

1 Discrepancy in Results of Boards and Entry Test at College Level

2 Education Peace¹

3 ¹ National University of Modern Languages

4 Received: 12 December 2011 Accepted: 3 January 2012 Published: 15 January 2012

5

6 **Abstract**

7 The study aims at measuring discrepancy of various boards? examination BISE and
8 educational testing and evaluation Agency (ETEA) in KPK. The population of the study
9 consisted of all the Boards of Intermediate Secondary Education in KPK and all the
10 intermediate level students who have appeared in both the above-mentioned examinations.
11 The sample of the study was 541 students. These students were randomly selected for those
12 who had obtained 60

13

14 **Index terms**— discrepancy, evaluation Agency

15 **1 Introduction**

16 External examinations are not always the best form of student's evaluation. Students' success in such examinations
17 may only be due to their general retentive ability or good memory. External examinations also limit the scope of
18 teachers tend to teach only that part of the course considered useful from examination point of view. So semester
19 system was tried, but it also did not work. Government of Pakistan, in 1998 introduced a parallel system for
20 successful students of intermediate and those interested in getting admission in medical and engineering colleges
21 to encourage merit and to check the unfair means used in intermediate examinations. This examination covers
22 the whole course with no discrimination of staff center and availability for the examination. This is called
23 entry test examination conducted by educational evaluation and testing agency (ETEA). This study aims to
24 ascertain the relationship of examination conducted by Board of Intermediate and Secondary Education (BISE)
25 and educational evaluation and testing agency (ETEA).

26 **2 II.**

27 **3 Review of Literature**

28 The purpose of public examinations conducts by these boards is clearly that of promotion, selection and
29 certification.

30 Khushk and Charistie (2004) claim, "Pakistan is listed among the developing nation of the world. The
31 prevalence of low quality education in the country is one of the major constraints on its development. Minimal
32 use of modern assessment techniques and dependency on traditional learning processes are the significant factor
33 of low quality education in country. At the foundation of Pakistan there was a single examinations board, which
34 was responsible for examining students from all over the country. Since then the number of examination boards
35 has gradually increased to 23 in line with growing numbers of candidate, while the level of quality education in
36 most institutions has not only been improved to satisfy the academic needs of the time but deteriorated due to
37 malpractices in examination".

38 "Equity and validity considerations require that no candidate for a particular public examination is granted
39 an unfair advantage over other candidates. An unfair advantage would be obtained, for example, if a student
40 had prior access to an examination paper or received support from an external source during the course of
41 examination. It occurs in the United States among students taking the Scholastic Aptitude tests (Haney, 1993)
42 and probably in every other country through out the world. In developing countries, extensive malpractice,

8 VI. POPULATION OF THE STUDY AND SAMPLE SIZE

43 including bribery, threats, physical abuse, and a variety of ingenious methods to gain an unfair advantage serve
44 to highlight the importance of public examinations in the lives of the students and of their families".

45 The issue of malpractice has become so predominant in Pakistan that the Punjab Commission for Evaluation
46 of Examination System and Eradication of Malpractices (1992) concluded that "Cheating in the examination
47 now knows no bounds. Those who dare and those who wield any kind of authority can do all them want during
48 examinations, without any fear of punitive action. Leaked papers answer books, continuation sheets, and solved
49 examination questions were freely available at a price. Chairmen of BISEs (Boards of Intermediate and Secondary
50 Education) are completely helpless to take action against the faulting examinees, corrupt subordinates and (are
51 unable) to resist threatening dictates of some bureaucrats, public representatives and gangsters. "

52 Given the amount of malpractice associated with public examinations, it is to be expected that, despite the
53 obvious educational advantages of schoolbased assessment, examination bodies in many developing countries
54 have little confidence in marks derived from such assessments. For example, schoolbased assessment was doomed
55 in Srilanka when evidence was produced of work being done by paid outsiders and of unfair advantage for
56 well equipped schools and wealthy students (Kariyawasam, 1993; UCLES, 1990). In China, percentage of
57 "meritorious" students to gain admission to college or university on the basis of school recommendation needs to
58 be examined for possible bias and malpractice (Hao, 1993).

59 Efforts to combat or detect malpractice include overseas printing of examination booklets and answer sheets in
60 Bangladesh (UCLES, 1989) and in Kenya (McGuiness). 'Donoghue, Yussufu, & Kithuka, 1990), administration
61 of oaths of secrecy in Zambia. (Kellaghan, Martin, & Sheehan, 1989), requiring examination setters to reside in a
62 hotel for two months without outside contact in China (Lewin & Lu, 1991), comparison of scripts where copying
63 is suspected, and acting on reports of cheating by other candidates in Uganda ??Ongom, 1990).

64 The problem was faced not only by the underdeveloped countries, but developed countries too were the
65 victims of the same problem, especially in case of students pursuing higher studies in the developed countries.
66 The developed countries introduced Teaching of English as Foreign Language (TOEFL), International English
67 Language Testing System (IELT), Graduate Records Examination (GRE) and Graduate Management Assessment
68 Test (GMAT). TOFEL and IELT have been very affective in analyzing examinees English language proficiency.
69 Lee (2008) Eiji (2004) and ken (2004).

70 Since Pakistan, was confronted with the same problem. Therefore the government of KPK introduced entry
71 test examination for admitting students to professional colleges through introducing entry test examination.
72 The need for establishing ETEA was felt due to huge discrepancies in marks in various boards. So ETEA was
73 established through ordinance 2001.

74 "Whereas it is expedient to provide for the establishment of an independent and autonomous educational
75 testing and evaluation agency in the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Province for the development of resources and systems
76 for the conduct of educational evaluation and testing for the educational institutions in a transparent, uninfluenced
77 and academically sound manner". The study aimed to achieve the following objectives: i) To compare marks
78 secured in BISE results and marks scored in entry test of pre-medical students. ii) To find out scored differences
79 in BISE results and marks scored in entry test of engineering.

80 4 III.

81 5 Statement of the Problem

82 IV.

83 6 Statement of the Hypotheses

84 The following hypotheses were tested:

85 1. There is no significant difference between the marks secured in BISE examination and marks secured in
86 entry test conducted by ETEA. V.

87 7 Method and Materials

88 The effectiveness and success of every research is always based on the very methodology and technique through
89 which the data is collected.

90 8 VI. Population of the Study and Sample Size

91 The population of this study includes all students of F.Sc in both Pre-Medical & Pre-Engineering of all boards
92 of Intermediates and Secondary Education in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

93 The sample of study includes 541 students. This includes both Medical and Engineering students, who
94 appeared in BISE examination and entry test examination in 2006, 2007 and 2008, were randomly selected on
95 the basis from the whole population who got 60% and above marks in both the examinations.

96 Out of these 541 students, 344 students from Medical group were randomly selected 15% sample of the total
97 population, due to small population of engineering group all the 197 students were selected for this study.

98 **9 VII. Data Collection and Data Analysis**

99 Data for the study were collected from official gazettes of all the boards of NWFP and ETEA results during the
100 sessions 2006-07, 2007-08 and 2008-09. After collecting the data from the gazettes notification of the B.I.S.E and
101 ETEA, were analyzed using Z -test. Where $Z = 2.2212121212$ ($n = 8$)

102 **10 S X X**

103 Where:

104 Z has standard normal distribution under H_0 .

105 **11 Results and Discussion**

106 It includes data analysis, results and discussion. To test the hypothesis that the entry test examination is more
107 credible than BISE exam it is preceded as follows: i. Null and alternative hypotheses were formulated as follow:
108 H_0 : There is no significant difference between the marks secured by the students in BISE examination and
109 marks secured in entry test examination. Where $Z = IX$. Medical Students for the Session: 006 -07 The following
110 table was constructed and Z-test was applied for the session 2006-07. $H_0 : 1 = 2$ $H_1 :$

111 **12 BISE Examination Entry Test Examination**

112 **13 Marks %age**

113 **14 Midvalue X %age**

114 No of Students f 1 f 1 X f 1 X 2 No of student f 2 f 2 X f 2 X
115 X.

116 **15 Medical Students for the Session 2007-08**

117 The following table was constructed and Z-test was applied for the session 2007-08.

118 **16 BISE examination Entry Test Examination**

119 Marks %age Midvalue X %age No of Students f 1 f 1 X f 1 X 2 No of student f 2 f 2 X f 2 XS = 2.

120 **17 Medical Students for the Session 2008 -09**

121 The following table was constructed and Z-test was applied for the session 2008-09.

122 **18 BISE examination Entry Test Examination**

123 Marks %age Midvalue X %age No of Students f 1 f 1 X f 1 X 2 No of student f 2 f 2 X f 2 X

124 **19 Engineering Students for the Session 2007-08**

125 The following table was constructed and Z-test was applied for the session 2007-08. The following table was
126 constructed and Z-test was applied for the session 2008-09. The following table was constructed and Z-test was
127 applied for the session 2007-08. Medical (Female) As $Z = 14.89 > 1.645$ falls in critical region. So H_0 is rejected
128 and hence result is highly significant at 0.05 level of significance. Which means that the entry test examination
129 2007-08 is more credible than board examination 2007-08.

130 **20 BISE examination Entry Test Examination**

131 Marks %age Midvalue X %age No of Students f 1 f 1 X f 1 X 2 No of student f 2 f 2 X f 2 XS = 3.

132 **21 BISE examination Entry Test Examination**

133 Marks %age Midvalue X %age No of Students f 1 f 1 X f 1 X 2 No of student f 2 F 2 X f 2 X

134 **22 BISE examination Entry Test Examination**

135 $S = 4. S = 4.$

136 **23 XX.**

137 Medical (Female) Students for the Session 2008-09

138 The following table was constructed and Z-test was applied for the session 2008-09. Engineering (Male)
139 Students for the Session 006-07

140 **24 BISE examination Entry Test Examination**

141 **25 Marks %age**

142 **26 Midvalue %age**

143 **27 No of**

144 Students f 1 F 1 X f 1 X 2 No of student f 2 f 2 X f 2 XS = 5.

145 The following table was constructed and Z-test was applied for the session 2006-07. Engineering (Male)

146 Students for the Session 007-08

147 **28 BISE examination Entry Test Examination**

148 **29 Marks %age**

149 **30 Midvalue X %age**

150 No of Students f 1 F 1 X f 1 X 2 No of student f 2 f 2 X f 2 XS = 4.

151 The following table was constructed and Z-test was applied for the session 2007-08.

152 **31 BISE examination Entry Test Examination**

153 **32 Marks %age**

154 **33 Midvalue X %age**

155 **34 No of**

156 Students f 1 F 1 X f 1 X 2 No of student f 2 f 2 X f 2 X

157 **35 Engineering (Male) Students for the Session 008-09**

158 The following table was constructed and Z-test was applied for the session 2008-09. The following table was
159 constructed and Z-test was applied for the session 2006-07.

160 **36 BISE examination Entry Test Examination**

161 **37 Marks %age**

162 **38 Midvalue X %age**

163 **39 No of**

164 Students f 1 F 1 X f 1 X 2 No of student f 2 f 2 X f 2 X

165 **40 BISE examination Entry Test Examination**

166 **41 Marks %age**

167 **42 Midvalue X %age**

168 **43 No of**

169 Students f 1 F 1 X f 1 X 2 No of student f 2 f 2 X f 2 X

170 **44 Engineering (Female) Students for the Session 007-08**

171 The following table was constructed and Z-test was applied for the session 2007-08. The following table was
172 constructed and Z-test was applied for the session 2008-09. Medical and Engineering Students for the given
173 Three Session 006-07, 007-08, 008-09

174 **45 BISE examination Entry Test Examination Marks %age**

175 **46 Midvalue X %age**

176 **47 No of**

177 Students f 1 F 1 X f 1 X 2 No of student f 2 f 2 X f 2 X 2

178 **48 BISE examination Entry Test Examination**

179 **49 Marks %age**

180 **50 Midvalue X %age**

181 **51 No of**

182 Students f 1 F 1 X f 1 X 2 No of student f 2 f 2 X f 2 X 2

183 The following table was constructed and Z-test was applied for the session 2006-07, 2007-08, 2008-09.

184 **52 BISE examination Entry Test Examination**

185 **53 Marks %age**

186 **54 Midvalue X %age**

187 **55 No of**

188 Students f 1 f 1 X f 1 X 2 No of student f 2 f 2 X f 2 X

189 **56 XXVIII. onclusion**

190 This study concludes that decision of the government to establish ETEA was justified as students who had
191 scored high in BISE examination dropped down in ETEA examination. This further concludes that examinations
192 conducted by ETEA are more credible as XXIX.

193 **57 Recommendations**

194 1. All Boards in KPK may have uniform paper format in all subjects for all students of the province. 2. An
195 inter-boards committee may be constituted to design paper for all boards of the province. 3. The evaluation
196 and marking of those uniform papers can be checked by the examiners selected by the inter-board committee.
197 4. Selection of the supervisory staff for exam may be based on merit-cum-performance further paper format can
198 discourage the trend of selective study among students and questions in the papers may be concept-based and
199 not based on rote memory. 5. The BISE final results of the students may reflect the overall assessed performance
200 of the students in their respective institutes throughout the year. 6. The BISE boards should work as facilitators
201 to raise the standard of quality education for which the local educational administration may be made effective
202 to check the corruption and loopholes in various boards as they are enjoying unlimited corruptive powers. 7.
203 The checking of papers, tabulation and rechecking and result display may be made transparent and accessible to
204 students so that the hidden deceptive roles of some workers can be highlighted. 8. There may be a uniform policy
205 for rechecking and re-totaling etc, and chances of favor may be totally eliminated. 9. The administrative staff
206 and other managers of board may be appointed on the basis of their subject knowledge, skills and experience.
207 10. The ETEA papers also need to be prepared with the help of those teachers who are teaching the same
208 courses at intermediate level. 11. The ETEA may device the carbon copy of the answer sheet and key display
209 through internet after the test with which the complaints of the students may be resolved and the discrepancy
210 be removed. 12. These experts may be bound to make the paper according to the textbooks. 13. There may
211 also be some text from practical books of the same level. 14. The board records may always be open for the
212 inspection of various educationists and experts. 15. The reliability and validity of BISE exam results may also
213 be statistically displayed in the yearly. Journals and authorities should be held responsible for the unsatisfactory
214 results lacking the given characteristics of a good test. 16. The examination centers may be minimized on the
215 basis of regions / zones with maximum students in the minimum centers on the pattern of ETEA examination
216 to curtail the use of all sorts of UFM.

217 The paper checking may be streamlined and mechanized on the basis of computer base system like ¹

¹© 2012 Global Journals Inc. (US)



Figure 1: 1 X

Marks	Midvalue X	%age	BISE examination		Entry Examina- tion	f 1 X 2	No of	f 2 X	f 2 X
			No of Students f 1	student f 2					
60-65	62.5	0	0	0	0	0	46	2875	1790
66-70	67.5	1	67.5	4556.25	20	1350	9112		
71-75	72.5	10	725	52562.5	10	725	5256		
76 & above	77.5	67	5192.5	402418.75	2	155	1201		
			78	5985	459539.5	78	5105	3355	
X	1 . 76 73		X 2	65	45 .				
1 2 S = 4				2					
60-65	62.5	0	0	0	51	3187.5	1992		
66-70	67.5	2	135.0	9112.50	26	1755.0	1184		
71-75	72.5	1	72.5	5256.25	9	652.50	4730		
76 above	77.5	87	6707.7	519846.75	4	310.0	2402		
		90	6915.2	534215.5	90	5905.0	3890		
X 1 . 76 84		X 2 . 65	6						
1 2 S = 31.3		2 2 S = 19							
Z = 15.00									

Figure 2:

			2	
60-65	62.5	1		62.5
66-70	67.5	0		0
71-75	72.5	17		1232.
76 &	77.5	68		5270
above			86	
X	1 . 76	34	X 2 .	6565
			64	7
1 2 S = 57.78			2 2 S = 15	
Z = 23.7				

As $Z = 23.7 > 1.645$ falls in critical region. So

H_0 is rejected and hence the result is highly significant

at 0.05 level of significance. Which means that the entry

XV. Medical (Male) Students for the Session 2006 -07

Marks	Midvalue X	No of
10	BISE	Entry
10	ex-	amin
10	am-	
10	ina-	
10	tion	

%age	%age	Students	stude
		f 1	
60-65	62.5	1	62.5
66-70	67.5	3	202.5
71-75	72.5	14	1015
76 & above	77.5	88	6820
		106	8100
X		X	
1 2 S = 9.4	76 4	2 2 S = 21	67
Z = 17			

As $Z \equiv 17 > 1.645$ falls in critical region. So H_0 is

rejected and hence the result is high significant at 0.05

level of significance. Which means that the entry test

Figure 3:

Marks	Midvalue	No	F	1	f 1 X 2	No	f 2 X	f
	X	of	X			of		
60-65	62.5	0	0		0	32	2000	1
66-70	67.5	1	67.5		456.25	21	1417.5	9
71-75	72.5	3	217.5		15768.25	9	652.5	4
76 & above	77.5	64	4960		384400	6	465	3
		68	5245.0		404724.5	68	4535	3

As $Z = 12.87 > 1.645$ falls in critical region. So

test examination 2007-08 is more credible than board examination 2007-08.

H_0 is rejected and hence the result is significantly high

at 0.05 level of significance. Which means that the entry
BISE examination

Marks	Midvalue	No	F	1	f 1 X 2	No	f 2 X	f
	X	of	X			of		
60-65	62.5	0	0		0	34	2125	1
66-70	67.5	2	135		9112.5	18	1215	8
71-75	72.5	0	0		0	6	435	3
76 & above	77.5	58	4495		348362.5	2	155	1
		60	4630		357475	60	3930	2
X	1 . 77	16	X 2 .	5				
				65				
2								
1								

Figure 4:

Marks	Midvalue X	BISE examination	No of students
%age	%age	Students f 1	
60-65	62.5	0	0
66-70	67.5	2	133
71-75	72.5	4	290
76 & above	77.5	30	232
		36	275
X 1 2 S = 5.40	X 2 2 S = 2664		. 6
Z = 0 . 7 94 73 .	= 8.22		
As Z = 8.22 > 1.645 falls in critical region. So			

H0 is rejected and hence the result is significant at 0.05

level of significance. Which means that the entry test

XIX. Medical (Female) Students for the Session 2007-08			
Marks	Midvalue X	BISE examination	No of students
%age	%age	Students f 1	
60-65	62.5	0	0
66-70	67.5	1	67
71-75	72.5	2	143
76 & above	77.5	41	317
		44	339
X 1 2 1	X 2 66		02

Figure 5:

-
- 218 [Crighton] , J Crighton .
219 [Ucles ()] , Ucles . 1990. Sri Lanka.
220 [A report on the education and examination system in Sri Lanka Cambridge: Author] 'A report on the education and examination system in Sri Lanka'. *Cambridge: Author*
221
222 [Amin (2004)] A Amin . *Examination reforms. The Statement, P.7*, 2004. May 11.
223 [Lee et al. ()] *analytic scoring of TOFEL*, Yong-Won Lee , Clandia Gentile , Ventor . 2008. Robert. (CBT Essays)
224 [Gipps ()] 'Assessment for learning'. C Gipps . *Assessment in Transition*, A Little, A Wolf (ed.) (Oxford) 1996. Pergamon.
225
226 [Arain and Bethell ()] *Authority and responsibility in public examinations in Pakistan*, A A Arain , G S Bethell . 1995. (Unpublished study)
227
228 [Bank (2001)] b) *Purposes and functions of Public Examinations*, World Bank . <http://www.worldbank.org/education/exams/purposes/asp19> 2001. June 5. 2004.
229
230 [Waheed ()] *Book of model MCQs for entrance tests preparation*, Waheed . 2005. N.W.F.P Pakistan. (1st Edition. Published by ETEA)
231
232 [Haney (1993)] *Cheating and Escheating on standardized test*, W Haney . 1993. April. Atlanta GA. 12 p. 16. (Paper presented at annual meeting of the American educational research association)
233
234 [Eiji ()] *comparability of TOEFL, writing prompts for different native language groups*, Lee Eiji . PR-04-24. 2004. (Report #)
235
236 [Curriculum Wing Ministry of Education, Government of Pakistan, Examination Reforms in Pakistan ()] *Curriculum Wing Ministry of Education, Government of Pakistan, Examination Reforms in Pakistan*, 1977. Islamabad.
237
238
239 [Gay ()] *Educational Evaluation and Measurement* Columbus Charles E, L R Gay . 1985. Merril Publishing Co.
240 [Soeze (2003)] *Examination Malpractices*, S Soeze . from<http://www.dailytimesofnigeria.com/daily-times/2003/september/30/wayout.as> 2003. May 14,2004.
241
242 [Erfan ()] *Examinations in Pakistan: An Overview Report of national workshop on technique of paper setting and marking*, N Erfan . 1990. Lahore. Curriculum the Research and Development Center
243
244 [Etea Ordinance ()] *Government Gazette of NWFP*, Etea Ordinance . <http://www.uniport.edu.ng/ap/pi.htm> 2001.
245
246 [Dr. Capt. U.A.G. Isani, Dr. Muhammad Latif Virk (ed.)] *Higher Education in Pakistan, Historical & Futuristic perspective by*, Dr. Capt. U.A.G. Isani, Dr. Muhammad Latif Virk (ed.)
247
248 [Higher Education in Pakistan, the Examination System ()] *Higher Education in Pakistan, the Examination System*, 2003.
249
250 [Warwick and Reamers ()] *Hope and despair? Learning in Paloarao schools*, D Warwick , F Reamers . 1995. USA: Greenwood Publishers.
251
252 [Ongom] L (1990) *Malpractices in examinations. Paper presented in seminar on malpractices in examinations*, D Ongom . Zomba, Malawi.
253
254 [Thorndike and Hagen ()] *Measurement and Evaluation in Psychology and Education*, R L Thorndike , E P Hagen . 1977. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
255
256 [Tariq ()] *NTS guide for GRE-GAT*. Dogar Publishers. *Text book of Statistics for Hypothesis testing by Sher Muhammad*, A K Tariq , Arshad . 2008.
257
258 [Greaney and Hasan ()] 'Public Examinations in Pakistan: A System in need of reform'. V Greaney , P Hasan . *education and the State: Fifty years of Pakistan*, P Hoodbhoy (ed.) (Karachi) 1998. Oxford University Press.
259
260 [Punjab Commission for Evaluation of Examination System and Eradication of Malpractices ()] *Punjab Commission for Evaluation of Examination System and Eradication of Malpractices*, 1992. Lahore: Author. (Report)
261
262
263 [Shahid ()] S Shahid . *Education in Pakistan (Examination system in Pakistan) 1st Edition*, 2002. Allama Iqbal Open University, S.T Printer Islamabad
264
265 [Hao ()] *Term Examinations, General, Graduation Examinations and College Entrance Examinations*, X Hao . 1993. Chinese Education and Society.
266
267 [Anon ()] *The calendar of board of Secondary Education. Karachi., and Stephens, D. 1986. Education and Society in Africa*, Anon . 2002. May 14. 2004. 1994. London: Eward Arnold. 3. (Investigation of examination Malpractices University of Portharcourt)
268
269
270 [Understanding research in education ()] *Understanding research in education*, 2001. University of Arid Agriculture ; University Institute of education and research
271
272 [Powers ()] *Validity of GRE general test scores for admission to colleges of veterinary medicine*, Donald Powers . PR-01-10. 2001. (Report #)
273