

1 Employees' Perception Towards the Implementation of Corporate 2 Governance Principles: An Egyptian Perspective

3 Marwa Anis¹ and Amira Abdul Hamid²

4 ¹ The British University in Egypt

5 *Received: 14 December 2016 Accepted: 5 January 2017 Published: 15 January 2017*

6

7 **Abstract**

8 The objective of this study is to examine how employees perceive corporate governance in
9 their organisations and to explore the position that employees can take in the promotion of
10 corporate governance in the Egyptian context. It empirically examines the employees?
11 perception towards corporate governance using a 5-point Likert-type questionnaire that was
12 administered face to face and online. Despite the fact that some employees lack proper
13 knowledge about the issues of corporate governance, the findings were encouraging as the
14 majority of employees have emphasised its importance. The results of this study can be
15 beneficial in developing a better understanding about corporate governance within different
16 Egyptian institutions and in promoting the importance that employees can play in
17 implementing governance.

18

19 *Index terms—*

20 **1 I. Introduction**

21 any scholars have defined corporate governance from different perspectives ??Turnbull,
22 1997). It is noted that corporate governance is the process by which the relationship between the company's
23 board of directors, management, and stakeholders is directed and controlled ??OECD, 2004).The development of
24 corporate governance into what it is today has taken many years; the concept of corporate governance has been
25 thriving since the 18 th century in the East and West (Hubbard & Wood, 2013) and it has become the focus
26 of many enterprises in the recent years. This can be attributed to the global awareness of the importance of its
27 promotion and the negative consequences if it is ignored (The Professional Accountants in Business ??ommittee,
28 2009).

29 Corporate governance emerged from agency theory. Agency theory is primarily concerned with protecting
30 and enhancing shareholders' wealth (Jensen & Meckling, 1976). Over time, many scholars have developed
31 theories that seek to define the mechanisms and practices of the code of corporate governance. One of the
32 most noteworthy theories is stakeholder theory which emphasises the role of the stakeholders to the company
33 as they are considered to be essential to the success and survival of the corporation (Boredean, 2012; Spitzech
34 and Hansen, 2010). Traditionally, a stakeholder is any individual who is affected directly or indirectly by the
35 company's decisions and actions (Freeman, 1984). Although all stakeholders are important for a corporation's
36 success, some stakeholders are perceived to be more crucial in determining the organisation's survival, such as
37 employees and shareholders (Lazano, 2005).

38 For corporate governance to perform its function, the real challenge is not related to drafting codes, but
39 rather to employees' attitudes (Peters, 2004) It is often highlighted that employee attitudes in respect to ethical
40 standards are crucial in tackling this issue. Governance programs will never succeed unless they take time to
41 understand the ethical mind-set of the employees. Also, previously set codes should be entrenched at every level
42 of the business, in every business unit and subsidiary (Peters, 2004).

43 Several studies consider employees as participants in corporate governance (e.g. ??vensson et al., 2016;Glavas
44 and Godwin, 2013; ??c Donnell, 2011; Muthusamy et al., 2011;Lower, 2009;Konzelmann, 2005) concentrate on

3 A) EMPLOYEES' ROLE IN GOVERNANCE

45 specific issues such as being a representative on the board. However, they do not adequately tackle how well
46 employees identify with their organisation on these issues.

47 In these terms, previous studies (e.g. Rasiah, 2012) concentrate their attention only on one agency relation
48 that occurs between owners and management. Others form of agency that may exist in the corporate is not given
49 enough consideration. For instance, in spite the importance of the employees' roles in the implementation of
50 corporate governance principles, the agency relationship between employees and management is ignored (Child
51 et al. 2004); however, it is essential to consider this relationship to achieve organisational objectives. Here we
52 see the importance of studying how employees perceive the importance corporate governance, specifically in the
53 organisation they are currently working in.

54 Consequently, the current study aims to study employees' perceptions and expectations towards corporate
55 governance within the Egyptian context. The importance of this study lies in the scope and nature of its
56 targeted context, which is a developing country. Generally, previous studies focus on developed M countries (e.g
57 Svensson et al., 2016; Muthusamy et al., 2011) Hence, the findings of the current study are mainly directed to
58 answer the following research question: How do employees perceive the practices of corporate governance within
59 their organisation in Egypt?

60 This question is examined through conducting an exploratory study on employees' perception of corporate
61 governance in Egyptian corporations.

62 2 II. Literature Review

63 One of the early attempts at defining corporate governance is by Berle and Means (1932). They state that the
64 problem of separation between ownership and control in many organisations has led to what is known as the
65 agency problem. The main activities of corporate governance are controlling and regulating ownership within the
66 organisation. Calder (2003) has provided a more comprehensive framework for the corporate governance concept.
67 This study has stated that corporate governance is related to the practices, duties and responsibilities exercised
68 by the governing body of institutions. It comprises of the board of directors and executive managers and it aims
69 at establishing strategic plans, ascertaining goals are achieved as planned and resources are used properly, and
70 managing risks appropriately.

71 The term corporate governance has become very significant and popular in many enterprises during recent
72 years; corporate governance carries out all the processes and practices that aim at achieving accountability
73 within an enterprise (Butler, 1999). The Organisation of Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), in
74 its updated report in April 2004, has highlighted that proper corporate governance helps in enhancing economic
75 growth and efficiency as well as strengthening shareholders' trust. Moreover, it contains within its framework a set
76 of relationships and agreements between the board of directors, managers, shareholders, and other stakeholders
77 of the organisation.

78 3 a) Employees' role in governance

79 According to Davies (2006), employees are a major stakeholder in every company, since their skills and experience
80 are needed for the firm's success, and on the other hand, employees use the organisation to improve and
81 enrich their curriculum vitae. Botha (2011) also stresses that "employees should be aware of their company's
82 corporate governance systems as well as its objectives and directions because employees' involvement contributes
83 significantly to the improvement of the business and create confidence in the promotion of corporate governance
84 principles in the workplace". It can be argued that poor employee relations can lead to a decline in their
85 productivity, morale, loyalty, innovation, and creativity in addition to conflicts of interest and problems in
86 recruiting and retaining staff (Metcalf, 1995).

87 Hence, involving employees in corporate governance systems and empowering them can lead to the company's
88 efficiency in addition to other positive consequences (Jacoby, 2001). It appears that employees' involvement in
89 corporate governance is as essential as shareholders' involvement because both are complementary and beneficial
90 to protect and maximise the company's assets and to satisfy their risk preferences (Boatright, 2004).

91 Many scholars have highlighted the important role that employees play in the execution of corporate governance
92 (Botha, 2011). Employee governance and stakeholder governance are considered complementary and mutually
93 beneficial as they both achieve the same goal which is mainly to protect their firms' specific assets. There are
94 several ways to involve employees in the promotion and enforcement of governance and it can be in the form
95 of employees' share in ownership and election of representatives on the board of directors. It is expected that
96 employee empowerment will encourage them to take a role in the fight against corruption and fraudulent activities
97 in the workplace. In general, employee roles are complementary to forms of governance (Botha, 2011). That is
98 why employees should not only be aware of the organisation goals, but should also be aligned with them. It is
99 evident that the strategic involvement of employees is crucial for the improvement of businesses.

100 Moreover, if employees do not trust their company's management, this will impose serious threat to a company's
101 performance and it may result in failure of governance. The relation between employees and managers within
102 the organisation is the proper tool to gain a considerable alignment of corporate governance theory (Child et al.,
103 2004).

104 4 b) Research question/objectives

105 There are many limitations in the current area of study as most researches apply studies on developed countries
106 (Mulili, 2011). There is a general lack of sufficient empirical studies based on developing countries such as Egypt,
107 and therefore, the aim of the current study is to examine the ways in which employees in the Egyptian organisation
108 are integrated within their organisation in terms of corporate governance activities. Accordingly, it is crucial to
109 investigate employees' perception and expectations towards corporate governance within the Egyptian context.

110 This raise the following research question: How do employees perceive the practices of corporate governance
111 within their organisation in Egypt?

112 5 III. Methodology and Data

113 The adapted questionnaire is used as an instrument to collect the required data to answer the early specified
114 research question gained from these practices. The questionnaire is adapted from a previous study about 'Business
115 students' perceptions on corporate governance' to ensure its validity and reliability with some modifications in
116 order to fit the current study's context (Bordean, 2012).

117 The respondents were asked to complete a five-point Likert scale questionnaire by indicating their level of
118 agreement to 34 statements after completing the personal information in the first part of the questionnaire. The
119 main reason for choosing this type of questionnaire is to avoid the subjectivity and errors of the open-ended
120 unstructured questions as it might be difficult for the respondents to understand those unstructured questions
121 which will result in unwillingness or inability to answer the stated questions due to its vagueness. On the other
122 hand, the structured five-point Likert scale questions are much easier to understand and answer because they
123 provide the respondents with a set of alternative choices (strongly disagree, disagree, neutral, agree, strongly
124 agree) from which they choose and this increases the respondents' willingness and ability to understand and
125 answer the given questions (Malhotra, Baalbaki, & Bechwati, 2010).

126 The questionnaire is composed of seven groups pertaining to different corporate governance issues and they
127 are management issues, shareholders issues, personal issues, society issues, customer issues, board of directors
128 issues and overall employees' perceptions towards the importance of corporate governance issues.

129 The reliability and the validity of the questionnaire are tested before distribution on the identified sample
130 using pilot testing. The purpose of the pilot testing is to refine the questionnaire before distributing it in order
131 to make it more convenient for the respondents to answer the questionnaire without help and to avoid difficulties
132 in managing the data (Saunders, Lewis, & Thornhill, 2012).

133 6 IV. results

134 The analysis is presented below as follows: a measurement of the validity and reliability of the questionnaire
135 through Cronbach's alpha and factor analysis, and an overall analysis of the answers generated from the
136 distributed surveys using descriptive statistics. In the end, a total of mean scores is calculated for each issue in
137 order to find out the relative importance given to each one of them by the employees. a) Validity and reliability
138 testing i. Cronbach's alpha Cronbach's alpha is mainly used to assess the level of validity and reliability of a
139 set of questions by measuring the interrelatedness between various items represented in a certain questionnaire
140 (Grau, 2007). The Cronbach's alpha of the 34 statements of the survey has a value of 0.923 indicating a low level
141 of error variance for the statements represented in the survey to be considered reliable for a single construct scale
142 as mentioned in table 1 and table 2. In particular, when the alpha scores 0.70 or higher, this indicates that the
143 tested variables are considerably unidimensional and reliable ??Schmitt, 1996).

144 7 b) Factor analysis

145 Factor analysis is a technique used in developing questionnaires; it aims at identifying the underlying unobservable
146 factors or variables that indicate a pattern of correlations within a set of manifest or observed variables (UCLA,
147 2015). A Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin measure has been used to test the sampling adequacy. In the current study, the
148 sampling adequacy has scored 0.852 which indicates a proper level of adequacy as the closer the value to 1 as
149 shown in table 3; the better it is (UCLA, 2015). Since statement 2 (see table 4) in the questionnaire has the
150 highest percentage of the total variance (57.5%), it can be interpreted that it is the most important factor in
151 contributing to management issues, followed by statement 3 which has a value of 16.6% of the total variance.
152 The least effective factor is statement 1 as it has 11.18% of the total variance. Table 5 displays that, statement
153 6 has the highest contribution to the total variance in the shareholders issues (53.5%), followed by statement 9
154 (16.6%) and statement 8 (10.4%), whereas statement 10 has the least contribution (4.5%) to the shareholders'
155 issues. The total variance for statement 13 (see table 6) is 61.2%, which indicates that this statement has the
156 highest contribution to the personal issues in the questionnaire, followed by statement 11 (15.3%), statement
157 14 (12.9%) and statement 12 (10.4%). For the board of directors issues (see table 9), the highest variance
158 comes from statement 26 in the questionnaire (32.5%), followed by statement 25 (17.8%), statement 28 (13.8%),
159 statement 24 (10.2%), and statement 29 which has the least contribution to the issue (3.7%). Table 10 illustrates
160 that statement 33, which has the highest percentage of the total variance (54.7%), contributes to the overall
161 employees' perception towards the importance of CG issues significantly, followed by statement 34 (31.7%), and
162 then statement 32 (13.5%).

8 c) Data collected and analysis of respondents

164 The data was gathered using self-administered and online questionnaires, and the targeted sample size was
165 set to be 150 respondents. However, only 132 respondents had completed the survey. The survey consists of
166 34 questions, excluding the first five personal questions; the 34 statements were divided into seven groups as
167 mentioned previously in order to reflect different issues regarding the discussed topic. The first group of four
168 questions represents management issues; the second group of six questions represents shareholders issues; the
169 third group of four questions represents personal issues; the fourth group of four questions represents society
170 issues; the fifth group of five questions represents customer issues; the following eight questions represent board
171 of directors issues; and the last group is composed of three questions that represent employees' overall perceptions
172 towards the importance of corporate governance.

173 The percentage of females who completed the survey slightly exceeds the percentage of males as 56.82% of the
174 respondents were females and 43.18% were males. It is critical to identify the percentage of respondents from
175 each gender in order to interpret the analysis more accurately.

176 The majority of the respondents who undertook the survey range in age from 20 to 30 years and represent
177 40.91% of the respondents. The percentage has declined to 33.33% representing the group between 31 and 40
178 years old. As the age decreases, the percentage of respondents who completed the survey decreases seeing that
179 14.39% of the respondents range from 41 to 50 years old. Finally, the percentage drops to 11.36% representing
180 respondents above 50 years old.

181 Based on the data collected from the survey, the majority of the respondents were employees working in the
182 educational sector, 61.36%, while employees working in non-educational sectors was 38.64% of the sample. This
183 variation in percentages could be interpreted as due to the increasing awareness of corporate governance issues
184 in educational institutions among teaching and administrative staff.

185 The biggest portion of the respondents has more than 10 years' work experience as they represent 45.45% of
186 the sample size. The second portion represents 34.85%, which is respondents who have less than five years' work
187 experience followed by 19.7% of respondents who have work experience ranging from five to 10 years.

188 47.73% of the respondents are middle-level employees while the second two portions are relatively close as the
189 entry level employees represent 27.27% of the sample size, and 25% represent top-level respondents.

190 The majority of the respondents agree that their organisations discourage malpractice/unprofessional conduct
191 to gain short-term benefits as they represent 28% of the total sample while 21.9% were neutral, and 18.9%
192 strongly agreed with the statement. However, 17.4% of the respondents disagreed, and 13.6% strongly disagreed.

193 31.8% of the respondents agreed that their organisation ensures justice/equality and nondiscriminatory
194 treatment among its employees. The portion of respondents who were neutral and disagreed with the statement
195 was very close as both represented 21% of the sample. Moreover, 13.6% of the respondents strongly agreed, while
196 11.3% strongly disagreed.

197 28.7% of the sample agreed that their organisation ensures the independence of internal and external auditors
198 to strengthen checks and balances in the firm, while 26.5% were neutral. 18.9% of the respondents showed their
199 disagreement while 17.4% strongly agreed with the statement, and only 8.3% strongly disagreed.

200 When the respondents were asked to indicate their level of agreement/disagreement with whether their
201 organisations discouraged participation in the micro politics of the organisation's higher management, 33.3%
202 were neutral, 21.9% agreed and 21.9% disagreed. Further, 12.8% strongly agreed, while 9.8% strongly disagreed.

203 29.5% of the sample agreed that their organisation maintains the balance between the interest of different
204 stakeholders and the rights of employees of the firm whereas 28% were neutral. On the other hand, 22.7% of the
205 respondents disagreed with the statement and 10.6% strongly disagreed, while 9% strongly agreed.

206 28% of the respondents agreed that their organisation forbids helping the owner in his unethical/unlawful
207 business transactions, 23.4% were neutral, 22.7% disagreed, 14.3% strongly agreed, and 11.3% strongly disagreed.

208 31% of the respondents were neutral when they were asked to what extent they agree/disagree that their
209 organisation ensures the open system and maximum access to information for shareholders while 25.7% agreed
210 with the statement and 22.7% disagreed, 10.6% strongly disagreed, and 9.8% strongly agreed.

211 The biggest portion of the sample agreed that their organisation forbids them to manipulate or play with the
212 figures to cheat the shareholders/owners, 33.3% of the total sample, and 23.4% strongly agree with the statement.
213 15.9% disagreed with the statement, 15.5% were neutral, and 12.2% strongly disagreed.

214 37% of the respondents agreed that their organisation prioritises shareholders'/owners' interest in making
215 business decisions, 21% were neutral, 17.4% strongly agreed, 15.9% disagreed, and 8.3% strongly disagreed.

216 34.8% of the total sample agreed that their organisation ensures the resources allocation in the interest of
217 shareholders/owners while 28% were neutral, 18% strongly agreed, 14.3% disagreed, and only 4.5% strongly
218 disagreed.

219 The majority of the respondents agreed that their organisation maintains a high level of morals, justice, and
220 honesty in business and other affairs, 37% of the sample size, while 21.2% of the respondents disagreed with the
221 statement, 20.4% were neutral, 14.3% strongly disagreed, and only 6.8% strongly disagreed.

222 The answers to this statement were very close as 27.2% were neutral, 26.5% agreed, 24.2% disagreed, 15.9%
223 strongly agreed and only 6% strongly disagreed that their organisation helps them become a socially and ethically
224 responsible citizen.

225 This figure demonstrates that 30.3% of the respondents were neutral, 27.2% agreed, 21.9% disagreed, 11.3%

226 strongly agreed and 9% strongly disagreed when they were asked to indicate their level of agreement/disagreement
227 whether their organisation ensures 100% transparency and fairness in their business transactions. When the
228 respondents were asked if their organisation is not overruling the firm's policies to gain personal benefit/self-
229 interest, 30.3% were neutral, 25.7% agreed, 19.7% disagreed, 14.3% strongly agreed, and 9.8% strongly disagreed.

230 The majority of the respondents, 39.3%, agreed that their organisation abides by the local laws and regulations
231 in business transaction, while 24.2% were neutral, 20.4% strongly agreed but 8.3% strongly disagreed, and 7.5%
232 disagreed.

233 43% of the total sample agreed that their organisation enables caring for the local values and culture while
234 making business decisions, 23.4% were neutral, 14.3% disagreed, 11.3% strongly agreed, and 7.5% strongly
235 disagreed.

236 The responses are relatively close as 28% respondents agreed that their organisation fights for environmental
237 issues while making business decisions and 28.7% were neutral while 23.4% disagreed with the statement and
238 12% strongly agreed, whereas 7.5% strongly disagreed.

239 31% of the respondents were neutral, 27.2% agreed, 18.9% disagreed, 14.3% strongly agreed and only 8.3%
240 strongly disagreed when they were asked if they agree/disagree that their organisation discourages the culture of
241 kickbacks and corruption among the local authorities of the state.

242 32.5% of the sample agreed that their organisation encourages treating the customer with actual and real
243 service features while 24.2% were neutral and 18% strongly agreed, 15.9% disagreed, and 9% strongly disagreed
244 with the statement.

245 34.8% of the respondents agreed that their organisation strictly disallows cheating customers with impossible
246 promises or unrealistic expectations, which is the largest proportion, followed by those who were neutral and
247 disagreed as each portion scored 19.7% of the total sample size. 18% of the respondents strongly agreed while
248 only 7.5% strongly disagreed.

249 29.5% agreed that their organisation discourages increasing profit through unfair promotional means whereas
250 21.2% strongly agreed and 20.4% were neutral, 20.4% disagreed, while 8.3% strongly disagreed with the statement.

251 When the respondents were asked to indicate their agreement/disagreement with this statement 'my
252 organisation supports working for value maximisation instead of profit maximisation', the results were significantly
253 close: 28.7% agreed, 28% neutral, 22.7% disagreed, 10.6% strongly disagreed, and 9.8% strongly agreed.

254 32.5% of the respondents disagreed that their organisation predicts that achieving the target is not everything
255 in business success, while 31.8 were neutral, 18.9% agreed, 9% strongly agreed, and 7.5% strongly disagreed with
256 the statement.

257 When the respondents were asked to evaluate the statement 'there is no need to know the number or the
258 identity of the board of trustees', 28% were neutral, 27.2% disagreed, 20.4% agreed, 15.9% strongly disagreed,
259 and 8.3% strongly agreed.

260 27.2% of the sample disagreed when they were asked if their organisation is coordinating and communicating
261 activities and information about the board while 26.5% agreed with the statement, 25% were neutral, 12.8%
262 strongly disagreed, and 8.3% strongly agreed.

263 The biggest portion of responses here represents those who were neutral, 30.3%, whereas those who agreed
264 represent 24.2% of the sample size and the proportion who disagreed was 23.4%. Besides, 12% of the sample
265 strongly disagreed, while 12% strongly agreed.

266 33.3% of the respondents agreed that it is the responsibility of the board to ensure that there are no malpractices
267 while 29.5% were neutral, 15% strongly agreed, 15% disagreed, and only 6.8% strongly disagreed.

268 28% of the respondents disagreed with the statement 'it is unnecessary to know details about the duties and
269 responsibilities of the board' whereas 21.9% were neutral, 20.4% agreed, 18% strongly disagreed, and 11.3%
270 strongly agreed.

271 The biggest portion of the respondents, 36.3%, agreed that it is important to have a board with diverse
272 background/experience while 29.5% strongly agreed, 21.9% were neutral, 6.8% strongly disagreed, and only 5.3%
273 disagreed with the statement.

274 When the respondents were asked if most of the board members are independent, their responses were
275 somewhat close as 26.7% were neutral, 25% agreed and another 25% disagreed while 12.2% strongly disagreed,
276 and 10.6% strongly agreed.

277 31.8% of the sample agreed that the CEO and the Chair of the board are two different persons, 23.4% strongly
278 agreed, 21.2% neutral, 16.6% disagreed, and only 6.8% strongly disagreed.

279 When the respondents were asked if it is unimportant to have several board sub-committees within their
280 organisation, 28% were neutral, 26.5% disagreed, 23.4% agreed, 11.3% strongly disagreed, and 10.6% strongly
281 agreed.

282 The majority of the respondents agreed that it is essential to have training about the governance issues while
283 25.7% strongly disagreed, 21.9% were neutral, 6.8% disagreed and only 1.5% strongly disagreed.

284 37% of the total sample agreed that corporate governance is a key to organisation success, 25.7% strongly
285 agreed, 25% were neutral, 8.3% disagreed, and 3.7 strongly disagreed with the statement. As mentioned earlier,
286 the statements in the questionnaire are grouped into seven clusters: management issues, shareholders issues,
287 personal issues, society issues, customer issues, board of directors' issues and overall employee perceptions
288 towards the importance of corporate governance issues. In order to analyse these issues, the author used

289 mean scores in descending order and the findings are presented in the following tables. The management issues
290 (see table 11) are typically concerned with how an organisation is managed and controlled. Those issues are
291 considered to be the key element of corporate governance. It is crucial to know how the employees perceive
292 the management styles and practices within their organisation in order to be able to measure their perception
293 towards corporate governance. The highest mean score here was obtained for their organisation's assurance of
294 internal and external auditors' independence (3.28) followed by their organisation's discouragement to become
295 part of mal/unprofessional conduct to gain short-term benefits (3.21), and (3.15) for their organisation's assurance
296 for justice/equality and non-discriminatory treatment among the employees of the firm whereas (3.06) for their
297 organisation's discouragement to participate in the micro politics of top management. The shareholders (see
298 table 12) are considered to be an essential element for every organisation's success. The members of the board
299 are not only responsible to oversee the managers' activities, but also entitled to represent the interests of the
300 shareholders on the board. Therefore, it is important to measure how the employees perceive and understand this
301 relationship. The respondents have placed the highest emphasis on how their organisation ensures the allocation
302 resources is in the interests of the shareholder (3.48), and they also believe that their organisation forbids them
303 to manipulate or play with the figures to cheat the shareholders (3.40). This is followed immediately by how
304 they see their organisation prioritises the shareholders interest in making the business decisions (3.39), whereas
305 ??3.11) for how their organisation forbids helping the owner in his/her unethical business transactions.

306 **9 Volume XVII Issue IV Version I**

307 Less emphasis was placed on how their organisation maintains the balance between the interests of different
308 stakeholders and rights of the employees and how their organisation ensures the open system and maximum access
309 to the information for the shareholders, as they scored (3.04) and (3.02) respectively. Corporate governance is
310 not only concerned with the activities and practices of the management, but also concerned with the ethical and
311 moral conduct within the organisation. Hence, the respondents were asked to evaluate the personal issues (see
312 table 13) that may influence the practices of corporate governance within their organisation. The mean score
313 for how the employees perceive their organisation's maintenance of a high level of morals, justice and honesty
314 in business and other affairs was (3.31), while ??3.22) for how their organisation helps them become socially
315 and ethically responsible citizens, (3.15) for how their organisation is not overruling the firm's policies to gain
316 personal benefits and (3.10) for their organisation's assurance of 100% transparency and fairness in their business
317 transactions.

318 **10 Volume XVII Issue IV Version I**

319 **11 Table 14: Mean scores of society issues**

320 The social issues (see table 14) are significantly incorporated in the concept of corporate governance as
321 corporations do not exist in isolation; they must take into consideration the social issues by supporting the
322 local communities and protecting the environment. Thus, it is beneficial to know employees' perceptions on
323 how their organisations deal with societal issues in order to gain further insights into the current study. In this
324 regard, the highest mean score was (3.56) indicating how the employees perceive their organisation's abidance
325 by the local laws and regulations, followed by (3.36) for how much their organisation cares for the local values
326 and culture while making business decisions. There is a belief among the employees that their organisation
327 strongly discourages the culture of kickbacks and corruption among the local authorities of the state as this
328 statement scored (3.20) whereas (3.14) for how their organisation fights for environmental issues while making
329 business decisions. satisfaction. In this case, when employees were asked to evaluate the customer issues within
330 their organisation, the results were: (3.36) for how their organisation strictly disallows cheating scustomer with
331 impossible promises or unrealistic expectations, (3.35) for how their organisation encourages treating customers
332 with actual and real service features, (3.35) for how their organisation discourages increasing profits through
333 unfair promotional means, (3.05) for how their organisation supports working for value maximisation instead of
334 profit maximisation and (2.89) for how their organisation predicts that achieving the target is not everything
335 in business success. The members of the board are the representatives of the shareholders (see table 16); they
336 monitor the activities of the managers in order to ensure that they are directed toward the interests of the
337 shareholders. Therefore, it is vital to understand how employees perceive the board of directors within their
338 organisation. The employees evaluated the board issues as follows: (3.77) for the importance of having a board
339 with diverse background/experience, (3.48) for whether the CEO and the Chair of the board are two different
340 persons, ??3.35) for the board's responsibility to ensure that there is no malpractice, (2.97) for the board members'
341 independence, (2.96) for their organisation's coordination and communication of the duties and activities of the
342 board's different committees, (2.90) for their organisation's coordination and communication of the activities and
343 information about the board, (2.79) for the necessity of knowing details about the board's responsibilities and
344 duties and (2.78) for the importance of knowing the number or the identity of the board members. The last three
345 statements of the questionnaire as shown in table 17 aimed at evaluating employees' awareness of the importance
346 of corporate governance, the results were encouraging as having a training about the governance issues got the
347 highest score (3.86), followed immediately by how the employees perceive the corporate governance to be a key
348 to their organisation's success (3.73) and (2.95) for the unimportance of having several board sub-committees.

349 Table 18 summarises the average of mean scores for each issue. According to the perception of the employees,
350 most of the respondents are aware of the importance of corporate governance as it represents the highest average
351 (3.513), followed by social issues (3.315), while shareholders issues scored an average of 3.24, (3.2) for customer
352 issues, ??3.195) for personal issues, (3.175) for management issues and the lowest average was board of directors
353 (3.125).

354 **12 V. Conclusion**

355 The study's key aim is to investigate employees' perception towards corporate governance within the Egyptian
356 context. The purpose of the paper is to conduct a study based on a developing country as most of the previous
357 scholars focus on developed countries in their studies (Mulili, 2011). The questionnaire is addressed to employees
358 working across different sectors in Egypt with a convenience sample of 132 respondents.

359 The gathered data are analysed using SPSS and various charts are illustrated in order to develop a thorough
360 and insightful understanding about the current study. Furthermore, the results of the study can be beneficial
361 for business students in addition to managers, practitioners and employees in developing a better understanding
362 about the concept of corporate governance.

363 Generally, the results of the study indicate that employees in Egypt have a good knowledge of corporate
364 governance issues within their organisations. Nevertheless, some employees have negative perceptions towards
365 the practices of corporate governance in their company.

366 Despite the fact that some employees lack knowledge about issues involved in corporate governance, the
367 findings of the study at hand were encouraging; the majority of the employees placed emphasis on the importance
368 of applying training programs about corporate governance issues within their company, as they believe that
369 corporate governance is a key to organisation success. Moreover, a large proportion of employees showed good
370 awareness of social issues regarding the practices of corporate governance as they perceive their organisation to
371 be culturally sensitive and environmentally friendly while making business decisions. Shareholders issues come
372 third after the importance of corporate governance and social issues, indicating a positive understanding of the
373 importance of the shareholders and investors in the company's success. However, employees put less emphasis
374 on board of directors issues, reflecting an insufficient awareness of the role and functions of the board within
375 an organisation. Therefore, employees in Egypt have a positive perception towards the practices and issues of
376 corporate governance according to the findings of the current study.

377 **13 VI. Practical Implications a) For employees**

378 There is a significant need for more involvement of the employees in enhancing and widening their scope of
379 knowledge about the corporate governance issues within their organisation; they should seek to learn more about
380 the company's board of trustees, management styles and policies and regularly review the company's website and
381 annual reports in order to stay updated and informed about the key issues and events regarding the practices of
382 corporate governance in their organisation.

383 **14 b) For management**

384 The company's managers also play an important role in raising employees' awareness about governance issues;
385 they should provide training programs and seminars in order to educate employees about the necessity of having a
386 code of conduct that maintains good CG within the organisation. Moreover, managers can also include employees
387 in their decisions to give them better insight into how the organisation is being governed and controlled.

388 **15 c) For boards of directors**

389 The board members must maintain the basic corporate governance principles among the company's managers,
390 employees and shareholders which are: transparency, fairness and accountability in order to enhance employees'
391 perceptions towards corporate governance and they should also encourage them to attend meetings and participate
392 in the governance issues within the company.

393 **16 d) For educational institutions**

394 There is also a severe need for involvement of the educational institutions in Egypt, either schools or universities,
395 in placing emphasis on corporate governance training in order to develop knowledgeable and future employees
396 and managers well-informed on governance and ethical issues.

397 **17 e) Limitations of the study**

398 There are some limitations to this study that can be overcome in future research. The sample size was only 132
399 respondents. Although an online survey was employed to solve this problem, the targeted sample size was not
400 reached as some respondents left many questions unanswered. We might speculate that they became discouraged
401 after completing the first or second pages of the questionnaire and closed it before finishing it. The length of the
402 survey consisted of 34 statements in order to cover all the aspects of the study which is also considered to be one
403 of the limitations. There was a lack of resources needed to help the author distribute a larger number of surveys

404 by hiring interviewers or agents to assist the author in carrying out the survey across multiple organisations
405 and employees. f) Direction for future research Further studies could be conducted on this topic after taking
406 into consideration the previously mentioned limitations and taking the current study as a form of comparative
407 research that could be used as a guideline or basis for evaluating the findings of their research.

408 Other studies may seek a larger sample size in order to increase the validity and reliability of their research
409 findings. Furthermore, the study could be extended to investigate and compare the perceptions of the employees
410 towards corporate governance across two different countries in order to find out whether culture has a significant
411 impact in formulating perceptions towards the concept of corporate governance.

412 18 Volume XVII Issue

1

Case Processing Summary		
	N	%
Cases Valid	131	99.2
Excluded a	1	.8
Total	132	100.0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure

Figure 1: Table 1 :

2

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.923	34

Figure 2: Table 2 :

3

Kaiset-Meyet-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy	.852
Bartlett's Test of Sphericity	2272.197
df	561
Sig	.000

[Note: In order to develop a better and more comprehensive understanding of corporate governance, the concept was broken down into seven distinct issues: management issues, shareholders' issues, personal issues, society issues, customer issues, board of directors issues and overall employees perceptions Volume XVII Issue IV Version I]

Figure 3: Table 3 :

4

Component	Initial Eigenvalues		Extraction sums of Squared Loadings			
	Total	% of Variance	Total	% of Variance		
Statement 2	2.307	57.535	Statement 2	2.307	57.535	Statement 2
Statement 3	.664	16.605	Statement 3	.664	16.605	Statement 3
Statement 4	.587	14.675	Statement 4	.587	14.675	Statement 4
Statement 1	.447	11.186	Statement 1	.447	11.186	Statement 1

Figure 4: Table 4 :

5

Component	Initial Eigenvalues		Extraction sums of Squared Loadings	
	Total	Cumulatice %	Total	Cumulatice %
Statement 6	3.214	53.575	53.575	53.575
Statement 9	.999	16.650	70.225	
Statement 8	.625	10.412	80.637	
Statement 7	.523	8.723	89.360	
Statement 5	.363	6.046	95.407	
Statement 10	.276	4.593	100.000	

Figure 5: Table 5 :

6

Component	Initial Eigenvalues		Extraction sums of Squared Loadings			
	Total	Cumulatice %	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %	
Statement 13	2.450	61.241	61.241	2.450	61.241	61.241
Statement 11	.615	15.375	76.616			
Statement 14	.519	12.967	89.583			
Statement 12	.47	10.417	100.000			

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

Figure 6: Table 6 :

7

Component	Total	Initial Eigen-values		Extraction sums of Squared Loadings		
		% of Variance	Cumulatice %	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %
Statement 16	2.300	57.512	57.512	2.300	57.512	57.512
Statement 15	.746	18.656	76.169			
Statement 18	.662	16.552	92.720			
Statement 17	.291	7.280	100.000			

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

Figure 7: Table 7 :

8

Component	Total	Initial Eigen-values		Extraction sums of Squared Loadings		
		% of Variance	Cumulatice %	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %
Statement 19	2.437	48.738	48.738	2.437	48.738	48.738
Statement 21	1.264	25.278	74.016	1.264	25.278	74.016
Statement 20	.538	10.766	84.782			
Statement 22	.443	8.865	93.647			
Statement 23	.318	6.353	100.000			

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

Figure 8: Table 8 :

8

demonstrates that the highest percentage of the total variance in the customer issues comes from statement 19 (48.7%), followed by statement 21 (25.2%), statement 20 (10.7%), statement 22 (8.8%) and then statement 23 (6.3%).

Figure 9: Table 8

9

Component	Initial Eigen-values			Extraction sums of Squared Loadings		
	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %
Statement	2.600	32.502	32.502	2.600	32.502	32.502
Statement	1.430	17.871	50.373	1.430	17.871	50.373
Statement	1.107	13.839	64.212	1.107	13.839	64.212
Statement	.824	10.296	74.508			
Statement	.619	7.738	82.246			
Statement	.579	7.239	89.485			
Statement	.539	6.737	96.222			
Statement	.302	3.778	100.000			

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

Figure 10: Table 9 :

10

Component	Initial Eigen-values			Extraction sums of Squared Loadings		
	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %
Statement 33	1.643	54.760	54.760	1.643	54.760	54.760
Statement 34	.952	31.732	86.492			
Statement 32	.405	13.508	100.000			

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

Figure 11: Table 10 :

11

Management issues	N	Mean	Std.	De- via- tion
My organisation ensures the independency of internal and external auditors to strengthen the check and balance in the firm	132	3.28	1.200	
My organisation discourages to a part of malpractice/ unprofessional conduct to gain short term benefits	132	3.21	1.314	
My organization ensures the justice/equality and non discriminatory treatment among the employees of my firm	132	3.15	1.232	
My organisation's discourages to participate in the micro politics of the organisation's highet managment	132	3.06	1.164	

Figure 12: Table 11 :

12

Shareholdets Issues	N	Mean	Std.	De- via- tion
My organisation ensures the resources allocation in the interest of shareholdets/owners	132	3.48	1.088	
My organization forbids me manipulate or play with the figures to cheat the shateholders/owners	132	3.40	1.330	
My organization prioritises the shareholders/ owners interest in making the business decision	132	3.39	1.190	
My organization forbids helping the owner in his unethical/unlawful business transactions	132	3.11	1.240	
My organization maintains the balance between the interest of different stakeholders and rights of employees of the firm	132	3.04	1.149	
My organization ensures the open system and maximum access to the information for shareholders	132	3.02	1.146	

Figure 13: Table 12 :

13

My organization	N	Mean	Std. Deviation
My organization maintains the high level of moral, justice, and honesty in business and other affairs of life	132	3.31	1.160
My organization helps become a socially and ethically responsible citizen	132	3.22	1.161
My organization is not overriding the firm's policies to gain personal benefit/self interest	132	3.15	1.188
My organization ensures the 100% transparency and fairness in my business transactions	132	3.10	1.145

Figure 14: Table 13 :

15**Customer issues**

My organisation strictly disallows to cheat the customer with impossible promises or unrealistic expectations	
My organisation encourages treating the customer with actual and real service features	
My organisation discourages to increase the profit through unfair promotional means	
My organisation supports working for value maximisation instead of profit maximisation	
My organisation predicts that achieving the target is not each and every thing in business success	
Customers and employees (see table 15) are considered to be key players that can significantly affect an organisation's performance whether positively or negatively, and indeed, customers' satisfaction and	loyalty can make or break any business's success. Hence, how the practices of corporate governance within an organisation are directed and controlled considerably influence customers' loyalty and

Figure 15: Table 15 :

16

Board of directors issues	N	Mean	Std. Devia-tion
It is importantto have a board with diverse background/experience	132	3.77	1.138
The CEO and the Chair of the board are two different persons	132	3.48	1.214
It is the responsibility of the board to ensure that there is no any mal practices	132	3.35	1.119
Most of the board members are independent: they are notone of the current or previous organisation stakeholder orfamily member	132	2.97	1.196
My organisation is coordinating and communicating the duties and activities of the different committees of the board	132	2.96	1.168
My organisation is coordinating and communicating activities and information aboutthe board	132	2.90	1.178
It is unnecessary to know details aboutthe duties and responsibilities of the board	132	2.79	1.278
There is no need to know the numberorthe identity of the board of trustee	132	2.78	1.187

Figure 16: Table 16 :

17

Overall employees perceptions towards the importance of CG	N	Mean	Std. Devia-tion
It is essential to have a training about the governance issues	132	3.86	.934
Corporate governance is a key to organisation success	132	3.73	1.056
It is unimportant to have several board sub-committees	132	2.95	1.178

Figure 17: Table 17 :

18

Issues	Average score
Overall employees' perceptions towards the importance of CG	3.513
Society issues	3.315
Shareholders issues	3.24
Customer issues	3.2
Personal issues	3.195
Management issues	3.175
Board of directors issues	3.125

Figure 18: Table 18 :

Year 2017
68
(H)
Global Journal of Human Social Science -

Figure 19:

414 [Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society] , *Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society* p. .

415

416 [Davies ()] *Best Practice in Corporate Governance: Building Reputation and Sustainable Success*, A Davies .

417 2006. Gower Pub Co.

418 [Calder ()] 'Board Briefing on IT governance'. A Calder . USA: *IT Governance Institute*, 2003. (2nd Edition ed.)

419 [Bordean ()] 'Business students' perceptions on corporate governance'. O N Bordean . *International Journal of Arts and Commerce* 2012. p. .

420

421 [Peters ()] *Cascading good governance through the organisation. Keeping Good Companies*, G Peters . 2004. p. .

422 [Butler ()] 'Corporate Governance in the Public Sector'. B Butler . Australia: *4th Annual Public Sector Symposium*, 1999.

423

424 [Hubbard and Wood ()] *Corporate Governance: The benefits of good practice for private companies*, A Hubbard , A Wood . 2013. Dubai: Pinsent Masons.

425

426 [Boatright ()] 'Employee Governance and the Ownership of the Firm'. J R Boatright . *Business Ethics Quarterly* 2004. 5.

427

428 [Lower ()] *Employee participation in corporate governance -an ethical analysis. The Chinese University of Hong Kong. Selected works of Micheal LP Lower*, M Lower . 2009.

429

430 [Botha ()] *Employee Participation in the Promotion of Corporate Governance: A South African Perspective*, M M Botha . 2011.

431

432 [Jacoby ()] 'Employee Representation and Corporate Governance: A missing link'. S M Jacoby . *Journal of Business Law* 2001. p. 457.

433

434 [Glavas ()] *Is the perception of 'Goodness' good enough?: Exploring the relationship between perceived corporate social responsibility and employee identification*, A Glavas , Godwin , L . 2013.

435

436 [Malhotra et al. ()] *Marketing research: Arab world edition*, N K Malhotra , I B Baalbaki , N N Bechwati . 2010.

437 Pearson.

438 [My organisation abides by the local laws and regulations in business transaction] *My organisation abides by the local laws and regulations in business transaction*,

439

440 [My organisation discourages to become a part of malpractice/unprofessional conduct to gain short term benefits] *My organisation discourages to become a part of malpractice/unprofessional conduct to gain short term benefits*,

441

442

443 [My organisation discourages to increase the profit through unfair promotional means] *My organisation discourages to increase the profit through unfair promotional means*,

444

445 [My organisation discourages to participate in the micro politics of the organisation's higher management] *My organisation discourages to participate in the micro politics of the organisation's higher management*,

446

447 [My organisation enables caring for the local values and culture while making businesses decision] *My organisation enables caring for the local values and culture while making businesses decision*,

448

449 [My organisation encourages treating the customer with actual and real service features] *My organisation encourages treating the customer with actual and real service features*,

450

451 [My organisation ensures the independency of internal and external auditors to strengthen the check and balance in the firm][My organisation ensures the independency of internal and external auditors to strengthen the check and balance in the firm]

452

453

454 [My organisation ensures the justice/equality and non discriminatory treatment among the employees of my firm] *My organisation ensures the justice/equality and non discriminatory treatment among the employees of my firm*,

455

456

457 [My organisation ensures the open system and maximum access to the information for shareholders] *My organisation ensures the open system and maximum access to the information for shareholders*,

458

459 [My organisation ensures the resources allocation in the interest of shareholders/owners] *My organisation ensures the resources allocation in the interest of shareholders/owners*,

460

461 [My organisation fights for the environmental issues while making the business decision in the firm] *My organisation fights for the environmental issues while making the business decision in the firm*,

462

463 [My organisation forbids helping the owner in his unethical/unlawful business transactions] *My organisation forbids helping the owner in his unethical/unlawful business transactions*,

464

465 [My organisation forbids me to manipulate or play with the figures to cheat the shareholders/owners] *My organisation forbids me to manipulate or play with the figures to cheat the shareholders/owners*,

466

467 [My organisation is not overruling the firm's policies to gain personal benefit/self interest] *My organisation is not overruling the firm's policies to gain personal benefit/self interest*,

468

469 [My organisation maintains the high level of moral, justice, and honesty in business and other affairs of life. 12 My organisation has
470 *My organisation maintains the high level of moral, justice, and honesty in business and other affairs of life.*
471 *12 My organisation helps become a socially and ethically responsible citizen,*

472 [My organisation predicts that achieving the target is not each and every thing in business success. 24 There is no need to know the number or the identity of the board of trustee 25 My organisation is coordinating and communicating activities and information about the board 26 My organisation is coordinating and communicating the duties and activities of the different committees of the board,
473 *My organisation predicts that achieving the target is not each and every thing in business success. 24 There is no need to know the number or the identity of the board of trustee 25 My organisation is coordinating and communicating activities and information about the board 26 My organisation is coordinating and communicating the duties and activities of the different committees of the board,*

477 [My organisation strictly disallows to cheat the customer with impossible promises or unrealistic expectations]
478 *My organisation strictly disallows to cheat the customer with impossible promises or unrealistic expectations,*

479 [My organisation strongly discourages the culture of kickback and corruption among the local authorities of the state]
480 *My organisation strongly discourages the culture of kickback and corruption among the local authorities of the state,*

482 [My organisation supports working for value maximisation instead of profit maximisation] *My organisation supports working for value maximisation instead of profit maximisation,*

484 [My organisation prioritises the shareholders/owners interest in making the business decision] *My organisation prioritises the shareholders/owners interest in making the business decision,*

486 [Child and Rodrigues ()] 'Repairing the breach of trust in corporate governance'. J Child , S Rodrigues .
487 *Corporate Governance: An International Review* 2004. 12 (2) .

488 [Freeman ()] *Strategic management: a stakeholder approach*, E R Freeman . 1984. Boston, MA: Cambridge University Press.

490 [McDonnell ()] *Strategies for an employee role in corporate governance*, B McDonnell . 2011.

491 [McDonnell ()] *Strategies for an Employee Role in Corporate Governance*, 46 *Wake Forest L. Rev.* 429, B
492 McDonnell . http://scholarship.law.umn.edu/faculty_articles/208 2011.

493 [Berle and Means ()] *The Modern Corporation and Private Property*, A Berle , G Means . 1932. New York, NY: Macmillan.

495 [Rasiah ()] 'The Separation of Ownership and Control in Malaysian Domestic Companies'. Rasiah . *International Conference on Economics* 2012.

497 [Jensen and Meckling ()] 'Theory of the firm: managerial behavior, agency 15. costs and ownership structure'.
498 M Jensen , W Meckling . *Journal of Financial Economics* 1976. 3 p. .

499 [Muthusamy et al. ()] *Towards a strategic role for employees in corporate governance*, S Muthusamy , P Bobinski
500 , D Jawahar . 2011. John Wiley and Sons, Ltd.

501 [Lazano ()] *Towards the relational corporation: from managing stakeholder relationships to building stakeholder relationships*, J M Lazano . 2005.

503 [Grau ()] 'Using Factor Analysis and Cronbach's Alpha to Ascertain Relationships Between Questions of a
504 Dietary Behavior Questionnaire'. E Grau . *Mathematica Policy Research* 2007.

505 [Konzelmann et al. ()] *Working paper from part of the CBR research program on corporate governance*, S
506 Konzelmann , N Conway , L Trenberth , F Wilkinson . 2005. University of Cambridge. Center for Business
507 Research

508 [Metcalf ()] *Workplace governance and performance*, D Metcalf . 1995. London: MCB UP Ltd.