



GLOBAL JOURNAL OF HUMAN-SOCIAL SCIENCE: G
LINGUISTICS & EDUCATION
Volume 18 Issue 12 Version 1.0 Year 2018
Type: Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal
Publisher: Global Journals
Online ISSN: 2249-460X & Print ISSN: 0975-587X

Students' Perceptions of the Effectiveness of Teaching Listening Skills to English Foreign Language Students at Three Ethiopian Universities

By Edaso Mulu Genu, Solomon Lemma Lodisso & Mary Thuo

Hawassa University

Background- The listening skill plays a decisive role in our day-to-day communication. Hedge (2000) found that up to 45% of one's communication time is spent on listening activities, while Chen (2011) estimates that 50% of an adult's communication consists of listening. It is thus clear that one spends much more time on listening communication activities than on the rest of the language skills (i.e., reading, writing and speaking). The fact that people spend so much time on listening, however, does not imply that they are 'good' listeners. It is rather an indication of how important it is that their listening skills should be developed and that time should be spent on the teaching of this important communication skill to ensure effective communication. Second or foreign language started to gain attention when the Communicative Language Teaching methodology, which emphasised the need of teaching listening for effective oral communication (Goh 2008), became popular in language teaching in the 1970s. Although much ground-breaking work on the teaching of listening in second and foreign languages has been done, it is still one of the skills that receive little attention in many classes which is a challenge for learners in the classroom and beyond (Vandergrift & Goh, 2012).

GJHSS-G Classification: FOR Code: 200302, 930299



Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of:



Students' Perceptions of the Effectiveness of Teaching Listening Skills to English Foreign Language Students at Three Ethiopian Universities

Edaso Mulu Genu ^α, Solomon Lemma Lodisso ^σ & Mary Thuo ^ρ

I. BACKGROUND

The listening skill plays a decisive role in our day-to-day communication. Hedge (2000) found that up to 45% of one's communication time is spent on listening activities, while Chen (2011) estimates that 50% of an adult's communication consists of listening. It is thus clear that one spends much more time on listening communication activities than on the rest of the language skills (i.e., reading, writing and speaking). The fact that people spend so much time on listening, however, does not imply that they are 'good' listeners. It is rather an indication of how important it is that their listening skills should be developed and that time should be spent on the teaching of this important communication skill to ensure effective communication. The role that listening plays in the acquisition of a second or foreign language started to gain attention when the Communicative Language Teaching methodology, which emphasised the need of teaching listening for effective oral communication (Goh 2008), became popular in language teaching in the 1970s. Although much ground-breaking work on the teaching of listening in second and foreign languages has been done, it is still one of the skills that receive little attention in many classes which is a challenge for learners in the classroom and beyond (Vandergrift & Goh, 2012).

Various scholars contributed ideas about the role and importance of listening skills in language learning and teaching. Vandergrift (2003) refers to the "key role" that listening plays in current theories of second language acquisition. According to Guo and Wills (2005) language learning mainly depends on listening since it provides the aural input that serves as the basis for language acquisition and enables learners to interact in spoken communication. Moreover, it is

believed that listening provides the foundation for all aspects of language learning and in this sense it plays a lifelong role in the process of communication.

As far as second language acquisition theory is concerned, Guo and Wills (2005) explain that language input is the essential condition for the acquisition of a second language. As an input skill, listening plays a crucial role in students' language development. Given the importance of listening in language learning and teaching, it is vital for language instructors to help students become effective listeners. Kristiani (2008) discusses the importance of listening skills when learning English and emphasises the fact that everyone who wants to learn English well should be able to master the listening skill as the most basic skill necessary for mastering the other skills. According to him listening is a prerequisite for understanding spoken messages and therefore the teaching of listening skills should not be neglected during English instruction (Kristiani, 2008). Vandergrift and Tafaghodtari (2010) refer to the relative ease with which native (first) language speakers acquire the complex skill of listening comprehension, whereas second and foreign language learners struggle to do so. This observation emphasises the need for supporting second and foreign language learners' listening comprehension skills.

Goh (2008) reports that traditional listening teaching techniques such as merely expecting learners to answer comprehension questions based on a listening passage, are still common practice in many classrooms and that this practice of language teaching may cause students to become anxious.

Boyd (2001) indicates that the important concept of teaching listening skills is sometimes overlooked, while David (2002) writes that teaching listening skills is not considered by English language teachers to be taught with (i.e. integrated with) the teaching of writing, speaking and reading. Therefore, he calls listening skills "[t]he Cinderella skill in second language learning" and argues that proficiency in a second language has tended to be viewed in terms of the ability to speak and write the language in question, with listening relegated to the second position.

Author α: PhD Assistant Prof. School of Language Studies, College of Social Sciences, Hawassa University.

*Author σ: (PhD) Associate Prof. Department of Educational Planning and Management, College of Education, Hawassa University.
e-mail: soleysus7@gmail.com*

Author ρ: (PhD) Associate Prof. Department of Educational Planning and Management, School of Education, Wolaita Sodo University.

It can therefore be concluded that the teaching of listening skills is a critical element in language learning and communication – especially second and foreign language learning and communication – since it is the base or key to acquiring the skills of speaking, reading and writing. In spite of this it seems that it is the least considered skill in the process of language teaching and learning.

II. EXPLORATION OF THE PROBLEM OF LISTENING IN ENGLISH AS A FOREIGN LANGUAGE IN THE ETHIOPIAN CONTEXT

When it comes to the practice of teaching EFL listening skills, it seems that the teaching of listening skills in particular is neglected in Ethiopian schools and higher education institutions. Studies done on listening skills and teaching of listening in the Ethiopian context revealed that students are ill equipped for listening effectively (Berhanu, 1993).

In addition to Seime's study, Berhanu (1993) carried out research to investigate the listening strategies used by fourth year Addis Ababa University students majoring in English. Since Berhanu's (1993) study was basically intended to investigate the listening strategies actually used by students, he did not indicate whether listening was actively taught in the university. What Berhanu (1993) found was that learners' listening skills were not properly developed and that there was a need to provide students with appropriate listening comprehension skills.

Likewise, Haregewoin (2003) conducted an investigation in which she focused on grade eleven students to investigate the classroom listening comprehension teaching practices in relation to the new English textbooks. In her study she found that teachers did not show any significant efforts to give pre-listening tasks or to provide students with visual support. Furthermore, it was found that the materials used to teach listening skills are inadequate in the sense that they do not express the real life of the learners. The materials in the language laboratories in higher institutions are, for example, regarded as irrelevant to the contemporary conditions of teaching listening skills, and they do not appeal to the interest of Ethiopian students (Obeidat & Abu-Melhim, 2008). Most students who study English as a foreign language at university level are not able to listen effectively in English. It is therefore necessary to do research on current listening practices in order to provide guidelines for improvement. From the above-mentioned local studies it seems that Ethiopian EFL learners have underdeveloped listening skills. It can be concluded that the teaching of English foreign language listening skills in Ethiopia is not as effective as it should be – neither in school, nor at the higher education level. In the universities where this study took place, students are often heard complaining

about the inadequacy of the teaching of listening skills. Berhanu's study (2007) suggests that there is a need to provide students with appropriate listening comprehension practices. The question is how lecturers go about teaching EFL listening skills and whether students are being provided with appropriate listening comprehension practices.

In view of the preceding discussion regarding the importance of listening when communicating in a second or foreign language and for learning in a higher education institution on the one hand and the lack of proper listening instruction on the other hand, it is evident that there is a need to investigate the teaching of listening skills to English foreign language students at Ethiopian universities and to determine how they can best be taught to listen effectively. In light of the above background this research attempts to answer the following research questions.

How effective do students at selected Ethiopian universities perceive the teaching of EFL listening skills to be?

- How effective the use of material used in teaching of EFL listening skills as perceived by the students?
- Is there gender difference in the perception of the effectiveness of teaching EFL listening skills?
- The study follows a sequential explanatory mixed methods/strategy. The reason for selecting this strategy is according to Creswell, (2009); Collins, Onwuegbuzie and Jiao (2006) allows the researcher to collect quantitative data during its first phase which could then be followed by qualitative data collection through interviews and observation in a second phase.

Three universities Dilla, Hawassa and Arsi University were purposively selected. For the quantitative part of the study all first and second year students from the Language Studies Department, were requested to complete the questionnaire. In the qualitative phase of the study interview was used. Six students from each sampled university with highest Cumulative Grade Point Average (CGPA) from first and second year students were purposively selected and interviewed.

A structured questionnaire was developed for all students involved in EFL listening courses. The questionnaires used in this study made use of a five point Likert scale in which the respondents had to choose between the following five options: 1= Highly effective; 2= Fairly effective; 3= Somewhat effective; 4=Not very effective; and 5=Ineffective.

III. RESPONDENTS' BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Out of 158 students, 53.2% were female while 46.8% were male. The majority of the students were

between the age of 18 to 20 (70.3%) while only 29.7% were 21 years and above. Of the 158 students, 53.8% were first years while 44.3% were second year students. In relation to the English listening ability show that about 44.3% of the students rated themselves as good or very good, 29.7% were of the opinion that their listening ability was average, while 31.3% regarded their listening ability as poor or very poor. The variation on the students' English listening ability could be related to the sample where the majority (53.8%) were first years and 44.3% were second year students.

IV. AN EXPLORATORY OVERVIEW

In this section the frequency response patterns to groups of questions in the questionnaire provide an

Table 1: Composite frequency table of responses to questions that measure students' perceptions of the effectiveness of pre-listening

Questionnaire questions	Pre-listening (Effectiveness rating, students)					
	Highly effective	Fairly effective	Somewhat effective	Not very effective	Ineffective	Total
1. Integrating EFL listening skill with teaching of reading, writing, speaking	40 25.32	33 20.89	35 22.15	34 21.52	16 10.13	158
2. Letting students think about the listening process they are going to follow	29 18.35	40 25.32	31 19.62	34 21.52	24 15.19	158
3. Explaining the purpose of listening activity before letting students listen to the listening passage	45 28.48	24 15.19	36 22.78	37 23.42	16 10.13	158
4. Incorporating activities to stimulate students' background knowledge prior to exposure to the listening passage	28 17.72	32 20.25	43 27.22	30 18.99	25 15.82	158
7. Ensuring beforehand that students are not anxious during EFL sessions	28 17.72	28 17.72	38 24.05	32 20.25	32 20.25	158
8. Announcing the topic and then let students predict what the listening passage may be about	37 23.42	29 18.35	37 23.42	28 17.72	27 17.09	158
9. Explaining unfamiliar keywords that would be heard in the listening passage	33 20.89	29 18.35	36 22.78	38 24.05	22 13.92	158
17. Teaching students different listening skills before letting them listen to the listening passage	33 20.89	24 15.19	40 25.32	36 22.78	25 15.82	158
20. Explicitly teaching listening strategies before letting students listen to the listening passage	26 16.46	44 27.85	33 20.89	32 20.25	23 14.56	158
34. Ensuring students are motivated to listen to the listening passage	37 23.42	35 22.15	29 18.35	38 24.05	19 12.03	158
Total	336 21.27	318 20.13	358 22.66	339 21.46	229 14.49	1580 100.00

Probability (of Chi-square statistic assuming the value of 38.98 under the null hypothesis of no statistically significant difference between response patterns of questions) = 0.33

From Table 1 it can be seen that although the students' overall impression of the effectiveness of the pre-listening activities they were exposed to is positive (41.40%), more than a third of the students (35.95%) indicated a degree of inefficiency. Therefore, although there is an overall positive perception, it is not a very strong vote on effectiveness. A large percentage of the responses (22.66%) were undecided. The variation on the responses could be attributed to the aggregation of data across the universities hence loss of information.

overview of students' responses to the following four components that could have an influence on the effectiveness of teaching listening in EFL: the pre-listening phase, the while listening phase, the post-listening phase, and the use of listening materials.

a) *Students' perceptions of the effectiveness of the pre-listening phase*

Results presented in Table 1 and Table 2 are based on responses from ten Likert scale type items which focused on activities implemented during the pre-listening phase.

Table 2: Composite frequency table of responses to questions that measure students' perceptions of the efficiency of while-listening activity

While-Listening						
Item: questionnaire questions	While-Listening(Effectiveness rating, students)					
Frequency	Highly effective	Fairly effective	Somewhat effective	Not very effective	Ineffective	Total
10. Expecting students to listen for specific information in the listening passage	38 24.05	32 20.25	35 22.15	35 22.15	18 11.39	158
11. Letting students distinguish between fact and fiction while listening to the listening passage	26 16.46	38 24.05	25 15.82	46 29.11	23 14.56	158
12. Letting students guess the meaning of unfamiliar words and phrases in the listening passage	26 16.46	41 25.95	26 16.46	48 30.38	17 10.76	158
13. Letting students interpret the tone of the message in the listening passage	33 20.89	28 17.72	39 24.68	38 24.05	20 12.66	158
14. Letting students interpret emotive (manipulative) language in the listening passage	21 13.29	42 26.58	33 20.89	38 24.05	24 15.19	158
15. Letting students practice listening in real-life situations	30 18.99	29 18.35	37 23.42	43 27.22	19 12.03	158
16. Letting students respond to eye movement, gestures and body language while listening	31 19.62	32 20.25	37 23.42	30 18.99	28 17.72	158
19. Letting students take notes while listening	34 21.52	39 24.68	32 20.25	35 22.15	18 11.39	158
35. Letting students listen for the gist of the matter in the listening passage	26 16.46	34 21.52	36 22.78	37 23.42	25 15.82	158
36. Letting students communicate with one another while teaching EFL listening	19 12.03	39 24.68	34 21.52	45 28.48	21 13.29	158
40. Making use of a variety of methods when teaching EFL listening	38 24.05	25 15.82	37 23.42	25 15.82	33 20.89	158
Total	322 18.53	379 21.81	371 21.35	420 24.17	246 14.15	1738 100.00
<i>The probability (of Chi-square statistic assuming the value of 52.78 under the null hypothesis that response patterns of questions do not differ statistically significantly) = 0.09</i>						

There is a small difference between a positive and negative effectiveness rating. Although the overall impression of while-listening activities is positive, it is not a very strong positive perception and is only slightly more positive than negative. This can be seen from the 40.34% effective rating responses compared to the 38.32% rather ineffective responses that were given. 21.35% of responses were undecided. Thus, although an experience of effectiveness is prevalent, the

experience of an ineffective activity phase is also present.

b) Students' perceptions of the effectiveness of the post-listening phase

Eight items were included in the Likert type scale items as a measure of how effective students regard activities that are usually typically performed after the listening activity.

Table 3: Composite frequency table of responses to questions that measure students' perceptions of the efficiency of the post-listening phase

Item: questionnaire questions	Post-Listening(Effectiveness rating, students)					
Frequency	Highly effective	Fairly effective	Somewhat effective	Not very effective	Ineffective	Total
21. Teaching students how to draw conclusions based on the listening passage	25 15.82	33 20.89	44 27.85	40 25.32	16 10.13	158
22. Letting students integrate new information with prior knowledge after listening to the passage	30 18.99	38 24.05	37 23.42	32 20.25	21 13.29	158
23. Expect students to express their own opinions on the topic of the listening passage	25 15.82	43 27.22	35 22.15	39 24.68	16 10.13	158
24. Letting students critically evaluate the listening passage	23 14.56	47 29.75	33 20.89	35 22.15	20 12.66	158
25. Letting students evaluate their notes by comparing them to those of others	23 14.56	43 27.22	43 27.22	30 18.99	19 12.03	158
26. Letting students transfer information from	26	38	36	23	35	158

oral to written mode	16.46	24.05	22.78	14.56	22.15	
27. Asking questions to evaluate what students remember from listening	33 20.89	38 24.05	29 18.35	38 24.05	20 12.66	158
28. Expecting students to pronounce words they have heard on the tape recorder	23 14.56	30 18.99	41 25.95	36 22.78	28 17.72	158
Total	208 16.46	310 24.53	298 23.58	273 21.60	175 13.84	1264 100.00
Probability (of Chi-square statistic assuming the value of 34.17 under the null hypothesis of no significant difference in response patterns) = 0.19						

From table 3 it can be deduced that the students' overall impression of post-listening activities were positive. They perceived this phase to be effective (40.99% effective rating responses as opposed to 35.44% rather ineffective responses). Although there was an overall positive perception, it is not a very strong vote on effectiveness. Furthermore, 23.58% of responses were undecided.

c) *Students' perceptions of the effectiveness of the listening material*

The students' perceptions about the use of the effectiveness of the listening material are presented in table (Table 4). Seven items were included as a measure of how effective students regard the use of listening material to be while teaching English listening skills.

Table 4: Composite frequency table of responses to questions that measure students' perceptions of the effectiveness of the listening material used when teaching listening

Item: questionnaire questions	Listening Material(Effectiveness rating, students)					
Frequency	Highly effective	Fairly effective	Somewhat effective	Not very effective	Ineffective	Total
5. Using listening passages interesting to students	35 22.15	39 24.68	28 17.72	38 24.05	18 11.39	158
6. Using of listening passages somewhat above students' level of understanding	15 9.49	35 22.15	45 28.48	40 25.32	23 14.56	158
29. Using of the language laboratory to teach listening skills	33 20.89	29 18.35	28 17.72	38 24.05	30 18.99	158
30. Letting students listen to English mother tongue speakers	30 18.99	40 25.32	21 13.29	35 22.15	32 20.25	158
32. Making use of authentic listening passages	25 15.82	38 24.05	42 26.58	40 25.32	13 8.23	158
37. Utilising appropriate equipment in the language laboratory	27 17.09	29 18.35	37 23.42	38 24.05	27 17.09	158
39. Using activities and listening material such as songs, narratives, dialogues to teach listening	27 17.09	34 21.52	36 22.78	40 25.32	21 13.29	158
Total	192 17.36	244 22.06	237 21.43	269 24.32	164 14.83	1106 100.00
Probability (of Chi-square statistic assuming the value of 38.01 under the null hypothesis of response patterns that do not differ statistically significantly over questions) = 0.03*						

From Table 4 it can be deduced that while 39.42% of students regarded the language material used to teach listening as effective, almost the same percentage (39.14%) of ineffectiveness responses were received. 21.43% were undecided. Apart from the relatively high undecided response of 28.48%, 39.88% regarded the use of listening passages that are somewhat above students' level of understanding as not very effective or ineffective. Only 39.87% of students perceived the use of authentic listening material as either highly or fairly effective, while more than a third (33.55%) regarded it as not very effective or ineffective and 26.58% were undecided.

d) *Compact measures of participant perceptions of the effectiveness of teaching listening in EFL*

The measure for each component was calculated as the mean response to all responses a participant offered in answering the subset of questions

that probed a particular listening component (e.g., 'listening material'). These compact perception measures are referred to as scores or perception-scores and are presented in the tables in this section. Results presented in Table 6 show the mean for students on the four components (pre-, while and post-listening as well as the listening material). The scale items are summed up for all items for each component (e.g., 10 items for pre-listening) and the weighted mean of the summated items is given. Considering a standard deviation from the mean data suggests that the practice is fairly effective based on the majority of students.

Table 6: Score means calculated for respondent type categories

Respondent type	N	Variable	N	Mean	Standard Deviation	Min.	Max.
Students	158	Pre-listening	158	2.88	1.07	1.00	4.70
		Listening material	158	2.97	1.01	1.00	4.86
		While-listening	158	2.94	1.05	1.09	4.73
		Post-listening	158	2.92	1.08	1.13	4.75

The biographical property of gender also affected the perceptions regarding the effectiveness of the teaching of EFL listening. Results in Table 7 presents the score means calculated for gender categories (males and females) for the four components (pre-, while- and post-listening, and the listening

material) separately. Results suggest that the males perceived the effectiveness of listening teaching differently except on the 'while-listening phase' where the mean for males was 3.24 and that of females was '3.27' which is approximately '3'.

Table 7: Component means scores for students with regard to gender categories

Type of respondent	Gender	N	Variable	N	Mean	Standard Deviation	Min.	Max.
Students	Male	74	Pre-listening	74	3.26	0.93	1.00	4.50
			Listening material	74	3.17	0.93	1.09	4.73
			While-listening	74	3.34	1.01	1.13	4.75
			Post-listening	74	3.18	0.96	1.00	4.43
Students	Female	84	Pre-listening	84	3.19	1.08	1.10	4.70
			Listening material	84	3.30	1.02	1.09	4.73
			While-listening	84	3.31	1.07	1.13	4.75
			Post-listening	84	3.28	1.00	1.29	4.86

From Table 7, results for female and male students suggest that both groups perceived the effectiveness of listening teaching equally on all the components; that is, with a mean of roughly '3.00' they viewed the components to be somewhat effective. In general, it could also be suggested that both sexes rated the effectiveness of three components (pre-, and post- listening, and the listening material) as effective.

V. ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE RESULTS

An analysis of a variance (ANOVA) test offers a way of investigating the significance of the effect of biographical properties on perceptions of the efficacy of the listening course. An analysis of variance tests identifies whether, and which, biographical properties

statistically significantly influence participants' perceptions of a component of the course, for instance, the effectiveness of listening materials. An indication of the significance of such a biographical effect on perceptions is derived from the P-value (F-probability) that is associated with the F-statistic (or F-value) calculated for each biographical property as part of the ANOVA test. An effect is identified as significant if the reported F probability (see Table 8) is less than 0.05 or 0.01; or 0.001 (respectively 5% [*]; 1% [***] and 0.1% [****] significance levels). The effect of gender proved to be statistically significant effects on perceptions of the different components of the listening course evaluated for effectiveness.

Table 8: Analysis of variance: Summary results of analysis of variance

Listening component	General F-statistic (and Probability)	R- square	Gender
Pre-listening	13.15 ($<0.001^{***}$)	0.15	7.91 ($<0.01^{**}$)
While-listening	15.59 ($<0.001^{***}$)	0.17	14.10 ($<0.001^{***}$)
Post-listening	13.94 ($<0.001^{***}$)	0.15	12.13 ($<0.001^{***}$)
Listening material	11.32 ($<0.0001^{***}$)	0.13	7.75 ($<0.01^{**}$)

Table 9 reports that the effect gender, statistical significance was verified for all components on at least the 1% level of significance. This implies that being male or female significantly affected how the various

components of the English listening course were evaluated.

a) *Analysis of Data Obtained from Interviews Pertaining to The Four Components of Teaching Efl Listening*

Face-to-face interviews were conducted with eighteen students (first and second years) on current practices used in EFL listening teaching. Students' names were coded to ensure respondents' identity was protected and confidentiality maintained and further for ease of analysis. The following coding system that has been used can be explained as follows: In the code UnAs11 refers to University A and S₁₁ refers to year one, student number one. If S₂₃ is used, it refers to year two, student number three.

b) *The pre-listening phase*

During the interview sessions, students were asked to describe how lecturers prepared them for the listening activity (pre-listening phase) and whether they regarded the preparation as effective. The majority of both first and second year students from the three universities agreed that the preparation given by lecturers before a listening lesson was not adequate. For example, student UnAS₁₁ said that the lecturer "*only talks about the topic. The preparation is not also enough as he doesn't explain well.*" From the same university, student UnAS₁₂ said that the lecturer "*... writes some notes on the blackboard and reads the questions one by one and talks about them.*" According to the student, the lecturer "*... doesn't give proper lesson, as a result the preparation is not sufficient.*"

Insufficiency of preparation during the pre-listening phase was further echoed by student UnAS₂₁ who said that "*the lecturer doesn't have enough knowledge himself, as a result, the preparation was not enough*" while student UnAS₂₃ from the same university said that "*... the lecturer tries to prepare us, but not as we expect.*"

Some students referred to the difference between activities used to prepare them for listening in the classroom and the language laboratory. Student UnBS₁₁ stated that "*... his preparation can be enough some times in the lab but not in the classroom.*" However, student UnBS₁₁ said that "*... lecturers picks up some new words and give explanation*" but, according to the student the preparation was not enough because of a shortage of time that made the lecturer rush through the lesson. In general most students agreed that preparation for the listening activity was not sufficient and ineffective.

The need to prepare students by including pre-listening activities is in line with research conducted by Rueda *et al.* (2009) who state that people always have a purpose for listening which makes it easier and more effective for them to listen. The use of pre-listening activities by lecturers supports work by Vandergrift (2013) who argues that providing second language students with a context helps in activating their prior knowledge and it also helps in developing students'

conceptual framework for constructing the meaning of what they do not understand. A lack of proper preparation for the listening task will, therefore, be detrimental to the effective teaching of EFL listening.

c) *The while-listening phase*

During the interviews, students were asked to state what a typical lesson looked like during an EFL listening class. Students expressed different activities in relation to what happens in a typical EFL listening lesson. Lecturers, for example, according to student UnCS₁₃, may start teaching listening in the language laboratory and later ask them to work on the questions after listening. Student UnAS₁₂ stated that lecturers "*... may read stories and ask students whether they can remember or not.*"

The majority of the students said that lecturers read or gave one of their fellow students a passage to read to the class and then asked them to answer questions. Student UnCS₁₂ for example said that the lecturer "*... reads some passage and asks some questions.*"

Most of the students further stated that they watch videos, listen to audio tapes or native speakers' accents, and later they are asked to answer questions to see how much they could recall. For example, student UnCS₂₁ said that the lecturer "*... used video*" or "*writes some words on the blackboard.*" Student UnBS₁₁ mentioned that sometimes the lecturer "*... opens certain recorded cassettes and lets us listen after which we were asked to answer questions.*"

The findings are cause for concern if it is taken into consideration that Renandya and Farrella (2010) found that foreign language students experience a number of challenges particularly while listening to native speakers in video or audio-recorded speech. The need to use different activities in a listening lesson was also emphasised by Sun (2009) who argued that creating and imitating real-life situations during the teaching process presented students with a way to think and express what they had heard. It was also a way to help them integrate both thinking and expression.

d) *The post-listening phase*

Questionnaire data revealed that students mostly had a positive perception of the effectiveness of post-listening activities. Interview responses from students, on common activities used by lecturers during EFL listening teaching, indicated that lecturers mostly asked students to answer certain questions after a listening activity. These questions, however, mostly dealt with what students could remember after reading a story to them. For example, student UnBS₂₁ had this to say, "*Lecturers give questions from the passage to be answered by us....*" In other cases, according to student UnBS₁₃, lecturers asked students to read to their peers while others listen, and they encouraged them to interact with each other after they have listened to the

passage. This relates to what was suggested by Goh and Taib (2009), namely that students could take turns to read aloud their notes on their reflections during the discussions while other students listened, asked questions or gave their own comments in turn.

Interview data obtained from students revealed that this phase was not done effectively. Student UnBS₂₃ said that "... we forget ... we do not practice since the time allocated is not enough." Many other students also commented on the lack of enough time to practice or to use strategies such as letting them reflect on what they have heard.

During the interviews students responded to questions which asked about the teaching methods and type of listening materials used during the listening sessions. In Ethiopian universities EFL listening is taught by means of both language laboratories and classroom lessons. Some of the students expressed their discontent with listening to recordings done by native speakers. This is because of the fact that the pronunciation of the materials was not as clear to them as listening passages read by the local lecturers.

VI. CONCLUSION

The overall perception of students are in agreement about the effectiveness of the pre-listening, while listening and post listening activities and the integration of listening with other language skills. They also indicated the language material used to teach listening as effective. The biographical property of gender also affected the perceptions regarding the effectiveness of the teaching of EFL listening results for female and male students suggest that both groups perceived the effectiveness of listening teaching equally on all the components. However, male students were of the opinion that the while-listening stage was more effectively done as compared to females who evaluated the component as somewhat effective.

REFERENCES RÉFÉRENCES REFERENCIAS

- Berhanu, B. 1993. Listener strategies in collaborative discourse of AAU 4th year students. Unpublished MA Thesis. Addis Ababa: Addis Ababa University. Berhanu's study (2007).
- Boyd, S. 2001. The human side of teaching: effective listening, *Techniques*, 76(7):60-61.
- Chen, H. 2011. Brief analysis of strategies to improve English listening comprehension competence among non-English undergraduate students. *Asian Social Science*, 7 (12):68-71.
- Collins, KMT, Onwuegbuzie, AJ, & Jiao, QG. 2006. Prevalence of mixed methods sampling designs in social science research. *Evaluation and Research in Education*, 19(2):83-101.
- Creswell, JW. 2009. *Research design: Qualitative and mixed methods approaches* (3rd ed.) Los Angeles: SAGE. David (2002).
- Girma, D. 1999. The extent to which high school teachers teach the listening skill with special reference to the newly designed grade nine textbook. Unpublished senior essay. Kotebe College of Teacher Education.
- Goh, C. 2008. Metacognitive instruction for second language listening development: theory, practice and research implications. *RELC Journal*, 39: 188-213.
- Goh and Taib (2009).
- Goh, C & Taib, Y. 2006. Metacognitive instruction in listening for young learners. *ELT Journal*, 60 (3): 222-232.
- Guo, N & Wills, R. 2005. An investigation of factors influencing English listening comprehension and possible measures for improvement. Available at: www.aare.edu.au/05pap/guo05088.pdf (accessed 20 October 2015).
- Haregewoin, F. 2003. An investigation of classroom listening comprehension teaching practices in relation to the new English course books - Grade 11 in focus. Unpublished MA. thesis. Addis Ababa: Addis Ababa University.
- Hedge, T. 2000. *Teaching and learning in the language classroom*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Klapper, J. 2006. *Understanding and developing good practice: Language teaching in higher education*. London: CILT.
- Kristiani, V. 2008. *A study on the method of teaching listening skill at the first year of SMP Negeri 1 Sragen*. Unpublished BEd paper. School of Teacher Training and Education, Mohammadiyah: University of Surakarta.
- Martinez-Flor, A & Uso-Juan, E. 2006. Towards acquiring communicative competence through listening in: Uso-Juan, E & Martinez-Flor, A. (Eds). *Current trends in the development and teaching of the language skills*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter. 29-46.
- Mendelsohn, D. 2006. Learning how to listen using learning strategies. In: Uso-Juan, E & Martinez-Flor, A. (Eds). *Current trends in the development and teaching of the four language skills*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter. 75-89.
- Naughton, Hamlin, McCarthy, Head-Reeves and Schreiner (2007).
- Obeidat, N & Abu-Melhim, A. 2008. Common practice in teaching English listening skills at elementary schools in Jordan. *International Forum of Teaching and Studies*, 4(2):34-41.
- Renandya, WA & Farrell, TSC. 2010. Teacher, the tape is too fast! Extensive listening in ELT. *ELT Journal*, 65(1):52-9.
- Rueda, JD, Rodríguez, CA, Pinzón, JC & Rodríguez, LM. 2009. *Approaches to the development of*

*listening skills in EFT: A state of the art.*New York:Universidad Industrial de Santander.

21. Seime, K. 1989. An investigation of listening abilities of Baher Dar college students, Unpublished MA thesis. Addis Ababa: Addis Ababa University.
22. Sun, W. 2009. Improving speaking by listening cultivating English thinking and expression probe into the teaching of business English listening. *English Language Teaching*,2(2): 56-59.
23. Vandergrift, L. 2003. From prediction through reflection: Guiding students through the process of L2 listening. *Canadian Modern Language Review*,59 (3):425-40.
24. Vandergrift, L & Goh, C. 2012. *Teaching and learning second language listening: Met cognition in action*. New York: Taylor & Frances Group
25. Vandergrift, L & Tafaghodtari, MH.2010. Teaching L2 learners how to listen does make a difference: An empirical study. *Language Learning*,60(2):470–497.
26. Wallace, T, Stariha, W & Walberg, H. 2004. *Teaching speaking, listening and writing*. Available at: <http://www.Curtin.edu.au/curtin/dept/smec/iae> (accessed 9 September 2014).
27. White, G. 2008. Listening and good language learners. In: Griffiths, C. (Ed.). *Lessons form good language learners*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.