

# Justice at Cross Roads -A Critical Analysis of the Functioning of the Indian Judicial System and the Need for an Alternative

Bittoo Rani

*Received: 11 April 2015 Accepted: 1 May 2015 Published: 15 May 2015*

---

## Abstract

Though the founding fathers of the Indian Constitution accorded 'justice' the highest pedestal, higher than other notions of liberty, equality and fraternity but recent decades has raised serious concerns about the efficacy and accessibility of the Indian judicial system. The ability of the Indian judiciary to deliver speedy and affordable justice has come under scrutiny. Evidences suggest that the system has failed to stand the test of confidence, reliability and dependency that citizens' so heavily demand. Critics comment that judicial accountability and responsibility are on the wane. An active judiciary as one of the strongest pillars of Indian democracy, today, is beset with unfathomable problems. The most pestiferous and malignant malice range from mounting arrears, delay in disposal of cases, litigation boom, inaccessibility of courts and above all the rising cost of justice. Through this article, I make a humble attempt to make sincere introspection into the functional distortions of the Indian judicial system and suggest remedial measures as espoused from time to time by legal luminaries, jurists and academics alike.

---

**Index terms**— access to justice alternative dispute resolution, adjudication, arbitration, disputant, docket-explosion, indian judicial system, litigation, mediation

## 1 Section i I. The Nature of Indian Judicial

System: Looking Back he democratic Indian judicial system has been a home to four major legal traditions -Hindus, Muslims British and that of modern India. As an unmatched structure, the Indian judicial tradition has absorbed within itself traits of ancient village panchayats (village assembly), Islamic law and elements of British judicial system. Though each of these systems emerged as a result of political changes, but successive traditions have been unable to completely supplant the influences T of its predecessor. Influences and elements of each system have always remained resulting in the present contemporary law.

The indigenous Indian legal tradition has been that of the Hindus. The English term closest to the Hindu term 'Dharma' has been 'law' closely referring to right conduct, embracing the notions of morality, duty and obligation in its largest sense of the term. In the absence of legal hierarchies, the Hindu legal tradition resolved disputes through autonomous groups of families, clans and guilds; each group having autonomy in applying laws amongst themselves. Ancient India resolved their disputes through Kulas, (assembly of members of extended family or clan) Srenis (guilds of particular occupations) and Pugas (neighbourhood assemblies). These bodies' resolved cases according to local traditions and customs which were not necessarily unchangeable or by those laws derived from the ancient texts as Dharmashastras. The ancient Hindu kings generally recognized the peoples' right or for that matter the right of specific groups (castes, clans and guilds) to 'change customs and create new obligations'. It was a common practice of kings to decide cases pertaining to specific groups with that group's particular traditions and practices.

The advent of the Muslims during the 12 th century brought with them their own system of royal courts in cities and towns and administered general criminal law and also allowed civil disputes to be resolved through their personal laws. Though, in theory at-least, sharia law prevailed but the Hindu subjects were granted considerable freedom in deciding matter civil and if such issues emerged before the royal authority it was decided

## 2 II. JUDICIAL BACKLOG

---

45 in accordance with the Hindu traditions. However, the justice system did not penetrate deep into the countryside  
46 which provided the Hindu subjects the freedom to proceed with their own system of adjudication of disputes.  
47 "The Muslim rulers did not interfere with the law of the Hindus and the Hindus continued to be governed by  
48 their own law in personal matters. The core underlying idea of Muslim rulers was its own self-preservation and  
49 political domination over Hindus 1 The institutions of ancient jurisprudence continued well under the Mughals .  
50 2 only to receive severe shocks during the British rule. The emergence of the British during the 17 th century  
51 altered the judicial landscape of Indian jurisprudence. The foreigner's adversarial system eclipsed the traditional-  
52 indigenous practice. The traditional institutions of adjudication got displaced as the law applied in British Indian  
53 courts became increasingly anglicized. The people oriented dispute resolution system especially prevalent at the  
54 grass-root level, in vogue since ancient times displayed symptoms of decay 3 The British style of administration of  
55 the villages by the agencies of central government together with adversarial system of adjudication and growing  
56 pursuit of individual interests lessened the community's influence over the members and gradually led to decay  
57 of the people's court. Extreme formalism, technical and procedural rigidities, legal jargons together with the  
58 hierarchy of appeals kept victims of injustice struggle through the labyrinths of courts and in the course lose all  
59 hopes of getting their disputes resolved. Having disastrous repercussions for the indigenous justice system the  
60 British initiated legal structure brought into existence a new class engaged in legal professions who made the  
61 system more difficult pushing the poor away from the portals of the courtroom.

62 ; they largely became moribund by the late 19 th and early 20 th century. 4 1 Sunil Deshta (1998), Lok-Adalats  
63 in India: Genesis and functioning; People's Programme for Speedy Justice, New Delhi, Deep & Deep Publications,  
64 p. 5. 2 The unique characteristic of Mughal administration in India was that it did not concern nearly 3/4 th  
65 of the total population because people of the rural areas had their own courts which enjoyed civil and criminal  
66 jurisdiction. The result of such non-penetration of Muslim rulers into the countryside was that the textual laws  
67 influenced but did not displace the local laws. The disputes in the villages and even in cities were not settled  
68 by Royal Court, but by the lok-adalat or popular courts ? It appears that the process of getting justice under  
69 the Mughals was not such a long-drawn agony as it is at present. One of the reasons for effective functioning of  
70 mediation or conciliation proceeding during the Mughal period might be the guidelines of the Holy Quran, which  
71 prefer amicable settlement instead of adversarial system of dispute resolution." Sarfaraz Ahmed Khan (2006), Lok  
72 Adalat, New Delhi, APH Publishing Corporation, p. 7. 3 Justice D. A. Desai highlighted the reason for induction  
73 of the alien system in India and said, 'let it not be forgotten that for Pax-Britannica the colonial masters inducted  
74 in India by and large, the judicial system vogue in their country ? among various motivations, the one central  
75 to empire building is economic exploitation, this exploitation necessitates internal peace and external security.  
76 Internal peace may be guaranteed by first maintaining the foreign military, loyal police and legal justice system  
77 which would keep the parties continuously litigating in the law courts with hierarchy of appeals so that the  
78 Indian ? lose all initiatives for settling the disputes. Sarfaraz Ahmed Khan (2006), LokAdalat, New Delhi, APH  
79 Publishing Corporation, p. 8 Its immediate effect was dual in nature; first it detached the masses from their  
80 indigenous-traditional method of dispute resolution and secondly it created the institution of legal profession and  
81 along with it came the barristers and solicitors making the road to justice even more rugged.

82 Though the contemporary Indian judicial system is a unified hierarchical system, but the system is marked  
83 by retention of colonial elements with the exception of the Supreme Court at the apex instead of the Privy  
84 Council. The present system is partly a continuation of the British legal system based on the hybrid legal system  
85 -'Common Law System' in which customs and precedents are all components of law.

86 Since the aim of the judiciary is to 'unite parties', the Indian judiciary has an un-matched role to play in the  
87 lives of the citizens. Justice as an important element has rightly been identified by the founding fathers of the  
88 Indian Constitution; the Preamble to the Indian Constitution seeks 'to secure to all the citizens of India, Justice  
89 -Social, Economic and Political -Liberty, Equality and Fraternity'. Furthermore, Article 39(A) of the Indian  
90 Constitution states, "The State shall secure that the operation of the legal system promotes justice.; to ensure  
91 that opportunities for securing justice are not denied to any citizen by reason of economic or other disabilities".  
92 5 However, quite unfortunately the present manifestation of the Indian judicial structure is such that it neither  
93 deserves to be called expeditious nor cheap. A deep introspection will reveal that the Indian judiciary is beset  
94 with deep crises. In this context I quote Prof. Upendra Baxi (1982) who states, "crises arise when the structure  
95 of a social system allows fewer possibilities for problem solving than are necessary for the continued existence of  
96 the system? there is not only a crisis in the substantive domains of Indian law, but also a more pervasive crisis of  
97 legitimation". This principle has been interpreted by the Supreme Court to mean that 'social justice' within its  
98 wider ambit also includes 'legal justice' and that it is the duty of the administration to provide citizens justice  
99 that is cheap and expeditious. The judiciary must serve as an effective instrument for realizing the justice needs  
100 of the Indian citizens irrespective of their social or economic standing.

101 political elites and middle class rarely cultivate respect for law.

102 Below I have highlighted some pestiferous malice of the Indian judicial system.

## 103 2 II. Judicial Backlog

104 The Indian judicial system is deeply mired in huge backlogs which is largely the result of lengthy procedures and  
105 automatic appeals. The courts are overburdened with pending cases, the situation has become so alarming that  
106 Justice V.R. Krishna Iyer used the term 'Docket Terrorism' instead of 'Docket Explosion'; a crisis which plagues

---

107 both the higher and lower courts. "The total number of cases pending in various High Courts multiplied from  
108 324,000 in 1970 to 2,033,543 in 1990 to 3,198,547 in 1998, an increase of nearly ten folds in less than 30 years.

109 As on December 31, 2004, the total number of civil cases pending before the subordinate judiciary had been  
110 82,36,254 and criminal cases pending were 1,95,85,776. The total pendency thus figured around 2,78,22,030. Out  
111 of the total national pendency at the subordinate courts level, 70% were criminal cases and the remaining civil.  
112 7.9 Such huge number of cases staggering in courts at various levels has always baffled the authorities concerned;  
113 hence the Government of India since long back has instituted committees to examine the question of arrears and  
114 delays. The Justice Rankin Committee (1924) set up to examine speeding up of judicial process in its Report (the  
115 famous Rankin Committee Report of 1925) almost nine decades ago had stated, "the existence of mass arrears  
116 takes the heart out of a presiding judge. He can hardly be expected to take a strong interest in the preliminaries,  
117 when he knows that the hearing of the evidence and the decision will not be by him but by his successor after  
118 his transfer. So long as arrears exist, there is temptation, to which many presiding officers succumb, to hold  
119 back to the heavier contested suits and devote attention to lighter ones? while the real difficult work is pushed  
120 into the background." 10 In its 14th Report the Indian Law Commission categorically stated, "the delay results  
121 not from the procedure laid down by it but by reason of the nonobservance of many of its important provisions  
122 particularly those intended to expedite the disposal of proceedings."

123 Experts feel that if this report had to be written in the present decade, not a single word would change.

124 Since 1924 several committees had been instituted to bring about the desired reforms. While in 1949 Justice  
125 S. R. Das Committee was formed to examine arrears in High Courts, in 1972 Justice J. C. Shah Committee  
126 was set up to examine over-all arrears. In 1980, the Estimate Committee's Report also suggested about dispute  
127 resolution reform. Mention must also be made of the Satish Chandra Committee (1986) and the 1st Mallimath  
128 Committee of 1990. Since 1955 several Law Commissions have been set up to effect legal reforms. The 14th,  
129 79th, 80th, 120th, 121st & 124th reports of the Law Commission specifically touched on the question of  
130 arrears.

131 11

132 Recently, the Law Commission has come out with its 245th Report titled "Arrears and Backlog: Creating  
133 Additional Judicial (Wo)manpower." The Report had the following to say, "Keeping in view that timely justice  
134 is The Law Commissions time and again had emphasized that lack of clarity of procedural laws has not been  
135 the reason for judicial delay rather the real cause lay in imperfection execution or specially their non-observance.  
136 9 These data have been collected from [www.ndtv.com/indianews/more-than-3-crore-court-cases-pending-across-country-709595](http://www.ndtv.com/indianews/more-than-3-crore-court-cases-pending-across-country-709595) accessed on 1/4/2015. 10

### 138 3 III. Judicial Vacancies

139 Inadequate number of judges at every level is another important reason for delay. Till 6th September 2001, there  
140 were 470 judges as against the sanctioned strength of 647 judges in the High Courts of the country. 13 According  
141 to the 2004 year ending review of the Ministry of Law and Justice there were 143 vacancies in the 21 High Courts  
142 14 out of a sanctioned strength of 719 judges leaving almost 20% of the judges' posts vacant."As of December  
143 2005, there were 4 vacancies in the Supreme Court which rose to 7 in 2010 and as many as 21 in the High Courts  
144 in the country with Calcutta (21), Madras (20), Allahabad (24), Punjab and Haryana (11) topping the list.  
145 15 Almost all courts have vacancies and a court of full strength at any point of time is an anathema 16. The  
146 number of judge in the Indian context is on the low, a fact also endorsed by the World Bank. 17 3. 15 Anurag  
147 Sharma, 'Speedy, Fair and Affordable Justice to a Common Man: Present Challenges and Future Agenda for  
148 Reforms', available at <http://www.lawyersclubindia.com> accessed on 18/8/2010. 16 The combined strength of all  
149 the High Courts in the country is 886 judges, but the actual working strength is 652 judges, leading to a deficit of  
150 254 judges. Similarly, the combined sanctioned strength of the judicial posts in district and sub-ordinate courts  
151 is 16,721 judges, but the actual working strength is 13,723 judges, leading to a deficit of 2,998 judges. Anurag  
152 Sharma, 'Speedy, Fair and Affordable Justice to a Common Man: Present Challenges and Future Agenda for  
153 Reform', available at [www.lawyersclubindia.com](http://www.lawyersclubindia.com) accessed on 18/8/2010. 17 It is indeed true that the number of  
154 judges per capita is low in India. For example, the World Bank database on 30 countries show that the number of  
155 judges per 100,000 inhabitants varies from 0.13 in Canada to 23.21 75.2, Britain 50.9 and Australia 41.6 whereas  
156 for India it is slightly over 10. 26. Records reveal that till 2014 in the Supreme Court there are currently 28  
157 sitting judges, against a maximum possible strength of 31.

158 Against a sanctioned strength of 984 judges in 24 High Courts, there are only 636 judges, with almost 348  
159 posts or nearly 35% vacant. The Allahabad High Court has the highest vacancies (75) against a sanctioned  
160 strength of 160 judges. A total of 4,706 judicial positions were vacant as on August 2014 for Supreme Court and  
161 High Courts. 19

### 162 4 IV. Judicial Delay

163 As early as 1987 the Law Commission in its 120th Report submitted after examining the problem of understaffing  
164 had recommended 50 judges per million of the population. The successive reports of the Law Commissions and  
165 expert committees had always moved the government towards taking concrete steps.

166 Sensing the grave situation, the Government of India in 2008 set itself the target of having at least 50 judges  
167 per million by 2013. In 2014, again a five-year plan was adopted with the aim of doubling the number of 'sub-  
168 ordinate court' judges (excluding the Supreme Court and the High Courts). The current position stands at less  
169 than 15 judges per million and this figure too would be far less taking the rate of litigation boom.

170 Until recently, SAARC-Human Development Report of 2006 reiterated the fact that Indian judiciary still  
171 continues to be plagued by judicial delay 20 . There are about a quarter million under trial prisoners languishing  
172 in jails for more than 5 years, even as their guilt is yet to be proved. 21 18 Law Minister Blames Collegium  
173 System for Judges Vacancy in High Courts', The Times of India, 26 th November 2014.

174 Institutional incapacity coupled with lack of professional will make the situation serious. Cases are not rare  
175 when the common man complain that their complaints are not heard by men in authority. The poor facilities  
176 conspicuously emerge as contributing to poor administration of justice added to which is the problem of poor  
177 supervision and monitoring. High 19 Justice has a Mountain to Climb, of 31.3 Million Pending Cases', Hindustan  
178 Times, 4 th September 2014. 20 An extreme case of judicial delay was highlighted in public when in July 2005;  
179 the Chief judicial Magistrate of Kamrup intervened and released MachungLalungLalung from the GB Regional  
180 Institute of Mental health on a personal bond of Rupee one. The tragedy of the entire episode was that Lalung  
181 who had been an under trial was that Lalung who had been an under trial prisoner for 54 years was never  
182 produced before any court. Similar were the fates of KhalilurRehman, an under trial prisoner for 35 years,  
183 Anil Kumar Burman, an under trial for 33 years and Sonamani Debi, an under trial prisoner for 32 years. These  
184 examples have been referred from SAARC -Human Development Report,2006. 'It has been observed that judicial  
185 delay in producing judgments ultimately results in huge arrears of cases. 21 expenses incurred in litigation have  
186 been one of the vices of our justice system. Inordinate delay and exorbitant cost have prevented the system from  
187 being appreciated 22 . These unwarranted loopholes has today become innate features of the judicial system  
188 creating an atmosphere repugnant for the legal minds who from time to time have expressed their concern over  
189 the faltering judicial edifice. To quote Justice Iyer, "the myth is that courts of law administer justice, the truth  
190 is that they are agents of injustice." 23

## 191 5 V. Complexities of the System

192 Since the citizens have a fundamental right to speedy trial which is reasonable, fair and just, denial or delay in  
193 such services amount to violations of their basic rights. Incarceration of those accused (real or potential) without  
194 trial is denying them justice.

195 Procedural technicality, complex legal jargons coupled with lethargy, repeated adjournments and frequent  
196 appeals have made the entire legal process suspicious and distrustful to the common man. Technical complexities  
197 often deny the poor litigating parties their rightful share of having justice done to them. Added to the  
198 existing cumbersome legal process, multiplicity of hastily enacted laws by the authorities (both central and  
199 state government) opens up new avenues for more appeals and litigations. 24 Regrettably crowding of legislative  
200 acts has left little space for human sensibilities. While Justice Hidayatullah believed that the maxim of 'Summus  
201 Jus, Summa Injuria'(meaning 'more the law, less the justice')has no appeal in the Indian context, Justice A. K.  
202 Sen believed the need of the hour is not just enough good laws but their proper implementation with a human  
203 touch. 25 22 Our present Prime Minister Dr. Manmohan Singh said "the judicial system must make concerted  
204 efforts to wipe every tear of every waiting litigant, urging the judiciary and executive to work together as a  
205 seamless web and indivisible whole. He further accepted that despite its strength India had to suffer the scourge  
206 of the world's largest backlog of cases and time-lines which generate surprise globally and concern at home.  
207 Echoing similar sentiments (former) Chief Justice of India K G Balakrishnan accepted that the chronic shortage  
208 of judicial officers was hindering efforts to overcome the back log of cases."The PM and CJI were speaking at a  
209 conference of Chief Ministers and Chief Justices of High Courts, in New Delhi, in the midst of a 'National Debate  
210 of Judicial Corruption and Raging Controversy over Declaration of Assets by Judges of Higher Judiciary. This  
211 report occurred in The Statesman, on 17/8/2009. 23 'Interestingly, the Government is the largest litigant in the  
212 country 26

## 213 6 VI. Colonial Legacy

214 . According to estimates, the number of cases agitated by or appealed by the state rounds off to about 70% while  
215 the government officials neither allow the cases to get disposed nor withdraw the same since that would offend  
216 their vested interests'. Therefore, the state as the largest litigant directly or indirectly is responsible for delay.  
217 Parliament data reveal that increasing number of legislations, accumulation of first appeals; adjournments and  
218 lack of logistics are causes for cases pending.

219 In addition to backlogs and delays, the judicial infrastructure is poor. The courts are grossly underfunded.  
220 Though the authorities had repeatedly assured to overhaul the 'opaque' process of judicial appointment and  
221 functioning promising a system which is transparent and based on competence and integrity, but hopes are  
222 bleak. The prospects seem dim when a retired Supreme Court judge (Jagdish Verma) complained that even  
223 elementary measures as implementing long working hours and more working days are yet to be implemented.

224 Though rationalization and professionalization of the Indian legal system is said to be a British boon yet  
225 these have not been without a price. No doubt, the laws have been made universal with conformity to national

---

standards; yet in doing so the Britishers' have removed laws 'remote from popular understanding'. The present legal system with its colonial heritage provides sufficient scope for manipulation and exaggeration of laws and legal precepts "so that uniformity in doctrine and unity in formal structure coexist with diverse practices that diverge from the prescriptions of formal law". 27 The Indian legal system still struggles with the yoke of colonial legacy which once prompted Justice V.R. Krishna Iyer to comment, "Indian justice system still has the tenor of the British band and lacks the notes of Bharat's Veena." In spite of holding a coveted position, jurists are constrained by rules (having a colonial hangover); they find themselves 'prisoners of the rhetoric of the adversary system.' 28 Similarly, Pandit Nehru remarked, "The defect really lies with the judicial structure that we have inherited from the British which entails inordinate delay and expenses." 'God-fearing' into a 'court-fearing man.' Marc Galanter observed, 'contemporary Indian Law is for most part, palpably foreign in origin or inspiration and is notoriously incongruent with the attitudes and concerns of much of the population which lives under it'. 30 VII.

## 7 Judicial Corruption

The Transparency International ascribed rampant corruption in Indian Courts to factors as judicial delays, judicial vacancies, cumbersome procedures, and preponderance of new laws, (most of which are often hastily enacted). A study of the Transparency International in 2008 reported that about 40% of Indians had first-hand experience of paying bribes or using a contact to get a job done in public office. 31 While in 2013 India stood 94 th out of 175 countries, it ranked 85 th in 2014 in Transparency Perceptions Index when compared to its neighbouring countries as Bhutan (30th), Sri Lanka (85th), China (100th), Nepal (126th), Pakistan (126th), Bangladesh (145th) and Myanmar (156th).

The judiciary is in the throes of its worst ever moral crisis. Inefficiency, manipulation of truth, excesses Ruma Pal, a former Supreme Court Justice in 2011 (November) took to task the higher judiciary for what she called the 'seven sins' -ignoring injudicious conduct of a colleague, hypocrisy, secrecy, plagiarism and prolixity, self-arrogance, professional arrogance and nepotism. Scandals have blighted the higher judiciary in the country. A former Chief Justice of India (CJI) KG Balakrishnan (Chairman of the National Human Rights Commission) was accused by his two colleagues of nepotism. There has also been similar allegation against YK Sabharwal, another former CJI. A virtual storm was created in June 2010 when Shanti Bhushan (former Law Minister) moved an application and accused 'eight former CJI's of corruption'. 30 Madabhushi Sridhar (2005) of ineptitude and turpitude has eroded the dignity of the judicial edifice which till recently was seen by the people as the last bastion of institutional integrity. Personal misdemeanors of individual judges as those of PD Dinakaran, Soumitra Sen and V Ramaswami add to the cynicism of citizens. Needless to say, the magnitude of partisanship, lack of professional rectitude and personal integrity among those occupying highest judicial seat have a very pernicious effect on the entire Indian fabric making a mockery of the democratic dispensation and the sanctity of the Constitution.

Section II I.

## 8 The Need for Alternative

Under the prevailing circumstances, the justice system fails to meet the citizens' demand of confidence, reliability and dependability. The Judicial institution must embody within it the elements of judicial responsibility, accountability and independence, and must in every sense remain inseparable. The efficacy and ability of the judiciary to delivery has come under severe scrutiny; questions have been raised on its credibility. Vivek Upadhyay (2007) finds several reasons for which justice eludes the common man. He characterizes the legal process as being mystical, obscure and lacking in transparency added to which is the uncaring attitude of those in authority. Taking these to be blind spots, he comments that the Constitutional guarantee of justice 34 loses its sanctity in the face of such unwarranted hurdles. In spite of all the good works of the judiciary, the courts have largely been unsatisfactory institution. The failings of the Indian justice mechanism are so great that Oliver Mendelsohn prefers to describe it as "pathology of a legal system." 35 One more important fact must be pointed here. In this era of globalization as the commercial elements had overshadowed the importance of service character, the regular formal system has not lived up to meet 'The adage 'justice delayed is justice denied' rather than remaining a mere cliché has become a working truth of the current state of Indian judiciary. 34 The Constitution of India empathetically declares India to be a 'Sovereign, Socialist, Secular and Democratic Nation. The Preamble affirms a determination to secure economic, political and social justice for all citizens of India. It also speaks of equality of status and opportunity so as to ensure the dignity of people. The rights of the people and the obligations of the state in this regard were ensured by the Constitution framers by incorporating detailed and comprehensive chapters on the Fundamental Rights of the Citizens of India and Directive Principles of state policy. Videh Upadhyay (2007), ' In its X th Plan Report the Planning Commission noted, 'Corruption is most endemic and entrenched manifestation of poor governance in Indian society, so much so that it has become an accepted reality and a way of life'. In its XI th Five Year Plan, the Planning Commission reiterated, 'good governance is not possible without addressing corruption in its various manifestations?'. What has perturbed more is the fact that corruption has reached the highest echelons of the judiciary -the Supreme Court. The Rajya Sabha in 2011 impeached Soumitra Sen, a former judge at the Kolkata High Court for misappropriation of funds.

286 growing demand for justice which has forced the quest for alternative methods of adjudication.

287 The growing concern over judicial dependency has compelled the government to initiate steps to reduce, if  
288 not overcome the problem. In a conference held 2005 (11 th June) Justice K. Venkaththy, the Minister of State  
289 expressing concern over the ever-increasing arrears strongly recommended taking recourse to alternative methods  
290 of dispute resolution. Since the system bore the scourge of the huge backlog generating concerns at home and  
291 abroad, both the central as well as the state Governments at regular intervals has come forward with assurances  
292 to overcome high pendency of court cases.

293 The resolution adopted in the conference of chief ministers with chief justices of states held in New Delhi on  
294 4 th December 1993 endorsed the movement towards ADR. Taking note of the fact that it was humanly outside  
295 the competence of courts to deal with everincreasing arrears, it was decided that those cases capable of resolution  
296 through alternative techniques of arbitration, conciliation, mediation and negotiation should be disposed of  
297 through these means. The meeting emphasised that disputants would be encouraged to resolve their disputes  
298 through informal forums rather than through conventional trials in regular courts. The conference stressed on the  
299 desirability of taking recourse to alternative methods of dispute resolution which provided procedural flexibility,  
300 save resources both in terms of time and money and avoided the harangues of legal trial.

### 301 9 II. Alternative Dispute Resolution Mechanisms

302 ADR or Alternative Dispute Resolution refers to those techniques and processes where disputes are resolved short  
303 of litigation. ADR is in fact, 'dispute management' process bearing the potential towards consensual resolution.

304 Alternative Dispute Resolution mechanisms encompass a variety of techniques as mediation, arbitration,  
305 conciliation and negotiation. The type of process that is adopted by disputants is decided by what the parties  
306 seek to achieve. For instance, if the goal of the disputing parties is to protect their relationship the method  
307 chosen is mediation. When the goal is to balance the power-relationship then the obvious choice is negotiation.  
308 ADR has proved to be useful in the sense that the procedures involved are simple and direct involvement of  
309 the parties as against the highly structured and legalistic procedures of courts where the parties are nothing  
310 more than evidences. The disputants do not have direct involvement in the decision-making process of courts  
311 unlike that of the informal processes where the parties are asked what they want or are encouraged to provide  
312 suggestions.

313 There are several ADR methods and as such a mediator or negotiator may employ any one of the processes  
314 or may follow a mix of one or two methods as per the demand of the situation.

315 Arbitration is the private determination of the dispute by a neutral third party. In arbitration the dispute is  
316 decided upon by persons chosen or agreed upon by the parties themselves. The aim is to obtain fair resolution  
317 with minimum delay and expense.

318 Mediation is a structured negotiation process. Instead of accepting any decision imposed by a third party, the  
319 parties themselves determine the conditions of settlement reached. The disputing parties may either be private  
320 individuals, communities, organizations or states. The mediators through the use of appropriate techniques or  
321 skills open and improve dialogue with the ultimate aim of reaching a consensual agreement.

322 Conciliation: Conciliation is the process of mediation used in agencies under law. In facilitating an amicable  
323 settlement there is no determination of a dispute unlike that of the arbitration process. There need not be a  
324 prior agreement and it cannot be forced on a party not intending for conciliation. The proceedings relating to  
325 Conciliation are dealt under sections 61 to 81 of Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996.

326 Mediation and conciliation are often used interchangeably; however a finer distinction exists between the two.  
327 The responsibility of the mediator, who is chosen by the disputing parties themselves, is to bring the parties  
328 together to help them reach a consensus decision. The mediator listens to the parties and impress upon them to  
329 reach an amicable solution. For justiciable disputes, conciliation is supposed to be a constructive approach. After  
330 listening to both the parties in a conciliation conference and if, need arise, listening to their views separately, the  
331 conciliator ascertaining the bottom line draws up the terms of possible settlement. The solution is then presented  
332 to the parties concerned.

333 While arbitration is less formal than litigation, conciliation is even less formal than arbitration. It is often said  
334 that conciliation is the precursor to arbitration. In arbitration the aggrieved parties have a say in deciding the  
335 arbitrators, venue and date of meetings but they have no control over the ultimate decision while in conciliation  
336 process the parties have the privilege of negotiating at a resolution in a less formal environment.

337 As per Section 80 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act (1996) 36 36 The Arbitration and Conciliation Act  
338 1996 lays down for the first time, a well structural law of conciliation. Based on United Nations Commissions  
339 on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL) Conciliation Rules 1980, the new law has the advantage of universal  
340 familiarity and can be used for settlement of domestic disputes as well as international commercial disputes.  
341 Madabhushi Sridhar (2005) disputants. This is in consonance with Section 67 of the said Act which states that  
342 conciliators should assist the parties in reaching an amicable solution. A settlement reached through conciliation  
343 enjoys the same status and effect as a decree of the court. In the conciliation process, the disputing parties remain  
344 free to withdraw from the process at any stage of the proceeding, without prejudice to their legal proceedings. The  
345 conciliation process avoiding the protracted process of litigation resolves the dispute at its threshold. Maintaining  
346 confidentiality is the bottom-line of the entire process.

347 Here it is important to make a distinction between binding and non-binding forms of ADR. While mediation,

---

348 conciliation and negotiation as non-binding forms of ADR depends much upon the willingness of the disputants to  
349 reach an amicable resolution, decision reached through arbitration is binding upon the parties even if it conflicts  
350 with their interests. However, these ideal forms combine to form hybrid-types which are often used depending  
351 upon the type of dispute to be resolved and the interests of the stakeholders. Enveloping a wider connotation,  
352 ADR encompasses all actions from facilitated negotiated settlement where the parties are encouraged to opt for  
353 direct negotiation to arbitration process that looks much like a mini-trial or court process.

## 354 10 III. Alternative Dispute Resolution in India

355 Resolving disputes outside the threshold of legal courts has been a part of India's cultural heritage as panchayats,  
356 local peoples' court and extended family courts have nipped disputes in their buds. These traditional institutions  
357 were recognized systems of administration of justice and existed in parallel to the formal justice system established  
358 by the sovereign. These traditional-indigenous institutions akin to the ADR processes were informal, cheap and  
359 quick were based on the prevalent notions of societal behaviour. Though the colonial rule pushed these indigenous  
360 justice dispensing mechanisms to the brink of extinction, of late ADR these traditional institutions mechanisms  
361 have once again gained popularity both among the common people and members of legal profession.

362 ADR as practices in India can be broadly classified into two variants -court annexed mechanisms and  
363 community based techniques. Mediation and arbitration as the classic method of court annexed ADR methods  
364 is said to amicably resolve disputes with less time and expense. Such methods of resolution of disputes not only  
365 reduce the burden of the formal judiciary but make 'justice accessible'.

366 Since conflict in any society is inevitable; it is urgent to resolve disputes before it harms its social fabric.  
367 As members of society it becomes the obligation of all individuals as well as the state to devise methods to nip  
368 disputes before it proves destructive to societal peace and harmony. ADR based on the twin foundation of natural  
369 justice in consonance with the rule of law is the need since it resolves disputes amicably in direct contrast to  
370 litigant where much heartburn and agony damages social relationships beyond repair. Today, ADR has become  
371 the cornerstone of dispute resolution as its growing importance is being acknowledged both in the field of law  
372 and commercial sector. It has gained ground because of its ability to provide justice which is cheap and quick,  
373 the proceedings are shorn of legal complexities and jargons, and decision is based on consensus without involving  
374 the winner-loser rhyme. In a country as India where culture and cultural practices are prioritized the citizens'  
375 prefer to settle their disputes amicably through community mediation instead of lawyers arguing out their case,  
376 pushing through adjournments and wasting scarce resources. The aggrieved parties desire relief as they want it  
377 both quickly and cheaply as possible. Since, 'there is no worse torture than the torture of law' ??? The idea of  
378 peoples' participation and decentralized justice as envisioned by Mahatma Gandhi, 'the Father of the Nation' lay  
379 in a system of village panchayats as he stated, 'the government of the village will be conducted by the panchayat  
380 of five persons annually elected by the villagers ? these will have all the authority and jurisdiction required ?this  
381 panchayat will be the legislature, judiciary and executive combined ?' citizens' welfare becomes a far cry unless  
382 a system of order based on justice is brought into existence.

383 Since the heart of India lies in its villages and rural centres, it is extremely important that the people should be  
384 protected from the ravages of a system of justice which is expensive and entails hardships. Bearing this in mind,  
385 the Civil Justices Committee (1925) recommended a revival of the traditional system empowering the indigenous  
386 village or panchayat courts. Though the village traditional-indigenous courts do not function as courts in the  
387 ordinary sense of the term because they neither strictly follow laws nor pass judgments in legal lights but they are  
388 vital because they cater to justice needs of the people. These traditional justice institutions attempt resolution of  
389 local disputes keeping the prevalent norms and situations in mind. It must be remembered that what the people  
390 desire is justice not in purely legal terms but a fair resolution of disputes; that their disputes are resolved quickly  
391 and without cost. passed legislations on Panchayati Raj but much remains to be done to make justice accessible.

## 392 11 IV. Government Initiatives

393 There have been initiatives on the part of the government to revitalize the ADR mechanisms to reduce the  
394 innate problem of docket overflow. Justice Malimath in its Report (1989-90) after a comprehensive review of  
395 the working of legal courts, particularly all aspects of arrears and delay and various useful recommendations for  
396 reducing litigation and making justice accessible to the people with the use of minimum resources, recommended  
397 the need for alternative dispute resolution mechanism such as mediation, conciliation, arbitration and lok-adalats  
398 as viable alternative to conventional litigation.

399 The Supreme Court in *Guru Nanak Foundation Vs Rattan Singh & Sons* observed, 'interminable, timecon-  
400 suming, complex and expensive court procedures impelled jurists to search for an alternative forums, less formal,  
401 more effective and speedy for resolution of disputes avoiding claptaps?' 39 However, alternative does not imply  
402 taking recourse to alternative courts but it signifies adopting those methods and for dispute resolution which are  
403 alternative to complex legal procedures or 'something which can operate as court annexed procedure'. 40 The  
404 Parliament has accorded its recognition and support to ADR and the enactment of Legal The underlying thrust  
405 is to channelize the scarce resources spent in legal wrangles towards constructive pursuits. By allowing disputants  
406 to resolve disputes consensually ADR mechanism employed through informal institutions save disputing parties  
407 from wasting time and resources. The ADR techniques through informal processes promise more conciliatory, less

408 formal and more flexible procedures than litigation. What is more worthwhile is that the ADR mechanisms seek  
409 to provide the aggrieved parties the kind of remedy that is most appropriate under the existing circumstances.

410 Statutory recognition had been granted to ADR mechanisms through XXXIIA of CPC (Civil Procedure Code,  
411 1908). Industrial disputes are referred for either arbitration or conciliation as per section 10 and section 12 of  
412 Industrial Disputes Act 1947. Family matters such as divorce and maintenance under the Hindu Marriage Act  
413 1955 are settled through mediation. Disputes relating to dissolution of partnership, compoundable offences under  
414 section 145 of Cr PC, that is, disputes of possession which are of civil nature are referred for arbitration. The  
415 Family Courts Act of 1984 also recognized the need for ADR and insisted on conciliatory approach to settle family  
416 issues. 39 Avtar Singh (7 th ed., 2005), Law of Arbitration and Conciliation, Lucknow, Eastern Book Company,  
417 p. 391 ??0 Ibid, ???. 301 Services Act (1987) is a step towards it. Gearing itself to fulfil the obligations and needs  
418 of the democratic legal order in a plural society the government opted for providing free legal aid to its citizens.  
419 ??1 The government's commitment in reforming the legal order reflected in its initiative of introducing legal  
420 service programme with the aim of bringing justice to the doorsteps of the people. Committed to overcoming  
421 frustrations caused by the dilatoriness and expensiveness of the formal legal system the government devised  
422 innovative form of voluntary effort for amicable settlement of disputes in the shape of lokadalats 42 . The most  
423 important step in this direction was the incorporation of Article 39(A) in the Indian Constitution and by doing  
424 so the government acted in accordance with the letter and spirit of providing equal justice to all as enshrined in  
425 the Constitution. 43 Taking note of the need for legal aid, the government through the 42 nd amendment act  
426 inserted Article 39(A) (with effect from 3-1-1977). Article 39(A) in Part IV of the Indian Constitution reads,  
427 'The state shall secure that the operation of the legal system promotes justice on the basis of equal opportunity,  
428 and shall, in particular, provide free legal aid, by suitable legislation or schemes or in any other way, to ensure  
429 that opportunities for securing justice are not denied to any citizen by reason of economic or other disability.'  
430 Though Article 39(A) recognizes free legal aid as a nonenforceable right, the higher judiciary interpreted the  
431 Year 2015

432 Justice at Cross Roads -A Critical Analysis of the Functioning of the Indian Judicial System and the Need for  
433 an Alternative ( A )

434 right to life and liberty under Article 21 inclusive of right to legal aid at state expense and Article 39(A)  
435 is used to define the scope and content of this right. Section 30 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996  
436 encourages disputants to seek the help of arbitrators to reach settlement through mediation, conciliation or other  
437 procedures at any time during the arbitration process. The Arbitration and Conciliation Act (1966) as the first  
438 comprehensive legislation in India ushered in an era of private arbitration and conciliation.

439 The Civil Procedure Code (Amendment) Act, 1999 through Section 89 encourages settlement through  
440 arbitration, conciliation and mediation or judicial settlement 44 V. Adr Processes as Practiced in India through  
441 lok-adalats. The CPC has been amended which states that courts shall direct the parties to seek settlement of  
442 disputes outside the court as specified in section 89 (1).

443 Widely used in developed world as USA, UK and European countries, the use of mediation as an alternative  
444 dispute resolution mechanism is slowly, but surely gaining ground. Significant steps have been taken by the  
445 judiciary and law commissions to endorse the value of mediation as informal ADR process and the International  
446 Conference on ADR and Case Management (May 2003) is an important step in such a direction. On the directions  
447 of the Apex Court, in sequel to its judgement in 'Salem Bar Association Vs. Union of India' the committee under  
448 the chairmanship of Justice M. Jagannadha Rao prepared the 'Draft Mediation Rules, 2003' which regulates the  
449 mediation process initiated under Section 89 of CPC. Mediation as an ADR mechanism got a major boost when  
450 the Tamil Nadu Mediation and Conciliation Centre was inaugurated (9 th April, 2005) in the premises of Madras  
451 High Court by Y.K. Sabharwal (the then judge of Supreme Court).

452 The Indian Institute of Arbitration and Mediation i (IIAM) provides ADR services which include mediation,  
453 conciliation, arbitration and settlement through conferences. Guided by an advisory board under the chairman-  
454 ship of Hon'ble former Chief Justice of India Justice M. N. Venkatachalli, the IIAM has also launched its 'IIAM  
455 Community Mediation Service', a decentralized socially-oriented cheap dispute resolution mechanism providing  
456 justice at doorsteps as well as training individuals as community mediators. With a panel of arbitrators and  
457 mediators, the IIAM provides professional mediation services for both national and commercial business disputes.  
458 As part of corporate social responsibility, the IIAM provides facilities for mediation clinics; it assists in structuring  
459 and designing new or hybrid-clauses which would fit specific situations making the whole mediation process time  
460 bound and swift.

461 Established in 1965 on the initiatives of the Government of India and apex business organizations as FICCI, the  
462 Indian Council of Arbitration (ICA, based in New Delhi) resolves commercial disputes quickly in an inexpensive  
463 way. The aim is to promote amicable resolution of business disputes by means of arbitration and conciliation.  
464 As one of the most important arbitration centres in Asia-Pacific, the ICA arbitrates almost 400 disputes (both  
465 domestic and international) annually.

466 According to the Centre for Alternative Dispute Resolution ii ADR thus offers an alternative route for resolution  
467 of disputes; the emphasis which is informal and flexible, is on "helping the parties to help themselves". The  
468 manifold advantages of mediation have made its practice popular. Some of its benefits includes;

469 (CARD)mediation works well in all case of family and matrimonial disputes, cases of personal injury,  
470 accidental claims, property claims and commercial disputes. ? Bearing the potential to save and maintain

471 interpersonal/working relationships ? Durability of agreements ? Help parties bury the past, preserve the  
472 present and seek a better future ? Emphasis on restorative justice

473 The importance of resolving disputes amicably can be gauged from the quotes of two renowned personalities  
474 which I mention below; Abraham Lincoln once said, 'Discourage litigation. Persuade your clients to compromise,  
475 whenever you can. Point out to them the nominal winner is often a real loser; in fees, expenses and waste of time.  
476 As a peace-maker, the lawyer has a superior opportunity of being a good person. There will always be enough  
477 business. Never stir up litigation. A worse man can scarcely be found than one who does this. Who can be more  
478 nearly a fiend than he who habitually overhauls the register of deeds in search of defects in titles, whereon to stir  
479 up strife and put money in his pocket? A moral tone ought to be infused into the profession which should drive  
480 such out of it.' ??5 The lesson was so indelibly burnt into me that a large part of my time during the twenty  
481 years of my practice as a lawyer was occupied in bringing about private compromises of hundreds of cases. I  
482 lost nothing thereby-not even money, certainly not my soul." Mahatma Gandhi in his autobiography wrote, "I  
483 had learnt the true practice of law. I had learnt to find out the better side of human nature and to enter men's  
484 hearts. I realized that the true function of a lawyer was to unite parties riven as under.

## 485 12 46

486 There is still much want of spreading awareness of ADR through public mechanisms of direct people interaction  
487 and through intellectual methods of In spite of government initiatives and attempt at institutionalization, the  
488 popularity and use of ADR is still on the low side. Much has to be done on the propaganda front to make  
489 the system more popular. The movement for ADR is in its infancy in India as the people still has to grasp  
490 the feasibility about alternative methods to litigation. In a developing and resource scarce country like India,  
491 alternative dispute resolution mechanisms bear the potential of scoring high on moral fronts because of its ability  
492 to resolve disputes without much heartburn and agony. With proper managerial and institutional support ADR  
493 mechanisms bear the real potential of constructing dispute adjudication system that is both more responsive  
494 and citizen-friendly. conducting seminars and workshops about its potential and real benefits. There is need to  
495 extend services and benefits of ADR mechanisms to those directly affected by the hassles of formal litigation.  
496 The advantages of ADR techniques must directly percolate to the grassroot since the uneducated and resource  
497 less people bears the scourge of the formal system. Since the rural masses remain ignorant about their basic  
498 rights, it is imperative that legal aid campaigns and awareness drives be organized to cognizance them of their  
499 rights to 'speedy justice'. They must be made aware of the fact that they have the right to 'accessible justice.'

500 Year 2015

501 Justice at Cross Roads -A Critical Analysis of the Functioning of the Indian Judicial System and the Need for  
an Alternative ( A ) <sup>1 2 3 4 5 6</sup>



Figure 1: New

Year 2015  
( A )  
12

Figure 2:

[Note: 24 A.K. Sen, M.C. Setalvad and G.S. Pathak (1964), *Justice for the Common Man*, Lucknow, Eastern Law Publishers and Book Sellers, p. 10.25 Justice Hidayatullah's speech is found in the introductory note in A.K. Sen, M.C. Setalvad and G.S. Pathak (1964), *Justice for the Common Man*, Lucknow, Eastern Law Publishers and Book Sellers, p.10]

Figure 3:

[Note: 27 Ainslie T Embree (1988), 'Law, 29 Justice Ashok A Desai (2000), *Justice Versus Justice*, New Delhi, Taxman Allied Services (P) Ltd., p. 2]

Figure 4:

[Note: 31 India Corruption Study -2008. Transparency International, 2008. 32 33 Ibid, Preface, p. xviii.]

Figure 5:

( A )

[Note: 35 Oliver Mendelsohn (1981), *The Pathology of the Indian legal System*, Modern Asian Studies, Vol. 15, No. 4, Cambridge University Press.]

Figure 6:

Conciliation  
psalegal.com/.../DisputeResolutionBulletin-  
IssueVII08092010070309PM... accessed on 25.5.2015.

in India: An Overview available at

Figure 7:

---

<sup>1</sup>The legal profession is a product of the British connection, part of the complex of British style legal institutions imposed on India in the 18<sup>th</sup> -19<sup>th</sup> centuries. Lawyers are oriented to litigation rather than advising, negotiating or planning. This is displayed in and reinforced by the

<sup>2</sup>Scott Shackelford, In the Name of Efficiency: The Role of Permanent Lok-Adalats in the Indian Justice System: The Role of Permanent Lok-Adalats in the Indian Justice System and Power Infrastructure, available at [www.works.bepress.com/scott\\_shackelford/4](http://www.works.bepress.com/scott_shackelford/4) accessed on 1/4/2015. 8 SAARC-Human Development Report of 2006.

<sup>3</sup>© 2015 Global Journals Inc. (US)

<sup>4</sup>Global Journal of Human Social Science© 2015 Global Journals Inc. (US) -Year 2015

<sup>5</sup>The right to legal aid owes its genesis to the UN Charter, when it declared its 'faith in fundamental human rights, in the dignity of human

<sup>6</sup>Frederick Trevor Hill (1906), *Lincoln, The Lawyer*, New York, Century Company, p. 102-103. 46 Mahatma Gandhi, *An Autobiography or The Story of My Experiments with Truth*, (Translated by Mahadev Desai), Ahmedabad, Printed and Published by Navjivan Publishing House, pp. 133-134.



- 502 [ AnuragK ] , AnuragK .
- 503 [ Books Journals] , *Books & Journals*
- 504 [ Documents Reports] , *Documents & Reports* Constitution of India. 33.
- 505 [ Judicial Appointments and Oversight] , *Judicial Appointments and Oversight* Law Commission of India (77th  
506 Report. 37. Report of the National Judicial Commission)
- 507 [ Nyaya Deep', the official journal of NALSA (2012)] , *Nyaya Deep', the official journal of NALSA* January 2012.  
508 XII p. .
- 509 [Rajan ()] *A Primer on ADR*, R D Rajan . 2005. Tamil Nadu: Bharathi Law Publications. p. .
- 510 [Upadhyay ()] 'Academic Foundation in association with Rajiv Gandhi Institute for Contemporary Studies  
511 (RGICS)'. Videh Upadhyay . *Judicial Reforms in India: Issues and Aspects*, Arnab Kumar Hazra, Bibek  
512 Debroy (ed.) (New Delhi) 2007. p. . (Justice and the Poor: Does the Poverty of Law Explain Elusive Justice  
513 to Poor?)
- 514 [Chandra (ed.) ()] *ADR: Is Conciliation the Best Choice?*, Sarvesh Chandra . P.C Roa and W. Sheffield (ed.)  
515 1997.
- 516 [Alternative Dispute Resolution -What it is and How it Works?] *Alternative Dispute Resolution -What it is and  
517 How it Works?*, Delhi: Universal Law Publishing. p. .
- 518 [Rao (ed.) ()] *Alternative Dispute Resolution -What it is and How it Works? Delhi*, P C Rao . P.C Roa and W.  
519 Sheffield (ed.) 1997. Universal Law Publishing. p. . (Alternatives to Litigation in India)
- 520 [Nariman (ed.) ()] *Alternative Dispute Resolution -What it is and How it Works?Delhi*, F S Nariman . P.C Roa  
521 and W. Sheffield (ed.) 1997. Universal Law Publishing. p. . (Arbitration and ADR in India)
- 522 [An Autobiography or The Story of My Experiments with Truth by Mahatma Gandhi] *An Autobiography or  
523 The Story of My Experiments with Truth by Mahatma Gandhi*,
- 524 [Articles 14 and 22 (1) Indian Constitution also make it obligatory for] *Articles 14 and 22 (1) Indian Constitu-  
525 tion also make it obligatory for*,
- 526 [Bandhopadhyay ()] D Bandhopadhyay . *Nyaya Panchayats: The Unfinished Task*, 2005. 40 p. .
- 527 [Banerjee ()] Sumanta Banerjee . *Judging the Judges*, 2002. 37 p. .
- 528 [Code of Criminal Procedure ()] *Code of Criminal Procedure*, 1973.
- 529 [Courts must be Free of Interference', The Statesman, dated 27/12/2010. 43. 'Cabinet Nod to Fast-Track Courts (2011)]  
530 *Courts must be Free of Interference'*, *The Statesman*, dated 27/12/2010. 43. 'Cabinet Nod to Fast-Track  
531 *Courts*, 17/08/2009. 42. 24/06/2011. (Judicial Vacancies, Pending Cases Worry PM, CJI)
- 532 [Syed Ali Mujtaba (2009)] *Crisis of Governance -An Indian Experience, paper presented at the Asia Media  
533 Conclave in Bangkok on 25 th*, Syed Ali Mujtaba . 2009. 27 th March, 2009.
- 534 [Wani (2012)] 'Delay in Justice: Social, Economic and Political Perspective (Could Unheard Alarm Bells Be  
535 Given An Ear)'. M Wani . *A Journal of Chandraprabhu Jain College of Higher Studies and School of Law*  
536 2012. July. II p. .
- 537 [Bibekdebroy ()] 'Economic developments in India'. Bibekdebroy . *Academic Foundation in association with Rajiv  
538 Gandhi Institute for Contemporary Studies (RGICS)*, Raj Kapila, Uma Kapila (ed.) 2005. p. . (Reforming  
539 the legal system)
- 540 [former Prime Minister of India, rightly concluded that lok-adalats are a major break-through in the judicial system of our country  
541 'former Prime Minister of India, rightly concluded that lok-adalats are a major break-through in the judicial  
542 system of our country. Times have come when the Indian judiciary must be rationalized to the tune of  
543 time and accept the reality otherwise we are fast approaching a stage where the case-load is so heavy that  
544 it will crush the present judicial system. Unless this problem is tackled intelligently and cautiously, the  
545 litigants might be gripped with a sense of frustration and loss of confidence in the courts'. *Lok-Adalats in  
546 India: Genesis and Functioning; People's Programme for Speedy Justice*, (New Delhi) 1998. Deep & Deep  
547 Publication. p. 5. (It is in this spirit that Late Rajiv Gandhi, the)
- 548 [India Corruption Study -2008 -With Special Focus of BPL Households] *India Corruption Study -2008 -With  
549 Special Focus of BPL Households*,
- 550 [India Corruption Study -2008, Transparency International ()] *India Corruption Study -2008, Transparency In-  
551 ternational*, 2008.
- 552 [Gadbois ()] 'Indian Judicial Behaviour'. George H GadboisJr . *Economic and Political Weekly* 1970. 5 p. . (3/5,  
553 Annual Number the Seventies, pp. 149, 151, 153, 155, 157, 159, 161)

- 554 [It implies that equality is the yardstick of all fundamental freedoms and human rights and it cannot be denied on any ground in  
555 'It implies that equality is the yardstick of all fundamental freedoms and human rights and it cannot be  
556 denied on any ground including poverty and if poverty comes in the way of enforcement of these human  
557 rights, legal aid is a condition precedent for the realization of human rights'. *the equal rights of men and*  
558 *women*, January 2012. XII p. . (It also provides for promotion of 'universal respect for and observance of  
559 human rights and fundamental freedoms for all without distinction as to race, sex, language or religion'  
560 (Article 55))
- 561 [Justice et al. ( )] & Justice , A B Srivastava , R K Sinha . *The Legal Services Authorities Act (With Central and*  
562 *State Rules and Regulations)*, (Allahabad, Universal publishers) 2000. pp. p. xxxv.
- 563 [Justice et al. ( )] A B Justice , R K Srivastava , Sinha . *The Legal Services Authorities Act (With Central and*  
564 *State Rules and Regulations)*, (Allahabad, Universal publishers) 2000. pp. p. xxxv.
- 565 [Sen et al. ( )] *Justice for the Common Man*, A K Sen , M C Setalvad , G S Pathak . 1964. Lucknow: Eastern  
566 Law Publishers and Book Sellers. p. .
- 567 [Dhawan ( )] *Justice on Trial -The Supreme Court Today*, Rajeev Dhawan . 1980. Allahabad: Wheeler Publishing.  
568 p. .
- 569 [Justice Ashok A. Desai ( )] 'Justice Versus Justice'. *Taxmann Allied Services Pvt. Ltd* Justice Ashok A. Desai  
570 (ed.) 2000. p. 4.
- 571 [Ashok and Desai ( )] 'Justice Vs'. A Ashok , Desai . *Justice, Delhi, Taxmann Allied Service* 2000. p. .
- 572 [Wait For Justice and Statesman ( )] 'Law Minister Blames Collegium System for Judges Vacancy in High  
573 Courts'. 'a Long Wait For Justice , ?' , *The Statesman* . 04/09/2014. *Times of India* 26 / 11/2014. 47.  
574 (Justice has a mountain to climb, of 31.3 million pending cases)
- 575 [Singh ( )] *Law of Arbitration and Conciliation*, Avtar Singh . 2005. Lucknow, Eastern Book Company. p. . (th  
576 ed.)
- 577 [Ainshee T Embree ( )] 'Law, Judicial and Legal Systems of India'. Ainshee T Embree . *Encyclopaedia of Asian*  
578 *History*, (London/New York) 1988. Collier Macmillan Publishers. 2 p. .
- 579 [Deshta ( )] *Lok-Adalats in India: Genesis and functioning; People's Programme for Speedy Justice*, Sunil Deshta  
580 . 1998. New Delhi: Deep & Deep Publications. p. .
- 581 [Moog ( )] Robert Moog . *Delays in the Indian Courts: Why the Judges Don't take Control*, 1992. Taylor &  
582 Francis, Ltd. 16 p. . National Center for State Courts
- 583 [Rajwade ( )] A V Rajwade . *Rule of Law and Civil Servants*, 2003. 38 p. .
- 584 [Rao ( )] Bathulavenkateshwar Rao . *Crisis in the Indian Judiciary*, (Hyderabad, Legal Aid Centre) 2001. p. .
- 585 [Agarwal ( )] 'References Références Referencias'. Agarwal . *Role of Alternative Dispute Resolution Methods in*  
586 *Development of Society: Lok-Adalat in India, Series of Working Paper*, (Ahmedabad) 2005. Research and  
587 Publications. p. 9. Indian Institute of Management
- 588 [Agarwal ( )] 'Role of Alternative Dispute Resolution Methods in Development of Society: Lok-Adalat in India'.  
589 Anurag K Agarwal . *Series of Working Paper*, (Ahmedabad, Indian Institute of Management) 2005. Research  
590 and Publications. p. .
- 591 [SAARC-Human Development Report ( )] *SAARC-Human Development Report*, 2006.
- 592 [Sarfraz Ahmed Khan ( )] Sarfraz Ahmed Khan . *Lok Adalat*, (New Delhi) 2006. APH Publishing Corporation.  
593 p. .
- 594 [Ramaswamy (ed.) ( )] *Settlement of Disputes through Lok-Adalats is one of the Effective Alternative Dispute*  
595 *Resolution (ADR) on Statutory Basis*, K Ramaswamy . P.C Roa and W. Sheffield (ed.) 1997. Universal Law  
596 Publishing. p. . (Alternative Dispute Resolution -What it is and How it Works?Delhi)
- 597 [Sridhar ( )] Madabhushi Sridhar . *ADR Negotiation and Mediation*, (New Delhi) 2005. Lexis Butterworths  
598 Publishers. p. .
- 599 [Rao (ed.) ( )] *The Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996: The Context, in P.C Roa and, P C Rao . W. Sheffield*  
600 (ed.) 1997. Universal Law Publishing. p. . (Alternative Dispute Resolution -What it is and How it Works?  
601 Delhi)
- 602 [Kumar Hazra ( )] *The Law and Economics of Dispute Resolution in India*, Arnab Kumar Hazra . 2003. New  
603 Delhi: Bookwell Publishers. p. .
- 604 [Hill ( )] *The Lawyer*, Frederick Trevor Hill . 1906. Lincoln; New York, Century Company. p. .
- 605 [Mendelsohn ( )] 'The Pathology of the Indian legal System'. Oliver Mendelsohn . *Modern Asian Studies* 1981.  
606 Cambridge University Press. 15 (4) p. .
- 607 [Wooldridge (1983)] Frank Wooldridge . *on behalf of the British Institute of International and Comparative Law*,  
608 1983. April. Cambridge University Press. 32 p. . (The International and Comparative Law Quarterly)