Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.* 

# The Relationship between Perception of Organizational Politics, Emotional Intelligence and Work Outcomes: Empirical Evidence from Banking Sector of Pakistan

Ahmad Bemisal Durrani

Received: 12 December 2013 Accepted: 1 January 2014 Published: 15 January 2014

#### 7 Abstract

5

Emotional intelligence and organizational politics are an essential part of organizational 8 lifespan and a politically charged organization is discouraging to work in. The purpose of this 9 study is to examine the relationship between perceived organizational politics and emotional 10 intelligence and work outcomes. The major objective is to explore the role of emotion in 11 organizational politics in the banking sector of Pakistan. The self-designed questionnaire was 12 used for data collection from 105 employees who are working at managerial level and non 13 managerial level in banking sector. The findings of the study showed the positive relationship 14 between emotional intelligence and perception of politics and these findings help the top 15 management of banking sector to know the how emotion and organizational politics affect on 16 the work out comes of the employees. The finding will also be useful in designing and 17 implementing the strategic management decisions. 18

19

20 Index terms— emotional intelligence, organizational politics, work outcomes.

### 21 **1** Introduction

o generate modest advantages and durable success, recent thinking by researcher and experts alike expresses to 22 the value of providing a dedicate work environment for employees. Organizations are combinations of individual 23 24 with different views, beliefs, ideas and attitudes. These differences influence the way these individuals infer events 25 in the organization. These differences feed into the individual understanding related with organizational actions like politics (Silvester, 2008). Organizational politics explained as actions that authorize people in organization to 26 attain goals without going through proper channels. Whether political activities support or spoil the organization 27 depends on whether the goals of individuals are dependable with the organizational goals. There has no doubt 28 that political beliefs are an ordinary observable fact in every organization. 29

Organizational politics represented devious behavior of employees towards their work environment only for their self interest. These self interests may be at the cost of other employees or may be organizational goals as well.

Organizations that are common with politics give an uncomfortable environment for employees and fail to create workable behavior for their employees. During the past two decades, research on organizational politics has paying attention mostly on testing the perceptions of organizational politics model. According to the model,

organizational, work environment and personal influences of perceptions of organizational politics, which in

turn give rise to a number of work outcomes like job involvement, job satisfaction, anxiety, turnover and absence

<sup>38 ??</sup>Ferris et al., 1989). Research examined the negative implications of perceived organizational politics for various

<sup>39</sup> work attitudes, behaviors, and performance measures, including job satisfaction, organizational commitment, job

<sup>40</sup> burnout, stress, turnover intentions, and negligent behavior (Chang et al., 2009).

Politics is a fact of life in organizations. People who ignore this fact of life do so at their own peril. This means that politics in organizations is a reality and therefore largely seen as a necessary evil. Thus, organizational politics is a prevalent element of virtually all work environments, and its influence has been substantiated across

a variety of domains. It has been viewed negatively, neutrally or positively. However, until recently the emotional
and feelings implications of organizational politics have been overlooked. ??ayer and Salovey(1997) suggests
that "emotional intelligence involves the ability to perceive accurately, appraise and express emotions; the ability
to access and generate feelings when they facilitate thought; the ability to understand emotion and emotional

48 knowledge; and the ability to regulate emotions to promote emotional and intellectual growth". In recent times,

49 the researchers have begun to identify the role of emotion in organizational politics. Drawing from affective events 50 theory, which holds that emotional experiences at work influence employees' attitudes and behaviors. Researcher

<sup>51</sup> suggested that emotion and emotional behaviors mediate the relationship between perceptions of politics and

52 several attitudinal and behavioral outcomes (Liu et al., 2006). Emotional intelligence influences how organizational

53 politics is perceived, thereby indirectly affecting employees' attitudes and behaviors. A recent study of Vigoda-

Gadot and Meisler (2010) addressed this question and explored the relationship between emotional intelligence,

55 perception of organizational politics and several work outcomes. Findings from that study found no support for

the suggested relationship between emotional intelligence, perception of organizational politics.

# $_{57}$ 2 a) Purpose of the study

This study aims to examine the relationship between perceived organizational politics and emotional intelligence and work outcomes and explores the role of emotion in organizational politics in banking sector of Pakistan.

## 60 **3 II.**

# <sup>61</sup> 4 Literature Review a) Organizational politics

The phenomenon of organizational politics was first introduced in academic literature by ??urns (1967). 62 According to him politics occurs when others are made use of as resources in competitive situation. Since 63 then the construct has been conceptualized differently by researcher. In the same line, the researcher view 64 organizational politics as a behavior, formal or informal that is motivated by self-interest, executed with the 65 sole purpose to satisfy individual interest now or in the future. Organizational politics refers to actions taken 66 within the organization in order to acquire, develop, and use power and other resources in a way that will lead 67 to preferred personal outcomes ??Pfeffer, 1981). A literature review reveals that most research in the field has 68 taken a negative view of this phenomenon, focusing on semilegal actions, manipulation, and coercive influence 69 ??Ferris and King, 1992). Previous research has studied the antecedents and consequences of influence tactics 70 employed in organizational power struggles. More recent studies have focused on exploring the effects of political 71 skill on career success, job effectiveness, and work performance ?? Todd et al., 2009). 72

The manners, in which employees perceive organizational politics, and the implications of such perceptions, 73 have also been studied. In this regard, ??erris et al. (1989) model has been recognized as a useful tool for 74 discussing how organizational politics functions within organizations, and various studies have validated its key 75 elements, documenting negative correlations between perception of organizational politics and desirable work 76 attitudes such as job satisfaction and organizational commitment, and positive correlations between perception 77 of organizational politics and undesirable outcomes such as job burnout, stress, turnover intentions and negligent 78 behavior (Chang et al., 2009)Lately, organizational politics researcher have started to acknowledge the potential 79 contribution of emotion to both the perceptions and implications of organizational politics. In a revised version 80 of the perception of organizational politics model, researcher suggested positive and negative affectivity as 81 antecedents of perception of organizational politics. 82

Hochwarter and Tread way (2003) took a somewhat different approach and proposed an interaction effect of 83 positive and negative affectivity and perception of organizational politics on job satisfaction. Their study found 84 empirical evidence for this line of thinking. Other studies have integrated emotion in organizational politics from 85 a different perspective. Focus on affective events theory, Liu et al. (2006) agreed that emotion and emotional 86 behaviors serve as intermediate linkages in the association between perception of organizational politics and 87 various attitudinal and behavioral outcomes. According to him, political acts by oneself and others can be viewed 88 as work events that trigger emotional reactions, which serve as a core mechanism through which perception of 89 organizational politics affect attitudes and behaviors such as burnout, cynicism, job satisfaction and affective 90 commitment. Rosen et al. (??009) followed a similar line of thought that the daily hassles associated with 91 organizational politics arouse negative emotional responses, which link perceived politics with job satisfaction 92 and other work outcomes. They found that frustration partially mediates the relationship between perception of 93 organizational politics and job satisfaction, and that frustration and job satisfaction together mediate the effects 94 of perceived politics on task performance, organizational citizenship behavior and turnover intentions. 95

# <sup>96</sup> 5 b) Emotional intelligence

Mayer and Salovey (1997) define emotional intelligence as "the ability to perceive accurately, appraise, and express emotions; the ability to access and/or generate feelings when they facilitate thought; the ability to understand emotions and emotional knowledge; and the ability to regulate emotions to promote emotional and intellectual growth". In their model, known as the ability model, emotional intelligence combines intellectual intelligence and emotion (Mayer et al., 2008), as it involves an intellectual understanding of emotion and how emotion can guide both thought and actions.

Theoretical approaches to emotional intelligence can be divided according to whether they focus on specific 103 abilities or on a more global integration of those capacities. The specific ability approaches concern individual 104 mental capacities that are important to emotional intelligence. The integrative model approaches regard 105 emotional intelligence asinter related global ability. Specific ability approaches to emotional intelligence study 106 issues such as how well a person identifies emotions in faces or how well a person understands emotional meanings. 107 Integrative model approaches to emotional intelligence focus on the study of specific abilities combined together. 108 In recent years, scholars have also introduced a third approach to emotional intelligence, called a mixed model 109 ??Neubauer and Freudenthaler 2005). This approach includes a variety of non emotional intelligence qualities 110 and consequently, appears to fall partly or largely outside the boundaries of the concept. 111

The mixed model typically studies some relevant, emotion specific abilities but also includes motives, social 112 styles, self related qualities and other traits that do not focus primarily on emotion or emotional reasoning. Thus, 113 models of emotional intelligence begin with emotional intelligence related qualities such as the ability to perceive 114 emotions accurately, and add (1) motives such as the need for achievement (2) social styles such as gregariousness 115 and assertiveness (3) self related qualities such as self esteem and (4) control related qualities such as flexibility 116 117 and control (Mayer, Roberts, and Barsade2008). The integration of these additions creates the mixed models for 118 emotional intelligence. All the foregoing models for the study of emotional intelligence are relevant and should be 119 considered in a study of the banking sector where a few approaches can be used to initiate a useful discourse on the topic. The mixed models have led some critics to question the validity of emotional intelligence as a construct, 120 with particular concerns about its components, measurement, and overlaps with personality traits ??Davies et 121 al., 1998). 122

Research during the past decade has shown that high emotional intelligence improves outcomes on various 123 career and work measures, including transformational leadership, conflict resolution, success in job interviews, job 124 performance, perceived organizational justice, and job satisfaction ??Meisler, 2012). Aside from its direct effects 125 on such work outcomes, emotional intelligence is also thought to play a moderating role, enhancing the effects 126 of other determinants of career success. Other researchers have explored the possibility that the associations 127 between emotional intelligence and work outcomes are mediated by intervening variables ??Mikolajczak et al., 128 2007). Other findings from the same study showed that emotional intelligence moderates the relationship between 129 perceptions of organizational politics and organizational commitment, as well as the relationship between political 130 skill and absenteeism among public personnel. A significant relationship between emotional intelligence and 131 political skill was also found by ??eisler (2012). 132

## <sup>133</sup> 6 c) Organizational politics with emotional intelligence

It is difficult to imagine that organizational politics does not inducing force ful emotions among those who participate in, or even witness, the political game. It is much more reasonable to assume that organizational politics inducing emotions such as anger and frustration. Given the contribution of emotional intelligence to understanding and regulating positive and negative emotions ??Mayer,2001). It is surprising that the effects of emotional intelligence on the perceptions and implications of organizational politics have not yet been extensively studied.

The present study will address this issue and explore the relationships between perceptions of organizational politics, emotional intelligence, and three attitudinal and behavioral outcomes. Empirical evidence from the public sector, presented by Vigoda-Gadot and Meisler (2010), showed an insignificant relationship between emotional intelligence and perception of organizational politics. Furthermore, findings from that study found no support for the assumed mediation effect of perception of organizational politics on the relationship between emotional intelligence and work outcomes.

The model suggests that employees' emotional intelligence affects the manner in which organizational politics
 is evaluated and perceived, and affects the work outcomes through its effect on perception of organizational
 politics.

Studies have found a positive correlation between emotional intelligence and job satisfaction (Sy et al.,2006), a negative correlation between emotional intelligence and negligent behavior and inconsistent findings concerning the relationship between emotional intelligence and turnover intentions (Wong and Law, 2002). Therefore it is believe that the effect of emotional intelligence on job satisfaction, turnover intentions and negligent behavior is atleast partly a consequence of its effect on perception of organizational politics. Higher emotional intelligence is expected to lead to lower perceptions of organizational politics, which in turn willenhance employees' job satisfaction and reduce both turnover intentions and negligentbehavior.

## <sup>156</sup> 7 e) Hypotheses of Study

157 The study suggests the following hypotheses: H1. Emotional intelligence will be negatively related to perceived 158 politics.

H2.Emotional intelligence will positively relate to job satisfaction and negatively relate to turnover intentions
 and negligent behavior.

## <sup>161</sup> 8 III.

#### <sup>162</sup> 9 Methodology

163 This research employs descriptive and analytical methods involving the quantitative approach. The primary data 164 has been collected from participants by using a questionnaire specifically designed for this research.

## <sup>165</sup> 10 a) Participants and procedure

The target population consisted of employees working in banking sector in Lahore, Pakistan.A comparable sampling frame of 200 employees working at managerial position and non managerial position was elicited from the target population.

#### <sup>169</sup> 11 Data collection instrument

The self deigned questionnaire was used for data collection based on five point likert scale (from strongly disagree to strongly agree) to measures the Relationship between perception of organizational politics, emotional intelligence and work outcomes.

## <sup>173</sup> 12 c) Measures

This study used the Wong and Law Emotional Intelligence Scale (WLEIS) tomeasure emotional intelligence (Wong and Law, 2002). This study chose to use this self-report scale because in a recent study ??Lawet al., 2008) the Wong and Law Emotional Intelligence Scale was found to be a better predictor of objective job performance compared to the performance based emotional intelligence test. The scale consists of four dimensions:

(1) Self emotion appraisal(2) Others' emotion appraisal(3) Regulation of emotion and (4) Use of emotion and 178 responses ranged from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree) and the reliability was 0.609. most accepted 179 measure of perception of organizational politics in the literature and responses ranged from 1 (strongly disagree) 180 to 5 (strongly agree) and the reliability was 0.727. The study used the Schriesheim and Tsui (1980) six-item scale 181 to measure the Job satisfaction where respondents were asked to indicate how satisfied they were with different 182 aspects of their job. The responses ranged from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree) and the reliability was 183 0.65. For Turnover intentions variable, the study used a four-item scale based on Farrelland Rusbult (1992). The 184 responses ranged from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree) and the reliability was 0.75. For Negligent 185 behavior, used the four-item scale of Vigoda- For the measurement of Perceptions of organizational politics, 186 this study using 12 items from Kacmar and Carlson's (1997) scale. This scale is the (strongly agree) and the 187 reliability was 0.75. For Negligent behavior, used the four-item scale of Vigoda-Gadot and Meisler (2010). The 188 responses ranged from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree) and the reliability was 0.78. This study has 189 collected several control variables as a routine procedure. These control variables areage, tenure, gender and 190 education were also tested throughout the various stages of the analysis. ) .This finding disagreed with the 191 hypothesis (H1) thatemotional intelligence will be negatively related to perceived politics. 192

### **193 13 Emotional Intelligence**

194 Work Outcomes

## 195 **14** IV.

#### 196 15 Result

The prediction that EI is positively related to job satisfaction (r =0.157) negatively related to turnover intentions and negligent behavior (r = -0.179, r = -0.125) respectively was supported H2. In addition, POP is positively related to job satisfaction(r = 0.074) and negatively related turnover intentions and negligent behavior (r = -0.126, r = -0.175). The correlations between POP and each of the separate EI facets were significant: self-emotion appraisal (r = 0.635), others' emotion appraisal (r = 0.546), use of emotion (r = 0.619) and regulation of emotion (r = 0.709).

#### 203 16 Job

## <sup>204</sup> 17 Discussion and Conclusion

The study investigated the relationship of emotional intelligence (EI), organizational politics (POP) and work 205 206 outcomes in banking sector of Pakistan. In line with this, the direct relationship between EI and organizational 207 politics and work outcome; Job satisfaction, turnover intentions and negligent behavior was examined..It should be noted that in contrast to previous research which found negative relationship between EI and POP (Vigoda-208 Gadot and Meisler, 2010). The present study show positive relationship between the two variables. Findings 209 of this study the show that elements of emotion can shape perceptions of politics and that the manner in 210 which employees understand and regulate felt emotions affects their interpretation of the political arena. The 211 most salient finding in this study was the direct relationship between EI and job satisfaction, which match with 212

previous studies (Sy, Tram, and O'Hara 2006). In addition, other work outcomes were also affected by EI, directly or indirectly (Van Rooy and Viswesvaran 2004). One of the contributions of this study is the reconfirmation of the idea that healthy emotional constructs and the emotional intelligence of the employees adds to job satisfaction and thus may be used as a performance indicator for the quality of banking services.

Aside from its contribution to the organizational politics literature, this study also offers insights for the field 217 of emotional intelligence. Surprisingly, little research has explored the contribution of EI to shaping employees' 218 work attitudes, behaviors and performance ??Law et al., 2008). Most research on emotional intelligence in the 219 workplace has explored its contribution to leadership behaviors (Wong and Law, 2002) rather than its effect 220 on the general employees population and the small number of studies that have examined the effect of EI on 221 behavioral and attitudinal outcomes have chiefly tested direct relationships between these variables (Sy et al., 222 2006). The current study is one of thethem to explore direct relationships between emotional intelligence and 223 employees' work attitudes/behaviors. 224

## 225 **18** VI.

# **19** Recommendation for Future Research

227 The current study and its findings raise a number of ideas for future research. The present study explored the

associations between emotional intelligence, perceived politics, and the three attitudinal and behavioral outcomes:

- job satisfaction, turnover intentions and negligent behavior. Future studies might expand the model to include other dependent variables, including performance variables such as task performance, organizational citizenship
- behavior, and absenteeism.



Figure 1:

231

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Relationship between perception of Organizational Politics, Emotional Intelligence and WorkOutcomes: Empirical Evidence from Banking Sector of Pakistan

Age	Ν	$\stackrel{\rm Percent}{\%}$
below 25	12	11.4
26-30	44	41.9
31-35	33	31.4
above 35	16	15.2
Total	105	100.0

Figure 2:

- [Silvester ()] 4 The Good, the Bad and the Ugly: Politics and Politicians at Work. International review of
   industrial and organizational psychology, J Silvester . 2008. 23 p. 107.
- [Vashdi et al. ()] 'Assessing Performance: The Impact Of Organizational Climates And Politics On Public
   Schools'performance'. D R Vashdi , E Vigoda-Gadot , D Shlomi . Public Administration 2013. 91 (1) p.
   .
- [Chiva and Alegre ()] 'Emotional intelligence and job satisfaction: the role of organizational learning capability'.
   R Chiva , J Alegre . *Personnel Review* 2008. 37 (6) p. .
- [Kerr et al. ()] 'Emotional intelligence and leadership effectiveness'. R Kerr , J Garvin , N Heaton , E Boyle .
   Leadership & Organization Development Journal 2006. 27 (4) p. .
- [Vigoda-Gadot and Meisler ()] 'Emotions in management and the management of emotions: The impact of
   emotional intelligence and organizational politics on public sector employees'. E Vigoda-Gadot , G Meisler .
   *Public Administration Review* 2010. 70 (1) p. .
- [Meisler ()] 'Empirical exploration of the relationship between emotional intelligence, perceived organizational justice and turnover intentions'. G Meisler . *Employee Relations* 2013. 35 (4) p. .
- [Ralston et al. ()] 'Ethical perceptions of organizational politics: A comparative evaluation of American and
  Hong Kong managers'. D A Ralston , R A Giacalone , R H Terpstra . Journal of Business Ethics 1994. 13
  (12) p. .
- [Mayer et al. ()] 'Human abilities: Emotional intelligence'. J D Mayer , R D Roberts , S G Barsade . Annu. Rev.
   Psychol 2008. 59 p. .
- [Mikolajczak et al. ()] 'If you can regulate sadness, you can probably regulate shame: Associations between trait
   emotional intelligence, emotion regulation and coping efficiency across discrete emotions'. M Mikolajczak , D
- Nelis, M Hansenne, J Quoidbach. Personality and individual differences 2008. 44 (6) p. .
- [Ganzach ()] `Intelligence and job satisfaction'. Y Ganzach . A cademy of Management Journal 1998. 41 (5) p. .
- [Zivnuska et al. ()] 'Interactive effects of impression management and organizational politics on job performance'.
   S Zivnuska, K M Kacmar, L Witt, D S Carlson, V K Bratton, Journal of Organizational Behavior 2004.
- S Zivnuska , K M Kacmar , L Witt , D S Carlson , V K Bratton . Journal of Organizational Behavior 2004.
   25 (5) p. .
- [Liu et al. ()] Y Liu, G R Ferris, D C Treadway, M L Prati, P L Perrewé, W A Hochwarter. 10 The emotion
   of politics and the politics of emotions: Affective and cognitive reactions to politics as a stressor. Handbook
   of organizational politics, 2006. p. 161.
- [Gilmore et al. ()] 'Organizational politics and employee attendance'. D C Gilmore , G R Ferris , J H Dulebohn
   , G Harrell-Cook . Group & Organization Management 1996. 21 (4) p. .
- [Vigoda ()] 'Organizational politics, job attitudes, and work outcomes: Exploration and implications for the
   public sector'. E Vigoda . Journal of Vocational Behavior 2000. 57 (3) p. .
- [Meisler and Vigoda-Gadot ()] 'Perceived organizational politics, emotional intelligence and work outcomes:
  empirical exploration of direct and indirect effects'. G Meisler, E Vigoda-Gadot. *Personnel Review* 2014. 43
  (1) p. .
- [Sy et al. ()] 'Relation of employee and manager emotional intelligence to job satisfaction and performance'. T
   Sy , S Tram , L A & O'hara . Journal of Vocational Behavior 2006. 68 (3) p. .
- [Salovey et al. ()] P Salovey , M A Brackett , J D Mayer . Emotional intelligence: Key readings on the Mayer
   and Salovey model, 2004. NPR Inc.
- [Wong and Law ()] 'The effects of leader and follower emotional intelligence on performance and attitude: An exploratory study'. C.-S Wong , K S Law . *The Leadership Quarterly* 2002. 13 (3) p. .
- [Rosen et al. ()] 'The emotional implications of organizational politics: A process model'. C C Rosen , K J Harris , K M Kacmar . *human relations* 2009. 62 (1) p. .
- [Zellars et al. ()] 'The interactive effects of positive affect and conscientiousness on strain'. K L Zellars , P L
   Perrewé , W A Hochwarter , K S Anderson . Journal of Occupational Health Psychology 2006. 11 (3) p. 281.
- [Chang et al. ()] 'The relationship between perceptions of organizational politics and employee attitudes, strain,
- and behavior: A meta-analytic examination'. C.-H Chang , C C Rosen , P E Levy . Academy of Management
   Journal 2009. 52 (4) p. .
- 281 [Ferris et al. ()] 'Toward a social context theory of the human resource management-organization effectiveness
- relationship'. G R Ferris , M M Arthur , H M Berkson , D M Kaplan , G Harrell-Cook , D D Frink . *Human Resource Management Review* 1998. 8 (3) p. .