

1 Factors Contributing to Educational Wastage at Primary Level: 2 The Case of Lanfuro Woreda, Southern Ethiopia

3 Deribe Debella Kebede¹, Endale Berhanu Demissie² and Ashebir Bezabih Estifanos³

4 ¹ Wolaita Sodo University

5 *Received: 7 June 2015 Accepted: 4 July 2015 Published: 15 July 2015*

6

7 **Abstract**

8 The purpose of this study was to investigation the magnitude of educational wastage of
9 primary schools in Lanfuro woreda . Attempts are also made to identify the major factors
10 that contribute to educational wastage and suggest possible strategies to alleviate them. To
11 this end, descriptive survey method was employed to reveal the current situation of high rate
12 of grade repetition and drop-out (educational wastage). The data regarding enrolment,
13 repetition and drop-outs were obtained from Lanfuro woreda educational department and
14 sample school's document. The study included five schools, 280 students, 46 teachers, and 5
15 principals of sample schools. Sample schools were selected using stratified sampling technique
16 to give focus both rural and urban schools. random sampling technique was applied to select
17 teachers and students (drop-outs and repeaters). Principals were selected using purposive
18 sampling technique. The data gathered through questionnaire, was analyzed using mean,
19 median, and percentage.

20

21 *Index terms*— education, wastage, primary school.

22 **1 Background of the Study**

23 ducation is the most important factor that significantly affects the life of an individual and empowers him/her to
24 contribute to national development. As a form of investment made on people. Education plays a pivotal role in
25 human resource development. Investment in education is made with intent for better returns in the future. "An
26 investment in education is an investment in the productivity of the population."Investment in formal education
27 is considered as precondition to economic growth ??Bishop (1989:21).

28 Since the interaction education, economic and social development has been broadly recognized ??Levy,1991:31),
29 the education system of any country is meant to serve its development objectives. Economic analysis has
30 consistently shown that investment in education brings higher rate of return than investment in physical capital
31 ??Dension, 1964 ??n Woube, 2003).

32 Changes in the education system of any country have to give due attention to the efficiency and effectiveness
33 of primary education. "The progression of students from admission" in the beginning year of their study "Until
34 their successful completion" of the cycle of education (primary or secondary) reflects the degree of efficiency in
35 that level of education (UNESCO, 1983a:57).The efficiency of a particular level of education can be expressed
36 by the input/output ratio, the reciprocal of which is known as "Coefficient of efficiency" ??Brimer and Pauli
37 1991:47).

38 In the ideal situation, all students admitted in the beginning grade of the education level will reach the second
39 grades in the following academic year and continue until they complete that level of education. But in reality "an
40 alarming phenomenon in education", wastage (drop-out and repetition) obstructs this "ideal scheme" (UNESCO,
41 1983a:57).

42 Repetition and drop-out rates are then commonly used parameters to measure educational wastage of the
43 educational system. Repeating a grade means utilizing more resources than allocated to a student and hindering

7 E) METHODS OF DATA ANALYSIS

44 the intake capacity of schools. Similarly, leaving a school (dropping) before completing a particular cycle/level
45 of education is wastage in resources, number of graduates and student years. In both cases, the meager resources
46 allocated for education will be wasted or underutilized ??UNESCO, 1998:12 STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM
47 ??UNESCO (2003) indicated that children around the world, especially Sub-Saharan Africa countries, fail to gain
48 access to primary schooling. Even large numbers among those who do enroll leave prematurely, dropping-out
49 before the skills of numeracy and literacy have been properly gained. This initiates for a close investigation of
50 the degree of educational wastage of primary schools.

51 Like other developing countries, primary schools in Ethiopia have shown a rapid expansion since 1974. With
52 this rate of development, however, the percentage of Children who reach the final grade of the primary education
53 cycle is low, as it has been conducted by ??Dereje 2003; ??abtamu 2001; ??adesse 2001) and Adane ??1993). Most
54 of them have their own area of study as well as geographic boundary. None of them has dealt with educational
55 wastage of primary school in the Lanfuro Woreda . This Woreda foud in Silit Zone in SNNPR. The area shares
56 boundaries with siliti woreda in the east and north, Sankura Woreda to the south, Oramiya in the west. The
57 peoples' livelihood is dependent on subsistence agriculture largely based on farming crops, such as maize, wheat,
58 tef, peas and beans. In Lanfuro Woreda, dropout and grade repetition are rampant. The basic problem that has
59 initiated the researchers to conduct this study is high rate of educational wastage i.e. high rate of drop out and
60 repetition in the woreda. Hence, the study aims to answer the following basic research questions.

61 1) What is the magnitude of wastage in primary schools of Lanfro Woreda? 2) In which grade of the primary
62 level does the highest wastage rate (repetition and drop-out) occur? 3) What are the major causes of wastage
63 (repetition and dropping-out)?

64 III.

65 2 Objectives of the Study

66 The study is aimed at to examine those factors that contributing to educational wastage in Lanfuro Woreda.

67 3 IV. Research Design and Methodology a) Research Design

68 For this study a descriptive survey research design was employed because it could help to reveal the current
69 situation of educational wastage in selected primary schools in Lanfuro Woreda.

70 4 b) Source of data

71 The necessary data for this study were collected from both primary and secondary source.The primary data was
72 obtained from teacher's students and principals The secondary data was obtained from Lanfuro Woreda education
73 departments

74 5 c) Sample size and Sampling Technique

75 Lanfuro Woreda constitutes 17 primary schools. Since it is difficult to include all primary schools in the study, the
76 researchers preferred to focus on sample schools. Accordingly, five out of 17 schools were selected using stratified
77 sampling technique.

78 Out of total population, 30 percent were randomly selected from grades: 5, 6, 7 and 8 to participate in the
79 survey study. Students from grade 1-4 weren't made to fill the survey questionnaire since they are too young
80 to provide the required information. On the other hand, in order to select teacher respondents from the sample
81 schools, random sampling technique was used to categorize them regarding gender. As result, equal chance that
82 is 50% was given for both genders to participate more female teachers in the study, because their number is less
83 than that of male teachers at primary level, especially in second cycle. Then, from the total teachers 30% were
84 selected from each gender through purposive sampling technique. Principals of all sample school were taken as
85 a sample through purposive sampling technique because such posts were only reserved for them.

86 6 d) Data collection tools

87 The following tools were employed to collect data for the study. The study employed both quantitative and
88 qualitative data and the data were gathered by the help of instruments namely, questionnaires, interview and
89 document review. Moreover, the questionnaire was pre tested.

90 7 e) Methods of Data Analysis

91 Percentage and frequency also used to analyze various characteristics of respondents. The weighted mean was
92 used to identify which of the Item was rated above average mean score to be considered as one of the significant
93 factors for high educational wastage of primary schools.

94 The independent mean and percentage were employed to test the respondents (teachers and students) degree of
95 agreement regarding the important reasons for educational wastage. Data collected through different instruments
96 was coded and tabulated. The quantitative data was analyzed using SPSS version 20. The t-test of significance
97 of respondent's opinion difference was measured at alpha level 0.05. Also Chi-square (χ^2) test was employed

98 to test the significance level of students' response with regard to reason for going to school and self-concept of
99 students.

100 V.

101 **8 Results and Discussion**

102 This chapter deals with the presentation and analysis of data obtained from rosters of sample primary schools and
103 primary data obtained through questionnaires distributed for students and teachers and interviews conducted
104 with five sample school principals

105 **9 a) Characteristics of Respondents**

106 As stated earlier (in chapter 1), the subjects of this study were general primary school students, teachers and
107 principals. Under this topic background information of the subjects is present Table 11 indicates that the over
108 whelming majority of the students 56.8% (n=159) family were illiterate while only 30.7% (n= 86) of family were
109 attended primary education. The remaining 7.9% (n= 22), 2.9% (n=8) and 1.8% (n=5) of the students family had
110 secondary education, TTI, and College/University education respectively. Thus, the low level of family education
111 may have a crucial effect on the survival of the students in the education system. iv. The Home Environment
112 Furthermore, 70.7% (n=198) of student respondents said that, they are living with both parents.13.2 % (n=37)
113 of them live with only one of the parents and 11.8% (n=33) of them live with their gardeners and only 4.3 %
114 (n=12) of them live alone. So it is possible to deduce that most of student respondents were living with their
115 parents. So the psychological atmosphere in a home of student respondents was good. This showed that parents'
116 educational level was more important in determining repetition and drop-out of students than with whom the
117 students living.

118 **10 v. Educational Materials**

119 In addition, Item number 3 in the Table 11 reveals that educational material costs and other educational expenses
120 covered by out of parent i.e.62.1% (n=174). Only 37.9% (n=106) of student respondents' educational material
121 costs were covered by their parents. This showed that most parents didn't give necessary support for their
122 children in schooling. So, this could be mentioned as one of the potential factors for early leaving of school and
123 grade repetition (wastage) at primary schools of Lanfuro Woreda . In Table 2 Item number 1 depicts that large
124 number of respondents 65% (n=182) reported that they like school learning. But, as the remaining respondents
125 17.9% (n=50), 6.4% (n=18) and 10.7% (n=30) responded they see their friends, they like their teachers and their
126 parents ordered them respectively to go to school. Assured that significant number of students perceived learning
127 when they are going to school. This can be interpreted that most of the students had positive attitude towards
128 school learning. But their success in school was not satisfactory, which might be caused by another variable
129 rather than student's attitude towards learning at primary level of the study area.

130 In addition, Item 2 in Table 2 reveals that student respondents 67.5 % (n=189) thought that they are low
131 achievers inherently. Only few 9.3 %(n=26) of them believed that they are high achievers. Whatever it is, it
132 can be concluded that the attitudes that students attached to their performance hampered their survival in the
133 system.

134 **11 b) Major Factors of Educational Wastages of Primary 135 Schools in the Study Area**

136 This study was aimed to identify the magnitude of educational wastage of primary education in Lanfuro woreda
137 . An attempt was also made to identify some students, teachers, school related and administration /institution,
138 socio-economic and socio-cultural constraints that may have significant effect on high educational wastage of
139 primary education in the Woreda. In computing students and teacher respondents' response, the researcher
140 used different scales that represent the extent of influence of each factor. These scales were 1=Very low 2=Low
141 3=Moderate 4=High 5=Very high

142 There is no one single factor that influence for wastage of education system. The combination of number
143 of factors contributed to students' grade repetition and school leaving. But it is important to mention that all
144 stated factors are not equally significant for low internal efficiency. For this purpose, the researcher interested to
145 present and discuss the findings in their order on the questionnaires.

146 **12 i. Students Related Factors**

147 As students are direct beneficiary of education; various factors those contributed to educational wastage could
148 be attached with students. Among these variables, failure in study hard, lack of interest in education, low future
149 success expectation, frequent absenteeism, students' health problem and low self conception due to previous failure
150 in exam are presented in Table 4 wastage of primary schools in Lanfuro woreda . To begin with, respondents
151 were asked to rate the contribution of students' failure to study hard for repetition and dropping out of students
152 in primary schools of the study area. The computed wastage mean scores of students (Mean=3.93, teachers
153 (Mean=3.54 and over-all wastage average (Mean=3.84, above the average rating (3.0). This shows that students

12 I. STUDENTS RELATED FACTORS

154 pinpointed failure to study hard as the major cause for grade repetition and drop out and they also described the
155 highest contribution of these two variables (repetition and drop-out) for educational wastage. In similar manner,
156 the wastage mean score of teachers as listed above indicated that the mentioned Item as a potential factor for
157 educational wastage at primary level in this study area. Generally, the overall wastage average assured the high
158 contribution of this Item for educational wastage of primary education in the study area. However, depending
159 on the overall wastage mean score, it is possible to conclude that failure to study hard is one of the major causes
160 for educational wastage of primary schools in the study area.

161 Similarly, in the Table 4 the impact of students' lack of interest in education on wastage of sample primary
162 schools was indicated in the computation. In Table 14 Item number two, the calculated wastage (Mean=4.23, and
163 over-all wastage average (Mean=3.67, rated above average (3.0). As students' response, it is possible to judge
164 that lack of interest in education as crucial factor for grade repetition and drop-out (wastage). Likewise, teachers
165 identified the same Item with great emphasis to show its high contribution of educational wastage. Besides this,
166 the over-all wastage average depict that all respondents perceive students' lack of interest in education as one of
167 the significant factors for educational wastage of primary schools of this study area. On the base of mean scores,
168 it is possible to conclude that students' lack of interest in education could be included among the major causes
169 for inefficiency/wastage/ of primary schools in this study area. Lack of interest in education may result from the
170 way students see their future success in school work and future achievement.

171 The effect of low future success expectation of students on education of primary schools in this study area
172 was checked (see Table 4). The computed wastage mean scores of students (Mean=3.77), teachers (Mean=4.08,),
173 and over-all wastage average (Mean=3.84,) depicted in the Table are above the median rate (3.0). The reflected
174 view of respondent students revealed that low future success expectation caused educational wastage in Lanfuro
175 woreda at primary level. Furthermore, teachers strongly admitted this Item as highly contributing factor for
176 educational wastage in this study area. The response value of expectation of students is one of the major causes
177 of educational wastage of primary schools in this study area.

178 As shown in the Table above (see Table 4), the respondents were asked to rate to what extent the frequent
179 absenteeism of students could contribute to grade repetition and dropping-out of school educational wastage/in
180 primary schools in this study area. As a result, wastage mean values of students (Mean=3.71,), teachers
181 (Mean=4.31,) and overall wastage average (Mean=3.84,) rated above the median rate (3.0). In strictly speaking,
182 students categorized this Item among potentially affecting factors of internal efficiency of primary education.
183 Furthermore, teachers have given high weight rather than students for its seriousness. In addition, depending on
184 over-all wastage average, it is possible to include frequent absenteeism of students under basic causes of educational
185 wastage of primary schools of the study area. Thus, according to over-all wastage average, the possible conclusion
186 could be frequent absenteeism is one of the major factors of educational wastage in Lanfro woreda primary schools.
187 It is possible to see this finding with conformity of another research finding which was stated as the schools with
188 lower rate of absenteeism were efficient than those with higher absenteeism (Chantavanich and Fry, 1990).

189 Item number 5 presented in the Table 4, is the students' health problem. Mean scores of students (Mean=2.76,),
190 teachers (Mean=3.33,) and over-all wastage (Mean=2.89,) indicated in the Table ?? As one can see from the
191 data, the mean responses of students rated blow the moderate rating (3.0) in contrast to teachers' response.
192 This shows the opinion variation between teachers and students regarding this variable. Teachers admitted this
193 item as a constraint that has a contribution to educational wastage; but students were not. Furthermore, the
194 over-all wastage average reveals that student's health problem as not major reason of educational wastage in the
195 study area. Even though, teachers identified students' health problem as important factor for wastage, regarding
196 over-all wastage average, this variable is not included in major cause of educational wastage in the study area at
197 primary level.

198 Even though, this finding is not in the same direction with previous research findings, it is impossible to
199 expect good academic achievement from students without good health. Colclogh and Lewin (1993) teachers
200 manifested for wastage is higher than that of students. This difference can be the results of degree of believe
201 that the respondents have, to judge how much the mentioned variable could contribute to educational wastage
202 in their locality. Moreover, the over-all wastage average also strengthened the contribution of this Item for the
203 issue under discussion. However, the possible conclusion for this finding can be low future success largely on the
204 characteristics of learners themselves whether they are well-nourished, having physical and mental health. As
205 reported by many other findings, fever, malaria, recurring headaches, stomach pains, liver problems are serious
206 in most rural and remote areas of developing countries. Such problems usually lead students to discontinue their
207 schooling and/or performing low in the classes (Carl-Hill, 2002 and Bishop, 1994).

208 The last but not least student related variable incorporated in Table 4 was the students' low self-Factors
209 Contributing to Educational Wastage at Primary Level: The Case of Lanfuro Woreda , Southern Ethiopia
210 (conception due to the previous failure in exam. The contribution of this variable to grade repetition and
211 dropping-out of school in the sample primary schools was computed. The calculated wastage mean scores of
212 students (Mean=3.61,), teachers (Mean=3.5,) and the over-all wastage average (Mean=3.58,) found to be above
213 the average rate (3.0). This reveals that both groups of respondents (teachers and students) perceived students'
214 low self-conception due to the previous failure in examination as one of the significant factors for educational
215 wastage (combined effect of grade repetition and drop-out). It is thus safe to conclude that the students' low

216 conception due to the previous failure in examination could be embraced among the main causes for inefficiency
217 of primary schools in this study area.

218 Similar finding has been recorded by previous studies. For example, Graham (1991) stated that early failure in
219 school would make children to be failure oriented. These children tend to lose the interest towards learning and do
220 not expect themselves to be successful. The failure oriented individuals do not only tend to fail in examination,
221 but also tend to decide to discontinue their education.

222 **13 ii. Teacher Related Factors**

223 It could be difficult to expect good performance and progress of students in schooling having teaching force
224 with low or no interest and satisfaction in teaching profession. The provision Table 5: Teacher Related Factors
225 Educational Wastage of Primary Schools in Lanfuro woreda (n=280)

226 **14 Note : S=Student T=Teacher**

227 In Table 5 for Item number 1, the calculated wastage mean value of students (Mean=3.48,), teachers
228 (Mean=3.02,) and over-all wastage average (Mean=3.37,) observed. Regarding students wastage mean score,
229 lack of encouragement to students from teachers can be put among the major causes of grade repetition and
230 drop-out (Educational wastage). In similar fashion, teachers wastage mean value rated above the average score
231 (3.0) that revealed the high contribution of the same variable to educational wastage. In addition, over-all
232 wastage average was rated above the median, which was observed for Item number one. Thus, all respondents
233 valued above median rating (3.0), as both respondents have mean value above the average, we can say that they
234 agreed that lack of encouragement to students from teachers could be categorized as one of the major cause for
235 educational wastage of primary education in the study area. This means in other words, the primary school
236 students need encouragement from teachers to stay in school and to perform well.

237 Table 5 also indicates the assignment of less experienced teachers in resulting educational wastage at primary
238 education. It is evident that, the calculated wastage mean scores of students (Mean=2.51,), teachers (2.09,)
239 and over-all wastage average (Mean=2.42,) rated below the median on the Likert scale. In strictly speaking, the
240 observed mean value of students showed the contribution of assignment of less experienced teachers to educational
241 wastage is relatively low compared with teachers mean value. Furthermore, th over-all wastage average is still less
242 than the moderate rating (3.0). Even though statistically significant difference was observed between teachers
243 and students, the mean value for both groups is much below the average. Thus, it is not possible to include
244 assignment of less experienced teachers as major causes for educational wastage of primary schools in Lanfuro
245 woreda.

246 Teachers' disappointment in their profession is another variable treated in Table 5. For this variable mean
247 score is below the average scale (3.0) that indicates this factor as having less significant role on the problem
248 under discussion. However, depending on over-all wastage average, even though the teachers mean for the Item is
249 lower, it is safe to conclude that the belief of students about disappointment of teachers in their profession could
250 be among major causes for educational wastage of primary schools in Lanfuro woreda. The last teacher related
251 factor treated in the Table 15 was assignment of less qualified teachers. The computed wastage mean scores of
252 student (Mean=3.07,); teachers (Mean=2.61,) and overall wastage average (Mean=2.96,), of which only students
253 mean is rated above median rate (3.0). This illustrates that students perceived assignment of less qualified
254 teachers in resulting grade repetition and drop-out (wastage) as moderate problem in their school. In contrast,
255 teachers didn't value the impact of this variable as not significant. Although both group of respondents responded
256 dissimilarly, the Item was averagely rated around the moderate rating in over-all wastage. it is possible to use
257 over-all wastage average (2.96). This score is around the median rate (3.0). Thus, assignment of less qualified
258 teachers was not among the major causes for educational wastage of primary schools in this study area.

259 To sum up, among the four related factors lack of encouragement to students from teachers and professionally
260 disappointed teachers were identified as major causes for high educational wastage of primary schools in the
261 study area.

262 iii. School Related Factors Note : S=Student T=Teacher In Table 6 above, school related factors behind
263 educational wastage of primary schools in Lanfuro woreda are treated. Pertaining to Item number one the
264 contribution of distance from home to school to grade repetition and dropping-out of students in primary schools,
265 the calculated wastage mean scores of students (Mean=3.73), teachers (Mean=3.71,) and over-all wastage average
266 (Mean=3.72,) observed. As seen from the data, students indicated distance from home to school as a serious
267 contributive factor to educational wastage. In most similar manner, teachers also agreed on wickedness of the
268 same Item in resulting educational wastage in Lanfuro woreda. In general, both groups of respondents valued
269 the impact of this variable on primary schools' greater than moderate rating (3.0). and also the over-all wastage
270 average is above median rate. Therefore, it is possible to conclude that distance from home to school was among
271 major causes for high educational wastage of primary schools in the study area.

272 Similarly, findings ??MOE, 2003 and ??abtam, 2002) reported that students' home to school distance has
273 a considerable impact on students survival in school and restricts performance due to fatigue. Lock heed and
274 Verspoor (1991) also explained that it is a significant factor in determining school attendance. The World Bank
275 (1980) report also indicated that the influence of distance particularly for low income families is serious. In rural

276 areas of most developing countries, children have to walk long distance to school and tend to dropping-out of
277 school sooner if they are suffering from starvation.

278 Respondents were also asked to rate the impact of lack school facilities on educational wastage of primary
279 schools in their local context. As indicated in the Table 16, the calculated wastage mean scores of student
280 (Mean=3.57,), teachers (Mean=3.71,) and over-all wastage average (Mean=3.60,) illustrated that students rated
281 above median point (3.0) The extent to which lack of school facilities contributed to educational wastage in the
282 primary schools of Lanfuro woreda. Additionally, teachers ratings are above the moderate point (3.0).Moreover,
283 depending on the over-all wastage average (as listed above), it is easy to conclude that lack of school facilities could
284 be one of the major constraints of internal efficiency in this study area at primary level .Regarding respondents
285 degree of opinion difference in their response about this variable Thus, lack of school facilities could be mentioned
286 as one of the major causes for primary schools educational wastage in Lanfuro Woreda. This finding is in
287 conformity with the work of Kainja and and its adequate service may significantly affect students' performance
288 and progress. As stated by another researchers (Carl-Hill, 2002 and Habtamu, 2002) schools with better facilities
289 and service are possibly more efficient than without.

290 Another school related variable treated in the Table 6 was learning in overcrowded classroom. As shown in the
291 Table 6, the calculated wastage mean score of students (Mean=3.60) teachers (Mean=3.66) and overall wastage
292 average (Mean=3.61) indicated that this Item was rated above the moderate score (3.0). As clearly we can see
293 from the observed data, both groups of respondents (teachers and students) expressed their strong agreement in
294 identifying learning in overcrowded classroom as a potential cause for educational wastage in primary schools of
295 Lanfuro woreda.

296 Therefore, it is possible to conclude that overcrowded classroom was taken as crucial cause for high educational
297 wastage of sample primary schools. On other hand, this finding implies that through minimizing the number of
298 students in the class, the rate of educational wastage in primary education can be reduced.

299 This finding is confirmed by Kapakas'(1992) report which showed large class size as one of the causes for
300 wastage. In addition overcrowded class is one of the major causes for the decline of educational quality.

301 Furthermore, the response of interviewee of sample school principals (5 in number) with regard to the sufficiency
302 of educational materials and facility in their school, most of principals (three of them) pointed out that there is
303 scarcity of educational materials; but few of them (2) said the educational materials are sufficient for the teaching
304 purpose as well as available for learners. In addition those who said there is shortage of educational materials,
305 as their report the reason for shortage was mismatch of text books, teacher guides and other materials that are
306 printed and distributed by the Regional Education Bureau with number of students.

307 15 VI.

308 16 Findings

309 The data obtained were analyzed using different statistical tools like percentage, mean, median. The analysis
310 resulted in the following findings.

311 1) The results of the study also indicated that the phenomenon of drop-out has made higher contribution to the
312 over-all wastage rate relatively compared with grade repetition. 2) Among the personal characteristics of students
313 considered sex, age, marital status had no influence on students' performance. Because most student respondents
314 were in the age interval of 13-15 years which is normal age for general primary school attendants. In addition,
315 the overwhelming majority of them were (80.4%) single. 3) Among students' family background characteristics
316 (parents' education level, provision of educational materials, activities at home and parents' occupation) seem
317 to have significant association with students' academic status. In spite of this general picture, large number of
318 parents (56 %) was illiterate. Similarly, most parents didn't give necessary support for students. As a result
319 62.1% (n=174) of students' educational material costs and other educational expenses covered by respondents
320 themselves. So, this could be Mkandawire ??1989). He documented that material inputs and grade repetition
321 (wastage) of students in sample primary schools. Under the variable students' attitude toward learning, reason
322 for going to school, the students response indicated that the majority of them 65% (n=182) like learning. This
323 means most of students have positive attitude towards school learning, but their unsatisfactory success may be
324 due to another factor. Students also expressed their self-concept about their capacity. As observed from their
325 response, most of them 76.9% (n=189) believed themselves as low achiever. Therefore, the attitude students
326 attach to their performance can hamper their survival in the education system. 5) Of the teachers' characteristic
327 variables, 54.9% were males and 45.1% were females. Most of teachers (68%) also categorized in the age interval
328 of 25 years and below, where as few number of teachers (0.14%) aged above 35 years. Majority of teaching force
329 in study area were TTC graduates (i.e. 81%). This can have an impact on internal efficiency of education system.
330 Among sample teachers, although almost above 50% of them reflected their satisfaction in being teacher, it is clear
331 that, number of dissatisfied teachers is not few so that this could have great contribution for educational wastage.
332 6) Respondents rated student related variables in general as major factors for inefficiency (wastage) of primary
333 education in the woreda. Specifically, failure in study hard, lack of interest in education, low future success
334 expectation, frequent absenteeism and low self concept due to previous failure in exam were more emphatic to
335 contributing grade repetition and drop-out. 7) Among teacher related factors, lack of encouragement to students
336 from teachers and professionally disappointed teachers have identified as major causes for educational wastage

337 of primary schools in Lanfuro Woreda. But assignment of less experienced teachers and less qualified teachers
338 failed to have significant contribution to educational wastage in primary schools of the study area.

339 **17 Conclusion**

340 The wastage was severe among boys than among girls. It has also been found that second cycle primary level
341 was more affected by the observed high rate of wastage. The study further disclosed that students related, school
342 related, and socio-economic constraints were found out significant in their high contribution to educational
343 wastage of primary education in Lanfuro Woreda. From all these, it seems true that the primary education in
344 Lanfuro Woreda functioning with low efficiency.

345 **18 Recommendations**

346 On the basis of findings and conclusion drawn, the following recommendations were forwarded. 1) As the finding
347 of the study indicated one of the major causes for low internal efficiency (wastage) of primary education in the
348 study area is socioeconomic constraints like lack of material support. These shortages lead students to involve
349 in income generating activities to fill educational requirements and other needs because most of parents failed
350 to provide the necessary financial and material assistance for their children. Therefore, it would be advisable
351 if: Primary school leaders in collaboration with Woreda Education Offices and Zonal Education Department to
352 work on awareness creation among parents to consider the effects of lack of educational material support on their
353 children's learning and making them responsible to offer the necessary support is the prime solution to minimize
354 wastage. 2) Students drop-out increases with increase in distance a student moves to school. Students traveling
355 long distances to school are more likely to drop-out of school. It is generally significant in rural area.

356 Although the government made attempt to expand the access of primary education for all schoolaged children,
357 still this study show that school distance as one of the major causes of educational wastage. Therefore, the
358 regional and Zonal governments and 3) It should be noted that of all the components that are needed to make
359 an education system viable, functional, and productive is the availability of qualified and satisfied teaching force.

360 The study revealed that almost half of the teaching force in the sample schools is dissatisfied with their
361 profession. This dissatisfaction in being teacher is not due to disliking the profession itself, but it is due to the
362 nominal salary and poor residential condition (especially rural teachers). Therefore, it is recommendable that:
363 a) Regional Education Bureau and Zonal Department of Education arrange a kind of remote area incentives;
364 it could be in the form of housing allowance, free heath care and so forth. b) Regional Education Bureau and
365 Zonal Education Department should prepare refreshment courses such as seminars, workshops and conferences
366 by initiating NGO's or development association's to help teachers to update and upgrade their professional
367 competence. This possibly may 4)

368 **19 VII.**

369 **VIII.**

370 4) The finding indicated that, non conducive school environment is embraced under major causes contributing
371 to educational wastage in Lanfuro Woreda at primary level. To be successful school, there should be health and
372 comfortable school environment. School climate should be one in which every student and teacher feel safe. If
373 students and teachers are comfortable, then teaching and learning become much easier. Being comfortable is also
374 a combination of several different factors such as adequate usable space, noise control, sanitation, water supply,
375 effective communication and so forth. Thus, health environment is the state of complete physical, mental, and
376 social well being.

377 It is apparent that conducive and attractive school environment is determinant factor in attracting students
378 to come to school and perform well. Indeed, it is possible to make school environment conducive and attractive
379 by the effort of school leaders, local administrators and other stakeholder's commitment with the support of
380 government. So, these concerned bodies take responsibility to minimize wastage (grade repetition and dropout)
381 in the study area. 5) Overcrowding can have negative effect on students and teachers. Students who are seated
382 one another in the classroom might have differently focusing on the lesson. The invasion of personal space
383 and feelings of being crowded both contribute to the lack of focus. In addition, students can be distracted by
384 noises that are in close proximity to them in an student in education has no vision for tomorrow's success as a
385 result he/she fails to study hard and frequently absent from the class. The final result of this phenomenon can
386 be repeating a grade or dropping-out of school. Therefore, to minimize those problems and to make students
387 visionary, schools should have the meaningful and continuous guidance and counseling service to reshape the
388 students' behavior. 7) Since this study is not an end to area factors contributing to educational wastage, further
389 studies that participates relatively larger numbers of respondents should be carried out focusing the same area.
390 increase teacher's satisfaction so that student's grade repetition and drop-out could be minimized. overcrowded
391 classroom. Teaching in overcrowded classroom is stressful for the teacher who has to adapt lesson plan to focus
392 more on work that students can complete at their desks in instead of group work and other student centered
393 teaching method. These lead to less learning and low test scores which causes educational wastage. To eliminate
394 this overcrowded classroom problem as the finding indicated more schools will be need to be built, or more
395 sections should be created with sufficient number of teachers and facilities. 6) The finding of this study indicated

396 that all of student related variables except students' health problem such as failure to study hard, lack of interest
397 in education, low future success expectation, frequent absenteeism, and low self concept due to previous failure
398 in exam were identified as major causes of educational wastage. It is apparent that most of these variables are
399 strongly associated with the student's personal behavior. These behaviors might be emanated from lack deep
rooted interest in education from the very beginning. Uninterested^{1 2 3}



Figure 1:

Factors Contributing to Educational Wastage at
Primary Level: The Case of Lanfuro Woreda,
II.

Southern
Ethiopia

,

E

[Note: UNESCO]

Figure 2:

1

No. Characteristics

Figure 3: Table 1 :

¹Factors Contributing to Educational Wastage at Primary Level: The Case of Lanfuro Woreda , Southern Ethiopia

²Year 2015 © 2015 Global Journals Inc. (US)

³Year 2015 © 2015 Global Journals Inc. (US)

2

No	Characteristics	Response	
		No.	%
1	Reason for going to school:	182	65.0
	I like learning	50	17.9
	I see my friends	18	6.4
2	my teachers	30	10.7
	My parents ordered me	26	9.3
	I am excellent student	65	23.2
	I am medium achiever	189	67.5
	I am low achiever		

Figure 4: Table 2 :

4

								Year
								2015
								13
No. 1	Factors	Failure to study hard	Respondents	Mean of repetition	Mean of drop out	Weighted Mean of wastage	Overall wastage	Volume
			S T	4.43	-out	Wastage	wastage	XV
				3.54	3.42	3.93	av-	Issue VI
					3.52	3.54	erag	Version I
							e 3.84	
2	Lack of interest in education	S T	3.83	3.18	3.50	4.23	3.67	(G)
				4.39	4.06			
3 4 5 6	Low future success expectation	S T	3.63	3.9	4.21	3.7	4.08	3.84
	Frequent absenteeism	S T	3.94	3.65		3.71	4.31	3.84
	Pupils health problem	S T	3.76	4.33		2.76	3.33	of
	Low self conception due to previous failure in exam.	S T	4.29	2.89		3.6	3.5	Human
				2.62	3.12			Social
				3.54	3.59			Science -
				3.62	3.33			
				3.67				

Note : S=Student T=Teacher

Table 4 presents students and teacher's ratings of students' related factors that linked with educational

[Note: © 2015 Global Journals Inc. (US)]

Figure 5: Table 4 :

No	Factors	Lack encouragement to students from	Respondents	Means of repetition	Mean of drop-out	Mean of	Weighted	Over-all	Year 2015
1			S T	n 3.54	3.41	3.29	Wastage 3.48	3.02	15
				2.75					Volume XV
		teachers							Issue VI Ver-
2	Assignment of less experienced teachers	Profession-	S T	2.52 2.08	2.51	2.09	2.51	2.09	1
3		ally disappointed teachers	S T	3.69 2.58	3.69	3.31	3.69	2.95	Global
4		Assignment of less qualified teachers	S	3.09		3.04		3.07	Journal of
			T		2.64		2.57		Human
									Social
									Science
									-
									-

[Note: © 2015 Global Journals Inc. (US)]

Figure 6: Table 5 :

Year	
2015	
16	
Volume	e
XV	
Issue VI	
Version	
I	
(G)	wastage mean scores of students (Mean=3.69,), teachers (Mean=2.95,) and over-all wastage average
-Global	No. 1 2 (Mean=3.52,) were observed. As it is possible to see, Factors Respondents Mean of repet
Journal	
of	
Human	
Social	
Science	

Learn Sing 3.68
in
overcrowded
classrooms 3.86

© 2015 Global Journals Inc. (US)

Figure 7: Table 6 :

401 [The Ethiopia journal of Education] , *The Ethiopia journal of Education* MOE Public relation service. 22 (2) .

402 [Global Education Review. London: Action Aid ()] , *Global Education Review. London: Action Aid* 2003.

403 (Action Aid)

404 [Zeleke ()] *A Critical Analysis of the Problem of Women's Education in Ethiopia*, Alemtsehay Zeleke . 1985.

405 (IDR. AAU (un published)

406 [Eshetu ()] *A Paper Presented at the Seminar on Gender Issues in Ethiopia*, Almaze Eshetu . 1991. Addis Ababa: IDR. (Women in Ethiopia. Problems and Prospects)

408 [Bishop ()] *Alternative Strategies for Education*, G Bishop . 1989. London: Macmillan publishers.

409 [Bishop ()] *Alternative strategies for Education*, G Bishop . 1994. London: Macmillan Education Ltd.

410 [Levy ()] 'Determinants of Primary School drop-outs in Developing Countries'. M B Levy . *Comparative Educational Review* 1991. No1. 15.

412 [Kapakas ()] *Determination of Girls Participation and Persistence in Schools in Malawi: World Bank Population and Human Resource Division South Africa Department*, A M Kapakas . 1992.

414 [Colclogh and Lewin ()] *Educating All the Children: Strategies for Primary Schooling in the South*, C Colclogh , K M Lewin . 1993. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

416 [Brown ()] *Education in Developing world: Conflict and Crisis*, Graham Brown , S . 1991. London Longman INC.

418 [Chantavanich et al. ()] *Evaluating primary Education Qualitative and Quantitative policy studies in Thailand*, A Chantavanich , G Chantavanich , Fry . 1990. Ottwa, IDRC.

420 [Atto ()] *Factors Associated with Wastage in Secondary Education in Kefa and Shaka zones: Un*, Bekele Atto . 2004. (published Masters' Thesis)

422 [Iiz/Dvv ()] *Focus on Adult and Non Formal Education in Ethiopia*, Iiz/Dvv . 2002. Addis Ababa. (un published)

423 [Eshetu ()] 'Is Grade Repetition Desirable?'. Hussen Eshetu . *Educational Journal* 2000. 4 (10) .

424 [Kainja and Mkandawire ()] 'National Case Study on the Role of Female Teachers in the Emolument and Persistence of Girls Primary Schools'. K Kainja , F Mkandawire . *UNESCO* 1989.

426 [Davison ()] 'School Attainment and Gender: Attitudes of Kenya and Malawian Parents Towards Educating Girls'. J Davison . *International Journal of Educational Development* 1993. 13 (4) p. .

428 [Shibeshi ()] 'Some Trends in Regional in Primary School Participation in Ethiopia'. Ayalew Shibeshi . *The Ethiopia Journal of Education* 1989. ll (1) .

430 [Carl-Hill ()] *State of the Art Review of Relevant Literature, Draft Document prepare with in Frame Work of the Joint II CBA/UNESCO and UNICEF, Study on "Education for Nomads in East Africa*, R Carl-Hill . 2002. East Africa Paris UNESCO/IIEP.

433 [Brimer and Pauli ()] *wastage in Education: A world problem*, M Brimer , L Pauli . 1991. Paris: UNESCO.

434 [Tesera ()] *Wastage in primary schools of Bahir Dar Auraja*, Adane Tesera . 1993. AAU. (Unpublished Thesis)