

CrossRef DOI of original article:

1 Translanguaging and Culturally Relevant Pedagogies in Teaching 2 Mathematical Concepts

3 Yu Ren Dong

4 *Received: 1 January 1970 Accepted: 1 January 1970 Published: 1 January 1970*

6 Abstract

7 This study investigates how an urban 9th-grade Chinese bilingual algebra teacher's
8 translanguaging and culturally relevant pedagogy fostered conceptual learning in mathematics
9 for secondary bilingual students. Results revealed that mathematical concepts could be
10 discussed explored and reasoned by tapping into students' multilingual, multicultural, and
11 multimodal repertoires and their prior schooling and cognitive resources. The bilingual
12 mathematics teacher's in-depth understanding of the students' home language and culture,
13 and previous literacy education experiences are key to motivating, engaging, and sustaining
14 the students' learning of mathematics. Equally important is the teacher's willingness to use
15 the students' culturally familiar knowledge and the multimodal resource to create
16 translanguaging opportunities to advance their learning of language and mathematics. The
17 interplay of languages, literacy practices, and mathematical thinking and reasoning is a
18 powerful tool for bilingual students to learn both languages and subject matter knowledge.

19 *Index terms*— translanguaging, culturally relevant pedagogy, mathematical concepts.

21 1 Introduction

22 ow to teach mathematical concepts in a secondary bilingual mathematics classroom has an implication for
23 bilingual students' mathematics learning. In recent years, mathematics learning standards and teaching guidelines
24 have focused on the development of students' complex reasoning skills using concepts and mathematical language
25 learning beyond the development of computational, procedural, and operational skills (Ramirez and Pattichis,
26 2012; NCTM, 2020). Concept learning, including comprehension and understanding of mathematical concepts
27 and the relationship between concepts, can facilitate students' higher-order mathematics thinking skills and
28 mathematics knowledge (NCTM, 2020; Simon, 2017). However, mathematics education for bilingual ELLs
29 (English language learners) so far tends to use a monolingual perspective, assuming that students are English
30 proficient, those whose native language is either English, or the former ELLs who have already achieved a mastery
31 of English. There is a lack of research on how bilingual ELLs fare in concept-based learning in mathematics.
32 Additionally, there is little research on how these students' home languages, culture, and previous education
33 affect their learning of mathematical concepts.

34 Mathematical concepts are often abstract and complex and hard to articulate and define (Simon, 2017).
35 For bilingual ELLs, mathematics learning can be extra challenging as they are trying to learn mathematics in
36 a language that they are currently struggling with. Also, teaching examples used to illustrate mathematical
37 concepts are often based on American cultural references, thus unfamiliar to those students. Many times,
38 mathematics teachers of bilingual ELLs rely on concept translations. Once language equivalents are established
39 via translation between English and the student's home language, teachers often assume a meaningful connection
40 is made, and that students have understood the concept. However, this assumption can be misleading as the role
41 of language and culture and literacy experiences of bilingual students in the construction of conceptual knowledge
42 has been inadequately examined (Dong, 2016; Hornberger and Link, 2012; Lee and Lee, 2017; Naresh, et al.,
43 2014). What happens when no language equivalents exist between English and the student's home language that
44 is used to describe the concept? Or what happens when students learn those concepts using a different set of

3 LITERATURE REVIEW A) MATHEMATICAL CONCEPT LEARNING THROUGH CULTURALLY RELEVANT PEDAGOGY

45 words or thought processes from their schooling back in their home countries? We cannot overlook the impact of
46 bilingual ELLs' history of participation in mathematics learning in their previous schooling back in their home
47 countries. These past experiences impact how the concept is taught and learned. When teachers fully utilize
48 these resources along with the students' home languages, they can promote and deepen mathematical concept
49 learning.

50 Translanguaging pedagogy has challenged the monological view of bilingual education ??Garcia, 2009;Garcia,
51 et al., 2017;Wei, 2018). Rather than treating students' home language and English as separate entities to be taught
52 in a sequence and alternation as in the Dual Language program (DL), or in the Transitional Bilingual Education
53 program (TBE), translanguaging pedagogy argues for flexible, hybrid, interactive, and dynamic language practices
54 by intermingling bilingual students' full linguistic and literacy repertoire. Translanguaging pedagogy consists of
55 all languages (both English and the student's home languages) and literacy skills that the students possess
56 (Allard, 2017; Beeman and Urow, 2013; Garcia and Kleyn, 2016;Tai and Wei, 2021). By incorporating all their
57 languages, literacy skills, and culturally familiar examples bilingual ELLs can access and understand mathematical
58 concepts fully as well as develop their bilingual language skills (Krause, et al., 2019;Lang, 2019;McGraw, et al.,
59 2008;Prediger, et al., 2019;Tai, 2022;Turner, et al., 2013).

60 A recent analysis of the pass rate on the Regents Exams in mathematics for New York City high schools
61 showed a serious gap between Englishproficient students and bilingual ELLs. For example, the pass rate for
62 the recent Regents Exam in algebra was 68% for English proficient students compared to 36% for ELLs (New
63 York State Department of Education 2020-2021). The low pass rate for ELLs is especially concerning when two-
64 thirds of those students have had grade-level equivalent mathematics learning from their home countries. All this
65 points to the need for reexamining and revising bilingual mathematics teaching pedagogy used to teach bilingual
66 ELLs. Therefore, it's the purpose of this article to explore the benefits of culturally relevant and translanguaging
67 pedagogies in teaching conceptual knowledge to bilingual ELLs in mathematics.

68 2 II.

69 3 Literature Review a) Mathematical concept learning through 70 culturally relevant pedagogy

71 The National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (NCTM) requires that students must learn mathematics
72 with conceptual understanding to comprehend mathematical concepts, operations, and relations (NCTM, 2020).
73 "Conceptual understanding refers to an integrated and functional grasp of mathematical ideas," (Mathematics
74 Learning Study Committee, 2001, p. 118). Using classroom discussion to teach concepts has been a hallmark
75 of mathematical concept teaching. During the discussion, the teacher invites students to talk and think about
76 the concepts and provides opportunities for meaningful connections and conceptual understanding (Bosse, et al.,
77 2018(Bosse, et al., , 2021;;Simon, 2017). Active inclusion and engagement of bilingual ELLs through classroom
78 discussions play a critical role in their concept learning.

79 The most recent NCTM position statement for "Transforming practices and policies so multilingual learners
80 thrive in mathematics" (2022) declared that "(s)tudents' mathematics learning should not be put on hold as
81 they learn English. Instead, teachers should build on students' strengths and work with support systems (e.g.,
82 language acquisition specialists) to help students gain access to mathematics while they develop language" (p.
83 2). Research in mathematics education for bilingual ELLs has articulated the critical importance of teaching
84 mathematics by using what they know, their linguistic resources, cultural resources, previous literacy resources,
85 etc. (Civil, 2016;Dominguez, 2011; ??opez, et al., 2013;Moschkovich, 2015Moschkovich, , 1999;; ??amirez and
86 Celedon-Patrrichis, 2012;Turner et al., 2013).

87 This line of research has historically drawn on culturally relevant pedagogy proposed by Ladson-Billings
88 (1992, 1995) who researched educational disparities of racial minority students in the 1990s. Three tenets of
89 this pedagogy are 1) the teacher's belief in student success and learning about students' home language and
90 culture, 2) the teacher's inclusion of students' prior knowledge and culture in the curriculum and instruction,
91 and 3) the teacher's support for students' positive ethnic and social identity. Since then, research into culturally
92 relevant pedagogy has expanded its focus to teachers' use of bilingual Ells's funds of knowledge (Moll et al.,
93 1992), to facilitate the students' active participation in mathematics learning ??Aguirre and Zacvala, 2013;Assaf
94 and Graves, 2019;Civil, 2016;I et al., 2020;Moschkovich, 1999; ??urner et al., 2016).

95 Using an ethnographic approach, Civil (2016) provided vignettes of three 5th-grade Latino students'
96 mathematics learning experiences at home, in the community, and in an after-school program. Civil highlighted
97 the rich and unique characteristics of learning mathematics in those settings and highlighted the important role
98 that those experiences had in the student's learning of mathematics in the classroom. Comparing the findings
99 obtained from those settings, Civil argued for using those students' and family's funds of knowledge, even though
100 not acknowledged or valued in the classroom to promote their mathematics learning. She questioned the way
101 that mathematics knowledge is viewed in the classroom versus at home and in the community. She argued for
102 teachers' inclusion and use of students' funds of knowledge in mathematics instruction.

103 Examining a geometry classroom discussion with a class of 3rd-grade ELLs, Moschkovich (1999) found the
104 strategies used by mathematics teachers to engage students in concept exploration about parallel lines, such as

105 inviting students to talk about what they knew from their everyday experiences and model the desired language
106 use for classroom participation. The teacher was able to move beyond providing definitions of words to engage
107 students in thinking about those key geometry concepts and communicating their views and justifications. All
108 this showed that ELLs not only were capable of participating in the discussion using English, but also, thinking,
109 debating, and articulating their understanding of these concepts.

110 Turner et al (2013) reported their study on seven discussions, they found that when teachers gave students
111 opportunities to participate in the discussion and used students' familiar cultural references, the students
112 performed eagerly and actively which led to success in learning. According to the researchers, the teacher's
113 view of those linguistically and culturally diverse students made a big difference. Students' home language and
114 culture were not viewed as a deficit but as a practical resource in the group discussions and learning process. Wei,
115 2021). Instead, translanguaging pedagogy is about communication and knowledge construction. It focuses on
116 language intersections and interrelations by using bilinguals' full linguistic repertoires, multimodal, multicultural,
117 and cognitive resources to access and learn both language and content knowledge (Garcia et al., 2016;Wei, 2018).
118 Garcia et al (2016Garcia et al (, 2017)) argued for important requirements that bilingual teachers should meet,
119 such as translanguaging stance, design, and space in order to be effective in their translanguaging practices.
120 According to Gacia et al ??2016, ??017), translanguaging stance refers to the teacher's belief that bilingual
121 ELLs have one holistic language repertoire composed of all languages they speak for the following four teaching
122 purposes:

123 1) To support students as they engage with and comprehend complex content and texts. 2) To provide
124 opportunities for students to develop linguistic practices for academic contexts. 3) To make space for students'
125 bilingualism and ways of knowing. 4) To support students' socioemotional development and bilingual identities
126 ??Garcia et al., 2017, p. 50). Consistent with that stance, the bilingual teacher's lesson design must have the
127 following three key components: 1) Construct and capitalize a cooperative and collaborative structure of learning
128 environment, 2) Collect relevant and appropriate varied multilingual and multimodal instructional resources
129 that draw on bilingual students' cultural experiences and prior education, 3) Use translanguaging pedagogical
130 practices, where the teacher helps bilingual students draw on their full linguistic repertoire, to support and
131 facilitate their use of it to learn content knowledge (Garcia and Kleyn, 2016, p. 21).

132 While using translanguaging pedagogy, the teacher gives students translanguaging space, the freedom of
133 language choice, voice, and authority to participate, access, and generate new understanding in all languages
134 (Lang, 2019;Tai, 2022;Wei, 2018). Besides translanguaging design and space, the bilingual teacher should also
135 be open and flexible about making translanguaging shifts during the lesson in order to "change the course
136 of instruction in order to respond to individual children's language repertoire" (Garcia and Kleyn, 2016, p
137 23). According to Garcia et al (2016Garcia et al (, 2017)), translanguaging shifts are an integral part of
138 translanguaging classroom instruction. In doing so, the teacher creates more translanguaging opportunities for
139 the students and addresses their responses and learning needs while maintaining the focus on the content and
140 language learning objectives.

141 Henderson et al. (2018) examined a 3rd-grade bilingual teacher's use of translanguaging shifts to tap into his
142 bilingual ELLs' linguistic, cultural, and multimodal resources, including using different Spanish spoken registers,
143 songs, jokes, etc. He made efforts to learn students' home languages and cultural practices both in prepared and
144 spontaneous ways through those shifts. Not only did those shifts engage students' bilingual language learning
145 but also fostered their role as co-learners in the discussion. According to the researchers, the key ingredient in
146 the teacher's translanguaging shift was his high level of multilingual awareness in developing "1) knowledge of
147 languages of the students 2) knowledge about the subject matter language and 3) pedagogical practices using
148 those languages 4) knowledge of multilingualism and its value in knowledge construction" (p. 255).

149 Translanguaging pedagogy not only motivates and creates opportunities for students to learn languages, but
150 also promotes subject matter learning (Baker and Wright, 2021;Dong, 2021;MacKinney, 2022;Prediger, et al.,
151 2019;Tai and Wei, 2021). MacKinney (2022) conducted an in-depth case study of five bilingual ELLs in a Miami
152 middle school to examine how three bilingual mathematics teachers used the students' full linguistic repertoires
153 and funds of knowledge, (including students' skills and practices used in the households, prior schooling, and
154 communities) to teach language and mathematical concepts. From the study, the researcher demonstrated the
155 importance for mathematics teachers to understand bilingual students' previous mathematics learning experiences
156 and use them as a resource in their bilingual mathematics teaching.

157 Despite a generally positive view on the benefits of translanguaging pedagogy, limited research has been
158 conducted on the potential of using bilingual ELLs' previous literacy repertoire, such as their previous schooling
159 and mathematics learning history to achieve translanguaging pedagogical goals ??Dong, The case study was
160 conducted in a large urban high school in New York City in the 2019-2020 school year. The school had close to
161 4,500 students. Over 2,500 or close to 60% of its students were Asian Americans and a majority of them were
162 Chinese bilinguals. Over 500 or 12% of those students were also ELLs. The school had a large Transitional
163 Bilingual Education (TBE) program offering algebra classes in bilingual Chinese, Korean, and Spanish. The
164 TBE, a prevailing high school bilingual program "provides reading, writing, and other classes in English and in
165 the student's home language. As students' English improves, more time is spent learning in English and less time
166 is spent learning in their home language. The goal of this program is to support students in their home language
167 while they fully transition to an English-only instruction class. Classes comprise students with the same home

4 TEACHER PARTICIPANTS

168 language” (New York State, Department of Education. 2021). Because of the unique teaching context and urgent
169 needs, the assistant principal of the mathematics department encouraged all his bilingual mathematics teachers
170 to pursue a bilingual extension certificate in order to better serve their bilingual ELLs.

171 4 Teacher participants

172 The teacher participant for this study is Lisa (fictitious name), a 9th grade Chinese American algebra teacher.
173 At the time of the study, Lisa was a New York State certified mathematics teacher in grades 7-12 and had three
174 years of Chinese bilingual algebra teaching experience. Lisa was taking her last course of the graduate-level
175 secondary Bilingual Education extension program. The course was entitled: Reading and Writing for Diverse
176 Learners in Content Classes, which was taught by the author of this article.

177 In addition to Lisa, there were eleven other bilingual subject matter teachers taking this course. They were
178 secondary teachers at New York City public schools and two Long Island schools. These teachers had diverse
179 backgrounds in subject matter teaching with certificates in mathematics, English language arts, social studies, or
180 science. They were proficient in bilingual Bangladeshi, bilingual Korean, bilingual Spanish, and bilingual Haitian
181 Creole.

182 Lisa was purposefully selected for this study because of her bilingual proficiency in both Chinese and English,
183 cooperation, and deep reflection throughout the semester on various issues related to culturally relevant and
184 translanguaging pedagogies (Garcia et al., 2017;Ladson-Billings, 1992, 1995). I chose to limit the teacher
185 participant to a Chinese bilingual teacher due to my own Chinese bilingual status.

186 Coming to the U.S. from South China after finishing middle school there, Lisa possessed excellent Chinese
187 language skills. It was in China that she first learned English in middle school. After coming to the U.S.,
188 she rapidly progressed in her English competency and was quickly tested out of the ESL (English as a second
189 language) program. She flourished in mathematics and was a math tutor throughout high school and college.
190 She identified herself as a Chinese-American bilingual mathematics teacher.

191 Teaching in a school with a high percentage of Chinese bilingual ELLs, Lisa became dissatisfied with the TBE
192 program structure. The TBE program at her school implemented a guideline where all subject matter bilingual
193 teachers were required to use 80% of the bilingual ELLs’ home language at the beginning of the school year
194 first before transitioning into 80% or more English at the end of the year. To Lisa, this arbitrary policy sent a
195 negative message to her students about their home language and made it harder for her to support her students’
196 bilingual language development and mathematics learning. Lisa was excited and eager to learn about culturally
197 relevant and translanguaging Volume XXIII Issue X Version I pedagogies in our class. She participated with great
198 enthusiasm in the cross-cultural literacy education study, a course assignment requiring students to investigate
199 the culture and education system of the country whose people speak the language of their bilingual education
200 extension. Guided by the culturally relevant pedagogy (Ladson-Billings, 1992, 1995), students interviewed two
201 people who had schooling in that country, had proficient literacy skills in the language, and were familiar with the
202 education system of that country. In addition, each student read two articles about the education system of that
203 country. Lisa read An’s article (2000) entitled “Mathematics Teachers’ Beliefs and Their Impact on the Practice
204 of Teaching in China” and Jin and Wong (2015). “Mapping conceptual understanding of algebraic concepts: An
205 exploratory investigation involving grade 8 Chinese students”.

206 For her cross-cultural literacy education study, Lisa interviewed Ms. Ying, a fellow Chinese bilingual
207 mathematics teacher. Ms. Ying also came from southern China and had three more years of teaching experience
208 than Lisa. Lisa and Ms. Ying often conversed after class about their teaching successes and challenges with their
209 Chinese bilingual ELLs. Ms. Ying, not only had good knowledge about the Chinese education system but also
210 was an advocate for bilingual students’ learning opportunities and biliteracy development in both Chinese and
211 English. She had already earned her bilingual education extension and had a lot to share with Lisa, becoming
212 an informal mentor to Lisa.

213 Drawing on her cross-cultural literacy education study findings, our course readings, discussions, and writings,
214 Lisa embraced translanguaging pedagogy and became a firm believer in promoting her students’ biliteracy skills
215 besides mathematics knowledge and skills using translanguaging and culturally relevant pedagogies. In the 2nd
216 half of the semester, students in the course were engaged in an applied teaching project by using culturally relevant
217 and translanguaging pedagogies to teach a unit in their respective bilingual subject matter classes. Guided by
218 the framework for translanguaging pedagogy, Garcia et al (2016Garcia et al (, 2017)) students focused on the
219 translanguaging design, space, and shift in their lesson planning and delivery. Lisa’s unit was on the concept of
220 function in her 9th-grade Chinese bilingual algebra class.

221 Lisa selected ten focal student participants in her 9th-grade Chinese bilingual algebra class because of their
222 bilingual language skills and shared home cultural and literacy backgrounds. All of them came from South China,
223 some from cities and others from the countryside in the provinces of Guangzhou, Hubei, Fujian, and Zhejiang.
224 Students were all ELLs receiving ESL service daily in addition to taking bilingual algebra, bilingual science, and
225 bilingual social studies classes. They varied in their English proficiency levels, ranging from beginning (entering)
226 to intermediate (emerging, transitioning, and expanding). They also varied in their mathematics proficiency
227 levels, ranging from low proficiency to high proficiency. All the students were fluent in Chinese, some were also
228 capable of reading and writing in Chinese. A few were taking AP (advanced placement in Chinese language
229 classes (see Table 1 below)

230 5 III. Data Collection and Analysis

231 The data sources for this study included Lisa's three audio-taped Chinese bilingual algebra class discussions
232 in the unit of function in the fall of 2019. Also, included were audio-taped interviews with one of Lisa's focal
233 students, Hua, and Ms. Ying. Interviews and classroom discussions were transcribed afterward. Artifacts such
234 as Lisa's reflections on bilingual and biliteracy teaching and learning throughout the semester, her cross-cultural
235 literacy education study report, and student work samples were included.

236 Data analysis was inductive and organized using the emerging themes centered around the research questions
237 (Emerson, et al., 2011). Using the constant comparative method (Corbin and Strauss, 2014), I compared different
238 data sources to analyze the thematic patterns identified as participants' translanguaging practices, contexts, shifts,
239 modes, and goals. For example, a translanguaging shift was identified in the middle of one of Lisa's classroom
240 discussions where students had a high participation rate and leveraged all their linguistic and cultural resources
241 to talk about the concept of function. Also, the interview with Hua, Lisa's student, and Ms. Ying, a fellow
242 teacher helped me understand the goal of Lisa's understanding of her students' previous cultural and literacy
243 background, translanguaging practices, and concept discussions. Through the use of inductive and constant
244 comparative approaches to the data analysis salient themes emerged ??Corbin and Strauss, 2014;Emerson, et al.,
245 2011), such as connections to prior knowledge, translanguaging to promote concept learning, etc.

246 IV.

247 6 Results and Discussion

248 The research questions for this study were:

249 In what ways does the bilingual mathematics teacher use culturally relevant and translanguaging pedagogies
250 to teach the concept of function to her high school bilingual students?

251 How do bilingual students learn to use translanguaging to learn mathematics?

252 7 Lisa's translanguaging stance

253 In my course, entitled Reading and Writing for Diverse Students in Content Area, I drew on both culturally
254 relevant and translanguage pedagogies in my course design. Using the course readings, discussions, and writings,
255 I followed Garcia, et al (2017) three major requirements of a translanguaging pedagogy including 1) teachers'
256 translanguaging stance, valuing and using students' all linguistic and cultural and literacy resources, 2) teachers'
257 translanguaging design, planning their lessons using translanguaging lens and culturally relevant design to create
258 translanguaging space and assignments, and 3) teachers' translanguaging shifts, being willing and ready to make
259 changes to respond to students' learning needs in the translanguaging corriente in class (p. 78).

260 To develop students' translanguaging stance, I designed reading and writing activities by inviting students
261 to reflect on their own bilingual and biliteracy journeys, their perspectives toward bilingualism, and language
262 ideology in America. Lisa wrote about the following:

263 I come from a city in South China. At home, I speak a regional dialect, Cantonese with my family. When I
264 attended school in China, I learned Mandarin. In seventh grade, I started to learn English, one of the required
265 subjects in middle school. When I came to America, I was put into an ESL class to learn how to speak English
266 quickly communicate with teachers and classmates, and learn subject matter in English.

267 Because of my Chinese proficiency, I was put into the AP Chinese class, which helped me maintain my native
268 language, Mandarin. Over the years, I found my Chinese language skills helped me understand some of the
269 English grammar points. Also, I soon realized that quite a few topics in my mathematics classes in the U.S. were
270 the topics that I had already learned back in middle school. That gave me a real motivation to maintain what I
271 learned back in China by using it to learn both English and mathematics.

272 As the semester progressed, our reflections moved to a deeper level: examining our perspectives toward
273 bilingualism in a larger social context in the U.S. In doing so, we challenged the traditional and deficient views
274 toward bilingualism. Lisa wrote the following in her reflection: This is the first time I really thought about
275 language issues in a larger social context. I know my students and their families are all struggling with the
276 language. They value English more and consider it as key for education and job market security down the road.
277 My personal bilingual journey tells me that there are no clear-cut ways of approaching language learning. I do
278 my daily translanguaging all the time, in school, and at home with colleagues and friends. We don't have to
279 approach things in either English or Chinese. I think we should really value what our students bring to the
280 classroom, including their home language, home culture, and prior schooling. If all those resources help their
281 learning of mathematics and languages, why not use them as an additional resource for learning mathematics?

282 Lisa's growth in her understanding of translanguaging was also supported by her crosscultural literacy
283 education study to investigate her students' prior schooling, home culture, and language (Civil, 2016;Ladson-
284 Billings, 1992, 1995; ??opez, et al., 2013;Moschkovich, 2015Moschkovich, , 1999; Ramirez and Celedon-
285 Patrarchis, 2012; Turner, 2013). To uncover her students' prior mathematics learning and home language literacy
286 backgrounds, Lisa studied the Chinese education system and mathematics curriculum and instruction by reading
287 two scholarly articles about Chinese mathematics education (An, 2000;Jin and Wong, 2015). The readings
288 opened Lisa's eyes and further stimulated her intellectual interest in seeking information from her students and
289 fellow teachers who were educated in China. To Lisa, the more she knew about her students' backgrounds and

290 funds of knowledge (Civil, 2016;Ladson-Billings 1992, 1995;Moll et al., 1992;Moschkovich, 1999), the better her
291 translanguaging design would be. Her sincere interest in her students led them to share their previous education,
292 family, and home culture with her as shown below:

293 Volume XXIII Issue X Version I My parents care about my education but they cannot help a lot me much in
294 learning. I remember my father taught me how to do simple arithmetic problems by using the abacus back in
295 China. Because of it, math has always been my Lisa was pleasantly surprised that Hua had a good foundation and
296 a positive attitude toward mathematics learning back in China. Lisa revealed that she wouldn't have known had
297 she not asked Hua. Hua's revelation showed us that a translanguaging teacher must do more than just tap into
298 bilingual students' full linguistic repertoire. They must also learn their students' backgrounds and interests and
299 take what they have learned into account when designing a translanguaging lesson and creating a translanguaging
300 space to make challenging content concepts comprehensible and meaningful.

301 Lisa's interview with Ms. Ying, her fellow Chinese bilingual mathematics teacher also confirmed what Lisa
302 learned from her students and the two readings. Ms. Ying emphasized the importance of using culturally relevant
303 pedagogy and valuing students' prior schooling in mathematics instruction. Ms. Ying gave her perspective on
304 this:

305 Normally the Chinese 5th grade math curriculum covers the most topics in 6 th , 7 th , and 8 th grade math
306 here. So many Chinese bilingual ELLs who come to the U.S. in middle and high school should have already
307 learned many of the topics we teach here in the U.S. However, some mathematical concepts taught back in
308 China cannot be directly into English. I remember when I first came to the U.S. I struggled to understand
309 those one-on-one translations because my mathematics teacher in China didn't use those same words to describe
310 those concepts. Also, I did not relate to the examples that the teachers here used. Because of all this, I often
311 remind myself of the fact that many of our Chinese bilingual ELLs are learning concepts in a new language in
312 an unfamiliar context. Now I often plan my lessons with those students' needs and backgrounds in mind.

313 Teaching in an exclusively Transitional Bilingual Education (TBE) program, Lisa was instructed by her
314 administration to adhere to specific guidelines by using 80% of students' home language and 20% of English
315 at the beginning of the school year and transitioning into 80% of English and 20% of students' home language by
316 the end of the school year. However, after teaching for three years of bilingual mathematics and taking bilingual
317 education courses, Lisa began to question those percentage requirements. Similar to Ms. Ying, Lisa began to see
318 problems with this arbitrary guide for teaching bilingual mathematics.

319 According to Lisa, bilingual teachers must connect the content to their students' familiar references, cultural
320 experiences, and prior schooling. Only when the teacher has taken into account of who the students are and
321 what they bring to the class, can translanguaging practices make sense to the students and result in productive,
322 meaningful, and comprehensible content and language learning. Lisa articulated her view in the following:

323 I have come to realize that my school is not really putting emphasis on bilingual education. There are not
324 enough bilingual materials for teachers to support bilingual students. All the glossary, and textbook materials
325 are mostly created by the teachers on their own. All this sends a message to the bilingual ELLs that English
326 is superior. What they learned about mathematics back in their home country is gradually lost if they are not
327 given a chance to use it.

328 My semester-long learning on tanslanguaging pedagogy made me question the reason behind why there has
329 to be an 'either-or 'or' situation about bilingual education. Using translanguaging pedagogy helped me unlock
330 the language power in my students. My students are able to actively engage and think deeply about concepts
331 using both languages at their disposal. Their power to think, speak, and access those abstract and complex
332 mathematical concepts using two languages is what excites me about teaching mathematics to my bilingual
333 ELLs.

334 As shown above, Lisa's question about the monolingual mindset rooted in American educational systems and
335 society (Garcia et al., 2017) and her challenge of the legitimacy of the practices and policies dictated by her
336 school administration fostered her development of a translanguaging stance. Her own translanguaging practices
337 on a daily basis allowed her to see the potential of culturally relevant and translanguaging pedagogies. Her belief
338 in her students and her knowledge of her students' linguistic, cultural, and educational backgrounds propelled
339 her to fully embrace translanguaging and culturally relevant pedagogies.

340 8 Lisa's translanguaging design and space

341 As Lisa gained more insights into her students' prior schooling, she planned her three bilingual algebra lessons on
342 the unit of function. Lisa decided to focus on what a function is by using bilingual translations of key concepts
343 related to the concept, class discussions, and a student-familiar example to illustrate the concept. Her curricular
344 objectives for the lesson were: 1) Students will be able to understand the basic concept of a function, and 2)
345 Students will be able to accurately judge whether a given relationship is a function or not. Her translanguaging
346 objectives were: 1) Students will be teacher gave me some problems in the Math Olympiad. I was excited and
347 found those problems interesting. My teacher even nominated me to participate in a local competition with other
348 schools. Our school won third place.

349 The teaching style in China is very different from here in America. The class size in China is a lot bigger,
350 normally we have about 60 students in one class. Mathematics teachers in China gave long lectures about the
351 topic. But here we do a lot of group work. I'm still trying to get used to this way of learning, and I don't feel

352 that I have a good understanding of the topics taught in class. (Hua, 17-year-old) favorite subject in school. In
353 middle school, my math able to recognize and use vocabulary related to function in both languages, 2) Students
354 will be able to use both English and Chinese to talk, read, and write about a function, and 3) Students will be
355 able to apply the concept of a function to everyday life. Instead of teaching too many new words all at once, Lisa
356 decided to focus on the words function, input, output, dependent variable, and independent variable to make
357 sure that her students would have a firm understanding of a function relationship before teaching more complex
358 concepts, such as domain and range, how to graph a function, and different types of functions, etc. Before the
359 class started, Lisa put on the board a direct translation of the words function, input, and output in both Chinese
360 and English (see Table 1

361 **9 below).**

362 **10 Independent variable ???**

363 **11 Dependent variable ??**

364 After students settled into their seats and viewed the translations on the board, Hua revealed that she didn't
365 understand the Chinese translation of function (see line 1). Lisa tried to activate Hua's prior knowledge about
366 function by asking "?????????" (see line 2). Hua still didn't recall or register the mathematical meaning of
367 the word (see line 3). Realizing that some of her students may not have learned or understood those Chinese
368 translations, Lisa decided to "set aside those words" (see line 4) and illustrated the concept using a student-
369 familiar example. Below is the initial class discussion on the concept of function. Lisa's plan for using bilingual
370 translations to activate her students' linguistic and cognitive repertoire didn't work as shown in Hua's comment
371 and the initial reaction from the class at the beginning (see line 3). Lisa quickly pivoted to her planned example
372 to illustrate the concept. The example of purchasing snacks or drinks from the snack or soda machines was
373 familiar to many students and got them thinking and talking (see lines 4-10). Students Christina, John, and Hua
374 were involved in this part of the discussion to share their experiences with the snack/soda machine. John asked
375 a question "But can I get two bags of chips by pushing one button?" Though responded to Christina, he still was
376 not getting it (see lines [11][12][13]. Lisa didn't cut short the discussion but rather asked the class to think and
377 write down other examples of a function individually in their seats.

378 A few minutes later, when Lisa asked the class to volunteer their examples of a function, the class was still
379 quiet with no volunteers. It was at this moment that Fan reported to Lisa that Yushan just got back from his
380 trip to China. Lisa took the opportunity to continue the discussion of the concept of a function using another
381 example that was familiar to her students: The class discussion after Lisa's shift achieved the following curricular
382 and translanguaging objectives: First, it provided students a meaningful and relatable example for them to share
383 their experiences, and explore to achieve concept understanding. According to Lisa, the concept of a function is
384 an important central concept tied to many other algebraic concepts. Without giving sufficient time and space,
385 students may not gain a full understanding of the concept. Lisa's shift allowed students to form their thoughts
386 and explore the basic features of a function.

387 Second, as shown in the above excerpt, Lisa's patience and open invitation encouraged more students'
388 participation. Eight students participated in the discussion about their travel experiences which was a shared
389 experience among classmates. This enabled them to feed off each other to sustain the discussion and explore the
390 concept in a collaborative learning environment. Notice that in lines 28 to 31, the students interacted and learned
391 from each other. By this time, Hua remembered that she actually learned about all this back in China. Lisa's
392 translanguaging shift turned the discussion into a student-centered meaning-making and knowledge-constructing
393 interaction. After the students shared their meaningful associations of a function with their travel experiences,
394 Lisa revisited those concepts using code-switching and bilingual translations.

395 Third, as the students invested more in the discussion, they were able to question the concept of a function
396 (i.e., Tong's question (see line 36) and then Adam posed an alternative view (see line 38). All this broadened
397 and deepened the discussion as to what a function is and is not. At this point, Lisa also provided the class
398 with a visual map using their travel experience to illustrate what counts as a function and what does not
399 count as a function. Lisa's inductive approach to teaching the complex concept of a function allowed students
400 to mediate their comprehension of the concept of a function by translanguaging their multiple languages and
401 cultural experiences fully. Also, Lisa's use of multimodal resources and algebraic expressions in addition to the
402 multilingual resources drove the concept home.

403 Finally, Lisa used both English and Chinese fluidly and gave students the freedom to use the language of their
404 choice. Throughout this discussion, students expressed, reasoned, and questioned the concept of function using
405 both Chinese and English. Lisa's keen cultural awareness of where her students came from encouraged them
406 to share their travel experiences and use those experiences to reason and learn the concepts. All this showed
407 that teachers need to go beyond using one prototypical or generic example when teaching content knowledge to
408 bilingual students (Civil, 2016; Dong, 2016; Dong, 2021).

409 Lisa later revealed that the cross-cultural education study opened her eyes to the fact that many of her
410 students, though may have already learned the concept of a function, still may not be able to connect to it if she
411 only used English and bilingual translations alone. It was not until her students came to comprehend the concept

12 HOW DID THE BILINGUAL STUDENTS USE TRANSLANGUAGING TO LEARN?

412 through familiar examples that they were able to connect the function concept with what they learned in Chinese.
413 Since then, Lisa has developed a habit of asking her students for any prior knowledge related to a mathematics
414 topic under study before teaching each new unit. If students hadn't yet learned or don't remember learning
415 the concept, Lisa would teach the concept using various strategies, including using student-familiar examples,
416 bilingual translations, codeswitching, multimodal illustrations, class discussions, etc.

417 12 How did the bilingual students use translanguaging to learn?

418 Lisa focused on supporting bilingual students' mathematics learning and bilingual language learning. She
419 purposefully built translanguaging design and space into her lesson to create rich learning opportunities for
420 classroom discussions and encouraged her students to actively leverage their full cultural and linguistic repertoires
421 to learn mathematics.

422 The second classroom discussion excerpt shed light on students' translanguaging practice. As shown in the
423 excerpts, all 10 students engaged in the discussion and some pushed the discussion to a deeper level into what
424 function is and what function is not. The roles taken by them are indicative of the ways that Lisa designed
425 and modeled the translanguaging practice. It started with Lisa's genuine question (line 18) "¿¿¿?" (Which
426 airline did you take?)" led to students' interest and participation. From lines 19-20, Yushan and Jian shared
427 their responses in both Chinese and English. Lisa's next question "Who can tell me your trip from China to
428 America using the function concept?" got the class really thinking. From lines 22 to lines 31, students bridged
429 the concept with their travel experience using both Chinese and English with only occasional input from Lisa.
430 What is noteworthy is how the students became questioners, explorers, and facilitators as shown in Meimei's
431 question on line 28, Tom's question on line 29, and Christina's response on line 31. All this occurred naturally
432 without much of Lisa's prompting.

433 What is more noteworthy is how the features and conditions of a non-function emerged from the students'
434 discussion about the concept, as highlighted by John's question in excerpt 1 (pushing one button to get two bags
435 of chips) (see line 11), Meimei's question about taking two airlines to get to JFK at the same time (see lines
436 [28][29][30][31], and Adam's question about taking one airline to get two airports at the same time (see line 36).
437 Those explorations led to more student interactions and deepened and enriched the discussion. Examples After
438 the class, some students revealed that they didn't even realize they were talking in Chinese or English because
439 they were so engrossed in the discussion. The high level of student participation and fluid transitions from one
440 language to another with a sustained focus on the concept demonstrated that students maximized their linguistic
441 and cognitive potentials by drawing on their full communicative and cultural resources.

442 After the discussion, Lisa assigned groups of three or four to illustrate their understanding of the concept of
443 function using everyday examples. Following Lisa's guidelines, students worked in groups to generate a real-life
444 function example and justified why they were function (See below Figure 2): In the above student group writing
445 and illustration, Yushan, Christina, and Adam brought in their real-life experiences, such as grocery shopping
446 at the Chinese supermarket to connect, interpret, and express the concept of a function. They were able to
447 use their multimodal resource and bilingual and mathematical language repertoires to enhance their learning.
448 Their example illustrated their active use of translanguaging to compose, organize, and express the concept of a
449 function. It is important to highlight that their work was reflective of the class discussion that Lisa engaged the
450 class in. Also, the techniques of parallel writing allowed the students to use their command of both languages to
451 show their understanding of the concept of a function. In doing so, they mediated their concept understanding
452 using both bilingual languages and visual imagery flowing between languages and modes in a dynamic and fluid
453 translanguaging practice (Garcia et al., 2017; Grosjean, 2012; Wei 2018). © 2023 Global Journals

454 Lisa's success with translanguaging and crosscultural pedagogy has not only promoted her students'
455 mathematics learning but also their development as bilinguals with bilingual identities. By the end of the
456 semester, students like Hua had something to say about her changed view toward learning English:

457 In Ms. Lisa's class, we spoke freely in both Chinese and English and we had fun learning mathematics. All this
458 made me rethink my attitude toward the Chinese. Before, I intentionally stayed away from the opportunities to
459 use Chinese. Having learned mathematics in both Chinese and English in this class, I have gained more confidence
460 and wanted more opportunities to learn. I want to be a graphic designer in the future. With different companies
461 requiring multi-language speakers, I think being bilingual allows me to take part in a position that requires Chinese
462 and English Hua's words were reflective of her work and participation in class discussions. Before the study, she
463 was a reluctant participant in class discussions, not confident with her English-speaking skills, and unwilling
464 to speak in Chinese as she was concerned that her use of Chinese might hinder her development of English
465 skills. However, Lisa's sincere interest in her students' mathematics learning and her translanguaging instruction
466 motivated Hua to change her view toward her bilingual skills. She participated eagerly in class discussions,
467 used both English and Chinese to communicate her mathematics understanding, and gained a newfound sense
468 of confidence and pride.

469 V.

470 13 Discussion and Conclusion

471 This study examined Lisa, a 9th-grade Chinese bilingual algebra teacher's use of culturally relevant and
472 translanguaging pedagogies to teach the concept of function to her Chinese bilingual students. The results
473 of this study led me to conclude that Lisa's culturally relevant and translanguaging pedagogies have promoted
474 her bilingual students' mathematics learning and bilingual language learning (Lang, 2019; Tai, 2022; Wei, 2018).
475 Lisa broke down language boundaries and disrupted the rigid prescription of when and how much to use English
476 and the student's home language to teach in the Transitional Bilingual Education program. Lisa rebutted the
477 monolingual view that only "one language at a time ideology of monolingual and traditional bilingual classrooms"
478 ??Garcia and Wei, 2013, p. 34). Inspired by translanguaging and culturally relevant pedagogies, she encouraged
479 her students to use their full linguistic, cultural, and cognitive repertoires to make a challenging mathematical
480 concept comprehensible. She created a translanguaging environment that fostered students' mathematical
481 concept development (Lang, 2019; Tai, 2022; Wei, 2018).

482 This study suggested that translanguaging teachers must also purposefully investigate and leverage bilingual
483 students' cultural, previous literacy, and cognitive repertoires toward learning difficult concepts (Civil, 2016;
484 ??arson-Billings, 1992, 1995; ??rause et al., 2022; ??opez et al., 2013; Moschkovich, 2015; Moschkovich, , 1999;;
485 ??amirez and Celedon-Patrichis, 2012; Turner, 2013). Previous research has noted that translanguaging teaching
486 requires the teacher to have critical multilingual awareness and proficiency (Henderson and Ingram, 2018). This
487 study has revealed that multilingual awareness is important but not sufficient for effective translanguaging
488 teaching. Lisa's culturally relevant teaching through her cross-cultural literacy education study adds to her
489 translanguaging practices in a unique way. By using students' culturally familiar references while embracing
490 their prior schooling, Lisa motivated and enhanced her students' engagement with translanguaging practices.
491 Her students leveraged those resources to communicate, interact, question, and learn mathematics.

492 Bilingual teacher educators should prepare future bilingual subject matter teachers for their students' funds of
493 knowledge (Moll et al., 1992), such as their cultural backgrounds, and previous educational experiences besides
494 tapping into their bilingual repertoires in order for translanguaging practices to be effective ??Aguirre and
495 Zacvala, 2013; Ladson-Billings, 1995; I et al., 2020; ??oschkovich, 2010; ??urner et al., 2016).

496 Lisa's success with her translanguage shift reveals that mathematics teachers must be mindful that despite their
497 translanguaging design, their students may not switch to translanguaging and learning automatically. Teachers
498 must be observant of students' responses to their design in those translanguaging spaces and be ready to make
499 the in-the-moment shift to create more translanguaging opportunities for students to connect and leverage their
500 linguistic, cultural, and cognitive resources. Lisa's effective translanguaging shift has a lot to do with her strong
501 mathematical content knowledge and keen awareness of her students' content understanding, and their previous
502 education on the topic under discussion which proved to be a turning point in her students' learning (Garcia et
503 al., 2017; Henderson et al., 2018).

504 As a bilingual subject matter teacher, Lisa plays a dual role of both the subject matter teacher and bilingual
505 language teacher. Often, language instruction in this context is through content instruction. Language and
506 content instruction are not separated but integrated (Garcia et al. 2017). Future studies are needed to investigate
507 how bilingual language teachers, such as world language teachers, ESL/ENL teachers, and bilingual subject
508 matter teachers use translanguaging pedagogy similarly and differently according to their content and language
509 instruction according to their teaching contexts and teaching objectives (Dong, 2021; MacKinney, 2022; Prediger
510 et al., 2019). Finally, we need to examine ways that bilingual teachers development of their translanguaging
511 stance, design, and shift in order to promote bilingualism and language and subject matter learning.

512 It's only when the bilingual teacher provides bilingual ELLs with opportunities to use their languages freely
513 and meaningfully, engages them in familiar experiences, and taps into their previous cultural and educational
514 backgrounds, that those students have relatable and meaningful contexts to think, share, question, and understand
515 the subject matter concept and develop their bilingual language skills. ^{1 2}

¹ th and

² 5th-grade Latino ELLs' performance on mathematical problem-solving tasks in a 10-week afterschool program. Through detailed analysis of the group



Figure 1:




Figure 2: G



Figure 3: G




1

Figure 4: 1 :G

Grocery shopping at the Chinese supermarket

Input → cashier register process → output

钱和商品 Money & grocery 收银机计算 calculate and recording 收据和扫描的商品 receipt & scanned groceries



The inputs are Money & grocery, and boiled water and the output tea. This is a function relationship as there is one-on-one relationship between the two.

输入是钱和商品。出纳员扫描收钱。输出收据和扫描过的商品。
这是一个函数关系，因为输入和输出是一一对应的关系。

Figure 5: G

b) Mathematics

context through

translanguaging pedagogy

Translanguaging pedagogy originated from Williams, a Welsh bilingual education scholar through his efforts to teach bilinguals both Welsh and English simultaneously (1994). Since then, Williams' concept of translanguaging has stimulated a lot of interest and has expanded to bilingual teaching and learning in the U.S. Research results have shown that translanguaging pedagogy has the significance of deepening and broadening our understanding of bilingual education and multilingual education. Translanguaging in bilingual education "is the act performed by bilinguals of accessing different linguistic features or various modes of what are described as autonomous languages, in order to maximize communicative potential" (Garcia et al, 2016; p. 140). It challenges the traditional bilingual education pedagogy that views language learning as learning two separate languages in isolation, such as in the ESL/ENL program or one at a time as in the Dual Language program, or in percentages as in the Transitional Bilingual Education (TBE) program (DiNapoli and Morales, 2021; Prediger et al., 2019; Tai and

Figure 6:

How does a 9th-grade bilingual mathematics teacher use translanguaging pedagogy to teach the concept of function to her students? How do bilingual ELLs learn to use translanguaging techniques to learn mathematics? Context

Figure 7:

1

Student	Age	Time in the U.S.	ESL level	Chinese level
Adam	15	1 year	beginning	Fluent
Christina	15	3 years	Intermediate	Fluent
Fan	15	3 years	Intermediate	Fluent
Hua	14	2 years	intermediate	Fluent
Jian	15	1 year	beginning	Fluent
John	15	1.5 years	beginning	Fluent
Meimei	14	2 years	intermediate	Fluent
Tom	14	3 years	advanced	Fluent
Tong	14	3 years	advanced	Fluent
Yushan	14	2 years	beginning	Fluent

Figure 8: Table 1 :

2

English word	Chinese word
Function	??
Input	è¾??"?
Output	è¾??"?

Figure 9: Table 2 :

-
18. Teacher: Which airline did you take? Which airport did you go to)?
19. Yushan: (I took Air China) from Beijing to JFK
20. Jian: (I took Eastern Airline) from Shanghai to JFK.
21. Teacher: Good. Who can tell me about your trip from China to America using the function concept?
22. Yushan: I walked into Beijing airport and took (Air China). Thirteen hours later, I arrived at Kennedy Airport.
23. Teacher: So, the input is?
24. Yushan: (Air China) at Beijing airport
25. Teacher: The output is?
26. Jian: (Air China) at JFK airport
27. Teacher: Excellent.
28. Meimei: But can I take two airlines? Like both (Air China) and (Eastern Airline)?
29. Tom: How can that be possible? They are two different airlines starting from two different cities. One is in Beijing, and the other is in Shanghai.
30. Adam: (Can't I take one airline and go to two places at once) like JFK and then Chicago?
31. Christina: No, (You can only go to one place for each ticket. If you want to go to another place, you must purchase another ticket).
32. Teacher: Important point. Here the key is a one-on-one relationship between one variable called the independent variable, and another variable called the dependent variable at a given time. The pair between the independent variable and the dependent variable must be one-on-one. If it's not, then it's not a function anymore. Does it sound familiar to you now?
33. Hua: Yes, now I remember my Chinese math teacher talked about and .
34. Teacher: How do you use (the dependent variable) and (the variable) to describe this travel example?
35. Hua: and (

Figure 10:

.1 Conflict of Interest

516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569

- The author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.
- [Moschkovich ()] ‘Academic literacy in mathematics for English learners’. J Moschkovich . *The Journal of Mathematical Behavior* 2015. 40 (1) p. .
- [Leiva et al. ()] ‘Acknowledging Spanish and English resources during mathematical reasoning’. López Leiva , CarlosA , Zayoni Torres , Lena Licón Khisty . *Cultural Studies of Science Education* 2013. 8 (4) p. .
- [Williams ()] *Arfarniad o ddulliau dysgu ac addysgu yng nghyd-destun addysg uwchradd ddwyieithog [An evaluation of teaching and learning methods in the context of bilingual secondary education]*, C Williams . 1994. University of Wales (PhD thesis)
- [Grosjean ()] *Bilingual: Life and reality*, F Grosjean . 2012. MA: Harvard University Press.
- [Ladson-Billings ()] ‘But that’s just good teaching! The case for culturally relevant pedagogy’. G Ladson-Billings . *Theory into Practice* 1995. 34 (3) p. .
- [Tai and Wei ()] ‘Constructing playful talk through translanguaging in English medium instruction mathematics classrooms’. K H Tai , L Wei . *Applied Linguistics* 2021. 42 (4) p. .
- [Corbin and Strauss ()] J Corbin , A Strauss . *Basics of Qualitative Research: Techniques and Procedures for Developing Grounded Theory*, (NYC) 2008. Sage Publications, Inc. (th Edition)
- [Dong ()] ‘Creating a responsive learning community for ELLs in mathematics classrooms’. Y R Dong . *Mathematics Teacher* 2016. 109 (7) p. .
- [Emerson et al. ()] R M Emerson , R I Fretz , L L Shaw . *Writing ethnographic fieldnotes*, (Chicago, IL) 2011. University of Chicago Press. (nd ed.)
- [Turner et al. ()] ‘English learners’ participation in mathematics discussion: Shifting positionings and dynamic identity’. E Turner , H Dominguez , L Maldonao , S Empson . *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education* 2013. 44 (1) p. .
- [Simon ()] ‘Explicating ”mathematical concept” and ”mathematical conception” as theoretical constructs for mathematics education research’. M A Simon . *Educational Studies in Mathematics* 2017. 94 (2) p. .
- [Baker and Wright ()] *Foundations of bilingual education and bilingualism*, C Baker , W Wright . 2021. Bristol, UK: Multilingual Matters. (7 th edition)
- [Bosse et al. ()] ‘Fraction learners: Assessing understanding through language acquisition’. M Bosse , A Bayaga , C Fountain . *International Electronic Journal of Elementary Education* 2018. 11 (2) p. .
- [Bosse et al. ()] ‘From receiving mathematics to negotiating meaning: Development of students’ understanding of geometric concepts’. M Bosse , A Bayaga , C Fountain . *International Journal for Mathematics Teaching and Learning* 2021. 22 (2) p. .
- [Moll et al. ()] ‘Funds of knowledge for teaching: Using a qualitative approach to connect homes and classrooms’. L C Moll , C Amanti , D Neff , N Gonzalez . *Theory into Practice* 1992. 31 (2) p. .
- [Jackson and Martinez ()] ‘Impact on teacher beliefs about emergent bilinguals’ mathematical learning’. I , J Y Jackson , C Martinez , R . *Mathematics Teacher Education and Development* 2020. 22 (1) p. .
- [Mackinney ()] ‘It’s how we get along”-Translanguaging in middle-school mathematics class’. E Mackinney . *TESL-EJ* 2022. 26 (3) p. .
- [Assaf and Graves ()] ‘It’s not a calculator thingy because a calculator can’t answer the question”: Multilingual children’s mathematical reasoning’. F Assaf , B Graves . *Research in Mathematics Education* 2019. 21 (2) p. .
- [Krause et al. ()] G Krause , M Adams , L A Maldonado . *Pass the idea: Following bilingual mathematics discussions*. *Eric Database*, 2019. p. 606802.
- [Aguirre et al. ()] ‘Making culturally responsive mathematics teaching explicit: A lesson analysis tool’. J M Aguirre , M R Zavala , T Katanyoutanant . *Pedagogies: An International Journal* 2013. 8 (2) p. .
- [Jin and Wong ()] ‘Mapping conceptual understanding of algebraic concepts: An exploratory investigation involving grade 8 Chinese students’. H Jin , K Y Wong . *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education* 2015. 13 (3) p. .
- [Lee and Lee ()] ‘Math instruction is not universal: Language-specific pedagogical knowledge in Korean/English two-way immersion program’. W Lee , J S Lee . *Bilingual Research Journal* 2017. 40 (4) p. .
- [An ()] ‘Mathematics teachers’ beliefs and their impact on the practice of teaching in China’. S An . *ED* 2000. 063 p. 810.
- [Mcgraw and Rubinstein-Avila ()] ‘Middle school immigrant students developing mathematics reasoning in Spanish and English’. R Mcgraw , E Rubinstein-Avila . *Bilingual Research Journal* 2008. 31 (2) p. .

13 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

- 570 [Henderson and Ingram ()] ‘Mister, you’re writing in Spanglish’: Fostering spaces for meaning making and
571 metalinguistic connections through teacher translanguaging shifts in the bilingual classroom’. K I Henderson
572 , M Ingram . *Bilingual Research Journal* 2018. 40 (3) p. .
- 573 [NYC public school annual Regents exams data ? instid=7889678368year=2021createreport=1r egents=1cohort=1 ()]
574 ‘NYC public school annual Regents exams data’. [https://data.nysed.gov/essa.php ?](https://data.nysed.gov/essa.php?instid=7889678368&year=2021&createreport=1&r_egents=1&cohort=1)
575 *instid=7889678368&year=2021&createreport=1&r_egents=1&cohort=1*, 2021. New York State Department
576 of Education.
- 577 [Prediger et al. ()] ‘One mind, two languages-Separate conceptualizations? A case study of students’ bilingual
578 modes for dealing with language-related conceptualizations of fractions’. S Prediger , T Kuzu , A Schuler-
579 Meyer . *Research in Mathematics Education* 2019. 21 (2) p. .
- 580 [Naresh et al. ()] ‘Probability explorations in a multicultural context’. N Naresh , S R Harper , J M Keiser .
581 *Mathematics Teacher* 2014. 108 (3) p. .
- 582 [Allard ()] ‘Re-examining teacher translanguaging: An ecological perspective’. E C Allard . *Bilingual Research*
583 *Journal* 2017. 40 (2) p. .
- 584 [Ladson-Billings ()] ‘Reading between the lines and beyond the pages: A culturally relevant approach to literacy
585 teaching’. B Ladson-Billings . *Theory Into Practice* 1992. 31 (4) p. .
- 586 [Nctm ()] *Standards for the preparation of secondary mathematics teachers*, Nctm . 2020. Washington DC:
587 NCTM.
- 588 [Civil ()] ‘STEM Learning Research through a Funds of Knowledge Lens’. Marta Civil . *Cultural Studies of*
589 *Science Education* 2016. 11 (1) p. .
- 590 [Moschkovich (1999)] ‘Supporting the Participation of English Language Learners in Mathematical Discussions’.
591 J Moschkovich . *For the Learning of Mathematics* 1999. March. 19 (1) p. .
- 592 [Lang ()] ‘Teachers’ translanguaging practices and ”safe spaces” for adolescent newcomers: Toward alternative
593 visions’. N W Lang . *Bilingual Research Journal* 2019. 42 (1) p. .
- 594 [Beeman and Urow ()] *Teaching for biliteracy: Strengthening bridges between languages*, K Beeman , C Urow .
595 2013. Philadelphia, PA: Caslon Publishing.
- 596 [Garcia et al. ()] *The translanguaging classroom: Leveraging student bilingualism for learning*, O Garcia , S I
597 Johnson , K Seltzer . 2017. Philadelphia, PA: Caslon Publishing.
- 598 [Garcia and Kleyn ()] *Tranlanguaging with multilingual students: Learning from classroom moments*, O Garcia
599 , T Kleyn . 2016. New York, NY: Routledge Taylor & Francis Group.
- 600 [Wei ()] ‘Translanguaging as a practical theory of language’. L Wei . *Applied Linguistics* 2018. 39 (1) p. .
- 601 [Tai ()] ‘Translanguaging as inclusive pedagogical practices in English-medium instruction science and mathe-
602 matics classrooms for linguistically and culturally diverse students’. K W H Tai . *Research in Science Education*
603 2022. 52 (8) p. .
- 604 [Hornberger and Link ()] ‘Translanguaging in today’s classrooms: A biliteracy lens’. N H Hornberger , H Link .
605 *Theory into Practice* 2012. 51 (2) p. .
- 606 [Dinapoli and Morales ()] ‘Translanguaging to preserve is key for Latinx bilinguals’ mathematics success’. J
607 Dinapoli , H Morales . *Journal of Urban Mathematics Education* 2021. 14 (2) p. .
- 608 [Garza and Arreguin-Anderson ()] ‘Translanguaging: Developing scientific inquiry in a dual language classroom’.
609 E Garza , M Arreguin-Anderson . *Bilingual Research Journal* 2018. 41 (2) p. .
- 610 [Ramirez and Celedón-Pattichis ()] ‘Understanding Second Language Development and Implications for the
611 Mathematics Classroom’. Nora Ramirez , Sylvia Celedón-Pattichis . *Beyond Good Teaching: Advancing*
612 *Mathematics Education for ELLs*, Sylvia Celedón , -Pattichis , Nora Ramirez (eds.) (Reston, VA) 2012.
613 National Council of Teachers of Mathematics. p. .
- 614 [Dong ()] ‘Using culturally and linguistically responsive and translanguaging pedagogy to teach science’. Y R
615 Dong . *Global Journal of Human-Social Science: Linguistics & Education* 2021. 21 p. .
- 616 [Dominguez ()] ‘Using what matters to students in bilingual mathematics problems’. H Dominguez . *Educa-*
617 *tional Studies in Mathematics* 2011. 76 (3) p. .