Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

1	Chuck Palahniuck's Fight Club Apropos of Sartre's Bad Faith
2	and Camus's Calculated Culpability
3	Dr. Richard E. Baker ¹
4	¹ Adams State University
5	Received: 10 December 2013 Accepted: 3 January 2014 Published: 15 January 2014

7 Abstract

 $_{\mbox{\scriptsize \$}}$ This essay explores the existential philosophy that exists in Chuck Palahniuck's first novel,

⁹ Fight Club (1996). Surprisingly, there has been little discussion of this novel?s connection to

¹⁰ Jean-Paul Sartre's notion of the look and the three patterns of bad faith in Being and

¹¹ Nothingness nor of Camus?s discussion of calculated culpability in The Just Assassins; this

has largely been overlooked and presents a creative opportunity to better interpret Fight

13 Club, its concomitant existential analysis, and the continuing fight between Camus and

¹⁴ Sartre?s political stances, not to mention the interpretive territory of existentialist humor.

15

16 Index terms—

17 **1** Introduction

ex, drugs, violence, mayhem-Chuck Palahniuck's Fight Club has all of this and more. To pick up this book 18 and give it a cursory reading is like taking a quick glimpse of daVinci's Mona Lisa in the Louvre, immediately 19 exiting the museum, descending to the Paris Metro, and getting lost among the revolving turnstiles, insistent 20 trains, and meandering people. Like the Mona Lisa, the novel is that compelling and has much to offer 21 readers, critics, teachers, and philosophers alike who possess a keen desire for urgent and critical inquiry. In 22 fact, much has been written about the existential philosophy that exists in Chuck Palahniuck's first novel 23 Fight Club ??1996).Surprisingly, there has been little discussion of this novel's connection to Jean-Paul Sartre's 24 25 notion of the look and the three patterns of bad faith in Being and Nothingness nor of Camus's discussion of 26 calculated culpability in The Just Assassins; this has largely been overlooked and presents a creative opportunity to better interpret Fight Club and its concomitant existential analysis, not to mention the interpretive territory 27 of existentialist humor. 28

In brief, Fight Club is a novel about an unnamed narrator, and the novel's first chapter lets us know 29 immediately what is happening since it starts at the end of the story: the narrator and Tyler Durden, the 30 narrator's alter ego, are quarrelling and fighting while explosives are set to blow up the Parker-Morris Building. 31 The narrator states, "This is about property as in ownership" (14), then goes on to say, "I remember everything" 32 (15). 1 Interestingly, Sartre's chapter on "The Look" in Being and Nothingness with the keyhole section proves 33 noteworthy to explain the above situation: "Let us imagine that moved by jealousy, curiosity, or vice I have 34 just glued my ear to the door and looked through a keyhole. I am alone and on the level of a non-thetic 35 selfconsciousness" (259). Sartre contends that the prereflective cogito (non-thetic consciousness or nonpositional 36 37 self-consciousness) is at work here when an object or spectacle is being observed; however, what happens when this 38 person suddenly becomes aware of himself/herself as being seen when footsteps are heard in the hall? "Someone is 39 looking at me!" (260). At this juncture, the emotion of shame springs forth in Sartre's existential critique because the pre-reflective cogito of looking through a keyhole without being seen is changed to reflective consciousness 40 upon being seen: "Nevertheless I am that Ego; I do not reject it as a strange image, but it is present to me as a 41 self which I am without knowing it: for I discover it in shame and, in other instances, in pride. It is shame or 42 pride which reveals to me the Other's look and myself at the end of that look" (261). Sartre further stipulates 43 that "shame . .is shame of self: it is the recognition of the fact that I am 44

1 INTRODUCTION

We later learn as we continue to peruse the novel that the narrator is an unconfident and despairing man 45 who suffers from insomnia. Seeking medical advice for his affliction, his unsympathetic doctor suggests that he 46 exercise more, chew valerian root, and go to support groups for people who are dying of other maladies that 47 are far worse than his own. While at the Remaining Men Together support group, his radical incompleteness 48 prods him to hug Big Bob whereby the narrator cries, and this enables him to inevitably sleep. While embracing 49 Big Bob (a cancer survivor who has had his testicles removed) at the aforementioned support group, he meets 50 Marla Singer who becomes a mediating figure in his life. Unfortunately, the narrator "can't cry with this woman 51 watching . . ." (22). Marla is constantly staring at him and "rolling her eyes"; in effect, he sees himself through 52 her stultifying gaze as a "liar" and a "faker." This creates his shame and his inability to sleep once again. 1 Chuck 53 Palahniuck, Fight Club (New York: Norton, 1996) 15. In further references to this work, I will use page numbers 54 only. indeed the object which the other is looking at and judging. I can be ashamed only as my freedom escapes 55 me in order to become a given object" (261). 56

This philosophical concept lends credence to the narrator's sense of shame in that his radical autonomy has 57 escaped him due to Marla's condemning gaze, and he has become a spurious object-a liar and a faker-for her. 58 Likewise, this becomes the narrator's existential dilemma. It was initiated when the narrator couldn't sleep and 59 was told by a doctor that his insomnia was "just the symptom of something larger" (19). This unwanted largesse 60 61 is the narrator's alienation-something nobody can fully escape. However, the narrator attempts an escape by 62 going to support groups and seeing people who are worse off than he. His alienation becomes manifest in the group introductions because he "never gives [his] real name" (23), nor is his real name given in the novel other 63 than his fictionalized self of Tyler Durden. 2 It is also at this point that Tyler Durden pops into the picture in 64 terms of a man and his sexual desire, and the two men become best of friends while a love triangle forms with 65 Marla. The narrator states, "I want Tyler. Tyler wants Marla. Marla wants me" (14). We find out later the 66 genesis of the narrator and Tyler's unusual union-it is no mistake that Tyler comes to life when the narrator is 67 "asleep" at a "nude" beach because the words of Moreover, when the narrator is aware of being looked at by 68 Marla, he becomes aware that he is a character and has a specific nature: his attendance at the support groups 69 and not having any particular disease other than his dis-ease [my emphasis] of alienation. 70

The narrator's alienated condition is tantamount to Sartre's notion in Being and Nothingness of the extent 71 to which the narrator is alienated from the dimension of his being; this heralds his bad faith at this moment, 72 or what "must be the being of man if he is to be capable of bad faith?" (55). The narrator cannot experience 73 himself originally as a liar/faker: it is Marla who gives rise to this mode of his being because it is through 74 75 her vitriolic look that he repositions himself as a faker/liar in terms of the dreadful shame he experiences: "To Marla I'm a fake. Since the second night I saw her, I can't sleep. Still I was the first fake, unless, maybe all 76 these people are faking with their lesions and the coughs and tumors . . ." (23). Since the narrator refuses to 77 acknowledge his transcendence, this creates his existential crisis and becomes the origin of his bad faith because 78 he wears a mantle of superficial integrity. Marla's look alienates the narrator from his possibilities, annihilating 79 his freedom. 2 Jeffrey Sartain also shares this view in footnote #3 in his essay when he says that "the narrator 80 begins referring to himself in the third person with the name Joe. In actuality. . . only the alternate personality, 81 Tyler Durden, is ever named explicitly. "'Even the Mona Lisa's Falling Apart': The Cultural Assimilation of 82 Scientific Epistemologies in Palahniuk's Fiction," Grayson 43. 83

sleeping and nudity codify why Tyler comes to life. The narrator's exhilarating and troubling contact with 84 Marla produces his libidinal energy, but he feels inadequate to woo this woman in his present state of insomnia and 85 malaise. 3 The woman on the date in Being and Nothingness (55) knows the man's intentions and sexual desires, 86 especially with his phrase, "I find you so "If I could wake up in a different place, at a different time, could I wake 87 up as a different person?" (33). At this point, the fervent narrator must certainly feel the physical need for sex 88 since Tyler initially appears "naked" and "sweating," and this lends support to the notion that the narrator feels 89 emasculated (hugging Big Bob at the support group) and bored (a "slave to [his] nesting instinct" in his condo). 90 Along the Sartrean lines of shame, Kevin Boon makes the argument that the narrator has become "feminized"-91 feels "shame" (268) for not engaging in "traditional male behaviors"-and "Tyler Durden is the animus, the male 92 within the feminized narrator. He surfaces to guide the narrator back toward his masculine legacy" (271-72). The 93 narrator tells Marla, "The first time I met Tyler, I was asleep. I was tired and crazy and rushed I envied 94 people dying of cancer. I hated my life. I was tired and bored with my job and my furniture, and I couldn't 95 see any way to change things" (172). Altering his temporality because of his boredom and ineffectiveness as a 96 traditional male, the narrator's existential encounter with Marla's look creates his bad faith and, in turn, Tyler-a 97 way to extricate himself from reality and indulge his libidinal fantasy with Marla. Additionally, her last name is 98 Singer and suggests the mythological and sexual import of the sirens in Homer's Odyssey. 99

Specifically, Sartre's patterns of bad faith provide a solid heuristic structure to interpret Fight Club at this 100 point since the narrator's insomnia (malaise) is simply an existential metaphor for his bad faith, and this creates 101 his alter ego. Sartre's first example in Being and Nothingness of a woman on a date becomes integral to this text 102 since Tyler is everything the narrator is not. Tyler is virile, clever, fearless, and attractive, while the narrator is 103 weak, inept, dull, and average: "Tyler is capable and free, and I am not" (117). The narrator seeks transcendence 104 from his facticity (his body, past, and environment) and his "single-serving life." In short, Tyler is the narrator's 105 "desire to surpass his existential limitation and to transform his being" (Ng 117). 3 Once again, Jeffrey Sartain 106 (43) shares this view in footnote #4 in his essay when he states, "The alternate personality of Tyler Durden 107

seems to have surfaced as a response to the presence of Marla Singer. Tyler is a way for Joe to deal with his attraction to Marla Singer because he is unable to initiate any sort of adult relationship with her." However, Nicola Rehling suggests that "the narrator created Tyler to overcome his longing for other men and to allow him to sleep with women, "Fight Club Takes a Beating: Masculinity, Masochism and the Politics of Disavowal." Gramma: Journal of Theory and Criticism 9 (2001): 198.

¹¹³ 2 Volume XIV Issue I Version I

114 3 (A) Year 2014

Global Journal of Human Social Science attractive," but she does not know what she really wants. The man 115 then takes her hand, and she tries to pretend she is all intellect; her hand rests "inert" between the man's 116 hands-she doesn't consent nor resist-and her hand is now "a thing." Likewise in Fight Club, Marla, because of her 117 radical incompleteness, does not know what she wants, and is "afraid to commit to the wrong thing so she won't 118 commit to anything" (61). By extension, Marla inevitably leaves her hand between the hand of her companion, 119 Tyler Durden, in order to flirt, to turn herself into a sex object, and to be sexually possessed: "... Tyler's sitting 120 121 here covered in hickies and says Marla is some twisted bitch" (59). Tyler is a way for the narrator to come to terms with his attraction to Marla because he is incapable of initiating any authentic adult relationship with her 122 other than sex. This, too, is a characteristic signature of his bad faith, stemming from his shame as a liar/faker 123 124 due to Marla's potent gaze, and he attempts to combat her look with brute sex since she is his object of desire. 125 4 Marla's presence helps create the narrator's alter ego because the first time the narrator meets Tyler is at a nude beach. Stripped of clothing, Tyler is creating a giant hand out of logs to cast a perfect shadow; hence, this 126 hand in Fight Club relates to the aforementioned hand in Being and Nothingness and by analogy the desire of 127 the narrator for Marla. Tyler sits in the shadow to have what he considers a perfect moment. 128

The narrator is attempting to multiply (sexual love) what he cannot unify (caring and tender love). Like 129 Chloe, who is close to death because of brain parasites, he only wants sex, "not intimacy." 5 Therefore, the nude 130 131 beach scene, Tyler, and Marla are inextricably connected. 6 4 Hazel Barnes, the matriarch of existentialism 132 because she translated Sartre's Being and Nothingness and Search for a Method not to mention introducing the American public to existentialism in a series of ten public television programs broadcast in 1962, says in her 133 book The Story I Tell Myself (Chicago and London: University of Chicago Press, 1997) that Sartre has three 134 meanings of the look. The one presented so far comes from Sartre's objectifying look of "Hell isother people" 135 (45) in the play No Exit (New York: Vintage, 1989). Conversely, there are two positive aspects of the look 136 that Barnes (76-77) discusses in relation to Sartre's work: "The Look-as-exchange" and "looking-at-the-world-137 138 together." These are the ones that the narrator fails to acknowledge throughout the majority of the novel until the very end. 5 It is interesting to note here that Tyler's desire to create a perfect moment is similar to Anny 139 140 and her search for perfect moments in Sartre's novel Nausea. Likewise, both Anny and Tyler and their search 141 for perfect moments are indicative of their bad faith. Deborah Evans makes this point quite vivid in "Some of These Days': Roquentin's American Adventure," Sartre Studies International: An International Journal of 142 Existentialism and Contemporary Culture 8. ?? (2002) 63. 143

The hand is an important symbol in this novel to emphasize the initial struggle between the narrator and Tyler apropos of Marla. In the beautiful, liquid complexity of Fight Club, the narrator first wonders if Tyler and Marla are "the same person" because they are never in the same room together. The narrator only hears the sounds of their love making, and Tyler makes the narrator promise that he will never discuss their personal relationship with Marla, which becomes bad faith personified by the narrator in terms of Tyler. The narrator says, "I'm not talking to Marla. She can horn in on the support groups and Tyler, but there's no way she can be my friend" (66).

151 Later in Chapter 8, Tyler gives the narrator a chemical burn in the shape of a lip kiss on the back of his hand. This kiss on the "hand" names and positions Sartre's ontological philosophy because the chemical burn is made 152 from lye. The word "lye" is a homophone for the other word "lie" or what one does in Sartre's notion of bad faith: 153 lying to oneself and believing it or self-deception. Marla has this burn, too. She has tried to commit suicide, but 154 before doing so has called Tyler who, in turn, calls the police. Marla, having second thoughts about the police, 155 and Tyler surreptitiously leave her sleazy room at the Regent Hotel just as the cops arrive, and she vehemently 156 shouts to the police that "the girl in 8G has no faith in herself... and she's worried that as she grows older, she'll 157 have fewer and fewer options" (61). We learn that Marla steals "jeans out of the dryers" to support herself, and 158 goes to the support groups to have a "real experience of death" since her job at a funeral home was unfulfilling 159 in her profane world. "Funerals are nothing compared to this, Marla says. Funerals are all abstract ceremony" 160 (38). Caught up in the sexual-ersatz relationship with Tyler, she wants to have his "abortion." Repositioning 161 her ontological being, she becomes the narrator's accomplice in bad faith for she too is a liar/faker due to her 162 163 suicidal, chaotic, and inauthentic actions.

The second example that Sartre (59) uses is the waiter in the café; his fervid movements, like an automaton, limit him to the role of a thing/a waiter or being-in-itself: "He applies himself to chaining his movements as if they were mechanisms, the one regulating the other; his gestures and even his voice seem to be mechanisms; he gives himself the quickness and pitiless rapidity of things." Sartre's waiter appears most appropriately in Fight Club as Tyler Durden since he is, indeed, a waiter at the Pressman Hotel, but he is a guerilla waiter who covertly urinates in the soup. This tainted soup later develops into other the narrator dreams he is "humping Marla Singer. Marla Singer smoking her cigarette. Marla Singer rolling her eyes" (56). Subsequently, Tyler becomes manifest as the narrator's virile and sexy alter ego after he sees her at the support groups and she himthrough the look-because the narrator lacks confidence in wooing this mysterious woman as his despairing, emasculated, and bored self. In bad faith, Tyler is the renewed image (opposite) of the narrator.

¹⁷⁴ 3 Volume XIV Issue I Version I

175 4 (A)

176 Year 2014

Global Journal of Human Social Science devious schemes-fight club and inevitably Project Mayhem. Tyler 177 wants to abandon "money and property and knowledge," so that he can lose everything to be "free to do anything" 178 (70). In effect, Project Mayhem will attempt "to break up civilization," so they can "make something better 179 out of it" (208). Project Mayhem is a subversive aim to reform the fractious techno-industrial system from the 180 inside: Tyler wants no government, no material wealth, no technology, and wants to destroy the buildings that 181 182 contain the technology. Tyler's avantgarde position to destroy the technological machines and the skyscrapers 183 that contain them is a pristine example of Sartre's notion of bad faith since Tyler wants to return to the past or the in-itself and says, "Imagine stalking elk through the damp canyon forests around Rockefeller Center" (199). 184 Therefore, Tyler wants a futuristic devolution where technology and progress are shunned, so we can return to 185 an Eden-like state. Tyler does not transcend the facticity of the past. Joseph Catalano explains this personal 186 challenge in his interpretation of Sartre's Being and Nothingness: "[O]ne can be sincere in respect to the past, 187 insofar as one admits having acted in a certain way. But to say I am lazy is to make laziness a structure, an 188 in-itself. Man, however, is not identified with himself in the sense that an inkwell is an inkwell. If he were, bad 189 faith would be impossible; he could never truly succeed in deceiving himself" (84). 190

Part of Tyler Durden's manifestation as the narrator's alter ego is linked to the narrator's job: he is a "recall 191 192 campaign coordinator" who hates his job and his itinerant existence since he must put a price tag on human 193 life and suffering, and he also dislikes his fashionable, furnished condo since it only represents sterility due to consumerism and material possession. Morally challenged due to an unsettling cultural environment, Tyler 194 destroys this building first. Furthermore, fiery violence becomes a means to regain lost virility and masculinity. 195 Unfortunately, Tyler's promise to the space monkeys or the members of fight club and Project Mayhem that help 196 him so they will become free-they do his bidding and destroy buildings and technology-are only relinquishing 197 their radical freedom in order to help Tyler and his dubious mission. Their nightly, orthodox readings to each 198 199 other at the Paper St. house are highly indicative of their brainwashed behavior: "You are not a beautiful and unique snowflake. You are the same decaying organic matter as everyone else, and we are all part of the same 200 201 compost pile" (134).

202 In brief, Tyler supplants the Other, and his megalomania "reaches its apex as he seeks not only to dismantle 203 history but to replace it with a new order where his actions place him squarely in the role of God/Father" (Kennett 56). Accordingly, Tyler appears to be a modern Unabomber. The space monkeys are duped and 204 205 objectified by Tyler to believe that there is a "better time" awaiting them if they return to a past when men were important and significant, exemplified by their violent and destructive actions when overtaking a civilization. 206 In contrast, the space monkeys simply become drones and conformists for Tyler who yearns to destroy science 207 and technology. In terms of bad faith, Project Mayhem sees both the present and future as unproductive by 208 those in the present; certainly, the past or being-in-itself seems more amenable and concrete because it is "full 209 and complete." 7 At the end of the novel, the narrator, having moments of clarity in life's ambiguous domain, 210 211 is finally seeking authenticity and individuality and wants to shut down fight club. However, the contumacious 212 space monkeys remember the rules: "You know the drill, Mr. Durden. . . . [I]f anyone ever tries to shut down the club, even you, then we have to get him by the nuts" (187). This touts the reason as to why the emasculated 213 narrator cannot engage Marla sexually, but virile Tyler 214

The paradox is created: Tyler and the space monkeys, through Project Mayhem, will destroy things-create a nothingness-in order to create their freedom. However, the dubious freedom that is created is one that Tyler chooses and inauthentic for the space monkeys since they must choose their own personal freedom-their existential challenge-without outside interference. It is no mistake that Tyler tells his pugilistic neophytes that the first and second rule of fight club is "you don't talk about fight club" (48); in Project Mayhem the first and second rules are "you don't ask questions" (122).

As stated before, the narrator is able to sleep after he cries and is embraced by Big Bob, "the big cheesebread," 221 222 who has had his testicles removed due to testicular cancer. Big Bob was a "juicer" who injected steroids to make 223 himself look muscular; as a result he "owned a gym," was on "television," did "product endorsements," and was 224 "married three times" (21). Posing as Tyler, the narrator gets involved with Marla and starts fight club and 225 Project Mayhem to boost his testosterone level and regain his castrated masculinity as well: "You see a guy come to fight club for the first time, and his ass is a loaf of white bread. You see this same guy here six months 226 later, and he looks carved out of wood" (51). Turned into objects like wood or the initself, these men postulate 227 that they are redeemed when they participate in fight club. Tyler tells them, "There's grunting and noise at 228 fight club like at the gym, but fight club isn't about looking good. There's hysterical shouting in tongues like at 229 church, and when you wake up Sunday afternoon you feel saved" (51). 7 Barry Vacker, "Slugging Nothing," You 230

Do Not Talk about Fight Club, ed R. M. Schuchardt (Dallas: Benbella Books, Inc., 2008) 197. Vacker also uses Sartre's Being and Nothingness for his close analysis of Fight Club and the film it spawned. I quite agree when Vacker says that "perhaps the most radical implication for Fight Club will be found in Sartre's theorization of the future as a 'nothingness,' the nothingness of possibilities facing and shaping humanity" (177).

²³⁵ 5 Volume XIV Issue I Version I

236 6 (A)

237 Year 2014

Global Journal of Human Social Science can: "Tyler and I share the same body, and until now, I didn't know 238 it. Whenever Tyler was having sex with Marla, I was asleep (174). In essence, this is Sartre's (63-64) third 239 example of bad faith: the homosexual 8 This will again team up with the urban terrorism of Tyler Durden and 240 his ubiquitous creation of disenfranchised groups: "We are the middle children of history, raised by television 241 to believe that someday we'll be millionaires and movie stars and rock stars, but we won't" (166). Ultimately, 242 243 the bad faith is stripped away towards the end of the novel because the narrator is seeking authenticity and 244 individuality-to live in good faith. Feeling guilty, the narrator initially wants Marla to follow him around at night 245 when Tyler is on the loose, so who won't acknowledge his sexual inclination and its concomitant social relevance: "A homosexual frequently has an intolerable feeling of guilt, and his whole existence is determined in relation to 246 247 this feeling The homosexual recognizes his faults He does not wish to be considered a thing." R.M. Schuchartdt tells us in his article "A Copy of a Copy" that Fight Club's popularity was due in large 248 part to the exposition of "homosexual inclinations, predicated on the absent father and the domineering mother" 249 (159). He bases this on Camille Paglia's "assessment that a large part of explaining the rise in male homosexuality 250 in the last three decades can be directly attributed to the divorce rate and the subsequent rise in fatherlessness" 251 (163). If this is true, then Nicola Rehling's supposition that "the narrator created Tyler to overcome his longing 252 253 for other men and to allow him to sleep with women" smacks of veracity. Remember that Bob embraces the 254 narrator, both cry, and the narrator can sleep afterwards (a metaphor for going to bed with another man); but he can't sleep once Marla sees them hugging and crying together. Through shame, the disillusioned narrator 255 256 abnegates the pseudo-sexual relationship with Bob, and starts up with Marla as Tyler-he doesn't want to be considered a thing since the moral majority in our country views homosexuality as unproductive (can't produce 257 offspring) and extremely dangerous (the AIDS epidemic in the gay community). written an excellent article on 258 the movie Fight Club and the "homoerotic elements as representing homosexual experience" that is certainly 259 worth reading even in terms of the novel since the book and film have many similarities. Furthermore, Chuck 260 Palahniuck was gay but didn't want anybody to know this because it might devalue his work as a novelist. 261 262 In fact, Palaniuck blasted Entertainment Weekly reporter Karen Valby on his "fan website, The Cult," fearing 263 that she was going to expose him. See Jesse Kavaldo, "The Fiction of Selfdestrution: Chuck Palahniuck, Closet 264 Moralist," Grayson 5. Much to Palaniuck's chagrin, she didn't and he retracted his comments about her. Today, much like the narrator, Palaniuck is one of the most prolific authors of the 21 st century and is loved by his fans, 265 as observed on his website and the publication of his many novels. 266

the narrator "can rush around and undo the change" during the day (175). Nonetheless, Marla makes the narrator realize that he has killed Patrick Madden, the mayor's special envoy on recycling. Marla also asks, "[W]ho's going to kill me?" ??196). Finally, the narrator comprehends that he actually likes Marla and tells her so. Her response is, "Not love?" And he retorts, "This is a cheesy enough moment, I say. Don't push it" (197). The cheesiness or bad faith of all that the narrator has done is now fully realized.

Ultimately, the narrator will decide to kill himself to atone for the deaths of Patrick Madden and Big Bob: he too becomes one of Project Mayhem's space monkeys. This symbolically becomes the feud between Sartre and Camus over the end justifying the means ??Sartre) or vice versa ??Camus) in terms of violent acts to empower the working class (the blue collar workers in Fight Club who represent the space monkeys because they carry out the acts of urban terrorism). This essentially is Sartre's political position in his play Dirty Hands (1948) versus that of Camus's position in his play The Just Assassins ??1949).

In Dirty Hands, Hoederer tries to explain to his secretary Hugo (who is really an inexperienced assassin and 278 intends to kill Hoederer for the good of the Communist party since they deem his political policy treacherous) 279 that "all means are good when they're effective." 9 Conversely, Camus says that an observance of a doctrine of 280 limits is necessary when it comes to killing innocent people to further a political ideology. In The Just Assassins, 281 Kaliayev cannot throw the bomb to kill the Grand Duke because there are children in the carriage with him. 282 283 Stepan, a fellow revolutionary, is upset because he adamantly believes that "thousands of Russian children will go 284 on dying of starvation for years to come" because of Tsarist oppression. 10 9 Jean-Paul Sartre, Dirty Hands, No 285 Exit and Three Other Plays, trans. I. Abel (New York: Vintage International, 1989) 218. This play essentially 286 sets up the division between Sartre and Camus's political ideology and will start the famous argument. Dora, essentially the mouthpiece for Camus's political ideology in this play, defends Kaliayev's decision when she states, 287 "Open your eyes, Stepan, and try to realize that the group would lose all its driving force, were it to tolerate, even 288 for a moment, the idea of children's being blown to pieces by our bombs" (256). She goes on to say that "even 289 in destruction there's a right way-and there are limits" (258). In Camusian terms, specifically, suicide becomes 290 the necessary choice for taking another person's life. At the end of the play, the Grand Duchess visits Kaliayev 291

in prison and is willing to spare his life because she is compassionate and kind. However, Kaliayev wants to avoid the inauthenticity of 10 Albert Camus, The Just Assassins, Caligula and Three Other Plays, trans. Stuart Gilbert (New York: Vintage Books, 1958) 256. I like Stuart Gilbert's translation of this play because in all my studies of French literature, he renders the best translations of Albert Camus's work.

²⁹⁶ 7 Volume XIV Issue I Version I

297 6 (A) Year 2014

Global Journal of Human Social Science being a murderer. He wholeheartedly accepts what he has done and 298 will take responsibility for the murder: "Those who love each other today must die together if they wish to be 299 reunited. In life they are parted-by injustice, sorrow, shame; by the evil that men do to others . . . by crimes. 300 Living is agony, because life separates" (289-90). This is where the final discussion as to a positive message 301 exists in Fight Club because the narrator survives the suicide attempt, desires to make amends, and wants to 302 start an authentic relationship with Marla and vice versa. In existentialist terms it is calculated culpability. 303 Hazel Barnes (161) explains Camus's position, "I liked, too, his notion of 'calculated culpability,' . . . the idea 304 305 that in recognizing the necessity of choosing the lesser evil, we must acknowledge that it is nevertheless evil and 306 cannot be dissolved in the good." As the novel draws to a close, the narrator is in a mental institution with space monkeys walking by to give him food and medication; positioned marginally, they wish for Tyler Durden's 307 308 return. Additionally, the narrator meets God and has a humorous conversation with him in which the basic tenets of existentialism are espoused as God sits behind his desk "taking notes on a pad," but "[y]ou can't teach 309 God anything" (207). It is at this point that the first tenet of existentialist humor comes into play-historical 310 irony-to make comparisons to other relevant historical events apropos of Fight Club and the existential challenges 311 it presents. 11 Playfully possessed, human existence is absurd, because the absurd, by any common definition 312 of the word, means incongruity or irony, which is also the key to some classic definitions of humor. 12 Briefly 313 stated, Sartre and Camus's quarrel was mostly political, then moved to a personal level. During WWII, Sartre 314 315 and Camus were friends and part of the French Resistance. After WWII, Sartre reached for and conjured up 316 not just a politically correct French future but a more oblique Communistic ideology set up by Russia whereas previously he had disliked Communism all the way back to 1944; in his play Dirty Hands (1948), he considered 317 318 that the ends justifies the means in terms of violent acts because he wanted the French proletariat to combat their unsettled cultural and historical environment. Ronald Aronson explains that "it was less a matter of the 319 'correct reading' of Dirty Hands than of the attitudes each brought with him to the play. For Camus, sticking 320 to principle and refusing to lie for the sake of politics was inseparable from respecting people and loving them" 321 (106). In contrast, Sartre was willing to side with the Communist movement, in spite of the evils of the Soviet 322 Union, because he saw it as the only real hope and political expression of the majority of France's workers. He 323 324 criticized Camus for rejecting it without searching for an alternative. But Camus's critique of revolution was his 325 critique of Communism: both were built on a fundamentally wrong and destructive approach to humans, history, 326 and reality itself. (151) Obviously, this dubious posture links Sartre to Tyler and Project Mayhem along with fight club. Sartre, by placing history above the individual in his blending and bending of individuals and social 327 groups, will continue in bad faith: Sartre will now endorse, contrary to the absurd outlined in Nausea, history to 328 dictate what the individual must strive to become; although Sartre began with personal contingency in Nausea, 329 he forsook this for historical contingency and Marxism, especially in his Critique of Dialectical Reasoning. Sartre 330 was providing a justification for Stalinism in potent philosophical terms. Nik Fox articulates in his book The 331 New Sartre that the Cold War led Sartre to change his ideology from a personal level to a social one because 332 of the political situation in France during the early 1950s: "The most significant event. . . was the frame-up 333 and arrest in 1952 of the Communist leader, Jacques Duclos, by the French state which impelled Sartre toward 334 335 a 'radical conversion' to communism and towards a hatred and disgust for his own class, the bourgeoisie. . . (115). This is highly ironic and absurd because Sartre-like Tyler living in bad faith by accepting violence to 336 achieve his ends and yearning to return to the past-will renounce Communism by 1956. 337

In relation to the existentialist notion of the absurd, Camus will historically and ironically become the narrator in Fight Club because Camus "would not simplify human problems, as reactionaries and revolutionaries did, and embraced democracy as the 'least evil' system of government" (Aronson 104). Moreover, unlike Sartre, Camus did not embrace history to form a political agenda. Catherine Camus, his daughter, reiterates her father's tendentious position: "[I]deology must serve humanity, not the contrary. . . . He went so far as to say that the means used by totalitarian regimes destroyed any hope for a better world" (vi).

Once again, by extension, we can see that Camus (there was a personal quarrel in the early 1950s because Sartre condemned Camus, his politics, and his book The Rebel) is like the narrator in Fight Club: "A man is dead, I say. This game is over. It's not fun anymore" (178). Apropos of Sartre, Tyler trenchantly tells the narrator, "I'll still live my life while you're asleep, but if you fuck with me, if you chain yourself to the bed at Volume XIV Issue I Version I Global Journal of Human Social Science night or take big does of sleeping pills, then we'll be enemies. And I'll get your for it" (168). The narrator now comes to understand the contingency, tragedy, and brevity of fragile human life.

Camus won the Nobel Prize for literature in 1957, which is the highest honor for a literary genius. This is certainly Camus's notion of the absurd in The Myth of Sisyphus because Camus will transcend his facticity by becoming what he is not:

The feeling of the absurd is not, for all that, the notion of the absurd. It lays the foundation for it, and that 354 is all. It is not limited to that notion, except in the brief moment when it passes judgment on the universe. 355 Subsequently it has a chance of going further. It is alive; in other words, it must die or reverberate. (28) 356 Elsewhere, Camus's The Fall is a covert reference to the conflict between Sartre and Camus: it is mostly brutal 357 and vicious, yet ironically funny with all the allusions to their past conflict: Hence, "by temperament the one 358 was primarily philosopher ??Sartre], absorbed with theories and general ideas, the other ??Camus] primarily 359 a novelist most comfortably capturing concrete situations" (??ronson 16). Camus has the last laugh through 360 historical irony because he creates a novel (The Fall) about the fight (the title Fight Club in many ways mirrors 361 the Sartre and Camus quarrel) to justify his side-it also helps him to win the Nobel Prize. In retrospect, it's too 362 bad that both men died before the early 1990s: the fall of Communism in the Soviet Union would have been 363 tantamount to Camus experiencing the ultimate in existentialist humor because Camus was right to say that 364 democracy and capitalism were evils, but the lesser of the evils when compared to Soviet Communism. 365

After WWII, Camus's work connected him to the existentialists because of his philosophy of the absurd, his 366 moralistic and constructive pessimism, and his alienated person in his novels and plays; however, he disavowed 367 any such classification in a personal interview that he had with Jeanine Delpech, part of which appeared in 368 Les Nouvelles Littéraires in 1945 (1+). According to the basic tenet of existentialist humor, Camus was an 369 370 existentialist because of his philosophy of the absurd in The Stranger and The Myth of Sisyphus, not to mention 371 his constructive moral humanism and his emphasis upon existence over essence in his other works-he is linked to 372 Sartre and the existentialists once again. Camus praised Sartre's novel Nausea, yet condemned Sartre's politics. Sartre and Camus were close friends in WWII, but Camus later regretted their friendship since they were locked 373 together as adversaries after Camus published The Rebel. Conversely, Sartre thought Camus to be one of his best 374 friends in life. The powerful and distinctive shape of these two men's literature and their relationship certainly 375 exemplifies existentialist humor because Tyler and the narrator seem to become the prodigal heirs of Sartre and 376 Camus. 377

It is at this point that the tenet of existentialist humor becomes heightened and grounds for interpretive 378 territory since Fight Club was published in 1996 and the 9/11 tragedy happened in 2001. Thus, Palahniuck's 379 novel was certainly prophetic. The AIM Report explains that both the CIA and the FBI found out that Osama 380 bin Laden was plotting to hijack U.S. commercial jetliners to use as weapons to destroy strategic targets in the 381 U.S; this evil scheme was called Project Bojinka ?? Irvine), not unlike Project Mayhem in Fight Club. This plan 382 was discovered in the Philippines in 1995 when police arrested Ramzi Yousef and Abdul Murad, the two men who 383 were also instrumental in bombing the World Trade Center in 1993. These ruthless terrorists planned to blow up 384 a Philippine airliner; authorities found Murad's laptop, and it contained plans for hiding and detonating bombs 385 on several commercial jets in the U.S., not to mention hijacking other planes to crash into strategic American 386 targets (especially national landmarks) kamikaze style in an unprecedented plurality. 387

Al-Queda and Osama Bin Laden certainly had a victorious laugh when the Twin Towers came down and 388 another plane crashed into the Pentagon. Much like Palaniuck's novel, Tyler explains that "we don't have a 389 great war in our generation, or a great depression, but we do, we have a great war of the spirit. We have a 390 great revolution against culture" (149); bin Laden, in turn, called for a holy war against the U.S. because of our 391 political and profane alliance with Israel. How ironic that the people at the flight schools in the U.S. didn't find 392 it strange that foreign students from the Middle East wanted to take flying lessons to pilot commercial airliners, 393 especially in flight simulators, but were not that interested in learning how to land or take off. The AIM Report 394 also explains that before 9/11, "foreigners, including many from the Middle East," targeted flight schools for 395 their vocational training in the U.S because visas were given almost "automatically to those who applied to 396 these schools"; it was "especially easy for those with Saudi Arabian passports" because "at Huffman Aviation 397 International in Venice, Florida, about 70 percent of the students were foreigners" ??Irvine). 398

The above report further stipulates that "Osama bin Laden apparently knew better than the FBI how lax our 399 government was in terms of investigating students who come here for flight training. He took full advantage of 400 it"; the Venice, Florida, school was a place where "Mohammed Atta, who steered American Airlines flight 11 into 401 the north WTC tower, and Marwan Yousef Alshehhi, who flew United Airlines flight 175 into the south tower, 402 were trained. Both had backgrounds that would have sounded an alarm had the CIA checked them" ??Irvine). 403 Finally, the hijackers paid with their lives Global Journal of Human Social Science in the Camusian fashion of an 404 observance of a doctrine of limits for taking innocent lives and possibly some of the hijackers didn't even know 405 it was a suicide mission, not unlike the space monkeys in Palahniuck's novel. 406

Ultimately, Fight Club is truly a prophetic, existentialist novel that names and positions common patterns of 407 existentialism that are listed above and a potent means to interpret a painfully humorous work of art in terms of 408 sex, work, and society. Tyler disappears at the end of the novel, but he is malignantly lurking on the margins of 409 society as the space monkeys look forward to his return. Ultimately, the Camus/Sartre quarrel continues in Fight 410 Club. Marla tells the narrator to "wait" before he pulls the trigger to kill himself. Wait becomes the watchword 411 for Palahniuck, the reformatory moralist: one must wait, not commit suicide, and see what may happen next 412 in this absurd world. This includes an authentic relationship with another person whether or not destruction 413 is immanent in our lives. Marla likes the narrator, and she now knows the difference between him and Tyler. 414 The narrator muses, "And nothing. Nothing explodes. The barrel of the gun tucked in my surviving cheek, I 415 say Tyler, you mixed the nitro with paraffin, didn't you. Parraffin never works" (205). Palahniuck's nothingness 416

- 417 suggests our ability to recreate our lives anew in the midst of the past, present, work, leisure, chaos, materialism,
- uncertainty, friendship, and romance. "We are not special. We are not crap or trash, either. We just are" (207).
 Camus and Sartre would most likely agree on this point.

420 8 Works Cited



Figure 1: S

421 1 2 3

 $^{^{1}}$ © 2014 Global Journals Inc. (US)

²Peter Mathews, Grayson 90, makes the comment that "the narrator first meets Marla immediately after his initial encounter with Tyler at the beach." However, the problem I see here is that the time frame of this novel is convoluted at times through analepsis and prolepsis, and it is impossible to say with any precision that this is true. All we know is that the nude beach scene happens in Chapter 3 and "Tyler meets Marla" in Chapter 7: the two are constantly "humping." Nevertheless,

³For a detailed analysis of existentialist humor, see my article "Sartre and Camus: Nausea and Existentialist Humor." Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences 1.1 (2006). Web. 12 On this point, three notable authors and their books become relevant to this discussion: Max Eastman The Sense of Humor (New York: Charles Scribner's Sons, 1921), Martin EsslinThe Theatre of the Absurd (New York: Anchor Books, 1961, and Wylie Sypher "The Meanings of Comedy." Comedy (New York: Doubleday, 1956).

- [Trans. A. Sheridan-Smith. London: New Left Books ()], Trans. A. Sheridan-Smith. London: New Left Books
 1960. 1964. New Directions Publishing Corp. 1. (Print) (Nausea. Trans. Lloyd Alexander. Print)
- 424 [Palaniuck and Club ()], Chuck Palaniuck, Club. 1996. New York; Norton. (Print)
- 425 [Grayson ()], Erik Grayson. Stirrings Still 2005. 2 (2). (Print)
- ⁴²⁶ [Catalano ()] A Commentary on Jean-Paul Sartre's "Being and Nothingness, Joseph Catalano . 1980. (Chicago
 ⁴²⁷ and London: U of Chicago P. Print)
- [Aronson and Camus ()] Ronald Aronson, Sartre Camus. The Story of a Friendship and the Quarrel That Ended
 It. Chicago and London, 2004. The University of Chicago Press. (Print)
- 430 [Sartre ()] Being and Nothingness. Trans. Hazel Barnes, Jean-Paul Sartre . 1956. New York: Philosophical
 431 Library. (Print)
- 432 [Irvine (2001)] 'Catastrophic Intelligence Failure'. Reed Irvine . Aim Report 24 Sept. 2001. Jan. 2012.
- 433 [Mathews] Diagnosing Chuck Palahniuck's Fight Club, Peter Mathews . p. .
- 434 [Sartain] Even the Mona Lisa's Falling Apart': The Cultural Assimilation of Scientific Epistemologies in
 435 Palahniuck's Fiction, Jeffrey Sartain . p. .
- 436 [Existentialist Paramedic] Existentialist Paramedic, p. . (Chuck Palahniuck)
- 437 [Kennett] Fight Club and the Dangers of Oedipal Obsession, Paul Kennett . p. .
- 438 [Camus and Preface ()] First Man. By Albert Camus, Catherine Camus, Preface . 1955. New York: Alfred A.
 439 Knopf. (Print)
- 440 [Fox ()] Nik Fox . The New Sartre. New York and London: Continuum, 2003. (Print)
- 441 [Brookey and Westerfelhaus ()] 'Hiding Homoeroticism in Plain Sight: The Fight Club DVD as Digital Closet'.
- Robert A Brookey, Robert Westerfelhaus. Critical Studies in Media Communication 2002. 19 p. . (Print)
- [Boon ()] 'Men and Nostalgia for Violence: Culture and Culpability in Chuck Palaniuck's Fight Club'. Kevin
 Boon . The Journal of Men's Studies11 1992. 3 p. . (Print)
- 445 [Ng] Muscular Existentialism in Chuck Palahniuck's Fight Club, Ng. p. . (Andrew Hock Soon)
- 446 [New York: Vintage International Trans. I. Abel ()] 'New York: Vintage International'. Trans. I. Abel 1989.
- 447 1989. Vintage International. (Print. 31. —. Dirty Hands. No Exit and Three Other Plays) (Trans. I. Abel.
 448 Print)
- [Rehling ()] Nicola Rehling . Fight Club Takes a Beating: Masculinity, Masochism and the Politics of Disavowal,
 2001. 9 p. . (Print)
- [Baker (2006)] 'Sartre and Camus: Nausea and Existentialist Humor'. Richard Baker . Journal of Humanities & Social Sciences1 2006. 6 Jan. 2012. (1) .
- 453 [Vacker] Slugging Nothing, Barry Vacker . p. .
- ⁴⁵⁴ [Evans ()] 'Some of These Days': Roquentin's American Adventure'. Deborah Evans . An International Journal
 ⁴⁵⁵ of Existentialism and Contemporary Culture 2002. 8 (1) p. . (Sartre Studies International. Print)
- [Camus and Albert ()] The Fall, Camus , Albert . 1953. Trans. Justin O'Brien. New York: Alfred A.Knopf.
 (Print)
- 458 [Kavaldo] The Fiction of Self-destruction: Chuck Palahniuck, Closet Moralist, Jesse Kavaldo. p. .
- [The Just Assassins. Caligula and Three Other Plays Trans. Stuart Gilbert ()] 'The Just Assassins. Caligula
 and Three Other Plays'. Trans. Stuart Gilbert 1958. Vintage Books. (Print)
- 461 [Sypher ()] 'The Meanings of Comedy'. Wylie Sypher . Comedy 1956. (Print)
- 462 [Knopf (ed.) ()] The Myth of Sisyphus and Other Essays. Trans. Justin O'Brien, Alfred A Knopf (ed.) (New
- York; New York) 1972. Litteraires15 Nov. 1945. 1956. Alfred A. Knopf. 10 p. 1. (Print) (The Rebel. Trans.
 Anthony Bower. Print)
- 465 [Eastman ()] The Sense of Humor, Max Eastman . 1921. New York: Charles Scribner's Sons. (Print)
- 466 [Barnes ()] The Story I Tell Myself. Chicago and London: U of Chicago P, Hazel Barnes . 1997. (Print)
- 467 [Esslin ()] The Theatre of the Absurd, Martin Esslin . 1961. New York: Anchor Books. (Print)
- 468 [Schuchardt and Mercer (ed.) ()] You Do Not Talk about Fight Club, Read Schuchardt , Mercer . R. M.
 469 Schuchardt. Dallas (ed.) 2008. Benbella Books. p. . (A Copy of a Copy. Print)