

# Performance Study of Filler Materials Used in Anaerobic Baffled Reactor

Sk. Md. Habib Abdullah<sup>1</sup>

1

Received: 13 February 2015 Accepted: 4 March 2015 Published: 15 March 2015

---

## Abstract

Anaerobic Baffled Reactor (ABR) is an improved septic tank where addition of filler materials may increase its efficiency significantly. This study is based on the criteria and performance of Anaerobic Baffled Reactor (ABR) when modified with four different kinds of filler materials as filter medium. Sand, Coconut shell, Stone chips and Brick were used as filler material through which kitchen waste water was passed. Water quality was measured before and after filtration of kitchen waste water through selected filler materials. The assessment of water quality after filtering through filler materials makes significant changes to find out best suited filler materials as filtering medium. This study reveals that double layer sand filler is the best suited for removal of pathogens, BOD and COD and it can be used as irrigation and gardening purposes and further treatment is mandatory to make it drinkable. With respect to the BOD removal coconut shell possesses better result whereas sand filler is better for COD removal. Coconut shell removed 43

---

*Index terms*—

## 1 Introduction

In an Anaerobic Baffled Reactor (ABR) a series of baffles is used under which the waste water is forced to flow. The general tradition of treating wastewater is mainly sanitation purpose commonly sewage by septic tank. Anaerobic Baffled Reactor has been recently introduced in low income country like Bangladesh, mainly introduced to village for better performance in treating sewage. Due to the lack of centralized sanitation, residents of rural areas have little capacity to invest in infrastructure and a lack of technical understanding to drive implementation (Tonetti et al. 2012), whereas Aerobic Baffled Reactor (ABR) is working as an improved septic tank having filler materials facilities as a filter medium. Different materials have been studied to replace traditional materials such as crushed stone, gravel, coconut shells & ceramic bricks (Tonetti et al. 2011, Chernicharo et al. 2006). Such filler materials are widely available and cheap in many countries, especially in developing countries like India, Bangladesh, Philippines, Sri Lanka, and Thailand etc. surface soil. During the dry season water is scarce in most of the areas in Bangladesh. Sometimes cultivation is hampered due to scarcity of water. Moreover the groundwater table is undergoing day by day due to very frequent use of groundwater. To overcome the consequences of water scarcity, the concept of wastewater reuse may be a solution besides rainwater harvesting and other conservation methods. It has been estimated that water savings in the range of 18%-29% for an average household could be achieved by reusing drainage water (Lechte, 1992). In this sense, reuse of kitchen wastewater should be a good trend for cultivation. Kitchen wastewater contains a large amount of oil and grease, detergent or soaps. In most cases household kitchen waste water is deposited as untreated to the open drain which occurs contamination of surface water such as canal water or river water and increases the volume of waste water. In the municipal area like Dhaka metropolitan city kitchen waste water is directly disposed to sewerage line, so it increases the volumetric pollution only. So, initially making a treatment to the kitchen wastewater which is

less polluted can fulfill purposes like irrigation, road wash etc. For improving better performances of Anaerobic Baffled Reactor filler materials as a filter medium has been introduced in this study.

In this limited study the Aerobic Baffled Reactor (ABR) with filler medium is introduced in case of kitchen waste water. Four different types of filler materials have been used here. Selected parameters were measured to check out the water quality before and after filtration through filter medium. The best fit filler material was evaluated on the basis of laboratory analysis. It is found that each filler material is separately effective for the treatment of particular water parameter.

## 2 II.

### 3 Study Area

The study area is the residential student hall named 'Amar Ekhusey Hall, Khulna University of Engineering & Technology (KUET)' which is located at Khulna, Bangladesh, South Asia. Four small models of Anaerobic Baffled Reactor (ABR) each contains 2.5 liters of waste water were made with plastic containers to perform the study and completed it with proper placement of filler materials and sample water. Given flow chart will be the best description of study strategy- Perforated tin sheet was galvanized but its cover condition was as semi galvanized. The sheet was circular and its diameter was slightly less than diameter of ABR model. For sand filter over perforated sheet a piece of permeable layer was used. Here, water was to remain for only two months, so a piece of clean cloth was used here. But it is recommended to use permeable synthetic polymer as permeable layer. TC removal efficiency is 90%. If we convert the 1 ml test result to 10 ml or 100 ml test results then it is inferred that, the TC doesn't remove up to desired rate. This pathogenic water can't be used for drinking purpose at all and another treatment process is necessary to use it for irrigation purpose or disposal to canal or river as it causes no harm to fish or watery animal. In case of pH all the values satisfy the standard limit for drinking and irrigation purpose both. From the performance of the test turbidity doesn't satisfy the goal after treatment. Coconut shell filler had shown better removal of turbidity than others. To remove turbidity should use alum as treatment. Alum helps to remove soap and turbidity. Brick as filler showed best result for SS. The result for brick, khoa filler and stone filler were within permissible limit but sand filter exceeded slightly limiting value and coconut shell exceeds highly. For total dissolved solid (TDS), the larger dissolved particles are trapped in the pores of the filter media, thus reduce the dissolved solids. It was also observed that the TDS removed mostly by the sand filter media. BOD<sub>5</sub> removal efficiency is best 43% showed by coconut shell filter. BOD removed in filter significantly, because the small pore of the aggregate and also adsorbed in the filter media. BOD value is within range for irrigation purposes. COD mostly (65%) removed in sand filter significantly, because the large chain of the organic matter cannot pass through the small pore of the aggregate and also adsorbed in the filter media. In case of CO<sub>2</sub> brick filler materials showed better performance than other filler materials. It removed about 82% CO<sub>2</sub> where coconut shell filler showed only 20%. Here, iron is increased in a huge range. This is occurred due to use semi galvanized perforated tin sheet. Tin made reaction with wastewater with oxygen gas produced by bacterial activity and amount of iron in wastewater is increased. So, it is recommended not to use tin sheet. And for this sample water secondary treatment is necessary. Alkalinity removed for sand filter, coconut shell, stone chips and brick are 47%, 20%, 47% and 17% respectively. The color removal efficiency is better for brick filler. There are several causes behind the color removal. These are the adsorption in the brick chips, reduction of the organic matter, reduction of dissolved solids and mechanical staining that remove colloidal Proposed Anaerobic Baffled Reactor (abr)

## 4 Result and Discussion

### 5 Conclusion

In case of BOD removal coconut shell possess better result where sand filler is better for COD removal. Brick khoa shows better results for color, hardness and CO<sub>2</sub> removal. However each filler material shows different result for different tests. So, it is difficult to say which is better for use. But if further treatment can be initiated then water can be easily be used for irrigation purpose, gardening water, urinal flushing etc. It is verified from the study that ABR with a portion of filler materials even if it is sand filler, can perform better than without filler materials.



Figure 1:





Figure 2:



1

Figure 3: Figure 1 :




2

Figure 4: Figure 2 :



3

Figure 5: Figure 3 :



4

Figure 6: Figure 4 :

---

1

Parameters	Unit	Before Fi- tration	After filtration using filter materials sand coconut shell stone		
Total Coliform (TC)	nos/ml	1300	130	320	300
Faecal Coliform (FC)	nos/ml	110	10	16	8
pH	-	7.05	7.27	6.98	7.59
Turbidity	NTU	500	308	90	149

Figure 7: Table 1 :



- 
- 91 [Nguyen et al. ()] *A study of the wastewater treatment process using the anaerobic baffled reactor*, H Nguyen , S  
92 Turgeon , J Matte . 2010. (WPI)
- 93 [ Cruz ()] *Coconut shell as filling material for anaerobic filters*, Cruz . 2013. Springer Plus. 2 p. 655.
- 94 [Droste ()] R L Droste . *Theory and Practice of Water and Wastewater Treatment*, 1997. John Wiley & Sons,  
95 Inc.
- 96 [Tak et al.] *Effluent Quality Parameters for Safe use in Agriculture*, H I Tak , Y Bakhtiyar , F Ahmed , A Inam  
97 .
- 98 [Morel and Diener ()] ‘Greywater Management in Low and Middle-Income Countries’ A Morel , S Diener .  
99 *Review of different treatment systems for households or neighbourhoods. Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic*  
100 *Science and Technology (Eawag)*, (Dübendorf, Switzerland) 2006.
- 101 [Guidelines for municipal wastewater irrigation Alberta Environment ()] ‘Guidelines for municipal wastewater  
102 irrigation’. *Alberta Environment* 2000.
- 103 [Interpreting Water Quality Test Results for Fruit and Vegetable Production] *Interpreting Water Quality Test*  
104 *Results for Fruit and Vegetable Production*, UT extension. (SP 740-B)
- 105 [Yu et al.] *Performance of an Anaerobic Baffled Filter Reactor in the treatment of Algae-Laden water and the*  
106 *contribution of granular sludge*, Y Yu , X Lu , Y Wu .
- 107 [Ferraz et al. ()] ‘Performance of an Anaerobic Baffled Reactor (ABR) in Treatment of Cassava water’. F M  
108 Ferraz , A T Bruni , V L Bianchi . *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology* 1517-8382. 2009. 2009. 40 p. .
- 109 [Mang and Li ()] *Technology review of biogas sanitation*, H P Mang , Z Li . 2010. (giz, sustainable sanitation  
110 ecosan)
- 111 [Baskar et al. (2009)] ‘Treatment from wastewater from kitchen in an institution hostel mess using constructed  
112 wetland’. G Baskar , V T Deeptha , A A Rahman . *International Journal of Recent Trends in Engineering*  
113 May 2009. 1 (6) .
- 114 [Baskaran et al. ()] *Wastewater reuse and treatment options for the dairy industry*, K Baskaran , L M Palmowski  
115 , B M Watson . 2003. 3 p. .
- 116 [Ahmed and Rahman ()] ‘Water Supply and Sanitation’. M F Ahmed , M M Rahman . *ITN-BUET* 2000. (Rural  
117 and low income urban communities)