Global Journals $ensuremath{\mathbb{A}}\ensuremath{\mathsf{T}}\xspace{\mathbb{F}}\ensuremath{\mathbb{K}}\xspace{\mathbb{F}}\$

Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

Akanbi Oluwatoyin¹

¹ University of Abuja

Received: 14 December 2015 Accepted: 2 January 2016 Published: 15 January 2016

5 Abstract

1

2

3

Household size is one of the determinants of socioeconomic development of any country. It is 6 the total number of people living in a house, sharing certain things in common and may 7 contain more than a family: it includes the fathers, mothers, children and other dependents 8 that live under the same roof and having certain things in common. Household size varies in 9 size in space as a result of diverse reasons. In the light of this, the present study is conceived, 10 in order to establish the relationship between household size and health status of rural areas of 11 Federal Capital Territory, Nigeria. The study involved 172 household heads across the six area 12 councils of the study area. Random sampling technique was adopted to obtain all the relevant 13 data. In all, in-depthinterviews (IDI), Focus Group Discussions (FGDs) were conducted and 14 questionnaires were also administered in the randomly selected settlements of the wards on 15 the subject matter. Data from all these sources were subjected to correlation analysis. The 16

¹⁷ study concludes that, there is high proportion of household size in the study area with its

¹⁸ attendance effects on the health status of the rural populace. In the face of this finding, the

study recommends manageable household size as one of the conditions for healthy living upon which economic growth can evolve. This can be achieved through adequate family education.

21

22 Index terms— household size; healthstatus, rural areas.

²³ 1 Introduction

ousehold size is a central to planning of socioeconomic sector of any country. Policies relating to housing, health, 24 education and other aspect of governance are planned with data from households. Household is not same thing 25 26 as family and according to America Heritage Idioms Dictionary (2005), family is a basic social unit, consisting 27 of parents and their children considered as a group whether dwelling together or not. However, NPC (2013) described household as a person or group of persons, related or unrelated who usually live together in the same 28 dwelling unit, have common cooking and eating arrangement. Similarly, Havilland (2003) also defined household 29 as a situation where one or more people live in same dwelling and also share at meals or living accommodation, 30 and may consist of a single family or some other grouping people. 31

Household is relevant for many purposes and this according to United Nations ??1973) includes: it's in housing analysis, because household is made up of single houses. Additionally, household is the unit of census and survey enumeration, thus it is statistically relevant. Household assists in having understanding of family size, household headship, needed in formulating population based policies (NPC, 2014).

According to Hurtubia, Gallay and Bierlaie (2010) a household size is determined by age, ethnic group (culture), sex, education, marital status among others. This explains its geographical variation in space: in some places, there is marked high proportion in the number of households, while reverse is the case in others (Mohammed, Andreal, Barrere, Ekalevi and Otto, 2010).

Household heads are in most cases males in many African traditional societies, but in a few other cases, females may head: female headship is not common in many African cultures (Varga, 2001). In Nigeria, National Bureau of Statistics (2012) in a survey carried out in the period 2006-2010, submitted that, male-headed household constituted 84.8%, while female headed was 15.2%. All these have their effects on economic status of the people and on health status. World Bank, (2014) affirmed that, in Nigeria, poverty level remains at 33.1% and majority of people live on less than 2 dollars per day. However the incidence of poverty is high in the rural than urban

5 A) SOCIO-ECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF RESPONDENTS

area, however the fact remains that, slum dwellers that are also part of urban setting are not free (Akanbi, 2014).
This among other reasons may have explained why poverty level is high among Nigerians.

On the other hand, WHO (1946) defined health as a state of complete physical, mental and social wellbeing and

⁴⁹ not merely the absence of health according disease or infirmity. It follows therefore that, a healthy person must

50 be of sound health. This definition has been criticized, because it is considered to be flexible and unreasonable.

51 For instance according to the critics, it is not possible for a human being to be in complete state of health.

52 Aboriginal and Health and Medical Research Council (2015) also referred to health as the social, emotional, and

53 cultural well-being of the whole common in which each individual is able to achieve their full potentials as a 54 human being, through the total wellbeing is extended to their communities. Central to all these definitions is

⁵⁵ that, health is a resource of life, upon which socio-economic activities lies.

56 Studies have confirmed the links between household size and poverty level (Lajouw and Ravallion, 1994 and 57 Anyanwu, 2013).

Large household size has impoverished the rural areas, because of the poor economic base that has manifested in diseases and poor economic growth, which has further aggravated the poverty level of the rural people (Kimoon, 2011; WHO, 2014 and Olawuyi and Adetunji, 2015). WHO (2014) identified income (which also determine the poverty level) as one of the determinants to health, which is peculiar to many developing countries.

According to MDG's report (2015), the most common diseases in FCT are malaria, typhoid, cholera, abdominal pain, dysentery, chicken pox, diarrhea and diabetes. Thus, Adesina (2015) in an online post estimated that

about 75% of Nigerians particularly those living in the rural area prefer to solve their health problems consulting
 traditional healers. This may not be unconnected with low disposable income among other reasons (Srvastava,
 2011).

It is in the light of this that this work is conceived and therefore, the aim of this study is to look at the relationship between household size and health status, using the rural areas of Federal Capital Territory as a case study. This aim is achieved through the following objectives: evaluate the household size and examine the relationship between household size and health status of rural areas of Federal Capital Territory, Nigeria.

71 **2** II.

72 The Study Area

⁷³ 3 Material and Method

74 The data used in this study were obtained from primary and secondary sources. The secondary source included 75 National Population Commission (NPC) publications and maps while the primary sources involved were Focus

Group Discussions (FGDs), questionnaire and in-depth-interview (IDI). In obtaining relevant data for the study, multi-stage sampling technique was adopted. Three stage sampling method was adopted in the selection of household. The first stage in sampling process is the identification of wards from each local area councils (Table

1). The second stage in involved, picking of 10.0% of all the settlements in each area council, constituting the sampled settlements.

The uniformity in the choice of 10.0% is as a result of variation in the number of settlements per ward so as to ensure total coverage of the study area (Table 2). The third stage is the selection of households purposively in the settlements that make up the study area. Household (HH) is a group of people living together and maintaining unique eating arrangement (NBS, 2010). The respondents (Households) were estimated using National Population Commission (1991) estimated mean household for each settlement in Federal Capital Territory (as at 1991) put at 4.2. The use of 1991 census data is informed by the fact that, there is no current population data that disaggregate into localities.

In carrying out the analysis of data collected, regression analysis test was used and is of form: Y = ? 0 + ? 1X 1 + ? Where Y=Dependent Variable X 1 =Independent Variables ? 0 and ? 1 = Coefficients ? =Error III.

90 4 Results

⁹¹ 5 a) Socio-Economic Characteristics of Respondents

⁹² This part of the study explains the socioeconomic characteristics of the respondents in relation to sex, marital ⁹³ status, occupation, educational attainment, religion and income.

Less N5,000 (52.5) N5,000-N10,000(22.5) N11,000-N16,000(12.5) N17,000-N22,000(7.5) N23,000-N28,000(3.7) More than N29,000(1.3)

96 Source: Field Survey, 2015.

The profile of respondents in Table 3 shows that, 85.0% are male, while the remaining 15.0% are female. In Africa society, discussions that has to with family lies with heads, who are mostly men. Furthermore, 95.0% of the respondents are married, while 5.0% are spinsters. The married are able to give detail knowledge of what they understand as traditional medicine, and whether it should be encouraged or not. In the same vein, majority of the respondents are farmers. Farmers and artisans constitute 52.5% and 15% of the respondents respectively, while professionals and traders are 13.5% and 11.5% in that order whiles the unemployed is 7.5%. About 17.50 % of the respondents have non-formal education, and 30.0% have primary education. Similarly, 104 20% and 10% of respondents have secondary and tertiary education respectively. Respondents without formal 105 education constitute 22.5%.

106 Christians and Muslims constitute 30% and 27.5% respectively, while African Traditional Religion is 42.5%.

Similarly, Table 3 reveals that, 52.5% of respondents earn less than N5, 000 per month, while 22.5% earns between
N5, 000 and 10,000. In the same vein 12.5% of respondents earn between N11, 000 and N16, 000 and 7.5% earns

between #17,000 and N22, 000 monthly. Suffice to add that 3.7% and 1.3% earn between N23, 000 and N28, 000 and above respectively N29, 000

and above respectively N29, 000

¹¹¹ 6 b) Household Size in Study Area

The number of children born into human society is a social activity, which can be used to measure the socio-112 economic status of a people. In any society where more children are born in addition to the existing population 113 without corresponding increase in economic activities, would always create a worse scenario. Although, the 114 number of wives doesn't determine the number of children, but it adds to household size. Among the rural 115 populace in Africa, the study area inclusive marrying a wife is seen as a sign of laziness, in fact, people with 116 a wife are not considered to be relevant in decision making. This assertion is without considering the socio-117 economic consequences, which are manifested in high poverty level. Table 4 reveals that, 6.3% of respondents 118 have had between 1-2children. Additionally, 7.5% have between 3-4 children, while 22.5% have between 5-119 6children. Similarly, 27.5% and 33.2% of respondents have between 7-8 and 9 and above children. Lastly, 3.0% 120 have never had children. In an FGD discussion, a discussant who has many children averred that: 121

"As a Muslim, Islam encourages Islamic adherents to bear many children, so that horizon of Islam can be broadened. So if we bear few children, how do we achieve this tenet" (FGD, Paikok-ore, Gwagwalada LAC, 2015).

There is controversy as to what is an ideal household size: NPC (2013) in a survey of Nigeria estimated that 49.5% of the respondents agreed that more than six (6) children are ideal for a household. However, National Bureau of Statistics (2012) submitted that the mean household size of Federal Capital Territory was 4.5.

Without to the prejudice to volume of household in the study area, the pattern of size of household is determined by occupational and cultural factors. All of which are considered relevant in explanations on education, food and health status. In an interview, a retired nurse is of the opinion that: "Too many children can further aggravate the already existing poverty with its negative effects on the system. From experience, uncontrolled child bearing has led to inability to meet the necessities of life". (IDI, Kwakwu village, Kuje LAC, 2015).

The bulk of people of respondents who believes in large household size are confined in the rural areas: this is informed by the nature of occupation and cultural reasons.

A discussant during one of FGDs, who has traversed nooks and crannies of the study area averred that:

"My experience in the study area reveals that, high proportion of household in FCT rural is determined by
nature of our occupation. We need hands to work on the farm since we can't afford modern farming technique'.
??FGD, Karshi AMAC, 2015).

Central to all these submissions is that, household size in the study area is a major social issue that has effectson health of the rural areas.

¹⁴² 7 c) Null Hypothesis

H 0 : There is no significant relationship between household size and health status of rural areas of Federal
 Capital Territory, Nigeria. IV.

145 8 Discussion

From the foregoing, the rural areas of study area have high proportion of household size. This trend has been associated to a number of factors including social, cultural and economic. The study area is known for peasant farming, as they constituted 52.5% of the respondents and with majority earning less than N5, 000 per month.

Additionally, an ideal household should be based on income, occupation and state of health. An ideal household should be a type that income cannot sustain.

In the study area, 1.3% of the respondents earn more than N29, 000 per month, which is not adequate for a household size of 12 (highest in the area).

No matter, how available essentials of life are (including health facilities), they may not be accessible because poor disposable income. The overall effects is that socio-economic sector will continue to be retarded.

155 **9** V.

¹⁵⁶ 10 Conclusion and Recommendation

Household is the smallest unit of the social unit, upon which development evolve. It varies from one area to another as a result of social, cultural and economic differences. This study reveals that there are links between household size and poverty with its attendance effects on the study area: the higher the household size, the higher

160 the poverty Bearing in mind the above relationship between the duos, the study recommends that, affordable

161 household size is ideal, for sustainable development which cannot be achieved in the absence of healthy living. This can be achieved with the aid of adequate family planning education.



Figure 1:

162

 $^{^{1}}$ © 2016 Global Journals Inc. (US)



Figure 2: Figure 1 :

1

Local	Wards	LocalWards	Local Wards	
Area		Area	Area	
Council		Council	Council	
Kuje	i.Kuje Central	Kwalii.Pai	Gwag walaido- Kore	
	ii.Chibiri	ii.Kilankwa	ii.Ibwa	
	iii.Gaube	iii.Kundu	iii.Dobi	
	iv.Kabivi.	iv.Kwali Central	iv.Ikwa	
	v.Kwaku	v.Wako	v.Tunga-Maje	
	vi.Rubochi	vi.Yabu	vi.Gwako	
	vii.Gwargwada	vii.Dafpa	vii.Quarters(Phasei,ii&iii)	
	viii.GudunKarya	viii.Yangoji	viii.Kutunku	
	ixKujekwe	ix.Ashara	ix.Zuba	
	x.Yenche	x.Gunbwo	x.Dagiri	
Abaji	i.Agyana/Padangi	Bwari.Shere	AMA CCityCenter	
	ii.Gawu	ii.Igu	ii.Garki	
	iii Rimba/Ebagi	iii.Kawu	iii.Wuse	
	iv.Nukun/sabongari	iv.Ushafa	iv.Kabusa	
	v.Alu/Mawopi	v.Usuma	v.Kuyi	
	vi.Yaba	vi.Kubwa	vi.Gwarinpa	
	vii.Gurdi	vii.Byazhi	vii.Karu	
	viii.Abaji Central	viii.Bwari Central	viii.Orozo	
	ix.Abaji North-East	ix.Kuduru	ix.Nyanya	
	x.Abaji South-East	x.Dutse	x.Gwagwa	
			xi.Jiwa	
			xii.Karsi	

Source: Field Survey, February, 2015.

Figure 3: Table 1 :

$\mathbf{2}$

Local Area	No. of	Sampled Settlements	Estimated Household=	Number of
Council	Settlements	(SS)	$(SS \times Mean HH)$ (4.2^*)	Questionnaire
Kuje	60	6	25	58
Kwali	60	6	25	58
Gwagwalada	54	6	25	58
Bwari	108	11	46	107
AMAC	40	4	17	40
Abaji	82	8	34	79
TOTAL	404	41	172	400

[Note: Source: Field Survey, February, 2015.]

Figure 4: Table 2 :

3

	Frequency	Percentage	
Sex	Male(146)	Male (85)	
	Female(26)	Female(15)	
Marital	Married(163)	Married (95)	
Status			
	Spinster(9)	Spinster (5)	
Occupationarming(90)		Farming (52.5)	
	Artisan (26)	Artisan (15)	
	$\operatorname{Professionals}(23)$	$\mathbf{Professionals}(13.5)$	
	$\operatorname{Trading}(20)$	Trading(11.5)	
	Civil Service (13)	Civil Service (7.5)	
EducationNon-formal (30)		Non-formal (17.5)	
	Primary(52)	Primary(30)	
	Secondary(34)	Secondary(20)	
	Tertiary(17)	Tertiary(10)	
	None (39)	None (22.5)	
Religion	Christianity(52)	Christianity(30)	
	Muslim	(47)Muslim	(27.5)
	ATR	(73)ATR	(42.5)
Income	Less N5,000 (90)		
	N5,000-N10,000(39)		
	N11,000-N16,000(22)		
	N17,000-N22,000(13)		
	N23,000-N28,000(6)		
	More than N29,000		

Figure 5: Table 3 :

$\mathbf{4}$

Number of Children	Frequency	Percentage (%)		
1-2	25	6.3		
3-4	30	7.5		
5-6	90	22.5		
7-8	110	27.5		
9 and Above	133	33.2		
Never had a child	12	3.0		
Total	400	100.0		
Source: Field Survey, 2015.				

Figure 6: Table 4 :

 $\mathbf{5}$

Health Status

Figure 7: Table 5 :

- 163 [Havilland et al. ()], W A Havilland, Anthropology, Belmont, Wadsworth.https://wikipedis,a.org/ 164 wiki/Household 2003.
- 165 [America Heritage Idioms Dictionary ()], 21/10/2015.fromdictionary.reference.-com/browse/ 166 family America Heritage Idioms Dictionary 2005.
- [United Nations Fund for Population Activities ()], unfpa.org/abuja.html United Nations Fund for Population Activities 2015.
- [Adesina ()] S K Adesina . Traditional Medicare in Nigeria (OnlineNigeria.com), 2015.
- [Srwastava ()] 'An Analysis of the Determinants of Access to Medicines and Health Care in Developing Country
 Settings'. D Srwastava . London School of Economics 2011.
- [Olawuyi and Adetunji ()] Assessment of Rural Households Poverty in Nigeria: Evidence from Ogbomosho
 Agricultural Zone of Oyo State, S O Olawuyi , M O Adetunji . 22/10/2015fromwww.sciencedomain.
 org/absract907 2015.
- [Hurtubia et al. ()] Attributes of Households, Locations and Real-Estate Markets for Land Use Models, R;
 Hurtubia , Gallay , E Bierlake . 2010.
- [Ki-Moon ()] Cited in UNDESA 2011: International Decade for Action Water for Life, B Ki-Moon . /2012www.
 un.-org/waterforlifede 2011. 2005-2015.
- [Mohammed et al. ()] 'Demographic and Health'. A Mohammed , L Andreal , B; Barrere , K A Ekouevi , J Otto *General Household Survey Report*, (Abuja) 2010. 2012. 1995-2005 and 2009-2010. 14. Federal Government
 of Nigeria (National Bureau of Statistics)
- [Anyanwu ()] Marital Status, Househouse Size and Poverty in Nigeria: Evidence from 2009/2010 Surveys Data.
 African Development Bank Group, J C Anyanwu . 2013. (Working Paper No.180)
- [Millennium Development Goals ()] /10/2015fromwww.mdgfcta-buja.net/Baseline/Health.aspx
 Millennium Development Goals, 2015. (MDGs in FCT)
- [Lanjouw and Ravallion ()] 'Poverty and Household Size'. Lanjouw , M Ravallion . www.worldbank.org/
 curated/-en/1994/08698115/poverty-household-size *Policy Working Paper* 1994.
- [Social Statistics in Nigeria, Federal Government of Nigeria, Abuja. 13. National Population Commission (NPC) (Nigeria) and IC
 Social Statistics in Nigeria, Federal Government of Nigeria, Abuja. 13. National Population Commission
- (NPC) (Nigeria) and ICF International (2014) The Nigeria Demographic and Health Survey, 2010. Calverton,
 Maryland, USA. National Bureau of Statistics
- [Akanbi ()] 'Spatial Pattern of Public Health Facilities and its Implications on the Rural People of Kuje Area
 Council'. O Akanbi . Geo. Studies Forum 2014. 7 (1&2) p. .
- 194 [Varga ()] 'The Forgotten Fifty Percentage: Review of Sexual and Reproductive Health Research and Pro-
- grammes focused on Boys and Young Men in Sub-Saharan Africa'. C A Varga . African Journal of Reproductive
 Health 2001. 3 (1) p. .
- 197 [Bank (2013)] The Nigerian Economic Report. (The Punch, World Bank. 2013. May, 2013.
- 198 [United Nations (1973) The Determinant and Consequences of Population Trends: New Sample of Findings on Interaction of Der
- ¹⁹⁹ 'United Nations (1973) The Determinant and Consequences of Population Trends: New Sample of Findings ²⁰⁰ on Interaction of Demographic'. *Economic and Social Factors* 1. (United Nations)
- 201 [World Health Organization (1946) Preamble to the Constitution of the World Health Organization] World
- 202 Health Organization (1946) Preamble to the Constitution of the World Health Organization,