Global Journals LaTeX JournalKaleidoscopeTM

Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.

Security, International Security, Islamic Jurisprudence and the Burden of Proof

Dawood Adesola Hamzah

Received: 11 February 2015 Accepted: 1 March 2015 Published: 15 March 2015

6 Abstract

16

17

28

29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

38

39

40

41

42

7 Security is vital to subsistence and survival of individuals and communities. It guarantees

conducive environment and affords opportunity to live and pursue a meaningful life beyond

the merely animal. However, it is threatened as terrorists groups emerged in number and

operate dangerously in the name of Islam. Jihad is the ethos; suicide and arms? attack are

modus operandi. Innocent people and institutions are the victims. Is this truly Islamic? This

is a challenge to Islamic jurisprudence and a burden to contemporary Muslim jurists. Who is

(are) the architect(s) of the terrorist groups, Islam, Muslims or political super-powers? Here

lies burden of proof? The present work attempts to explore these posers.

Index terms—security, jihad, maqasid al-shari?ah, terrorism, terrorists and political actors.

1 I. Introduction

n the post-Cold War era, the impulse of violence and insecurity became widespread particularly in the Muslim 18 world. Muslim groups began to emerge with the aim of promoting 'Jihad' in a way that are arguably antithetical 19 and questionable to the basic ethos of Islam as a religion and civilization. These emerging groups of firebrands 20 catalogue violence as part of greater Jihad. Al-Qaeda, questionably claims to have sociological and historical 21 essence in Sunni Islam whereas its principles Jihad methodology are considered deviation from the true Islam. 22 This development puts the veracity of Islamic 'theory of peace' on lifeline. It also demonstrates a symptomatic 23 danger not only to domestic security but also to international security. Security means absence of threats; 1 or 24 the state of being free from danger or menace. ?? It is a precious instrumental value which gives individuals and groups the opportunity to pursue the invention of humanity rather than live determined and diminished lives -a human life beyond the merely animal. ?? 27

2 a) Security: A Conceptual Framework

The Muslims, advertently or inadvertently have become complicit in the acts that threatened the security of the cotemporary humanity.

The aim of human security is to secure and safeguard the vital core of people's lives from critical e-mail: dawosla@live.com 1 Booth, K., Security and Emancipation, Review of International Studies, Vol. 17, No. 4, (1991), p 319. 2 Oxford Dictionaries -Language Matters, http://www.oxforddictionaries.com/definition/english/security (accessed 09 June, 2015). Theory of World Security, Cambridge University Press, (2007), p. 107. and pervasive threats. This requires an identification of critical and pervasive threats to this vital core of people's lives, as well as relevant response mechanisms. ?? Thus, the need arises for scrutinizing and separating enormous varieties of adverse events in human life to determine which of them truly constitute threats and which may be taken as mere trivialities. ?? "Vital core" suggests a minimal or basic or fundamental set of functions related to survival, livelihood and dignity; it implies that the institutions that undertake to protect human security will not be able to protect every aspect of human well-being, but at very least they will protect this core. ?? Security threats are wittingly or wilfully caused by a bunch of people or another in different forms such as terrorists, states, rebel groups, or paramilitary formations. It is noted that organs of the state sometime may constitute threat to human

46

47

48

49

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58 59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72 73

74

75

76

77

85

89

94

101

security. For example police forces that violate human rights by torturing or committing acts of cruelty against prisoners. ?? Security threats can be either direct or indirect. Direct threats are usually associated with violence, but they can take several other forms such as deliberate policies of social or economic deprivation and exclusion. ?? Indirect form of threats are characterized usually in the act of groups or institutions for different primary purposes such as instigating economic crisis which may subject a large section of the population to deprivation. ?? It may take a form of state policy in the instances of mining or forestry programs that lead to environmental degradations such as oil and chemical pollutions. These may erode the very existence and survival of a community. It may also take the form of favouritism of the political elites which may lead to destabilising horizontal inequalities or social exclusion. ??0 Security threats can also be traced to negligence in effective demobilisation of soldiers which can trigger violent crimes; engagement in manufacture and marketing of small arms that can lead to destabilization of a region. 11 4 Alkire, S., A Conceptual Framework for Human Security, CRISE Working Paper 2, Queen Elizabeth House, University of Oxford, (2003), p. 29. ?? Ibid. ?? Ibid at p. 24. ?? Ibid at p. 29. ?? Ibid ?? Ibid. ??0 Ibid.

Three conceptual dimensions of security have been identified. Firstly, it is found in the general usage of the term covering the broad day-to-day usage such as a position aspired to: of being safe, secure, protected. ??2 It is also used in political term to refer to political actions, processes, or structures that is capable of securing the safety of a political unit. Here it can be used as a tool to provide certain phenomenon with a specific priority by placing it in the realm of high politics. ??3 It can also be used to identify, describe, understand, explain, or even predict phenomena in the general social realm such as "security policy," "securitypolicy interaction," or "security institutions and structures." ??4 The notion of security in political context took an innovative turn in 1947 when the US authority inaugurated the National Security Council. ??5 This development later crystalized and served as a model for many countries around the world as they began to adopt and launch blue-print of "security policy". Consequently, security policy began to take a new dimension which goes beyond the purviews of defence, military policies and of course, preparation for war. It rather aimed at avoiding war comprehending and embracing internal, domestic security, economic development and policy to influence the international system with a view to achieve peaceful environment regionally and globally and in providing aid to developing nations. ??6 Security policy thus, became a significant instrument in the promotion of domestic and international interests of nation-states within the framework of internationalism. This was practically demonstrated in many nationstate policies especially during the cold-war when the idea of security began to re-enact a shift from traditional notion of military defence and avoidance of aggression to economic, political and social matters at both domestic and international spheres. ??7 The end of the cold-war ushered in a new regime of security concept. This historical era (1989-91) marked the end of the hitherto bipolarity balance of world power to a uni-polarity form which opened a new chapter in the concept of security particularly at international level. 18 II.

3 International Relations Contextualized in Security

As the uni-polarity era could not bring a significant change to the traditional notion of security, undoubtedly 78 most of the global conflicts were now between the only extant and most influential country in the world, the 79 superpower United States of America and its allies fighting to protect the interest of the 'international community' 80 against the recalcitrant rebels such as Iraq, the Taliban, ??9 Traditional international relations theory has been 81 concerned with a variety of components and elements of wars and conflicts that conventionally characterize the 82 three levels of analysis, namely, the individual angle, the domestic and international angles of warfare. 83 the Al-Qaeda, and recently the ISIS. 84

20 4

The first level is an attempt to investigate the individual factor in the instigation and exacerbation of wars and 86 conflicts now and before. Adolf Hitler, for example, who was the leader of Nazi Germany from 1934 to 1945, is 87 an important figure if the complexities of the World War II will have to be decoded. ??1 88

Roman Republic

Similarly, Julius Caesar and his fellows played a critical role in the events that led to the demise of the and the 90 rise of the Roman Empire. ??? Revolutionary Wars Napoleon Bonaparte was a French military and political 91 leader who dominated European affairs for nearly two decades while leading France against a series of coalitions 92 93 in the and the Napoleonic Wars. ??3 He won several of these wars and the vast majority of his battles, rapidly conquering most of continental Europe before his ultimate end in 1815. ??4 He was not only one of the notable 95 commanders in history, his campaigns are subjects of research in military schools worldwide and he remains one 96 of the most celebrated and controversial political figures in Western history. ??5 Thomas Hobbes in his Leviathan identifies three important factors that usually trigger wars and conflicts at this individual level which include, 97 competition, diffidence (fear), and of course, glory. ??6 Experts identify domestic politics as the important factor 98 accounting for conflicts and war at this level. ??? Here pattern of policy and administration of a state regime 99 coupled with influential interest group at this level constitute the important determinants of going to war and 100 the strategies to be adopted in this regard. ??8

6 Imperial Japanese Navy

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125 126

127 128

129

130

131

132

133 134

135

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144 145

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156 157

158

159

160

161

162

163

For example, the military attack on Pearl Harbour conducted by the in 1941 was arguably based on Japanese domestic politics intended to serve as a preventive measure to keep the U.S. Pacific Fleet from interfering with military actions the Empire of Japan was planning in Southeast Asia against overseas territories of the United Kingdom, the Netherlands, and the United States. ??9 It was not an action initiated by an individual but by a conglomerate of interest groups including the military, the business class, and those close to the emperor all of which had its own interests to protect in the action. ??0 The international dimension which is the third angle to conflicts and wars is explained by international politics. Waltz observes that international politics is defined by anarchy as there is no central government to stop states from going to war. ??1 It follows that the absence of central political administration means that it will be difficult if not impossible to stop the next interstate war. ???2 Waltz argues that power and security within anarchy explaining the possibility and essence of conflict. He further observes that as anarchy continues to provide explanation for the international system, it provides leverage and clout to certain non-state actors that have, in one way or the other wielded power to engage and compete in globalized anarchy similar in pattern to that of states. ??3 Waltz cites Roman Catholic Church and al-Qaeda as examples of such non-states actors. According to him, these non-state actors in competing in globalized anarchy, like the state actors, utilizes instantaneous communication, rapid and cheap international travel, sharing sets of competing values at the international level such as the legitimacy of democracy and human rights, economic resources that are freely exchangeable or replaceable as well and deadly and destructive firepower. ??4 III.

7 International Dimension of Security

Security generally, and international security in particular are all about war and peace, life and death, safety and survival. The traditional approach was essentially on the question of stability of the states' system, the use of force, nuclear proliferation, military strategy, intelligence and the distribution of resources. ??2 Ibid. ??3 Ibid. ??4 Ibid, also, Patterson, E., supra note no. 21 at p. 116. ??5 See generally Baylis, J., "International Security in the Post-Cold War Era", in John Baylis and Steve Smith (eds), The Globalization of World Politics, Oxford, Oxford University Press, (1997).. approach has widened the scope to cover new areas of contemporary security related issues such as climate change and its consequential effects, migration and population explosion, poverty, health, privatisation, organised crime and international terrorism among others. Actually it has been extended in all directions since the 1990s, from nations to groups, individuals, international systems, NGOs, and local governments. 36 The traditional or realist model has been a dominant factor in the study of security to explaining war, peace and security in their conceptual framework. The popular expression coined by Sagan and Waltz that: "to be at peace, prepare for war," and "the more weapons, the better' are commonly accepted among proponents of the Realist school of thought. 37 Realists and later Neo-realists have always seen security as only partial and temporary, because "war is inevitable." They believe the world is anarchical -that there is no world government above that of the states or nations. 38 Furthermore, because they see the state as the highest authority, the security of states is the most important factor in seeking peace. Realists therefore defined peace as the absence of war and security as the absence of threats. 39 In the period preceding the 1980s, the notion of national security was thought to be the preserve of states. It referred to the ability of states to defend themselves against encroachments of their territorial integrity and political sovereignty. 40 Matters relating to military power, strategy, and deterrence loomed large. Since then, the notion of security has been progressively broadened to incorporate such areas as economic privation, environmental degradation, and gender discrimination. 41 A condition of security is the degree of resistance to, or protection from, harm. It applies to any vulnerable and valuable assets, such as a person, dwelling, community, nation, or organization. Establishing or maintaining a sufficient degree of security is the aim of the work, structures, and processes called "security." Barry Buzman puts it simply, 'the discussion about the pursuit of freedom from threat'. 42 Year 2015 (F) action. ??3 44 From international relations point of view, John Herz unfolds the meaning of security in terms of a general interpretation of International Relations as a security game. 45 He argues that the key concept from which to theorize about international relations: "let us think first of all about how to survive, thereafter about everything else." But thinking about how to survive means things about international politics". 46 Hertz thus argues that in the state of nature, which is the state within which the security dilemma thrives, all men live in a condition in which a war of all against all is a permanent possibility. This possibility is the basis upon which social relations are organized. To improve their position from which to face other human being, people group into communities: 47? families and tribe may overcome the power in their internal relations in order to face other families or tribes; large groups may overcome it to face other classes untidily, entire nations may compose their internal conflicts in order to face other nations. 48 'a fear-of-the-power-of-others-to-kill-me splits the human species, or better, unites atomistic individuals in communities. It creates cleavages between those to be feared and those to be trusted. 'The fear of the external other is transvalued into the "love of the Neighbour"? and the perpetuation of community is assured through the internalization and legitimation of a fear that lost its original source long ago'.

Der Derian agrees with Herz when he observes that 49

The notion of security among political scientists, experts in government and international relations, stands to mean "national security". 50 It refers to a set of defence mechanisms designed to protect a state so that it can continue to exist as a sovereign entity. That of course includes protection from attacks and threats that originate

169

170

171

172

173

174 175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184 185

186

187

188

189

190 191

192 193

194 195

196 197

198

199

200

201

202 203

204

207

208

209

210

211

212

213 214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

from outside its national boundaries, and also usually includes protection from any actions that may seriously threaten the country's ruling regime from within. ??1 From the traditional point of view security strictly is 165 defined in terms of military and political frameworks. However, in the context of modern conceptual framework, 166 it also covers phenomena such security against drug abuse and drug trafficking, economic crises, the problems of 167 168 illegal immigration, forced migration etc. 52

a) Security and Concept of Religion 8

The theoretical literature in international relations and security studies has been largely indebted to a story of religious "return". ??3 It is argued that since the end of the wars of religion of the seventeenth century and the foundation of the modern state system in 1648 in Europe, religion came to play an increasingly marginal role in global affairs even though it did not disappear entirely. ??4 For many years, religion, like culture in general, has tended to be studied as a domestic factor, rather than an external factor in explaining security issues. ??5 However, the Iranian Revolution in 1979 sparked a debate about the rise of Islam and Islamic fundamentalism in world politics, international relations theorists have generally continued to isolate religion as an important factor in explaining international conflicts. Beginning with the reunification of Germany in 1989, and in addition to the longstanding ethnic and religious conflicts in many Muslim countries, plus the September 11, 2001 tragedy in the US, all together have once again given reason for experts in security to reassess how religion particularly Islam -plays a significant role as an ideational factor in the ongoing quest to explain peace and security issues of the world. ??6 The emergence of al-Qaeda as a global threat around the world has made stakeholders aware of the importance of including religion in their analytical accounts. 57 51 Othman, Z., ibid. ??2 Year 2015

(F) 9

The divisive effects of religion appear to be eclipsing its cohesive role which particularly true about Islam. ??8 Basically, peace in Islam means submission to God, thus a Muslim means the one who submits. It follows that Islam instructs people on how they may live together in peace and harmony regardless of race, class or beliefs. By submitting oneself to God, it will lead to true peace -internally and externally. Thus, peace in Islam is beyond realism "absence of war." 59 A goal of a Muslim is the Hereafter and to prepare one needs peace in order to submit his duty to God. Thus, peace and secured environment is important for human survival in Islam. ??0 Qur'an categorically condemns those persons and groups who commit acts injurious to peace, security, and public order of society as a whole (including both the governmental and non-governmental sectors). ??1 A minority group of Muslims today seems to be interpreting the concept of Jihad out of context claiming the mantle of "jihadist" but are operating from a distorted and truncated definition of the word. ??? Muslim jurists are unanimous that Islam's theology and ethics contains a rich conception of security, one attaching great importance to human life, honour, and property. Islam insists on justice, respect for legitimate authority, peace building, and strict limits on the use of force. ??3 In the orthodox Islamic jurisprudence, the world is divided into Dar al-Islam (the abode of peace) and Dar al-Harb (the abode of war) and of course, Dar al-Ahd (the Abode of Covenant). This is particularly the position in the Hanafi School of Thought. But Al-Shafi'i maintains contrary position. According to the Shafi'i School, the division of the world into two or three was an outcome of exigency necessitated by "the frequent foreign attacks on Islamic lands." ??4 The idea of dividing the world into two conflicting abodes is not essentially rooted in the basic sources of Islamic law. ??5 The two terms are neither stated nor explained in both the Qur'an and Sunnah. 66 58 Qibla, A., and Ahmad, R., Islam and Security: A Sunni Perspective, in in Seiple, C., Hoover, D.R, and Otis Pauletta, The Routledge Handbook of Religion and Security, Routledge Taylor and Francis Group, London and New York, (2013), p. 69. ??9 Othman, Z., ibid at p. 12 60 Ibid. 61 Qibla, A., and Ahmad, R., supra. ??2 Ibid. ??3 They were coined by some Muslim scholars many centuries after the advent of Islam as a reaction to preponderant hostility and warmongering situation at that particular point in time. In other words, they were results of Ijtihad carried out to respond to the prevailing situation at the time. ??7 Therefore, these concepts were applied to various regions according to the practical or legal condition prevailing therein in relation to the Muslim state and its citizens during the period of conflicts between the Islamic state and its rivals. This means that the division was legal rather than theological, and therefore it is capable of being changed or abrogated, especially when the condition led to its existence is over. Even if one accepts the justifications presented by traditionalists, it is no more valid to apply these concepts on the contemporary world. Today all Muslim majority states maintain diplomatic relations with almost all nations of the world and thus the concept of Dar-Ahd or (Abode of Covenant) appears to have relevant application at present. Abode of Covenant refers to those non-Muslim Governments which have armistice or peace agreement or diplomatic ties with Muslim governments. According to all Muslim jurists including even the traditionalists or orthodox jurists, under Abode of Covenant, peaceful and positive relations must prevail. ??8 It is thus argued that the new approach not only declines the division of the world into two parts, but also adopts different explanations to the related Qur'anic text. Therefore, it regards peace as the organizing principle of Muslim foreign relations and of international relation in general. 69 First considering fight as the basis of Muslim foreign relations with others not only to destructive conflicts instead of mutual cooperation among nations as the Qur'an explicitly commands, but also contradicts the Qur'anic perspicuous rule which read:

When it comes to relations between two Muslim states, the traditional trend among Muslim scholars was to view al -Dar al-Islam as one undifferentiated category. Although, in reality, Muslim lands can be divided into several sovereign and independent political entities but such differentiation is only in form. 71 boundaries or ethnicity. ??2 The majority of traditional Muslim scholars' view that Islam does not permit the existence of multiple Dar al-Islam and it is not permissible to appoint two Muslim rulers in the same period. ??3 This is because Islam enjoins unity and forbid the opposite. ??4 The current reality in the Muslim world is considered by the modern scholars as an exception justified on the basis of a maxim in Islamic jurisprudence that: "dharurat (emergencies) permits the prohibited". ??5 From the above, the basis of relationship between different Muslim states is mutual peace and security.

A war situation is an exception only permissible against those who transgress God's rule after all peaceful means have been exhausted. ??6 In the basic theology, Islam considers all Muslims to be one Ummah, a community whose basis is faith and common objectives. There exists among all its constituent units a deeper unity which does not allow the differences of region, race, language, and nation to disrupt and disintegrate it. Islam has established on very strong footing the solidarity and fraternity among all the Muslims and has abolished all the distinctions on the basis of race, colour, language, blood or nationality. The concept of Islamic brotherhood makes all the Muslims men and women, to whatever nation, race, colour, rank or status they may belong, equal in rights and obligations. ??? The Qur'an declares: Surely this community of yours is one community (ummah), and I am your Lord, therefore serve Me. ??8 "The Believers are but a single Brotherhood." ??9 In another verse, the believers are called as protecting friends of each other. ??0 Unity and solidarity among the Muslims has been stressed by the Holy Qur'an in these words: "And hold fast, all of you together, to the cable of Allah, and don't separate?." ??1 One ??3 The principles enunciated in the above quotations are not only applicable to the Muslims at individual level but also at national and international levels. 84 A Muslim country, therefore, would regard other Muslim countries as brother nations and would extend every sort of help to his brothers in every field of life such as defence, economic development, education, finance, social sector, etc. If there is conflict between two brotherly Muslim countries, it is imperative for other Muslim countries to arrange reconciliation between the two. But if the aggressor among the conflicting Muslim countries is not ready to reconcile, the other Muslim countries would help the one wronged by the aggressor till the aggressor is forced to come to terms in accordance with a Qur'anic injunction. ??5 Accordingly, Muslims are identified as one Ummah (community), as parts of which they move towards a common goal, strive to realize their common objectives, worship one and the only God. ??6 The above authorities from Qur'an and Sunnah lead to the conclusion that all Muslims are brothers and are like a single body, and therefore can never be indifferent towards one another. Among them should prevail the spirit of cooperation, brotherhood, fraternity, goodwill, love, sympathy, and unity of direction and purpose, and they should be always united for the defence of the Ummah. From this we can infer that the responsibility of the Islamic State is not confined to its boundaries only, but it is also responsible for and committed to all individuals of the Muslim Ummah. 87

10 c) Security in Islamic Perspectives

In the contemporary globalized world, Islam has become synonymous with turmoil and violence, and thus, security risks. ??8 It is thus argued that the current climate of security-driven politics strengthen the conception that Islam is destined to remain associated with these negative labels for some decades to come. ??0 This calls for an examination of the Islamic theoretical framework of security. Security can be considered a corollary to the issue of peace. The factors that bring about peace contribute to the establishment of security. ??1 There is certainly an interconnection between security and law as the latter lays down principles for the attainment of the former. Law sets out parameters of acceptable conducts. It is argued that Islam is a religion that provides general principles for life as well as detailed laws on acceptable conducts that guarantee peace and security in a society. ??2 Under theory of Maqasid Shari'ah (the goals of Shari'ah) as specified by majority of Muslim jurists including al-Shatibi, are of two types, namely, dini or values of the Hereafter and dunyawi or values pertaining to this world.

That explains why it imposes penalties on certain criminal acts. Though, those penalties are strongly contested in certain quarters on the notion that they are harsh. However, the ultimate goals of those penalties are not only to serve as deterrent but also to guarantee security and safety in the society. ??3 The worldly values (dunyawi) are further classified into four, namely, the preservation of nafs (life), the preservations of nasl (progeny), the preservation of 'aql (intellect), and the preservation of mal (wealth or property). ??4 The totality of these classifications yield five ultimate values of the law, namely, din (religion), life, progeny, intellect, and wealth or property. ??5 "And there is (a saving of) life for you in the Law of Equality in punishment, O men of understanding, that you may become the pious." ??6 Jihad has thus been endorsed and authorized with a view to protect religion, and so is just retaliation (qisas) which is designed to protect life. ???7 "Because of this did We ordain unto children of Israel that if anyone slays a human being unless it be [in The Shari'ah takes affirmative and also punitive measures to protect and promote these values. Theft, adultery and wine-drinking are punishable offences as they pose a threat to the protection of private property, the well-being of the family, and the integrity of human intellect respectively.

The Qur'an is categorical in denouncing and reproaching commission of acts injurious to peace, security, and public order, thus, attaching great importance to human life, honor and property. It says: ??0 Ibid. 91 Zarabozo,

286

287 288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312 313

314 315

316

317

318 319

320

321

322

323

324 325

326

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335 336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

J.D., Peace and Security (parts 2 of 3): Society, http://www.islamreligion.com/articles/509/peace-and-security-part-2 (accessed 22 June, 2015). ??2 punishment] for murder or for spreading, corruption on earth -it shall be as though he had slain all mankind; whereas, if anyone saves a life, it shall be as though he had saved the lives of all mankind." 98 "There is no superiority for an Arab over non-Arab and for a non-Arab over an Arab; nor for the black over the white, except in God's consciousness. All humankind is the progeny of Adam and Adam was made out of clay. Behold every claim of privilege whether that of blood or property is under my heels. Verily your blood, your property and your honor are sacred and inviolable until you meet your Lord and you will he held accountable for your actions."

In his farewell pilgrimage declaration, the Prophet Muhammad emphatically stressed the inviolability of security of life and property saying that equality of human beings and the sanctity of human life, honor, and property are not negotiable. He stated that: 99

The Prophet was also quoted to have said that: "Among the deadly sins: polytheism is the deadliest one, and killing a human being, and disobedience of parents, and telling lies." ??00 Two important points can be established from the above analysis. First, Islam makes peace a cornerstone of its ideology. It thus makes it imperative on its adherents to be involved in peace-building mechanism rather to be involved in anarchy, violence and acts of terrorism. The ultimate goal of Islam is the institutionalization of peace based on justice and equity, freedom and human rights. ??01 Therefore, to attribute terrorism to Islam as widely and presently believed is erroneous and distortion of fact. The word Islam itself is rooted in notion of surrendering, submitting and becoming reconciled with one another, to make peace. 102

11 d) Characterization of Jihad

Opinions differ on the definition of the word 'Jihad'. However, there appears to be a unanimity on that 'Jihad' is capable of two meanings, namely, an inner spiritual struggle (the "greater jihad"), and an outer physical struggle against the enemies of Islam (the "lesser jihad") ??03 which may take a violent or non-violent form. ??04 Year 2015

12 (F)

War". ??05 Bernard Lewis Some orientalists including argue that 'Jihad' in many instances connotes military expeditions, 106 Javed Ahmad Ghamidi but others disagree. For example, while contends that there is consensus among Islamic scholars that the concept of jihad will always include armed struggle against wrong doers, 107 he also maintains that there is no concept in Islam obliging Muslims to wage war for propagation or implementation of Islam after the time of Muhammad and his companions, and the only valid basis for jihad through arms is to end oppression when all other measures have failed. ??08 Al-Ghunaimi observes that Muslim jurists advocated a holy war of aggression under the doctrine of the Jihad which has influenced the whole concept of Muslim international law and relation and resulting in a theory that is generally irreconcilable with the modern standards of international law. ??09 According to him, the word 'jihad' which literally and classically signifies exertion, toil, painstaking, doing one's utmost or striving, later began to acquire a narrower sense of hostility or waging war against infidels. ??10 This technical definition subsequently obfuscated the classical one to the extent that some writers misrepresent the word "Jihad" as synonymous to "holy war." ??11 It is noted that the word 'Jihad' can be used in its restrictive and wider senses. For example, in the Makkah text it is to be construed in its classical meaning because Muslims, as then, had not resorted to arms in defending themselves. The Prophet Muhammad was quoted to have remarked that "The pilgrimage is the most excellent of all the jihads." Here it is used in a wider sense. However, in some Medinah texts, where it is used in technical and restrictive sense, the word rather has implication of holy war. ??12 Muir agrees saying that "The word jihad is the same as subsequently used for a religious war, but it had not yet probably acquired its fixed application. It was employed in its general sense before the Hegra and probably up to the battle of Badr." ??13 An example of Qur'an verses usually quoted to justify 'Jihad' reads: "And do battle against them until ??05 there be no more fitnah, persecution." ??14 It is observed that this verse was revealed in the second year after the conclusion of the treaty of Hudaybiyay at a time when the Muslims were gearing up for pilgrimage were unsure of whether the Makkans would allow them to carry out their ritual plan. They were thus, reluctant to engage in battle with them in case they resorted to force to prevent them. ??15 This contention is affirmed by the two verses that precede the one earlier discussed which state that: "Fight in the way of God against those who fight against you, but begin no hostilities. Lo! God loves not aggressors. "And slay them whenever you find them, and drive them out of the places whence they drove you out, for persecution is worse than slaughter. And fight not with them at the Inviolable Place of Worship until they first attack you there, but if they attach you (there) then slay them. Such is the reward of disbelievers. But if they desist then Lo! Allah is Forgiving; Merciful. "And fight them until persecution be no more, and religion is for God. But if they desist, then let there be no hostility except against wrongdoers." It was on account of possibility that fighting would be taking place within the precinct of the Makkah sanctuary and during the sacred months. Thus, the Muslims were divinely permitted to defend themselves within the prescribed limits stated in the above verse. It follows that an aggressive war was not anticipated in the light of this verse. It is significant to note that the verse specifically used the word fitnah to indicate persecution by the Makkans against the Muslims. 116 Muslims are allowed only to fight those who launch attack or perpetrate aggression against them and are forbidden to begin any hostilities. It is noted that the phrase "religion is for God"in the above verse has been wrongly interpreted by some commentators to mean that 'all people should embrace Islam'. Such interpretation could not be sustained as it contradicts the remainder of the verse which states that: "But if they desist, then let there be no hostility except against wrongdoers." 117 Muslim jurists hold that aggression or oppression perpetrated against the Muslims by others constitute the cause (Illah) that legitimizes Jihad 118 and in this regard, for self-defense. ??19 A number of Qur'anic verses (including those that have been earlier discussed in this work) are quoted to support this position. 120

13 e) Ethics of Military Jihad

Acting in the light of the Qur'anic verses and Sunnah of the Prophet discussed above, the Muslim jurists introduced some rules to govern the military ??14 Qur'an 2:193 115 Ghunaimi, M.T., supra note no. 105 at p. 166. ??16 Qur'an 2:190-192. ??17 Ghunaimi, M.T., supra note no. 105 at p. 167. 118 Ayaz, Q., and Ahmad, R., supra at p. o When the need arises for safeguarding the path of justice; as a last measure to check treachery and fraud; and for checking internal enmity against legitimate authority of the state and for safeguarding peace; and support for the oppressed people.

Under the ethics of Jihad, two categories of enemies are identified, namely, belligerents and nonbelligerents. The former include an individual, group, country, or other entity that acts in a hostile manner, such as engaging in combat. The word 'belligerent' comes from Latin, literally meaning "one who wages war". The latter is the opposite. It is not permissible under the Islamic rules of war to attack the nonbelligerents in any case. This is reflected in Article 3 of the Cairo Declaration on Human Rights in Islam that it not permissible to kill non-belligerents such as old men, women and children. 121

14 f) Ethical Rules of (Military) Jihad

Under the Sunni theology, it is prohibited to launch Jihad for worldly motives or gain. This was based on the Sunnah of the Prophet Muhammad where he was quoted to have said that: "He who went to fight in the way of God but had the intention of benefiting himself with a rope to fasten his camel will get the string but no reward in the Hereafter." 122 1. Approval of Imam: It is mandatory that a sensitive matter like declaration of military Jihad should first be approved by a legitimate Imam or ruler of the community. This shows that war is not declared on flimsy reasons or excuses as it involves life which is considered highly sacrosanct in Islam. It follows that in order to bring any military operation under a recognized command structure the first step is to centralize the combative operation and to inculcate in the army the principle of "listen and submit" Thus, the Sunni jurists lay down guidelines that must be observed before a military Jihad could be declared. (sam'a wa ta'ah) ??23 which in modern military parlance stands for "Obey first before you complain" or "You do what I say."This requirement is aimed at maintaining peace and public order and conforms to a saying of the Prophet in which he asked Muslims "to obey the ruler even if he is a black slave with his head like a dry grape". 124 2. Avoidance of Aggression: Muslims are not allowed to crave for war and so, should not be aggressive in their declaration of military Jihad. The Prophet was quoted to have said that: "Do not ask for a clash with the enemy, rather pray for peace and wellbeing.

If conflict is inevitable, fight with courage and determination. Be it known that the path to heaven lies under the shadow of swords." ??25 This Hadith confirms that right from its inception, Islam had encouraged to crave for peace rather than war but where war becomes inevitable, they are encouraged to face it with fortitude and steadfastness. 126 3. Refrain from Surprised Attack: In the Pre-Islamic Arabs, the war strategy is to launch surprised attack against the enemy especially at night. This practice was stopped and declared prohibited by the Prophet of Islam. He forbade the Muslim from attacking the enemy at night or early dawn. 127 4. Mutual Dialogue before War: Muslim jurists hold that it is obligatory to engage in dialogue with the enemy of Islam before restoring to force. This position was based on a tradition of the Prophet which states that: "Whenever you meet polytheist in a battlefield, invite them to accept one of the three options: first, invite them to accept Islam, and if they do, accept this from them; second, if they do not accept, then offer them the status of dhimmis [protected citizens of the Islamic State], and if they accept it, then abstain from bloodshed; third, if they do not accept this offer then seek the help of God and begin fighting against them." 128 5. Respect to Human Dignity: In pre-Islamic period, it was the practice to burn enemy alive while engaging in warfare. The Prophet Muhammad stopped this practice as revealed in a statement he was reported to have made that: "Nobody has the authority to award a punishment of fire. It is the prerogative of the Creator of fire." 129 6. Prohibition of Subjecting Enemy to Torture and Humiliating Killing: The Prophet prohibited the act of tying with ropes and torturing the enemy before. By Almighty Allah, the advice I give you and the decree of amr and nahi (doing right and abstaining from wrong) -my proclamations are like the Glorious Qur'an or more than that. God has not permitted you to enter into the houses of the People of the Book (Banu Nadir) without permission, and beat their women, or consume their fruits. They have paid you whatever was due from them." 131 8. Prohibition of Brutal and Revengeful Acts: In situation where Islam permits waging war against enemy, it prohibits the destruction of crops, murdering the local population, and burning of properties. Such acts are considered as mischief which is condemned in the Qur'an in absolute terms. It says that: "Whenever he attains authority, he goes about the

earth spreading mischief and destroying harvests and killing the human race, even though God (whose testimony he invokes) does not like mischief." 132

? Do not kill women, children, and the elderly;

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419 420 421

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

465

This guiding principle was re-enacted by Caliph Abu Bakr who, while dispatching the Muslim army to fight in Syria specifically instructed them in following order:

? Do not mutilate dead bodies (muthla); ? Do not attack or persecute religious dignitaries and do not destroy places of worship; ? Do not cut fruit-bearing trees and do not set ablaze the harvests; ? Do not demolish houses; ? Do not slaughter animals; ? Honour your pledges; and note that ? The life and property of those who confess loyalty are as sacred as those of Muslims. 133 9. Peace Gesture Must Be Respected: If fighting military Jihad is, ab initioaimed at stamping out mischief, injustice and oppression with a view to establish peace, justice and orderly society, peace gesture from the opponent serves as a signal to incline towards those positive values and must be complimented and respected. A number of Qur'an verses points to this position. Military Jihad is permitted "until the war lays down its arms," ??34 and "until mischief ends and the way prescribed by God prevails," ??35 The Qur'an is more specific when it states that: "If they leave you alone and do not fight against you and offer you peace, then God does not permit you to harm them." 136 10. Envoys and Neutral Parties Must not be Harmed: In Islamic jurisprudence, envoys are protected and under no circumstance should they be harmed.

When the envoy of Musailama, a rival claimant to prophethood came to Prophet Muhammad and delivered the message of his mission, the Prophet's response was to the effect that: "Had the murder of envoys been permissible, I would certainly have killed you." 137 By method of Qiyas, Muslim jurists have extended the ruling in this prophetic statement to conflict situation and held that if a person approaches the frontiers of an Islamic state and discloses his identity as an ambassador or envoy and declares that he has a message for the Head of State, he will be protected and allowed safe passage without hindrance. Such immunity should be extended to his goods, equipment, servants, staff, and even arms. However, if he fails to establish his credentials as an envoy, he may be denied this immunity. ??38 Similarly, those who are neutral and impartial in hostility should not be attacked. This is established in Qur'an where it is stated that: "If they leave you alone and do not fight against you and offer you peace, then God does not permit you to harm them." 139 Muslims are thus required to give this category of people asylum if it sought. It states that: "And if any one of those who associate others with God in His divinity seeks asylum, grant him asylum that he hear the word of God, and then escort him to safety for they are a people bereft of all understanding." 140 engaged wantonly in the act in the name of Islam. The examples of the attack on the twin towers in New York, the bombings of Bali, Madrid and London, the recent attack by 24year-old Seifeddin Rezaugi that left about 18 British tourists dead at Tunisia popular beach resort in Sousse give justification for this criticism. But the outrage been fuelled further by many media channels which defame Islam by portraying these attackers as 'Islamists' or 'Jihadists', as though they were sanctioned by Islam, or had any legitimacy to act or speak on behalf of the Muslims. ??41 It is has been noted that the blanket usage of the terms such as "Islamist terrorism", "Muslim terrorists" "Muslim militants", Muslim extremists" in Western political speech and media has variously been called "counter-productive," "unhelpful," "highly politicized, intellectually contestable" and "damaging to community relations. ??42 Attempt to define terrorism has generated debate among academicians and jurists. Thus, there is neither an academic nor an accurate legal consensus regarding the definition of the term. ??43 It is not intended hereto discuss theoretical details of terrorism either in conventional or Islamic jurisprudence. Suffice to say that the contemporary Muslim jurists catalogue all acts of terrorism as rebellion and un-Islamic. ??44 "?. Believing that terrorism constitutes a gross violation of human rights, in particular the right to freedom and security, as well as an obstacle to the free functioning of institutions and socio-economic development, as it aims at destabilizing State; convinced that terrorism cannot be justified in any way, and that it should therefore unambiguously condemned in all its forms and manifestations, and all its actions, means and practices, whatever its origin, causes or purposes, including direct or indirect actions of States; recognizing the growing links between terrorism and organized crime, including illicit trafficking in arms, narcotics, human beings and money laundering; Have This has been replicated in Fatawah and Declarations by a number of internationally acclaimed Muslim institutions and organizations. For example, the Jeddah-based pan-Islamic organization, the Organization of the Islamic Conference (now Cooperation) (OIC), adopted a Convention in the twenty-sixth session of its Islamic conference of Foreign Ministers in Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso, held in July 1999 declaring acts of violence and terrorism un-Islamic. The Convention contain about 12 Articles making provisions on wide range of issues relating to security, violence and terrorism at both local and international levels and how the member states of the Organization could cooperate in combating these phenomena.

146 "Terrorism is aggression perpetrated by individuals, groups, or states in a spirit of oppression against one's religion, blood, reason, wealth, or honour. It comprises all types of fear-inducing behaviour, harms, and threats, including armed burglary, the spreading of fear amongst travellers, and acts of highway robbery. It covers all acts of violence or threats to commit individual or group crimes for the sake of striking fear amongst people or terrifying them through threats of causing harm to them or endangering their lives, freedom, security, or general conditions. Included in the types of terrorism is the endangering of national resources or the damaging of public utilities or private properties. All of the above are types of mischief on earth, which God prohibited Muslims from committing when He said in the Qur'an: "[A]nd seek not mischief in the earth. Indeed, God does not like

those who spread mischief." God has legislated a rigorous punishment for terrorism, aggression, and corruption, and regarded them as acts of war against God and His Messenger (PBUH)."

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

526

527 528 It is specifically states that: 147 In similar vein, the Pakistani religious scholars held a meeting in Jamia' Ashrafa, Lahore, Pakistan between 15 and 17 April, 2010. The aim of the gathering was to explore the Islamic rules on acts of violence and terrorism. The gathering declared in a Resolution that militant methods such as suicide bombing, kidnapping for ransom, and bombing in public places are un-Islamic. 148 Global Journal of Human Social Science Security and the Making of al-Qaeda and isis

The usual narrative has been that the violent Muslim groups emerged from the influence of teachings of Islam. This narrative is not different from the old accusation of many oriental writers. For example Professor Wilfred Cantwell Smith who in his controversial comments against the background of the history of Western-Islamic relationships said that Muhammad preached Islam with a sword in one hand and the Qur'an in the other. ??49 "For almost a thousand years ... Europe was under constant threat. In the early centuries it was a double threatnot only of invasion and conquest, but also of conversion and assimilation. All but the easternmost provinces of the Islamic realm had been taken from Christian rulers, and the vast majority of the first Muslims west of Iran and Arabia were converts from Christianity. North Africa, Egypt, Syria, even Persianruled Iraq, had been Christian countries, in which Christianity was older and more deeply rooted than in most of Europe. Their loss was sorely felt and heightened the fear that a similar fate was in store for Europe."

In the century immediately following the death of the Prophet Muhammad, Muslim forces conquered lands stretching from the borders of China and India to Spain's Atlantic coast. Bernard Lewis notes: 150 A US congressional report alleges that "Saudi Arabia has not stopped its interest in spreading extreme Wahhabism. ISIS...is a product of Saudi ideals, Saudi money and Saudi organizational support, although now they are making a pretence of being very anti-ISIS." It states further that Saudi "money goes to constructing and operating mosques and madrassas that preach radical Wahhabism. The money also goes to training imams; media outreach and publishing; distribution of Wahhabi textbooks, and endowments to universities and cultural centres." ??51 However, DeLong-Bas expresses doubt about this position. According to her, there is too much negative comment towards Wahhabism in the West. She argues that Ibn Abd al-Wahhab was "not the godfather of contemporary terrorist movements", but "a voice of reform, reflecting mainstream eighteenthcentury Islamic thought. His vision of Islamic society was based upon monotheism in which Muslims, Christians, and Jews were to enjoy peaceful co-existence and 149 See generally, Cantwell, S.W., Islam in Modern History, Princeton University Press, (1957). ??50 cooperative commercial treaty relations. ??52 DeLong-Bas believes that extremism in Saudi Arabia "does not stem from" Islam, but from issues such as oppression of the Palestinian people, "Iraq, and the American government's tying [the hands of] the U.N. [and preventing it] from adopting any resolution against Israel, have definitely added to the Muslim youth's state of frustration." 153 DeLong-Bas was quoted as saying 154 Osama bin Laden that she did "...not find any evidence that would make me agree that was behind the Attack on the Twin Towers. ??55 A month later in The Justicethe student newspaper of Brandeis University (where she was teaching at the time) -she disputed the quote, stating: "Of course he did. He's the CEO of Al-Qaeda and the leader of their political agenda. All I claimed was that he didn't have anything to do with the logistics or the planning of the attacks themselves." Lest we forget, the CIA gave birth to Osama Bin Laden and breastfed his organization during the 1980's. Former British Foreign Secretary, Robin Cook, told the House of Commons that Al Qaeda was unquestionably a product of Western intelligence agencies. Mr. Cook explained that Al Qaeda, which literally means an abbreviation of "the database" in Arabic, was originally the computer database of the thousands of Islamist extremists, who were trained by the CIA and funded by the Saudis, in order to defeat the Russians in Afghanistan.

? ISIS recently rose to international prominence after its thugs began beheading American journalists. Now the terrorist group controls an area the size of the United Kingdom. In order to understand why the Islamic State has grown and flourished so quickly, one has to take a look at the organization's American-backed roots. The 2003 American invasion and occupation of Iraq created the pre-conditions for radical Sunni groups, like ISIS, to take root. America, rather unwisely, destroyed Saddam Hussein's secular state machinery and replaced it with a predominantly Shiite administration. The U.S. occupation caused vast unemployment in Sunni areas, by rejecting socialism and closing down factories in the naive hope that the magical hand of the free market would create jobs. Under the new U.S.backed Shiite regime, working class Sunni's lost hundreds of thousands of jobs. Unlike the white Afrikaners in South Africa, who were allowed to keep their wealth after regime change, upper class Sunni's were systematically dispossessed of their assets and lost their political influence. Rather than promoting religious integration and unity, American policy in Iraq exacerbated sectarian divisions and created a fertile breeding ground for Sunni discontent, from which Al Qaeda in Iraq took root. There are essentially three wars being waged in Syria: one between the government and the rebels, another between Iran and Saudi Arabia, and yet another between America and Russia. It is this third, neo-Cold War battle that made U.S. foreign policy makers decide to take the risk of arming Islamist rebels in Syria, because Syrian President, Bashar al-Assad, is a key Russian ally. Rather embarrassingly, many of these Syrian rebels have now turned out to be ISIS thugs, who are openly brandishing American-made M16 Assault rifles.

? ISIS is not merely an instrument of terror used by America to topple the Syrian government; it is also used to put pressure on Iran. ? America is using ISIS in three ways: to attack its enemies in the Middle East, to serve as a pretext for U.S. military intervention abroad, and at home to foment a manufactured domestic threat,

 used to justify the unprecedented expansion of invasive domestic surveillance." ??57 It is argued that in view of this revelation, it is naïve to suggest that Islam and its over a billion innocent population around the world are responsible for the emergence of these terrorist groups. The Muslims may not be totally exonerated but, certainly the solution may be said to be beyond their control.

15 V. Conclusions and Recommendations

It can be concluded from the above analysis that security is a subject of concern to all including the Muslim world. Islam stands for peace and security despite unholy violence and terrorism perpetrated by a tiny group of Muslims which point to the contrary. There has been shift from the classical division of the world into Daral-Islam and Daral-Harb. This has opened a new chapter in security within the conceptual framework of the Islamic jurisprudence. Under the Islamic jurisprudence, the security, preservation of life, and the preservation of wealth or property are important components of the objectives of the Shari'ah (Maqasid al-Shari'ah). In other words, the Shari'ah framework is to establish justice leading to the attainment of those objectives. It is true that the word 'Jihad' is capable of dual meanings. The Qur'an and Sunnah are unequivocal in condemning any attempt to interpret this term to justify acts of terrorism and violence especially against innocent people and institutions. Contemporary Muslim jurists are doing their bits to prove and assert the position of Islam on the issues of security and acts of violence and terrorism. However, these efforts are arguably being undermined and eclipsed by the powerful forces of predominant international politics. The much prescribed Islamic solutions to the hydraheaded phenomena of violence and terrorism emanating from Muslim territories had become irrelevant. This is because many terrorist groups that claim to fight in the name of Islam are arguably brainchild of the international political ideologies. How they emerged is a topical issue between the Islamic and Western blocs.

16 a) Recommendations

It is suggested here that the stakeholders in international politics should review their preponderant ideologies which are set to achieve certain political and economic interests at the expense of international security. Governments of many majority Muslim states should strive to imbibe the culture of democracy and rules of law within their cultural and religious values. This will go a long way to give many groups particularly, potential Muslim political groups sense of belonging in the running of State affairs. Western democracy should promote the democratic values and encourage developing nations to practice these values according to their culture and religious belief. Any attempt to impose democratic standard or value of a country on another will be counterproductive and lead to emergence of $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{4}{5}$



Figure 1:

Muslim that he should look down upon his brother. The life, wealth, and honor of a Muslim are inviolable by another Muslim" 82

72 Ismail, L.F., Ikhtilaf Ad-Darain wa Atsaruhu fi Ahkam Al-Munakhat wa

Al-Muamalat, pp. 8, as quoted in Hassan, M.H., ibid.

73 Al-Mawardi, Al-Ahkam As-Sultaniyyah, p. 8.

74 Qur'an 49:10; 3:103.

75 Hassan, M.H., War, ibid.

[Note: Hadiths states that: "It is sufficient evil for a 76 Al-Mawardi, Al-Ahkam As-Sultaniyyah, ibid pp. 70-72. In 77 Chaudry, M.S., Islam's Concept of International Relations, http://www.muslimtents.com/shaufi/b17/b1711.htm (accessed 21st February, 2013). 78 Qur'an 21:92. 79 Qur'an 49:10. 80 Qur'an 8:72. 81 Qur'an 3:103. 82 Sahih Muslim.quoted]

Figure 2:

about political reforms in most Muslim states. Year 2015 (F) Global Journal of Human Social Science -© 2015 Global Journals Inc. (US)

Figure 3:

[Note: Ibid. 93 Nyazee, I.A.K.,94 Ibid. 95 Ibid. 96 Qur'an 2:179. 97 Kamali, M.H., Principles of Islamic Jurisprudence, The Islamic Texts Society, Cambridge, (2003), p. 513.]

Figure 4:

106 Lewis, B., The Political Language of Islam, University of Chicago Press, (1988), p. 72; Watt, W.M., Islamic Conceptions of the Holy War in: Murphy, T.P., The Holy War, Ohio State University Press, (1974), p. 143.

107 Javed, G., The Islamic Law of Jihad, Mizan, Dar ul-Ishraq, http://www.renaissance.com.pk/junespart2y2.html(accessed 24 June, 2015).

[Note: 108 Ibid.109 Ghunaimi, M.T., The Muslim Conception of International Law and the Western Approach, Martins Nijhoff/ The Hague, (1968), p. 163.110 Ibid at p. 164.111 Ibid.112 Ibid. 113 Muir, W., Life of Mohammad, Vol. III, Edinburgh: John Grant, (1923), p.]

Figure 5:

Banu Nadir which was a battle with a Jewish tribe that took place in June 625 CE, this was affirmed in a statement made by the Prophet while addressing a gathering that:

"Does anybody from you, in his arrogance, believe that God has not prohibited anything except the restrictions mentioned in the Glorious Qur'an [?]

(F)

123 Maududi, S.A.A., Al-Jihad fi al-Islam, Lahore: Tarjaman al-Qur'an, (2010), p. 238.

[Note: 124 Al-Bukhari, M.I., supra, Vol. 6, 2612.125 Ibid Vol. 3, 1101. 126 Abiad, N., & Mansoor, F.Z., supra at p. 72. killing]

Figure 6:

Similarly, the Muslim World League in its sixteenth session which was held in the Islamic Academy of Jurisprudence in Makkah in January 5-10, 2002, declared act of terrorism un-Islamic. Declaration states that all forms of terrorist activities, whether committed by individuals, groups, or states, are inconsistent with Islamic teachings.

The

Figure 7:

Terrorism,

http://www.huffingtonpost.com/dr-yousaf-butt-/saudi-

wahhabism-islam-terrorism_b_6501916.html (accessed 29 June, 2015)

Figure 8:

156 It is pertinent to quote a verbatim blunt revelation of Garikai Chengu (A research scholar at Harvard University) in which he states that: "Year 2015 (${\rm F}$)

152 Meri, J.W., Medieval Islamic Civilization: An Encyclopaedia, Routledge, (2005), Jihad, p. 419.

Figure 9:

¹Levy, M. 'Is Environment a National Security Issue? International Security Vol. 20 No. 2, (1995) p. 40.

 $^{^2 \}mbox{Global Journals Inc.}$ (US)

³Zahid, M.I., Glossary of Islamic Terms, (Online Document), 1998, (accessed 27 th April, 2006).

 $^{^4 \}odot$ 2015 Global Journals Inc. (US) -

 $^{^5\}mathrm{Chengu},\ \mathrm{G.},\ \mathrm{The}\ \mathrm{War}\ \mathrm{on}\ \mathrm{Terrorism}$ is Terrorism, $\mathrm{http://www.counterpunch.org/2014/09/19/how-the-us-helped-createal-qaeda-and-isis/(accessed\ 23\ \mathrm{June},\ 15)}$

- 557 [Waltz] , K Waltz .
- 558 [Khong and Ibid], Y F Khong, Ibid.
- 559 [Huysmans] , J Huysmans . p. 235.
- 560 [Ibid], Ibid.
- [Bernard et al.], H Bernard, Culture, The Controversy, Justice.http://www.thejustice.org/article/ 2007/01/culture-and-controversy p. 24.
- 563 [Al-Qurtubi ()] Al-Qurtubi . Provision of the Qur'an), Dar al-Katib al-Arabi (ed.) 1976. 5 p. .
- [Abu 'ammar ()] 'American Professor Natana DeLong-Bas: 'I Do Not Find Any Evidence'. Z R Abu 'ammar .

 The Monthly, al-Shari'ah, (Jordan) 2010. 03 Jan 2007. 2009. Jihad and The Islamic Law of War, The Royal
 AAl-Al-Bayt Institute for Islamic Thought (Islam Daily Observing Media. also see generally)
- [Al-Tabari and Al] 'Bayan fi Ta'will al-Qur'an (Interpretation of Qur'an)'. Jami Al-Tabari , Al . Cairo 9 p. 20. (Dar al-Ma'arif)
- 569 [Othman ()] 'Human Security in Islam'. Z Othman . International Development Studies Conference on "Main-570 streaming Human Security: The Asian Contribution, (Bangkok, Thailand) 2007. (A paper presented at the)
- [Ibn Qayyim, Za al-Ma'ad, Beirut: Ar-Risalah Foundation ()] 'Ibn al-Qayyim, Ahkam AhluDhimmaa, (Provision of the People of the Book), Damascus, Matba'at Jami'at'. *Ahkam AhluDhimmaa* Ibn Qayyim, Za al-Ma'ad, Beirut: Ar-Risalah Foundation (ed.) 1986. 1961. 1961. 3 p. . (Ibn al-Qayyim. Provision of the People of the Book. at Jami'at)
- ⁵⁷⁵ [Hertz ()] 'Idealist Internationalism and the Security Dilemma'. J Hertz . World Politics 1950. 2 (2) p. 158.
- [In an interview in the London daily Asharq Al-Awsat (2006)] In an interview in the London daily Asharq Al-Awsat, -December 21, 2006. (American Professor Natana DeLong-Bas, supra)
- ⁵⁷⁸ [Hertz ()] International Politics in the Atomic Age, J Hertz . 1962. New York: Columbia University Press. p. 3.
- 579 [Khong and Security ()] Y F Khong , Human Security . Shotgun Approach to Alleviating Human Misery? Global Governance, 2001. 7 p. 231.
- [Hassan and War (2007)] 'Peace Neutrality: Overview Islamic or An of 581 Inter-State Relations, Α Working Paper at S. Rajaratnam School of International 582 Μ Η Hassan War http://www.cfr.org/terrorism-and-the-law/ 583 convention-organization-islamic-conference-oic-combating-international-terrorism/ 584 p24781(accessed29 145 Convention of the Organization of the Islamic Conference (OIC), on Combating 585 International Terrorism, Council on Foreign Relations, (Singapore) 2007. June, 2015. p. 14. 586
- [Buzman ()] People, State and Fear, An Agenda for International Security in the Post-Cold War Era, B Buzman . 1991. London: Harvester Wheatsheaf. 2 p. 18. (nd ed.)
- [Huysmans ()] 'Security! What Do You Mean?: From Concept to Thick Signifier'. J Huysmans . European Journal of International Relations 1998. 4 p. 234.
- ⁵⁹¹ [Baldwin ()] 'The Concept of Security'. D Baldwin . Review of International Studies 1997. 23 (1) p. .
- [Sagan and Waltz ()] The Spread of Nuclear Weapons: A Debate, S D Sagan , K Waltz . 1995. New York: W.W.
 Norton and Company.
- [Der Derian ()] 'The Value of Security: Hobbes, Marx, Nietzsche, and Baudrillard'. J Der Derian . The Political
 Subject of Violence, David Campbell, Michael Dillon (ed.) (Manchester) 1993. Manchester University Press.
 p. 104.
- [Waltz ()] Theory of International Politics, K Waltz . 1979. New York: McGraw Hills, Inc.
- [See Generally Rothschild ()] 'What is Security'. E See Generally Rothschild . Daedalus 1995. 124 (3) p. .