Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

Challenges in Globalising Public Education Reform By Agustinus Bandur St Pauls College of Education Received: 7 February 2011 Accepted: 8 March 2011 Published: 20 March 2011

6 Abstract

In a globalising and internationalising world, the spread of public education reforms across 7 national boundaries and cultures has multiplied. More particularly, efforts to restructure 8 public schools with an emphasis on implementing School-Based Management (SBM) have 9 become the keystones of reform in many countries. In Indonesia, since the UNDP (1997) 10 found how the poor quality of national education and low human resources quality impacted 11 on severe economic and social problems in the late 1990s, national education decision-makers 12 have been struggling to create better national quality education. With the turn of the 21st 13 Century, Indonesian education reforms agenda have been emphasized on shifting public 14 education policy and management from centralized bureaucratic fashion to more decentralized 15 democratic structure. This paper highlights the challenges of the globalising world in 16 education with particular reference to the adoption of effective SBM in Indonesia. The data 17 reported here are on the basis of an empirical survey involving 504 school council members, 18 including school principals from Ngada Flores followed by 42 interviews with all relevant 19

20 stakeholders.

21

22 Index terms— globalization, education reforms, school-based management, challenges

23 1 INTRODUCTION

24 ince the twentieth century, the development of educational policy and practice has been dominated by 25 Anglo-American initiatives with a pre-eminent position in terms of global economic development, education, communications and technology ??Dimmock & Walker, 2000; ??alker & Dimmock, 2000; Jones, 1971). They 26 affirm that as developed societies, they possess the resources and ideas to innovate and to lead change. The 27 continuation of this phenomenon, known as globalisation, seems assured as other developing countries follow suit. 28 Jones (1971) points out the major reasons of globalisation for cultural borrowing, including: the overseas education 29 of leaders, a belief in education as a vehicle for economic and social advacement, international legitimacy for policy 30 formulation, and even the benefits of studying foreign systems of education, including the resultant improved 31 understanding on one's own system. However, even if the globalisation has become an emergent phenomenon, yet 32 as theory, policy and practice are transported globally. They always interface and/or interact with the cultures 33 of different countries. In fact, as policies of SBM spread from Anglo-American About: Research-Based Evidence 34 35 from Flores Primary Schools systems to become more globalised, what are the challenges confronted by school 36 leaders in the context of Indonesia? Responding to this question can influence the effective implementation of 37 decentralized education policy through SBM in Indonesia. This paper highlights the challenges of SBM within an increasingly rapid globalising educational context. The article is based on a doctoral dissertation study, with an 38 empirical survey involving 504 school council members, including school principals from Ngada Flores followed 39 by 42 interviews with all relevant stakeholders. One of the major objectives of the study was to analyse the 40 challenges and problems hampering the implementation of SBM and seek remedial strategies. The first part of 41 the paper clarifies the concepts of globalisation in the context of worldwide public education reforms through 42 SBM. In the second part, the research methodology applied in the research is explained. The third part provides 43

44 research findings and discussions. Finally, it presents a brief conclusion and relevant recomendations in coping 45 with the challenges.

46 **2** II.

47 **3** GLOBALISATION IN EDUCATION POLICY REFORMS

Globalisation is simply referred to the spread of ideas, policies and practices across national boundaries ??Walker & Dimmock, 2000: 227). Globalisation in the form of educational management policy implies the export of theory, policy and practice from some systems, mainly the Anglo American world into developing countries ??Dimmock & Walker, 2000). In the context of public educational management reforms, the imported theory, policy and practice is referred to School-Based Management (SBM). ??andur (2008: 31) explains SBM as the a worldwide education reform strategy that appears under various terms -site-based management, site-based decision making, school-based decision making and school-based governance.

Nowadays, SBM has increasingly become a worldwide movement towards autonomy for shareddecision making 55 and a partnership within the school community for the purposes of achieving school improvements and student 56 achievements (Bandur, 2009; ??andur & Gamage, 2009;Cheng & Mok, 2007; S Gamage, 2006Gamage, , 57 1996aGamage, , 1996b;;Caldwell, 2005). On the basis of research conducted in Australia and other countries, 58 ??amage (1996a: 65) defines SBM as a pragmatic approach to a formal alteration of the bureaucratic model of 59 school administration with a more democratic structure. It identifies the individual school as the primary unit of 60 improvement relying on the redistribution of decision-making authority through which improvements in a school 61 are stimulated and sustained. Other scholars consider SBM as the approach to serve students better by improving 62 the school practices in meeting the diverse expectations of the stakeholders in a changing environment towards 63 increasing student achievements (Cheng & Mok, 2007;Caldwell, 2005). For these reasons, models of SBM have 64 become largely accepted as a major reform initiative both in developed nations including Australia, New Zealand, 65 the UK, the USA and developing countries such as Indonesia, Hong Kong and Thailand. In Australian context, 66 decades of research have revealed that school governance with devolving of decision-making authority to school 67 level has provided greater freedom and autonomy, achieving greater equity among schools as well as creating 68 69 equality of opportunity for students and flexibility in using school facilities (The ACT Department of ??ducation 70 & Training, 2004;Bush & Gamage, 2001;Gamage, 1996b;Caldwell, 1993). However, the implementation of SBM 71 in Australia faces certain challenges. Chapman (1988) has reported some principals who lost their legal authority and regulatory powers experienced ambiguity of roles. Even though other principals welcome the collaboration 72 in decision-making, some Victorian principals claimed that their councils and teaching staff were inexperienced, 73 incapable, lacked necessary knowledge, and were unprepared. Other scholars in England and Wales reported that 74 school governing bodies have been given greater power to manage their own affairs within clearly defined national 75 frameworks ??Ranson, 2008;Bush & Gamage, 2001). However, a large-scale quantitative survey conducted by 76 Dempster (2000), involving 1,053 in the first survey and 699 respondents in the second one in England and 77 Wales, as well as interviews in ten schools, indicate that workloads of principals have increased as a result of 78 more responsibilities in financial planning and management. 79

80 **4** III.

⁸¹ 5 RESEARCH IN FLORES PRIMARY SCHOOLS a) Method ⁸² ology and Sampling Design

The research methodology consisted of both quantitative and qualitative dimensions of research, comprising an extensive empirical survey followed by semi-structured and focused group interviews and examination of relevant documents. In particular, the analysed using SPSS, while interviews and other documents were analysed using NVivo. For the purpose of both quantitative and qualitative data analyses, this study used probability (systematic random) sampling and non-probability (purposive) sampling.

These sampling techniques were employed based on the requirements of a good sampling design: (1) goal orientation, (2) measurability, (3) practicality, and (4) economy (Kish, 1965, cited in Wiersma & Jurs, 2005: 302). For these purposes, a sample of 42 schools was then randomly selected from 287 schools located both in urban towns and rural areas. With respect to sample size for quantitative analysis, 675 questionnaires were delivered to 42 schools. Seventy-five percent of the questionnaires were returned (N = 504). In addition to the empirical survey, 42 interviews were conducted with different categories of school stakeholders.

⁹⁴ 6 b) Instruments

The questionnaire developed by Gamage (1996a) was adapted with appropriate modification. The instrument was then refined further with a pre-test after approval by the Human Research Ethics Committee of the University of Newcastle, Australia. The questionnaire was further refined and finalized after a pilot study. The pilot study was conducted in seven primary schools comprising of urban and rural schools in two districts (Western Manggarai and Manggarai) of Flores. A total of 180 questionnaires were distributed to the schools that agreed to take part in completing the questionnaire and 155 questionnaires were completed and returned. c) Reliability and Validity

of the Questionnaire Even though the items were adapted from questionnaires which were previously validated 101 in early research (San Antonio & Gamage, 2007; Gamage & Sooksomchitra, 2004; Gamage, 1996a), the items 102 were measured using the factor analysis and Cronbach's Alpha. In this study, the values of coefficient alpha 103 ranged from .75 to .84, indicating an acceptable and good reliability (Gregory, 2000 cited in Manning & Munro, 104 2006). On the basis of the Principal Component Analysis (PCA), the results of pilot study demonstrate that the 105 factor loadings ranged from .732 to .787. The Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) Measure of Sampling Adequacy was 106 .640 with the Barlett's Test of Sphericity was significant at less than .05, indicating an acceptable factorability. 107 However, after the revision of item variables, the results of factorability in the main study were higher. The 108 results of data analysis demonstrate that the factor loadings ranged from .779 to .883. The Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin 109

(KMO) of Sampling Adequacy was .682 with Barlett's Test of Sphericity p = .000, indicating a

111 **7** April

Challenges in Globalising Public Education Reform good factorability ??Brace, Kemp, & Snelgar, 2006: 318).
data gathered from the empirical survey were

¹¹⁴ 8 IV. RESEARCH FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS MAJOR ¹¹⁵ PROBLEMS AND/OR CHALLENGES

The following table presents the responses of principals and other representatives of school councils (teachers, school administrative staff, parents, community members, local government, and alumni) on the common problems and/or challenges community members, local government, and alumni) on the common problems and/or challenges.

Table ?? shows that 55.6% of respondents either agreed (41.7%) or strongly agreed (14.9%) that lack of appropriate professional development for school leaders was a problem. Lack of school facilities was another problem, identified by 60.5% of the respondents who either agreed (36.9%) or strongly agreed (23.6%). Sixtyeight per cent of respondents either agreed (51.4%) or strongly agreed (16.9%) that lack of knowledge about SBM was a problem, while 64% of them either agreed (40.7%) or strongly agreed (23.4%) that inadequate finances was a problem confronted in the implementation of SBM.

The qualitative data of this study revealed that there had been a lack of coordination in decisionmaking between the president of the school council and the principal. In this case, the school council president made decisions with regard to grant proposals for external funding without coordinating it with the school principal. Two principals stated: I don't find any major difficulties, but there are always problems in terms of difficulties of coordination and clarity of roles. A couple of times our head of the school council made grant proposals without consulting me. This is certainly not a good practice as no single authority figure can take decisions in school matters (Principal, W10).

Interestingly, this study found that there was a statistically significant difference in terms of opinions of the 133 respondents on the problem related to difficulties of coordination (Chi-Sq = 8.61, N = 441, p = .03), as shown 134 in demonstrates that 44.2% of the respondents in urban areas either agreed (38.0%) or strongly agreed (6.2%) 135 that difficulties of coordination is one of the problems confronted in the implementation of SBM, compared 136 with 34.3% of respondents from schools in rural areas either agreed (27.1%) or strongly agreed (7.1%) with the 137 statement. This indicates that problem with regard to difficulties of coordination is more likely faced by school 138 council members in the urban areas rather than council members in the rural areas. 139 ν. 140

¹⁴¹ 9 Conflict of Interest

A female principal reported about the conflict of interests between the District Education Department and her 142 school in terms of decision-making authority relating to textbook selection. The decision-making authority for 143 selecting school textbooks was given to the school by Minister. However, two principals referred to instances where 144 145 the District Education Department interfered: In practice, local government officials have taken over decision-146 making authority which is supposed to be made by the school. I refer particularly to how the school text books are 147 dropped by the local education department, whereas the block grant for books has been allocated directly to the school bank account from the central government. I think this is still a problem (Teacher representative, W05). 148 We finally received math textbooks from the District Education Department after a long argument because the 149 decision for distributing the books was without the approval from the school council. When the teachers counted 150 the books, the total books were double than what was needed by 26 students. We did not need such books and 151 the books were supposed to be distributed or given to other schools (Teacher representative, W08). 152

153 10 Global Journal of Human Social Science

154 **11 VI.**

155 12 BUILDING AWARENESS

Other principals faced the challenges in conjunction with building up the awareness of the whole communi-156 tymembers and parents to actively participate in school decision-making. Respondents Nos. 12 and 17 have 157 raised some concerns as follows: To build up the awareness of members of the whole community is difficult. At the 158 moment, principal, teachers, government, and parents who have children in the school are those who are actively 159 participating in school programs. School council members have encouraged the whole community to actively 160 participate in school programs but it takes time to involve the whole community (Principal, W12)There are some 161 parents who are too busy in the garden and don't pay attention to their children's homework. In this case, we 162 call the parents and have a dialogue. Finally, they are aware of the importance of education (Principal, W17) 163 VII. 164

165 13 LACK CLARITY OF AUTHORITY

Six teachers also reported that there had been a problem relating to the lack of clarity of authority between school 166 and district government. In relation to this case, a teacher representative stated: Again, the government may 167 think that they have absolute power and authority. I think they are the people who need more training about 168 SBM, not just provide training for school principals. Other challenge is that how to create strong collaborative 169 work-practices to create better quality schools (Teacher representative, W06). In a school where the principal 170 still controls the decisionmaking authority in the school, a government representative stated: It is not 100% clear 171 because the school principal still controls the whole school, but in general the school principal himself cannot 172 173 make all decisions (Government representative, W41).

174 **VIII.**

15 LACK UNDERSTANDING AND OPPORTUNITIES FOR 176 TRAINING

Other teachers acknowledged that the lack of comprehensive understanding about SBM has become the challenge 177 and stated: "With my lack of knowledge about SBM, I just tend to follow higher level authorities in the education 178 departments" (Teacher representative, W07). Four community representatives stated that they have not being 179 provided with access to attend professional sessions and training on SBM provided by both District Education 180 Department and Nusa Tenggara Timur Primary Education Partnership (NTT-PEP). In regard to this case, 181 respondents 33 stated: The principal is the person who always joins training on SBM. I hope all school council 182 members will be able to involve in training on SBM" (Community representative, W33). Another community 183 representative stated: In terms of professional development for principals and council members, as far as I know, the 184 principals are guided by the local district government and NTT-PEP advisors in terms of leadership and roles as 185 principals, but I'venever attended any professional development sessions or training (Community representative, 186 W34). The findings of this study are associated with similar problems in other developing countries. Researchers 187 have indicated many problems and issues confronted by school leaders and school councils in the implementation of 188 SBM, including poor resources in schools, lack of school textbooks, lack of professional development in leadership 189 for school leaders and confusion on the part of school councils in relation to new roles and responsibilities, 190 difficulties of coordination, lack of decision-making authority, lack of knowledge, low parental participation, 191 under funding of education by governments, dependency on central government, and even lack of time (Gamage 192 and Sooksomchitra, 2004; ??ndriyanto, 2004;Cotton, 2003;Munn, 2000). 193

194 16 IX. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In conclusion, importing education policy reforms implemented elsewhere under different economic, political 195 and cultural conditions can present many challenges. Therefore, imported educational policy may be accepted, 196 but should be adapted for implementation in order to minimize problems at the implementation stage. In 197 coping with the problems and/or challenges, first of all, school council members should be encouraged to 198 attend regular workshops on SBM. The District and/or Provincial Education Departments should facilitate 199 200 such programs for the school principals, the heads of school councils, and other representatives of school councils. 201 The training/workshops should be aimed at providing better knowledge and understanding about SBM policies and programs at the regional, national, and international levels. 202

Then, sustainable training programs for all primary school principals should be considered as a matter of urgency, enabling them to effectively implement SBM in school settings. These programs need to be designed for the purpose of providing comprehensive knowledge and better understanding for school leaders in leading and managing effective schools under the new SBM system. These recommendations are primarily aimed at building $\mathbf{2}$

below:

Figure 1: Table 2

122

April 11

Figure 2: Table 1 :Table 2 Table 2 SD

capacity in education which would help address the challenges and opportunities lying ahead for Indonesian school system in the 21 st century. $^{1\ 2\ 3}$ 207 208

¹April©2011 Global Journals Inc. (US) ²AprilChallenges in Globalising Public Education Reform ©2011 Global Journals Inc. (US) ³AprilChallenges in Globalising Public Education Reform

- [Bandur ()] A Review on Current Trends and Issues in Indonesian School-Based Management (An article
 presented at Auckland University, A Bandur . 2007. New Zealand.
- [Bandur ()] A Study of the Implementation of School-Based Management in Flores Primary Schools in Indonesia
 (Doctoral Dissertation, the University of, A Bandur . 2008. Newcastle, Australia.
- [Jones ()] Comparative Education: Purpose and method, P Jones . 1971. Brisbane: University of Queensland
 Press.

 [Gamage and Sooksomchitra ()] 'Decentralization and School-Based Management'. D T Gamage , P Sooksomchitra . International Review of Education 2004. 50 (1) p. .

- [Dimmock and Allan ()] 'Globalisation and Societal Culture: redifining schooling and school leadership in the
 twenty-first century'. C Dimmock , W Allan . Compare 2000. 30 (3) p. .
- [Bush and Gamage ()] 'Models of Self-governance in Schools: Australia and the United Kingdom'. T Bush , D
 T Gamage . The International Journal of Educational Management 2001. 15 (1) p. .
- 221 [Cotton ()] Principals and Student Achievement, K Cotton . 2003. Melbourne: Hawker Brownlow Education.
- [Gamage ()] Professional Development for Leaders and Managers of Self-Governing Schools, D T Gamage . 2006.
 Dordrecht, the Netherlands: Springer.
- [Bandur and David ()] SBM Policies and Programs: Improving teaching learning environments and student
 achievements in Indonesia, A Bandur, G David. 2009. Education and Society. 27 p.
- [Munn ()] 'School Boards and Governing Bodies: A vehicle for parental participation in decision-making about
 schools'. P Munn . *The Governance Schooling: Comparative studies of devolved management*, M A D Arnott
 & C, Raab (ed.) (London) 2000. Routledge. p. .
- [Caldwell (2005)] School-Based Management, B J Caldwell . http://unesdoc.unesco.org/ 2005. 12 Octo ber, 2007.
- [Cheng and Mok ()] 'School-Based Management and Paradigm Shift in Education: An Empirical study'. Y C
 Cheng , M M C Mok . International Journal of Educational Management 2007. 21 (6) p. .
- [Greenfield (ed.) ()] School-Based Management: Issues and Hopes toward Decentralization in Education in Indonesia, C Greenfield . McMillan Education Australia Pty Ltd 17) Indriyanto, B. (ed.) 1998. 2004. South
 Yarra, NSW. (Mass Communication. Retrieved 20)
- [Gamage ()] School-Based Management: Theory, research, and practice, D T Gamage . 1996a. Colombo:
 Karunaratne and Sons Ltd.
- [Brace et al. ()] SPSS for Psychologist: A Guide to Data Analysis using SPSS for Windows (3 rd Ed), N Brace
 , R Kemp, R Snelgar . 2006. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- [Caldwell (ed.) ()] The Changing Role of the School Principal: A Review of Developments in Australia and New
 Zealand, B J Caldwell . Dimmock, C (Ed (ed.) 1993. London: Routledge.
- [Gamage ()] 'The Impact of School-Based Management and New Challenges to School Leaders'. D T Gamage .
 Perspective in Education 1996b. 12 (2) p. .
- [Bandur ()] 'The Implementation of School-Based Management in Indonesia: Creating conflicts in regional levels'.
 A Bandur . Journal of NTT Developments Studies 2009. 1 (1) p. .
- [Manning and Munro ()] The Survey Researcher's SPSS Cookbook, M L Manning , D Munro . 2006. Frenchs
 Forest, NSW: Pearson Education.