bock (2003) pointed out problems and issues related to statistically 85 86 based evaluations. Researchers, often, did not examine average run length (ARL) of a proposed method over a 87 variety of alternative process shifts. ARL performance of a proposed method or program for an in-control state and for a single shift in the service process for which the proposed detection program optimizes must be evaluated. If 88 the system is not optimized, misplaced control limits may result. The system for detection of quality shifts is sub-89 optimized and better techniques should be sought. In the next section, we introduce methods and their possible 90 use in processes having dynamic inputs [Yeh and Hwang, (2004)]. Alwan(1992) found that more than 85% of 91 process control applications studied resulted in charts with possibly misplaced control limits. In many instances, 92 the misplaced control limits result from the autocorrelation of the process observations, which violates a basic 93 assumption often associated with the Shewhart chart ??Woodall (2000)). Autocorrelation of process observations 94 has been reported in many industries, including cast steel (Alwan (1992), wastewater treatment plants (Berthouex, 95 Hunter, and Pallesen (1978)), chemical processes industries (Montgomery and Mastrangelo (1991) and many other 96 97 service industries and programs. Several models have been proposed to monitor processes with auto correlated 98 observations. Alwan and Roberts (1988) suggest using an autoregressive integrated moving average (ARIMA) 99 residuals chart, which they referred to as a special cause chart. For subsample control applications, Alwan and 100 Radson (1992) describe a fixed limit control chart, where the original observations are plotted with control limit distances determined by the variance of the subsample mean series. Montgomery and Mastrangelo (1991) use 101 an adaptive exponentially weighted moving average (EWMA) centerline approach, where the control limits are 102 adaptive in nature and determined by smoothed estimate process variability. Lu and Reynolds (1999) investigate 103 the steady state ARL of cumulative sum (CUSUM), EWMA, and Shewhart control charts for auto correlated 104 data modeled as a first order A problem with all these control models is that the estimate of the process variance 105

is sensitive to outliers. If assignable causes are present in the data used to fit the model, the model may be 106 incorrectly identified and the estimators of model parameters may be biased, resulting in loose or invalid control 107 limits (Boyles (2000)). To justify the use of these methods, researchers have made the assumption that a period of 108 "clean data" exists to estimate control limits. Therefore, methods are needed to assure that parameter estimates 109 are free of contamination from assignable causes of variation. Intervention analysis, with an iterative identification 110 of outliers, has been proposed for this purpose. The reader interested in more detail should see Alwan (2000, pp 111 301-307), Atienza, Tang and Ang (1998), and Box, Jenkins, and Reinsel (1994, pp. 473-474 and 2008). Atienza, 112 Tang, and Ang (1998) recommend the use of a control procedure based on an intervention test statistic, ?, and 113 show that procedure is more sensitive than ARIMA residual charts for process applications with high levels of 114 positive autocorrelation. They limit their investigation of intervention analysis, however, to the detection of a 115 single level disturbance in a process with high levels of first order autocorrelation. Wright, Booth, and Hu (2001) 116 propose a joint estimation method capable of detecting outliers in an auto correlated process where the data 117 available is limited to as few as 9 to 25 process observations. Since intervention analysis is crucial to model 118 identification and estimation, we investigate varying levels of autocorrelation, autoregressive and moving average 119 processes, different types of disturbances, and multiple process disturbances. 120

The ARIMA and intervention models are appropriate for auto correlated processes whose input streams are 121 122 closely controlled. However, there are quality applications, which we refer to as "dynamic input processes," 123 where this is not a valid assumption. The treatment of wastewater is one example of a dynamic process that must accommodate highly fluctuating input conditions. In the health care sector, the modeling of emergency 124 room service must also deal with highly variable inputs. The dynamic nature of the input creates an additional 125 source of variability in the system, namely the time series structure of the process input. For these applications, 126 modeling the dynamic relationship between process inputs and outputs can be used to obtain improved process 127 monitoring and control as discussed by Alwan (2000, pp. 675-679). West, Delana and Jarrett (2002) proposed the 128 following transfer function model to solve problems having dynamic behavior. If a process quality characteristic 129 a t, has a time series structure, an ARIMA model of the following general form can represent the undisturbed 130 or natural process variation:? (B) a (B)z t = 0(B) at(1)131

In equation (1), B represents the back-shift operator, where B (z t) = z t-1. The value of ? (B) represents the polynomial expression (1 -?1 (B) -? -?1 B p), which models the autoregressive (AR) structure of the time series. The value of the ? (B) represents the polynomial (1 -? 1 (B) -? -? q B q), which models the moving average (MA) structure of the time series. The value of a (B) represents the expression (?? -B)?? 1 (1 - B 8) ??2

, where $d = d \ 1 + sd \ 2$. This quantity is a polynomial in B that expresses the degree of differencing required to achieve a stationary series and accounts for any seasonal pattern in the time series. Finally, a t is a white noise series with distribution N (O

140 4 ??

141). This model is described by ??iu (1993a, 1993b). If the series z t is contaminated by periods of external
142 disturbances to the process, the ARIMA model may be incorrectly specified, the variability of the residuals
143 overestimated, and the resulting control limits incorrectly placed.

The following transfer function model of Box and Tiao (1975) describes the observed quality characteristic, Y T, as a function of three courses of variability: $Y t = v(B) \times t-b + ??(??) ??(??) I t + ?(??) ?(??) a t(2)$

The first term v(B)x t-b, is the dynamic input term and represents an impulse function. v(B), applied to the 146 input x t-b with a lag of b time periods. If a dynamic relationship between the input and output time series exists, 147 148 lagged values of process inputs can be modeled, resulting in considerable reduction of unexplained variance. The second term, (w (B)/??(B)It, is the intervention term and identifies periods of time when assignable causes are 149 present in the process. Here, I t is an indicator variable with a value of zero when the process is undisturbed and 150 a value of one when a disturbance is present in the process. See, for example, Box, Jenkins and Reinsel (1994, 151 p 392, or 2008) for the development of the transfer function term, and Box, Jenkins and Reinsel (1994, p 462, 152 or 2008) for details of the intervention term. The rational coefficient term if I t is a ratio of polynomials that 153 defines the nature of the disturbance as detailed in Box, Jenkins and Reinsel (1994, p 464, or 2008). The third 154 term (0(B)/? (B) at , is the basic ARIMA model of the undisturbed process from Equation (9). We refer to 155 Equation (10) as the "transfer function" model throughout this paper. 156

Different types of disturbances can be modeled by the proper design of the intervention term. The two most common disturbances for quality applications are a point disturbance, with an impact observed for only a subsequent observations. The point disturbance is modeled as an additive outlier (AO). An AO impacts the observed process at one observation. The AO is modeled in the form?? (??) ?? (??) = w o (3)

where w o is a constant. A step disturbance to the process is modeled as a level-shift outlier (a form of innovational outlier or IO) in the form.

163 ?? (??)?? (??) = ???? 1???(4)

164 Chang, Tiao, and Chen (1988) and Chen and Liu (1993a, 1993b) discuss both types of disturbances.

Chang, Tiao, and Chen (1988) extended the concepts of Box and Tiao (1975) to an iterative method for detecting the location and nature of outliers at unknown points in the time series. The above researchers defined procedures for detecting innovational outliers and additive outliers and for jointly estimating time seriesparameters. Their work also demonstrates the need for future study of the nature of outliers.

169 **5 III.**

¹⁷⁰ 6 Multivariate Control Charts

Multivariate analyses utilize the additional information due to the relationships among the variables and these concepts may be used to develop more efficient control charts than simultaneously operated several univariate control charts. The most popular multivariate SPC charts are the Hotelling's T 2 (see Sullivan and Woodall (1996) and multivariate exponentially weighted moving average (MEWMA) (Elsayed and Zhang, 2007). Multivariate control chart for process mean is based heavily upon Hotelling's T 2 distribution, which was introduced by Hotelling (1947). Other approaches, such as a control ellipse for two related variables and the method of principalZ i = ? ?? I +(?? -?) Z i-1 (5)

Where I is the identity matrix, Z is the i th EWMA vector,?? is the average ith observation vector I = 1, 2? n, ? is the weighting matrix. The plotting statistic isT i 2 = Z i ? ?1 ???? Z i(6)

Lowry and Montgomery(1995) showed that the (k, 1) element of the covariance matrix of the ith EWMA, ? 181 Zi is? Zi (k, 1) = ?? k ?? 1

Montgomery and Wadsworth (1972) suggested a multivariate control chart for process dispersion based1-(1-?? k) I (1-?? l) i] ? k, 1(7)[?? k + ?? l -?? k ?? l]

where ? k, 1 is the (k, 1) element of ?, the covariance matrix of the??'s.

If ?? 1 = ?? 2 = ??.. = ?? p = ??, then the above expression simplifies to? Zi (k, 1) = ?? 2??? [1 ? (1 ? ??) 2i] ?(8)

187 [where ? is the covariance matrix of the input data].

There is a further simplification. When I becomes large, the covariance matrix may be expressed as:? Zi = ?? 2?????(9) UCL = (|??| 1b 1) (b 1 + 3b 2 ½) CL = |??| (10) UCL = (|??| 1b 1) (b 1 + 3b 2 ½) where b 1 = [1/(n-1) p ???(???1) ?? = 1 (11) and b 2 = [1/(n-1) 2P]???(???1) ?? = 1 [???(????2) ?? + 2) ?? = 1 ? ????????????????? = 1(12)

¹⁹² In the next section, we explore how multivariate methods improve process control in the supply chain. IV.

¹⁹³ 7 Interpretation of Multivariate Process Control

Multivariate quality control (MPC) charts (Hotelling, 1947, Jackson, 1956, 1959and 1985, Hawkins, 1991, and
1993, Kalagonda and Kulkarni, 2003, Wierda, 1994, and Jarrett and Pan, 2006, 2007aand 2007b ?? Mestik,
Mastrangelo and Forrest, 2002) have several advantages over creating multiple Univariate charts for the same
business situation:

1. The actual control region of the related variables is represented. In the bivariate case the representation is elliptical. 2. You can maintain a specific probability of a Type 1 error (the risk). 3. The determination of whether the process is out of or in control is a single control limit.

Currently, there is a gap between theory and practice and this is subject of this manuscript. Many practitioners and decision-makers have difficulty interpreting multivariate process control applications although the book by Montgomery (2013) addresses many of the problems of understanding not discussed in the technical literature noted before. For example, the scale on multivariate charts is unrelated to the scale of any of the variables, and an out-of-control signal does not reveal which variable (or combination of variables causes the signal).

Often one determines whether to use a univariate or multivariate chart by constructing and interpreting a correlation matrix of the pertinent variables. If the correlation coefficients are greater than 0.1, you can assume the variables correlate, and it is appropriate to construct a multivariate quality control chart.

The development of information technology enables the collection of large-size data bases with high dimensions and short sampling time intervals at low cost. Computational complexity is now relatively simple for online computer-aided processes. In turn, monitoring results by automatic procedures produces a new focus for quality management. The new focus is on fitting the new environment. SPC now requires methods to monitor multivariate and serially correlated processes existing in many time series of public health and water treatment programs.

SPC emphasizes the properties of control for decision making while it ignores the complex issues of process parameter estimation. Estimation is less important for Shewhart control charts for serially independent processes because the effects of different estimators of process parameters are nearly indifferent to the criterion of average run length (ARL). Processes' having serial correlation, estimation becomes the key to correct construction of control charts. Adopting workable estimators is then an important issue.

In the past, researchers studied SPC for serially correlated processes and SPC for multivariate processes separately. Research on quality control charts for correlated processes focused on Univariate processes. ??ox, Jenkins, and Macgregor (1974) and Berthouex, Hunter and Pallesen (1978) noticed and discussed the correlated observations in production processes. Alwan and Roberts (1988) In Alwan and Roberts' approach, a time series is separated into two parts that are monitored in two charts. One is the common-cause chart and the other is the special-cause chart. The common cause chart essentially accounts for the process's systematic variation that is represented by an autoregressive integrated-moving-average (ARIMA) model, while the special cause chart is

for detecting assignable causes that can be assigned in the residual of the ARIMA model. That is, the special 227 cause chart is designed as Shewhart-type chart to monitor the residuals filtered and whitened from the auto 228 correlated process (with certain or estimated parameters). In this analysis, the authors suggest methods used in 229 conventional quality control software (i.e., Minitab) entitled multivariate T 2 and Generalized Variance control 230 charts. These multivariate charts show how several variables jointly influence a process or outcome. For example, 231 you can use multivariate control charts to investigate how the tensile strength and diameter of a fiber affect the 232 quality of fabric or any similar application. If the data include correlated variables, the use of separate control 233 charts is misleading because the variables jointly affect the process. If you use separate univariate control charts 234 in a multivariate situation, Type I error and the probability of a point correctly plotting in control are not equal 235 to their expected values. The distortion of these values increases with the number of measurement variables. 236 In the next section, we will consider an illustration. Whenever the variables are correlated, multivariate control 237 charts will achieve superior perform. A correlation matrix will show whether the variables are cross correlated. 238 As we noted in the above charts, if the variables cross correlated, the use of separate control charts is misleading 239 because the variables jointly affect the process. If you use separate univariate control charts in a multivariate 240 situation, a Type I error and the probability of a point correctly plotting in control are not equal to their expected 241 values. The distortion of these values increases with the number of measurement variables stated differently, the 242 243 results of the use of univariate analysis are biased.

244 When one finds the out of control point in a multivariate control chart, the solution is often not very simple. 245 An out of control point will not easily indicate which or how many of the variables give evidence of a special cause. When one finds out-of-control points, one may wish to create separate univariate charts to investigate 246 each variable. However, one must interpret these charts with great caution since these charts do not account for 247 the multivariate nature of the process data. Last, there are additional topics that can aid the data analysts in 248 identifying the causes of processes being out of control. These "G and H" [Benneyan, (2001)] charts provide for 249 monitoring the number of cases between hospital-acquired infections and other adverse events. Much of these 250 methods are now included in various in commercial quality control software. 251

252 V.

253 8 Conclusions and Suggestions

We discussed the control chart usage and illustrate why better procedures are available to supply chain managers. 254 For example, we illustrated methods developed by ??lwan Kulkarni (2003 and, and Jarrett and Pan, (2006, 255 2007a and 2007b) indicate additional ways in which one can improve upon the multivariate methods currently 256 available in commercial quality control software such as Minitab® and others. These newer techniques provide 257 more statistically accurate and efficient methods for determining when processes are in or not control in the 258 multivariate environment. When these methods become commercially available, practitioners should be able to 259 260 implant these new statistical algorithms for multivariate process control charts (MPC) using ARL measure to 261 control and improve output.

These new methods provide methods for MPC charts focusing on the average run length. The purpose is 262 to indicate how useful these techniques are in the supply chain environment where processes are multivariate, 263 dynamic or both. Simple SPC charts though very useful in simple environments may have limited use in public 264 health. In any event, future research should focus on exploring the characteristics of the public health and finding 265 the best model to implement quality planning and improvement programs. Multivariate analysis should provide 266 many of the new tools for adaption in improving health and water quality. The costs of, stoppages and threats 267 to the public health will diminish when managers explore the usefulness of multivariate methods noted before. 268 Last, these quality analysts much be trained, retrained and continually trained in those methods that best fit the 269 supply chain environment. Simple Shewhart methods no longer are sufficient to manage in the global environment 270 of public health. The intensive use of automatic data acquisition system and the use of computing for process 271 monitoring have led to an increased occurrence of monitoring processes that utilize statistical process control. 272 These analyses are performed almost exclusively with multivariate methodologies. Often, today, analysts utilizeG 273 charts when one desires to monitor the number of opportunities or, in many cases, the number of days between 274 rare events, such as infections or surgical complications. For example, in cases of Wrong-site surgeries, patient 275 falls, infection outbreaks, accidental needle stick and harmful medication errors. Last, mathematical modelers 276 in recent year have made great strides in predicting rare events. This modeling method may show promise in 277 the future to explain and identifying rare events and is likely to produce newer and better methods for improved 278 quality control methods. Novak (2011) shows methods for treating the cases of rare events in many applications 279 that have similar statistical properties as those in public health. 280

1. Multivariate correlated variables. charts simultaneously monitor

Figure 1:

Figure 2:

- [Box et al. ()], G E P Box, G M Jenkins, G C Reinsel. Time Series Analysis, Forecasting and Control 1994.
 2008. Prentice-Hall.
- [Montgomery and Runger ()], D C Montgomery, G C Runger. Applied Statistics and Probability for Engineers
 2003. Wiley. 3.
- [Yeh et al. ()] 'A Likelihood-Ration-Based EWMA chart for monitoring variability of multivariate normal
 processes'. A B Yeh , L Hwang , Y Wu . *IIE Transactions* 2004. 36 p. .
- [Lowry et al. ()] 'A Multivariate Exponentially Weighted Moving Average Control Chart'. C A W Lowry , C W
 Woodall , Champ , S E Rigdon . *Technometrics* 1992. 43 p. .
- [Sonesson and Bock ()] 'A Review and Discussion of Prospective Statistical Surveillance in Public Health'. C
 Sonesson , D Bock . Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series A 2003. 166 p. .
- [Lowry and Montgomery ()] 'A Review of Multivariate Charts'. C A Lowry , D C Montgomery . IIE Transactions
 1995. 27 p. .
- [Sullivan and Woodall ()] 'A Review of Multivariate Charts'. J H Sullivan , W H Woodall . Journal of Quality
 Technology 1996. 28 p. .
- [Atienza et al. ()] 'A SPC Procedure for Detecting Level Shiftsof Auto correlated Processes'. O O Atienza , L C
 Tang , B W Ang . Journal of Quality Technology 1998. 30 p. .
- 297 [Alwan ()] L C Alwan . Statistical Process Analysis, (New York, NY) 2000. Irwin-McGraw-Hill.
- [Papaioannon ()] 'Application of Multivariate Statistical Methods for Groundwater and Biological Quality
 Assessment in the context of Public Health'. A Papaioannon . *Environ Monit Assess* 2010a. 170 p. .
- [Pan and Jarrett ()] 'Applying State Space into SPC: Monitoring Multivariate Time Series'. X Pan , J Jarrett .
 Journal of Applied Statistics 2004. 31 p. .
- [Papaioannon ()] 'Assessment and Modeling of Groundwater Quality Data by Environmentric Methods in the
 Context of Public Health'. A Papaioannon . Water Resources Management 2010b. 24 p. .
- [Box et al. ()] G E P Box , G M Jenkins , G C Reinsel . Time Series Analysis: Forecasting and Control 3 rd and
 4thed, 1994 and 2008. Wiley.
- Box and Luceno ()] G E P Box , A Luceno . Statistical Control: By Monitoring and Feedback Adjustment
 Wiley-Interscience, 1997.
- [Lu and Reynolds ()] 'Control Charts for Monitoring the Mean and Variance of Auto correlated Processes'. C W
 Lu , M R Reynolds . Journal of Quality Technology 1999. 31 p. .
- 310 [Elsayed et al. (2007)] 'Design of Optimum Simple Step-Stress Accelerated Life Testing Plans'. E A Elsayed,
- H; S Zhang, T Dohi, S Osaki, K Sawaki. Recent Advancement of Stochastic Operations Research 2007.
 January 2007. World Scientific.
- ³¹³ [Kalagonda and Kulkarni ()] 'Diagnosis of multivariate control chart signal for auto correlated processes'. A A
 ³¹⁴ Kalagonda , S R Kulkarni . Communications in Statistics-Theory and methods 2003. 32 (8) p. .
- [Yang and Rahim ()] 'Economic Statistical Process Control for Multivariate Quality Characteristics under
 Weibull shock model'. S F Yang , R A Rahim . International Journal of Production Economics 2005. 98
 p. .
- [Alwan ()] 'Effects of Autocorrelation on Control Charts'. L C Alwan . Communication in Statistics-Theory and Methods 1992. 21 p. .
- [English and Sastri ()] 'Enhanced Quality Control in Continuous Flow Processes'. J R English , T Sastri .
 Computers and Industrial Engineering 1990. 19 p. .
- [Chang et al. ()] 'Estimation of Time Series Parameters in the Presence of Outliers'. I Chang , G C Tiao , C
 Chen . *Technometrics* 1988. 30 p. .
- [Novak ()] 'Extreme Value Methods with Applications to Finance'. S Y Novak . CRC Monographs on Statistics
 & Applied Probability 2011. Chapman and Hall.
- [Chen and Liu ()] 'Forecasting Time Series with Outliers'. C Chen, I Liu. Journal of Forecasting 1993b. 12 p. .
- ILee and Wang ()] Higher Supply Chain Security with Lower Cost: Lessons from Total Quality Management, H
 L Lee, S Wang. 2003. Palo Alto, CA: Stanford Graduate School of Business. (Research Paper No. 1824)
- Box and Tiao ()] 'Intervention Analysis with Applications to Economic and Environmental Problems'. G E Box
 , G C Tiao . Journal of the American Statistical Association 1975. 70 p. .
- 331 [Montgomery ()] Introduction to Statistical Quality Control, D C Montgomery . 2005. Wiley.
- [Chen and Liu ()] 'Joint Estimation of Model Parameters and Outlier Effects in Time Series'. C Chen , I Liu .
 Journal of the American Statistical Association 1993a. 88 p. .
- ³³⁴ [Wright et al. ()] 'Joint Estimation: SPC Method for Short-run Auto correlated Data'. C M Wright , D E Booth
- , M Y Hu . Journal of Quality Technology 2001. 33 p. .

8 CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

- [Testik ()] 'Model Inadequacy and Residuals Control Charts for Auto correlated Processes'. M C Testik . Quality
 and Reliability Engineering 2005. 21 p. .
- Berthouex et al. ()] 'Monitoring Sewage Treatment Plants: Some Quality Control Aspects'. P M Berthouex , E
 Hunter , L Pallesen . Journal of Quality Technology 1978. 10 p. .
- [Jarrett and Pan ()] 'Monitoring Variability and Analyzing Multivariate Autocorrelated Processes'. J E Jarrett
 , X Pan . Journal of Applied Statistics 2007b. 34 (4) p. .
- [Mastrangelo and Forrest ()] 'Multivariate Auto correlated Processes: Data and Shift Generation'. C M Mastrangelo , D R Forrest . Journal of Quality Technology 2002. 34 p. .
- [Hotelling ()] 'Multivariate Quality Control'. H Hotelling . Techniques of Statistical Analysis, Hastay Eisenhart,
 Wallis (ed.) 1947. McGraw-Hill.
- [Jackson ()] 'Multivariate Quality Control'. J E Jackson . Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods
 1985. 14 p. .
- ³⁴⁸ [Hawkins ()] 'Multivariate Quality Control Based on Regression Adjusted for Variables'. D M Hawkins .
 ³⁴⁹ Technometrics 1991. 33 p. .
- [Kalagonda and Kulkarni ()] 'Multivariate quality control chart for auto correlated processes'. A A Kalagonda ,
 S R Kulkarni . Journal of Applied Statistics 2004. 31 p. .
- [Tracy et al. ()] 'Multivariate Quality Control Charts for Individual Observations'. N D Tracy , J C Young , R I
 Mason . Journal of Quality Technology 1992. 24 (2) p. .
- Wierda ()] 'Multivariate Statistical Process Control: Recent Results and Directions for Future Researches'. S J
 Wierda . Statistica Neerlandica 1994. 48 p. .
- [Benneyan ()] 'Number-between g-type Statistical Quality Control Charts for Monitoring Adverse Events'. J C
 Benneyan . Health Care Management Science 2001. 4 p. .
- [Boyles ()] 'Phase I Analysis for Auto correlated Processes'. R A Boyles . Journal of Quality Technology 2000.
 32 p. .
- [Jackson ()] 'Quality Control Methods for Several Related Variables'. J E Jackson . Technometrics 1959. 1 p. .
- [Jackson ()] 'Quality Control Methods for Two Related Variables'. J E Jackson . Industrial Quality Control 1956.
 12 p. .
- [Zhang et al. ()] 'Quality Control Strategy in Supply Chain under symmetric information'. C Zhang , H Yu , X
 Huang . International Journal of Operations Research 2009. 4 p. .
- [Hawkins ()] 'Regression Adjustment for Variables in Multivariate Quality Control'. D M Hawkins . Journal of
 Quality Technology 1993. 25 p. .
- Wardell et al. ()] Run-Length Distribution of Special-Cause Control Charts for Correlated Processes, D G
 Wardell , H Moskowitz , R D Plante . 1994. p. 36. (Technometrics)
- [Montgomery and Wadsworth ()] 'Some Techniques for Multivariate Quality Control Applications'. D C Mont gomery , H M Wadsworth . ASQC Technical Conference Transactions, (Washington, DC, May) 1972. p.
 .
- 372[Montgomery and Friedman (ed.) ()]Statistical Process Control in a Computer-Integrated Manufacturing Envi-373ronment, D C Montgomery , J J Friedman . J.B. Kates and N.F. Hunele (ed.) 1989. New York: Marcel
- Dekker, Inc. Series in Quality and Reliability. (Statistical Process Control in Automated Manufacturing)
- [Montgomery and Mastrangelo ()] 'Statistical Process Control in a Computer-Integrated Manufacturing Environment'. D C Montgomery , C M Mastrangelo . Statistical Process Control in Automated Manufacturing, J
 B Kates, N F Hunele (ed.) (New York) 1991. Marcel Dekker, Inc. Series in Quality and Reliability.
- [Harris and Ross ()] 'Statistical Process Control Procedures for Correlated Observations'. T J Harris , W H Ross
 Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering 1991. 69 p. .
- [Molnau et al. ()] 'Statistically Constrained Economic Design of the Multivariate Exponentially Weighted
 Moving Average Control Chart'. W E Molnau , D C Montgomery , G C Runger . Quality and Reliability
 Engineering International 2001. 17 p. .
- [Maragah and Woodall ()] 'The Effect of Autocorrelation on the Retrospective X-Chart'. H O Maragah , W H
 Woodall . Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation 1992. 40 p. .
- [Hunter ()] 'The Exponentially Weighted Moving Average'. J S Hunter . Journal of Quality Technology 1986. 18
 p. .
- ³⁸⁷ [West ()] 'The Impact of First Order Positive Auto regression on Process Control'. D West , Jarrett , J .
 ³⁸⁸ International Journal of Business & Economics 2004. 3 p. .
- 389 [Jarrett and Pan ()] 'The Quality Control Chart for Monitoring Multivariate Auto correlated Processes'. J E
- Jarrett, X Pan. Computational Statistics and Data Analysis 2006. 51 p. .

- [Woodall ()] 'The Use of Control-Charts in Health Care and Public Health Surveillance'. W H Woodall . Journal
 of Quality Technology 2005. 38 p. .
- [Alwan and Radson ()] 'Time-Series Investigation of Subsample Mean Charts'. L C Alwan , D Radson . IIE
 Transactions 1992. 24 p. .
- [Alwan and Roberts ()] 'Time-Series Modeling for Detecting Level Shifts of Autocorrelated Processes'. B M
 Alwan , H V Roberts . Journal of Business and Economics Statistics 1988. 6 p. .
- West et al. ()] 'Transfer Function Modeling of Processes with Dynamic Inputs'. D West , S Delana , J Jarrett .
 Journal of Quality Technology 2002. 34 p. .
- 399 [Jarrett and Pan ()] 'Using Vector Autoregressive Residuals to Monitor Multivariate Processes in the Presence
- of Serial Correlation'. J E Jarrett , X Pan . International Journal of Production Economics 2007a. 106 p. .